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## Foreword

As the series of reports on the monitored workforce of Northern Ireland enters its third decade it is my pleasure once again to write this foreword and to take the opportunity to convey appreciation to all the employers whose diligence in maintaining and compiling employment records enables this publication. The data gathered here and in the series overall provide a remarkable and invaluable insight into the changing patterns in the composition of employment in Northern Ireland.

The economic downturn continues to make its mark in workplaces. For the second year in succession, the overall number employed in the monitored workforce declined, confirming the shift from a period that had seen sustained growth since 2001. The total employed in $2010,512,726$, represented a reduction of $0.9 \%$ ( 4,546 jobs) compared with 2009. This is a smaller reduction than had been experienced in the previous year.

The contraction experienced last year can be attributed to the private sector, where an increase in part-time employment of 1,684 was outpaced by a decline in full-time employment of 6,879 . Conversely, the public sector grew marginally ( $0.3 \%$ ) and the increase in part-time employment of 1,655 was greater than the reduction of 1,006 full-time employees. It is notable that the private sector declined for the second successive year, although more slowly than in 2009, whereas the public sector increased for the first time since 2005.

Full-time employment, as indicated in the earlier figures, declined in both the private sector, by $2.5 \%$, and the public sector, by $0.6 \%$. Part-time employment increased in the private sector for the first time since 2005, and in the public sector for the first time since 2006. This, in turn, may well be a reflection of changing work patterns as a consequence of the economic downturn.

In terms of the community background of the monitored workforce, the established pattern of a changing balance continued to express itself. The Protestant share was [54.1\%] in 2010, representing a reduction of $0.5 \%$, and the Roman Catholic share increased by the same amount to [45.9\%]. In the private sector, the levels of Protestant and Roman Catholic employment fell by $2.2 \%$ and $0.9 \%$, respectively. As a consequence of the different rates of decline, the Roman Catholic share of the total private sector rose by [0.4 pp]. In the public sector, Protestant employment fell by $0.9 \%$, while Roman Catholic levels rose by $1.5 \%$. As a result, the share of total public sector employment in 2010 was Protestant; [53.6\%] and Roman Catholic; [46.4\%].

Yet again, the number of Roman Catholic applicants exceeded that for Protestants. But the Roman Catholic share, at [50.7\%] represented a reduction of 0.3\% on 2009. Applicants from both community backgrounds increased - with Protestant applicants increasing by $1.4 \%$ and Roman Catholic applicants by $0.4 \%$. The movement since 2001 has been brought about by an overall decline in Protestant numbers of 36,226 and an overall increase in Roman Catholic numbers of 28,869 . The change in the pattern of applicants has been touched upon in previous reports. The publication of the details of the 2011 Census of Population will be an important source of information that will add to our understanding of the influences on this change.

The number of applicants grew only marginally overall, as the increase was confined to the public sector and was offset by a large decrease in private sector applicants. The number of appointments in 2010 was the lowest number recorded in the past ten-year period. A decline was recorded for all categories; Protestant, Roman Catholic, Men and Women, with the reduction being steeper for Protestants and Women. As has been the case since 2006, the Roman Catholic share of appointees continued to exceed that of Protestants; [52\%] and [48.0\%] respectively in 2010.

The proportion of women in the monitored workforce continued to grow, albeit by a small amount, to the highest proportions observed over the last ten years. Women now represent $52.7 \%$ of the monitored workforce; $46.3 \%$ of the Private sector and $63.7 \%$ of the total public service workforce.

As I have noted more than once in the forewords to these reports, the data assembled here represent a snapshot of the workforce of Northern Ireland at a point in time. That overview is immensely valuable but deeper levels of understanding are revealed by closer analysis of the position in individual employments or at a sectoral level. The publication of these annual reports, too, is but a part of the Commission's engagement with issues of fair employment and it has been an ambition to find a way of making available to the public some greater depth of information that will enhance appreciation of the complex issues involved.

It is a source of satisfaction that at the beginning of 2012, the Commission will publish two separate analyses. One is of the pattern of applicants and appointments over the past twenty years. This relationship is one of the crucial building blocks that influence patterns of employment and that can offer insights into changes in the balance in community composition. The other will be a review of patterns of employment in the health sector, building on the data provided by the Article 55 reviews that the relevant employers in that sector have recently completed and that reflect the changes brought about by the Review of Public Administration.

It is to be hoped that the Commission will be in a position to make other such analyses and reviews available in the coming years as part of its work in this important area. Considerations of fairness in employment have an enduring relevance for Northern Ireland. In an environment that is volatile, with rapid economic and demographic change, patterns of employment will inevitably change and the balance in respect of community background will also change. It is not enough, however, to make assumptions that any such change is simply a result of demography or economics. There is a need for vigilance and for a continuing increase in awareness so that the causes of change can be fully appreciated and so that superficial analysis does not mask deeper issues.

One of the considerations that influence participation in employment is education. In the first foreword to a monitoring report that I wrote, I drew attention to the level of educational under-attainment among boys, especially Protestant boys. I have referred to it many times since and as I write this, my seventh and final foreword as Chief Commissioner, it is still an issue of some substance. Education continues to be an area of keen interest and of particular concern for the Commission. The fact that so many young people do not realise their full potential during their years of education is a great personal tragedy and has significant consequences for them, their families, their communities and for Northern

Ireland. It has very direct implications for the capacity to enter and advance in employment. It also has the ability, taken together with other factors, to play a part in the balance in employment between Protestants and Roman Catholics. The Commission takes heart that this is a subject that is receiving increasing attention from elected representatives and is committed to playing its part in addressing the policy questions and the practical consequences involved.

Employment is an aspiration for most of us. We want to live our lives to the fullest of our abilities and see outlets for our skills and interests. These aspirations and ambitions can be affected by a myriad of circumstances. What is important in any consideration of fair employment is that barriers to preparing for employment should not unequally deter; that outmoded views of suitability and appropriateness should be discarded; that workplaces should continue to grow in inclusiveness and welcome, respecting all equally; and that the laws that prohibit discrimination and unfair treatment should be observed in the spirit as in the letter. The Commission has an important role to play in this area and is fully engaged in exploring all the options open to it to make the principle and practice of equality of opportunity more fully a part of the experience of all in Northern Ireland.


## Bob Collins

Chief Commissioner
December 2011

## Executive Summary

## 1. Introduction

The 21st Annual Monitoring Report (2010) presents an aggregate summary of the 3,918 valid monitoring returns received by the Commission between 1 January and 31 December 2010. Monitoring covers an estimated 66-68\% of those in employment¹.

Although not required by statute, the Commission publishes these returns in aggregate to inform employers and other interested parties about wider patterns that, alongside information on labour availability, might better inform considerations of fair participation within specific employments.

## 2. Overall Summary

The 2010 Monitoring Report reveals the following broad trends from the aggregated data:

- For the second consecutive year, the monitored workforce contracted (by 0.9\%, $\mathrm{n}=4,546$ ), although at a lesser rate than that for 2009 (2.4\%, $\mathrm{n}=12,585)$.
- The Roman Catholic share of the monitored workforce was [45.9\%] in 2010², an increase of $[0.5 \text { percentage points }(\mathrm{pp})]^{3}$ from 2009, thus continuing the trend observed during the last ten years.
- Females have increased their share of the monitored workforce almost year on year ${ }^{4}$ between 2001 (50.4\%) and 2010 ( $\mathbf{5 2 . 7 \%}$ ), driven mainly by an increase in the number of Roman Catholic females.
- For a second consecutive year there were more Roman Catholic [50.7\%] than Protestant [49.3\%] applicants overall. However, compared with 2009, the Roman Catholic share has fallen marginally [ 0.3 pp ]. In broad terms, since 2001, changes in applicant trends have been brought about by an overall decline in Protestant numbers $(-36,226)$ and an overall increase in Roman Catholic numbers $(+28,869)$.
- The number of appointments to the monitored workforce in $2010(n=70,419)$ was the lowest number recorded in the past ten-year period. A decline in appointee levels was recorded for all major elements of the workforce, i.e. Protestants, Roman Catholics, males and females. However, the observed drop in appointees was steeper for Protestants ( $n=6,714$ ) and for females ( $n=6,890$ ). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share [52.0\%] continued to exceed that of their Protestant counterparts [48.0\%].
${ }^{1}$ Estimate derived by comparison with the Northern Ireland Labour Force Survey (July - Sep 2010, DETINI). The following are not monitored: the self-employed, those on government training schemes, school teachers and those working in private sector concerns with 10 or less employees.
${ }^{2}$ According to the latest LFS estimate (2010), the Roman Catholic share of the economically active working age population ranges between $43.3 \%$ and $46.5 \%$
${ }^{3}$ pp stands for percentage point. Hereafter in the document, a footnote explanation of the abbreviation will not be provided. Please see Appendix 1 for further details.
${ }^{4}$ In 2006, a 0.1 pp decline (to $51.7 \%$ ) in the female share of monitored employees was observed. Additionally, the female share remained static between 2006 and 2007.


## 3. The Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce

For the second consecutive year the total number of monitored employees fell. Total employment in 2010 stood at 512,726, representing a drop of 0.9\% ( $n=4,546$ ) from 2009. Previously, monitored employment had been on an upward trajectory between 2001 and its peak in 2008.

- When examined by sector, the contraction of the monitored workforce in 2010 can be attributed to the overall decrease in private sector employment $(1.6 \%, n=5,195)$. Conversely, the public sector grew slightly ( $0.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=649$ ), as the increase in parttime employment $(1,655)$ was greater than the decrease in full-time employment $(1,006)$. Of note is that the total private sector workforce had contracted for the past two years, albeit at a slower rate in 2010. In addition, 2010 saw the first total public sector workforce increase since 2005.
- When examined by types of employment, the drop in monitored employment can be attributed to decreases in full-time employees in both the private $(2.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=6,879)$ and public $(0.6 \%, n=1,006)$ sectors. The number of part-time employees in both sectors increased, by $3.2 \% ~(n=1,684)$ and $6 \% ~(n=1,655)$ respectively. This was the first overall increase in part-time employee numbers since 2006.
- During the period 2001-2010, the total number of Roman Catholic employees rose by an overall 34,615 (18.8\%), while their Protestant counterparts decreased by an overall 14,453 (5.3\%).
- Continuing the trend of recent years, the Roman Catholic share of monitored employment showed a marginal increase from [45.4\%] in 2009 to [45.9\%] in 2010 ${ }^{5}$. This increase of [ 0.5 pp ] suggests that the slowing of rate of growth [to 0.2 pp] in the Roman Catholic share in 2009 was temporary. In 2001, the Roman Catholic proportion of monitored employment was [40.3\%].
- The upward trend in the female share of employment, particularly for Roman Catholics, continued in 2010. Females account for more than half (52.7\%) of all monitored employees in Northern Ireland and have increased their share of the monitored workforce almost year on year ${ }^{5}$ from 50.4\% in 2001.
- Over the ten year period since 2001, the public sector has been the main contributor to growth in female employee numbers (by 17,453 overall), although the private sector has consistently accounted for a greater number of female employees. The number of Roman Catholic females has increased in both the private and public sectors, while Protestant female numbers have increased in the public sector only.

[^0]- Overall, the number of applicants to the monitored workforce increased in 2010 by $0.3 \%$ ( $n=2,011$ ). This growth was a result of the increase in public sector applicants (by 19,274). In comparison, the number of private sector applicants decreased by a similar amount ( $\mathrm{n}=17,263$ ).
- In 2010, for a second consecutive year there were more Roman Catholic [50.7\%] than Protestant [49.3\%] applicants overall. The Roman Catholic share has, however, fallen marginally [0.3 pp] compared with 2009. In broad terms, since 2001, changes in trends with regards to Protestant and Roman Catholic applicants have been driven by an overall decline in Protestant applicant numbers $(-36,226)$ and an overall increase in Roman Catholic applicant numbers $(+28,869)$.
- 2010 marks the lowest number of appointees $(n=70,419)$ to the monitored workforce during the period 2001-2010. A decline in appointee levels was recorded for all major elements of the workforce, i.e. Protestants, Roman Catholics, males and females. However, the observed drop in appointees was steeper for Protestants ( $n=6,714$ ) and for females ( $n=6,890$ ). As a result of the larger fall in Protestant appointees, the Roman Catholic share [52.0\%] continued to exceed that of their Protestant counterparts [48.0\%], as has been the case every year since 2006.
- The number of appointees to both the private and public sectors decreased, although the fall within the private sector $(18.5 \%, n=12,563)$ was greater than that experienced within the public sector $(6.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,091)$.


## 4. The Private Sector

The total private sector workforce now stands at 322,954 employees, a decrease of 5,195 employees (1.6\%) compared with 2009. The sector, which had grown in size every year since 2002, peaked in 2008 and has now fallen back to near its 2005 level.

- Services account for (72.5\%) of private sector employment, followed by manufacturing (21.9\%) and construction (5.1\%).
- Total Protestant employment levels fell by $2.2 \%$ during the year, while Roman Catholic employment levels dropped by 0.9\%. Overall, during the period 2001-2010, the Roman Catholic private sector count rose by $14.7 \%$ ( $n=17,265$ ), while the total number of protestant employees fell by $7.1 \%$ ( $n=12,413$ ).
- Due to the steeper decline in Protestant employment levels, the Roman Catholic share of the total private sector increased by [0.4 pp] to [45.6\%] from [45.2\%] in 2009. In 2001, Roman Catholic representation stood at [40.4\%].
- With regards to gender, females accounted for $46.3 \%$ of the private sector workforce in 2010. This represented an increase ( 0.2 pp) on 2009 levels and their highest proportionate share in the period 2001-2010. The female share of private sector employees was $45.5 \%$ in 2001 and has remained within $1 \%$ of this figure over the past ten-year period.
- The total number of private sector applicants declined by $3.5 \%$ ( $n=17,263$ ) during 2010. For the fourth year in succession, the Roman Catholic proportion of applicants [51.2\%] exceeded that of their Protestant counterparts [48.8\%].
- For the second year in succession, a greater decline in female ( $n=11,740$ ) than male ( $n=5,523$ ) applicants was observed. Prior to 2009, the last decline in applicant numbers was 2003 (females) and 2002 (males) - although the rates of increase have varied year on year in the interim for both. Male share of applicants was $55.2 \%$ in 2010 , compared with $54.4 \%$ in 2009 and $53.9 \%$ in 2001 . Additionally, relative to females, males have consistently accounted for a greater proportion of private sector applicants over the past ten-year period.
- The number of private sector appointees fell by $18.5 \%(12,563)$ during the year. For the fifth year in a row, the Roman Catholic share of appointees [52.3\%] exceeded the Protestant share [47.7\%].
- There was a greater proportion of male (52\%) than female (48\%) appointees within the private sector in 2010, a trend that has been observed since 2005.


## 5 The Public Sector

Public sector employee numbers grew, albeit marginally ( $0.3 \%$, $n=649$ ), for the first time in five years, with the total count standing at 189,772 in 2010. However, it is still below its peak of 194,077 employees in 2005.

- Total Protestant employment levels fell by $0.9 \%$ ( $n=877$ ) during the year, while the Roman Catholic count rose by $1.5 \%$ ( $n=1,267$ ). As a result, the Roman Catholic share of the total public sector increased from [45.8\%] in 2009 to [46.4\%] in 2010. In 2001, the Roman Catholic proportion stood at [40.2\%].
- In relation to the six components which comprise the public sector:
- The total Protestant employment numbers rose in Education (0.5\%), the district councils ( $1.7 \%$ ) and 'other' public authorities (12.1\%), while falling in health ( $5.3 \%$ ), the civil service ( $0.6 \%$ ), and security-related employment (4.3\%).
- The total number of Roman Catholic employees rose in district councils (3.1\%), security ( $4.2 \%$ ) and other public authorities (15.8\%), while falling in health (1.2\%) and the civil service ( $0.2 \%$ ). Total Roman Catholic employment in education changed very little (<0.01\%).
- The Roman Catholic composition of each sector is: Health [50\%]; Education [48.9\%]; 'Other' [46.9\%]; Civil Service [45.4\%]; District Councils [41.6\%]; and Security [22.1\%].
- The security-related component of the public sector continued to contract in 2010. Between 2009 and 2010, the number of employees decreased by $2.7 \%$
( $\mathrm{n}=359$ ), from 13,451 to 13,092. When compared with 2001 data, the size of the security-related sector has fallen by over a third (38.6\%), from 21,329. In terms of composition, the Roman Catholic share of the security sector has risen from [8.4\%] in 2001 to [22.1\%] in 2010.
- The increasing proportion of female employees in the public sector continued in 2010, with a small increase ( 0.3 pp ) in their share of the workforce. Females account for almost two-thirds (63.7\%) of the total public sector workforce.
- There were 161,705 applicants to the public sector in 2010, an increase of $13.5 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=19,274$ ) from 2009. In 2010, there were 74,773 [50.5\%] Protestant and 73,350 [49.5\%] Roman Catholic applicants to the public sector. This represents a [0.5 pp] increase in the Protestant share of public sector applicants compared with 2009. During the period 2001-2010, the number of Roman Catholic applicants to the public sector increased by $6.9 \%$ ( $n=4,765$ ), while the number of Protestant applicants decreased by less than $1 \%$ ( $0.1 \%$, n=92). With the exception of 2009, year-on-year the share of Protestant applicants exceeded that of Roman Catholic applicants.
- In 2010, the majority of applicants to the public sector were female (54.7\%, $n=88,451$ ), while $45.3 \%(n=73,254)$ were male. During the period 2001-2010, the number of male applicants to the public sector increased by $29.2 \%$ ( $n=16,546$ ), while the number of female applicants decreased by $9.6 \%$ ( $n=9,435$ ). Thus, during this period, the male share of public sector applicants increased by (8.6 pp) from (36.7\%) in 2001.
- There were 15,143 appointees to the public sector in 2010, a decrease of $6.7 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=1,091$ ) from 2009. In 2010, there were 6,667 [48.7\%] Protestant and 7,009 [51.3\%] Roman Catholic appointees to the public sector. The Roman Catholic share of public sector appointees increased by [2.0 pp] to [51.3\%] in 2010. During the period 2001-2010, the number of both Protestant $(34.2 \%, n=3,470)$ and Roman Catholic $(24.7 \%, n=2,296)$ public sector appointees decreased. Over this ten year period the Roman Catholic share of public sector appointees has fluctuated, with an overall increase of [3.4 pp] compared with 2001 [47.9\%].
- Considered by gender, in 2010, nearly two-thirds of appointees to the public sector were female ( $63.9 \%$, $n=9,679$ ), while $36.1 \%(n=5,464)$ were male. This represents a decline of 1.0 pp in male appointments compared with 2009. Over the period 20012010, the male share of appointments increased almost every year ${ }^{6}$ until 2008, and has declined in both 2009 (by 12.3 pp) and 2010 (by 1.0 pp). Overall, the male share of public sector appointments has increased by (5.6 pp) from the (30.5\%) share noted in 2001.
${ }^{6}$ In 2005, a 0.1 pp decline (to $32.8 \%$ ) in the male share of public sector appointees was observed


## 6. The Public Sector: Sub-Sectoral Analysis

The latter chapters of this report present an analysis for each of the key subsectors of the public sector, namely: Health, Education, District Councils, Civil Service, Security-related, and 'Other' Public Authorities. Key findings regarding each of these sectors can be found at the beginning of each relevant chapter. By way of broad overview:

- The Health Sector accounts for nearly $\mathbf{3 6 . 6 \%}$ of all public sector employment. The sector declined by $3.6 \%$ ( $n=2,599$ employees) during the year, accounted for by an overall decline in both full-time $(2.9 \%, n=1,802)$ and part-time $(7.3 \%, n=797)$ employees. The Roman Catholic share of the health sector workforce grew [by 1.1\%], for the first time in three years. The main driver for this was a smaller decrease in Roman Catholic (1.2\%, n=401) than Protestant (5.3\%, n=1,834) employment. Consequently, Protestant and Roman Catholic employees accounted for equal proportions of the health sector workforce in 2010 [50.0\%]. Females continue to dominate the health sector, accounting for four-fifths ( $81.3 \%, n=56,491$ ) of all employees. Between 2009 and 2010, male representation decreased (by 1.5 pp ), indicating a reversal of the 2003-2009 trend of increasing male representation in the health sector workforce.
- The Education Sector accounts for $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ of all public sector employees. The sector increased by $0.8 \%(n=307)$ during the year, driven by an increase in part-time employment (18.5\%, $\mathrm{n}=2,262$ ). The number of full-time employees fell by $7.7 \%$ ( $n=1,955$ ). The overall Protestant count increased by $0.5 \%$ ( $n=98$ ), while total Roman Catholic employment changed very little (<0.01\%). These changes were mainly driven by a sharp increase in the number of Protestant part-time employees (by $23.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,319$ ) compared to Roman Catholic part-time employees (by $9.3 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=563$ ). Consequently, the Protestant share of the sector increased by [0.2 pp] to [51.1\%] in 2010. In 2010, more than three-quarters $(78.7 \%, n=29,903)$ of education sector employees were female. Between 2009 and 2010, male representation in the total and part-time workforces decreased (by 0.3 pp and 2.3 pp respectively), due to a large increase in the number of female part-time employees ( $n=2,109$ ). In contrast, male representation in the full-time workforce increased by ( 0.8 pp ), due to a smaller decrease in the number of males (-229), relative to females $(-1,726)$.
- The District Councils account for $\mathbf{6 . 3} \%$ of all public sector employees. The sector increased by $2.5 \% ~(~ n=296) ~ d u r i n g ~ t h e ~ y e a r, ~ d r i v e n ~ b y ~ i n c r e a s e s ~ i n ~ b o t h ~ t h e ~ f u l l-t i m e ~$ ( $1.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=133$ ) and part-time (10.8\%, $\mathrm{n}=163$ ) workforces. Overall, the Roman Catholic share of the district council workforce has increased by [0.3 pp] from 2009 and now stands at [41.6\%], the highest recorded level since monitoring began. In 2010, the majority of district council employees were male (58.6\%, $\mathrm{n}=6,983$ ). The overall level of female representation in the sector remained unchanged from 2009 (41.4\%). However, female representation increased in the fulltime workforce (by 0.2 pp ) and decreased in the part-time workforce ( 2.5 pp ).
- The Civil Service accounts for $\mathbf{1 8 . 5 \%}$ of the total public sector workforce. Total civil service employment fell by $0.2 \%(n=79)$ during the year, driven by a fall in part-time employment ( $39.0 \% \mathrm{n}=258$ ). This was partially offset by a modest rise of $0.5 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=179$ ) in the full-time employment count. Overall, the Roman Catholic share of the civil service workforce increased by [0.1 pp] in 2010 and now stands at [45.4\%]. For the third year in succession, male (50.6\%) and female (49.4\%) employees have accounted for similar proportions of the total civil service workforce. However, while males and females account for similar proportions of the full-time workforce ( $51.0 \%$ vs. $49.0 \%$ ), females account for the majority of part-time employees ( $88.1 \%$ ). Between 2009 and 2010, male representation decreased in both the full-time workforce (by 0.1 pp ) and part-time workforce (by 11.4 pp ). These changes were driven by male employees' smaller proportional increase in full-time employment (by $0.5 \%$ ) and larger proportional decrease in part-time employment (by $68.8 \%$ ), when compared to female employees.
- The Security-related Sector accounts for $6.9 \%$ of all public sector employees. Total security-related employment declined by $2.7 \%(n=359)$ during the year, driven by a decrease in both full-time ( $2.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=284$ ) and part-time ( $9.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=75$ ) employment. The Roman Catholic share of the total security-related workforce increased by [1.4 pp] to [22.1\%] in 2010, its highest level since monitoring began. This increase resulted from a rise in Roman Catholic full-time employees (4.5\%, $n=117$ ), as, in every other part of the workforce, the numbers of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees decreased. In 2010, males accounted for more than two-thirds ( $68 \%, \mathrm{n}=8,899$ ) of security-related employees, and the majority of both the full-time ( $68.5 \%$ ) and part-time ( $58.8 \%$ ) workforce. Between 2009 and 2010, overall female representation in the sector increased (by 1.2 pp ), mainly driven by an increase in numbers in full-time employment ( $n=72$ ).
- 'Other' Public Authorities include bodies such as the Consumer Council, Translink, Labour Relations Agency etc. The sector accounted for $12.7 \%$ of the total public sector workforce. Total 'other' public employment increased by $14.8 \%$ ( $n=3,105$ ) during the year. Overall, there was a [0.8 pp] rise in the Roman Catholic share of the total workforce, driven by a greater rise in the number of Roman Catholic employees $(15.8 \%, n=1,459)$ relative to Protestant employees $(12.1 \%, n=1,307)$. Furthermore, the increases in the numbers of Roman Catholic employees in both the full-time and part-time workforces were greater than those for Protestant employees. In 2010, males accounted for nearly two-thirds of 'other' public sector employees ( $65.1 \%, n=15,663$ ). Male representation in the part-time workforce ( $70.7 \%$ ) was greater than in the full-time workforce (64.6\%).
Chart 1: Profile of the Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce, 2010

The 'Total' is always greater than the sum of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees as it includes the Non-determined.
Gender data includes Protestant, Roman Catholic and the Non-determined.
Notes:


### 1.1. Employer Monitoring Duties and the Annual Monitoring Report

The Fair Employment and Treatment (Northern Ireland) Order 1998 (hereafter 'FETO') requires registered and specified employers, amongst other duties ${ }^{7}$, to:

■ monitor the composition of their workforce and of those applying, appointed, leaving or being promoted (Article 52);
■ submit an annual monitoring return to the Commission (Article 52); and
■ review their workforce composition and employment practices at least once every three years, "for the purposes of determining whether members of each community are enjoying... fair participation" and the "affirmative action (if any) which would be reasonable and appropriate". (Article 55)

With regard to the six duties, the 'Unified Guide to Promoting Equal Opportunities In Employment' (hereafter the "Unified Guide") notes that:
"The [employer] duties are primarily concerned with promoting and securing equality of opportunity and fair participation in employment for members of the Protestant community in Northern Ireland and members of the Roman Catholic community in Northern Ireland."

Employer monitoring refers to collecting information and establishing workforce composition. The collected data is ultimately used to inform the employer's own periodic reviews and consideration of fair participation in their workforce. The information is also used to compile and submit an annual monitoring return to the Commission.

The following paragraphs set out some relevant details to assist the reader in understanding the scope of these duties - including the specific focus of annual monitoring returns and how they differ from the separate considerations of fair participation.

### 1.2. Employee Monitoring

The Fair Employment code of practice sets out the rationale for monitoring as follows:
"Monitoring - the provision and analysis of information on community background is not merely a statistical exercise, nor is it an end in itself. It is the beginning of a process, the starting point for further action. It means establishing the community background of your existing workforce (that is, how many belong to the Protestant community and how many belong to the Roman Catholic community)..."

[^1]Although the specific scope and coverage of monitoring has changed over time (see Appendix 2), all registered and specified employers are currently required to monitor:

■ employees and apprentices;
■ job applicants; and,

- appointees.

In addition, registered employers with more than 250 employees, and all specified public authorities, are required to monitor:

- promotees; and,
- leavers.

The following are not monitored: those working in private concerns with 10 or less employees; clergymen or ministers of religion; school teachers; the self-employed; the non-employed, and those on government training schemes.

### 1.3. The Annual Monitoring Return

All registered employers (including public authority employers) are required to not only monitor their workforce but are also under a duty to prepare and provide a return each year to the Commission containing prescribed information. A failure to submit a return is a criminal offence, as is a failure to submit a return within the prescribed period.

In practice, the requirement to submit prescribed information means that employers submit summary data in key areas rather than all information, for example, relating to specific recruitment competitions. The raw data is however available to employers for use in their own triennial (Article 55) reviews.

### 1.4 Triennial (Article 55) Reviews and the Consideration of Fair Participation

The primary purpose of an Article 55 review is to enable the employer to determine whether they are providing, or are likely to continue to provide, fair participation ${ }^{8}$ in employment to members of the Protestant and Roman Catholic communities.

Unlike the annual monitoring returns there is no requirement on employers to submit their Article 55 review report to the Commission by a specific date. The Equality Commission has a duty to ensure that employers comply with their legal obligation to carry out Article 55 reviews and therefore requests employers to periodically submit their reviews to the Commission.

[^2]In summary, Employee Monitoring is thus about recording the composition of employment (stocks) or of applicants, appointees, promotees or leavers (flows).

Annual Monitoring Returns, present an annual summary of the collated data in a prescribed format. It is these returns that form the basis for this report.

Triennial (Article 55) reviews are about considering 'fair participation' and any affirmative action that might be required. An employer will use the raw information collected by rolling fair employment monitoring as the basis for their triennial reviews.

### 1.5. The Annual Monitoring Report (Summary of Monitoring Returns)

Although not required by statute, the annual monitoring returns received by the Commission are used to compile the Monitoring Report. Thus, the Report presents an aggregate summary of the composition of employment in registered employers or specified public bodies.

Given the legislative focus of monitoring returns on recording composition, this report by necessity mirrors that approach - describing aggregate composition in employment stocks and flows.

It is important to reinforce that the purpose of the report is to help inform employers (or interested parties) about wider compositional patterns. Alongside other information on local labour availability, the aggregate figures may suggest a dynamic or pattern that might better inform their own considerations of fair participation, or which may merit further exploration within specific employments.

For monitored employers, the report presents information on the community composition of:

■ total employees;
■ full-time and part-time employees;
■ applicants and appointees within the monitored workforce;

- promotees and leavers within the public sector; and within,

■ those private sector concerns with 251+ employees.

The Monitoring Report presents a summary picture with regard to the composition of employment in registered concerns. It does not seek to consider 'fair participation' which instead is the focus of employer's own triennial reviews and related work by the Commission. Rather, its purpose is to help inform employers and other interested parties about wider patterns that, alongside information on labour availability, may suggest a dynamic or pattern that might better inform a consideration of fair participation within specific employments.

### 1.6. The 2010 (21st) Annual Monitoring Report

This, the 21st Annual Monitoring Report, presents an aggregated summary of the 3,918 valid monitoring returns received during 2010 from 122 public authorities and 3,796 private sector concerns. These returns were received ${ }^{9}$ between 1st January and 31st December 2010. Monitoring covers an estimated $66 \%-68 \%$ of those in employment ${ }^{10}$

Readers should note that there are a number of key points which may impact on the interpretation of data in the 2010 report. Details are contained in "Appendix 1: Definitions, Technical Considerations and Wider Concepts in Fair Employment".

We would however draw the reader's attention to one particular point. Any comparisons with 2008 data relating to applicants, appointees, promotees and leavers within the monitored Northern Ireland workforce, the public sector, and the health and education subsectors, should be interpreted with some caution. This is because twelve public sector bodies in the Health and Education sectors were reconstituted as part of the Review of Public Administration. The Review impacted on 2008 figures as, under the Monitoring Regulations, a newly registered employer is not required to submit 'flow' data (on applicants etc) during their first year of operations. There was thus a sharp fall in the 2008 figures, with a commensurate rise again in the 2009 figures as the reconstituted public authorities 'resumed' reporting.

### 1.7. Additional Tables

A number of additional tables have been produced including tables on the composition of stocks and flows by Standard Occupational Classification (SOC) and sex. The additional tables, which are available for each chapter of the report, can be accessed on the Commission's website at www.equalityni.org/research.

### 1.8. Additional Information

Definitions of terms used in the report (e.g. 'monitored workforce', 'applicants' etc), and technical considerations relevant to the report are contained in Appendix 1. Included as part of the technical considerations are a list of the nine SOC categories, details on the presentation of the Workforce Composition using square [ ] and round ( ) brackets, and factors associated with the Review of Public Administration.

[^3]
## 2. The Northern Ireland Workforce

## All Employees

- In 2010, the total monitored workforce comprised 512,726 employees, a decrease of $0.9 \% ~(n=4,546)$ from 2009. The composition of the workforce was 257,965 [54.1\%] Protestant and 218,705 [45.9\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, total Protestant monitored employment decreased by $1.7 \%$ ( $n=4,574$ ), while total Roman Catholic employment increased by less than $0.01 \%(n=48)$. Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the monitored workforce increased [0.5 pp].
- In 2010, the majority of monitored employees were female (52.7\%, $\mathrm{n}=270,370$ ).


## Full-time Workforce

- In 2010, there was 429,719 full-time employees in the monitored workforce, a decrease of $1.8 \%(n=7,885)$ compared to 2009. The composition of the full-time workforce was 218,801 [54.6\%] Protestant and 181,642 [45.4\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, full-time Protestant employment decreased by 2.5\% ( $n=5,710$ ), while full-time Roman Catholic employment decreased by $0.7 \%$ ( $n=1,249$ ). Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the full-time monitored workforce increased [0.5 pp].
- In 2010, males (50.6\%) and females (49.4\%) comprised similar proportions of the full-time monitored workforce.


## Part-time Workforce

- In 2010, there were 83,007 part-time employees in the monitored workforce, an increase of $4.2 \%(n=3,339)$ compared to 2009. The composition of the part-time workforce was 39,164 [51.4\%] Protestant and 37,063 [48.6\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, part-time Protestant employment increased by $3.0 \%$ ( $n=1,136$ ), while part-time Roman Catholic employment increased by $3.6 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=1,297$ ). Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the part-time monitored workforce increased [0.1 pp].
- In 2010, more than two-thirds of part-time monitored employees were female (70.2\%, $n=58,302$ ).


## Applicants, Appointees, Promotees and Leavers

In 2010, there were:

- 636,577 monitored applicants. The composition was 269,175 [49.3\%]

Protestant and 277,112 [50.7\%] Roman Catholic.

- 70,419 monitored appointees. The composition was 29,430 [48.0\%] Protestant and 31,928 [52.0\%] Roman Catholic.
- 7,319 promotees. The composition was 3,503 [51.9\%] Protestant and 3,249 [48.1\%] Roman Catholic.
- 65,720 leavers. The composition was 29,688 [51.8\%] Protestant and 27,591 [48.2\%] Roman Catholic.


### 2.1. The Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce: Introduction

The purpose of this chapter is to help inform employers and other interested parties about wider compositional trends within the monitored Northern Ireland workforce that, alongside information on labour availability, may better inform their own considerations of fair participation in specific employment(s).

The monitored workforce is comprised of full-time and part-time employees in the specified public authories ("the public sector") and registered private sector concerns ("the private sector"). Thus, the monitored workforce contains data from the combined public and private sectors in Northern Ireland. In 2010, a total of 122 public bodies and 3,796 private concerns submitted valid monitoring returns to the Commission.

In 2010, there were 512,726 employees in the monitored workforce, of which the private sector comprised $63 \%$, $(n=322,954)$, while the public sector comprised $37 \%$ ( $n=189,772$ ). For the second consecutive year, the monitored workforce contracted (by $0.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=4,546$ ), although at a lesser rate than that for 2009 ( $2.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=12,585$ ). When examined by sector, the contraction of the monitored workforce can be attributed to the private sector $(-5,195)$, as total public sector employment increased (+649) between 2009 and 2010. However, when examined by types of employment, the drop can be attributed to decreases in fulltime employees in both the private $(n=6,879)$ and public $(n=1,006)$ sectors, as the number of part-time employees in both sectors increased.

Between 2009 and 2010, Roman Catholic representation in the monitored workforce increased by [0.5 pp] from [45.4\%] in 2009. This increase can be mainly attributed to a rise in the number of female Roman Catholic employees ( $n=588$ ), coupled with a decrease in both male Protestant $(n=3,231)$ and female Protestant $(n=1,343)$ employees. Additionally, female representation increased by ( 0.3 pp ) from (52.4\%) in 2009.

### 2.2. The Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce: All Employees

There were 512,726 employees in the monitored workforce in 2010 (Table 2.1), a decrease of $0.9 \%(n=4,546)$ from 2009.

### 2.2.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 257,965 [54.1\%] Protestant and 218,705 [45.9\%] Roman Catholic employees in the total monitored workforce (Table 2.1). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Protestant employees ( $1.7 \%, n=4,574$ ), although proportionally small, was greater than the increase in Roman Catholic employees ( $<0.01 \%, \mathrm{n}=48$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the monitored workforce increased slightly by [ 0.5 pp ] from [45.4\%] in 2009.

Table 2.1: Monitored Northern Ireland (All) Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 125,343 | $51.7 \%$ | 98,306 | $40.6 \%$ | 18,707 | $7.7 \%$ | 242,356 | $47.3 \%$ |
|  |  | $[26.3 \%]$ |  | $[20.6 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 132,622 | $49.1 \%$ | 120,399 | $44.5 \%$ | 17,349 | $6.4 \%$ | 270,370 | $52.7 \%$ |
|  |  | $[27.8 \%]$ |  | $[25.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 257,965 | $50.3 \%$ | 218,705 | $42.7 \%$ | 36,056 | $7.0 \%$ | 512,726 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[54.1 \%]$ |  | $[45.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of Roman Catholic employees increased by $18.8 \%$ ( $n=34,615$ ), whilst the number of Protestant employees decreased by $5.3 \%$ ( $n=14,453$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the monitored workforce increased by [5.6 pp] from [40.3\%] in 2001. In this period there was a consistent year-on-year decrease in the difference between the proportions of Protestants and Roman Catholics employed in the monitored workforce (Chart 2.1). Overall, the difference between the two groups decreased by [11.2 pp] ( $n=49,068$ ) from [19.3 pp] $(n=88,328)$ in 2001.

Chart 2.1: Monitored Northern Ireland (All) Employees by Community Background, 2001-2010


### 2.2.2 Sex

In 2010, the majority of monitored employees were female (52.7\%, $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{2 7 0 , 3 7 0}$ ), while $\mathbf{4 7 . 3 \%}(\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{2 4 2 , 3 5 6}$ ) were male (Table 2.1) Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of male monitored employees ( $1.6 \%, \mathrm{n}=3,891$ ), was greater than that for their female counterparts $(0.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=655)$, although, proportionally, both were small. Thus, the female share of the monitored workforce increased by ( 0.3 pp ) from $52.4 \%$ in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the overall increase in the number of female monitored employees ( $11.8 \%, \mathrm{n}=28,484$ ) was six times greater than that for their male counterparts ( $2.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=4,725$ ). Thus, the female share of the monitored workforce increased by ( 2.3 pp ) from $50.4 \%$ in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of males and females employed in the monitored workforce remained small (Mean diff=16,798, 3.3pp), but showed an overall increase of (4.6 pp) ( $\mathrm{n}=23,759$ ) from ( 0.8 pp ) $(\mathrm{n}=4,255)$ in 2001.

### 2.2.3. Community Background and Sex

## In 2010, female Protestant employees comprised the greatest proportion of the total

 monitored workforce [27.8\%, $\mathbf{n = 1 3 2 , 6 2 2}$ ] (Table 2.1). Male Protestant employees comprised [26.3\%] ( $n=125,343$ ) of the workforce, while female Roman Catholic employees comprised [25.3\%] ( $n=120,399$ ) and male Roman Catholic employees comprised [20.6\%] ( $n=98,306$ ). Between 2009 and 2010, female Roman Catholic employees were the only group to show an increase in employment $(0.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=588)$ while male Roman Catholic employees showed the smallest decrease ( $0.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=540$ ). Additionally, male Protestant employees decreased ( $2.5 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=3,231$ ) by more than female Protestant employees ( $1.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,343$ ). Consequently, the male Roman Catholic share of the monitored workforce increased by [0.1 pp] from [20.5\%] in 2009, while the female Roman Catholic share increase by [0.4 pp] from [24.9\%].During the period 2001-2010, male Protestant employees were the only group to show an overall decrease in employment ( $10.7 \%$, $n=14,969$ ), while female Roman Catholic employees evidenced the greatest increase ( $22.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=21,680$ ). Additionally, the increase in male Roman Catholic employees $(15.2 \%, n=12,935)$ was greater than that for female Protestant employees ( $0.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=516$ ). Consequently, the male Roman Catholic share of the monitored workforce increased by [1.9 pp] from [18.7\%] in 2001, while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [3.7 pp] from [21.6\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male Protestants and Roman Catholics employed in the monitored workforce decreased by [6.3 pp, n=27,904] from [12.0 pp, n=54,941] in 2001 (Table 2.2). The difference between the proportions of female Protestants and Roman Catholics decreased by [4.8 pp] (n=21,164) from [7.3pp] (n=33,387) in 2001.

Table 2.2: Trends in Monitored Northern Ireland (All) Employment by Community Background and Sex, 2001-2010 ${ }^{11}$

|  | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Male Protestant] | $30.7 \%$ | $30.3 \%$ | $29.6 \%$ | $29.1 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ | $28.1 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ | $26.9 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ |
| [Male R. Catholic ] | $18.7 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ | $20.6 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 12.0 | 11.5 | 10.6 | 10.0 | 8.9 | 8.2 | 7.3 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 5.7 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 54,941 | 52,745 | 48,966 | 46,652 | 43,368 | 39,947 | 35,672 | 30,567 | 29,728 | 27,037 |
| [Female Protestant] | $28.9 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ | $28.7 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ | $28.2 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ |
| [Female R. Catholic] | $21.6 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ | $23.2 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | $24.3 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 7.3 | 6.7 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.5 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 33,387 | 30,717 | 28,060 | 25,759 | 24,503 | 21,160 | 17,420 | 16,222 | 14,154 | 12,223 |

[^4]
### 2.2.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant $(15.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=39,995)$ and Roman Catholic ( $15.1 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=32,936$ ) monitored workers were employed in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) (Table 2.3). The smallest proportions of both Protestant ( $7.1 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=18,300$ ) and Roman Catholic ( $5.7 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=12,505$ ) workers were employed in Skilled Trade Occupations (SOC 5). The sectoral distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees was very similar cross the SOC categories, and no notable differences ${ }^{12}$ in distribution are evident.

Table 2.3: Monitored Northern Ireland (All) Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2010

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 20,956 | 8.1 | 55.8 | 16,632 | 7.6 | 44.2 | 37,588 | 7.9 |
| SOC 2 | 18,989 | 7.4 | 50.9 | 18,286 | 8.4 | 49.1 | 37,275 | 7.8 |
| SOC 3 | 38,891 | 15.1 | 55.2 | 31,523 | 14.4 | 44.8 | 70,414 | 14.8 |
| SOC 4 | 39,995 | 15.5 | 54.8 | 32,936 | 15.1 | 45.2 | 72,931 | 15.3 |
| SOC 5 | 18,300 | 7.1 | 59.4 | 12,505 | 5.7 | 40.6 | 30,805 | 6.5 |
| SOC 6 | 23,878 | 9.3 | 52.4 | 21,710 | 9.9 | 47.6 | 45,588 | 9.6 |
| SOC 7 | 36,402 | 14.1 | 53.0 | 32,316 | 14.8 | 47.0 | 68,718 | 14.4 |
| SOC 8 | 23,445 | 9.1 | 51.6 | 22,018 | 10.1 | 48.4 | 45,463 | 9.5 |
| SOC 9 | 37,109 | 14.4 | 54.7 | 30,779 | 14.1 | 45.3 | 67,888 | 14.2 |
| Total | 257,965 | 100.0 | 54.1 | 218,705 | 100.0 | 45.9 | 476,670 | 100.0 |

Between 2009 and 2010, Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) showed the greatest proportional change in the number of both Protestant ( $8.1 \%$ decrease, $n=1,617$ ) and Roman Catholic ( $8.7 \%$ decrease, $n=1,199$ ) employees. In Process, Plant and Machine Operative Occupations (SOC 8), the number of Roman Catholic employees increased ( $4.8 \%, n=1,017$ ), whilst the number of Protestant employees decreased ( $3.0 \%, n=724$ ). Thus, SOC 8 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with the Roman Catholic share increasing by [1.9 pp] from [46.5\%] in 2009.

### 2.2.5 Sectoral Components of the Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce

The monitored Northern Ireland is comprised of the private and public sectors, and their subcomponents:

- The Public Sector is composed of 122 public bodies.
- The Private Sector is composed of 3,776 concerns with more than 10 employees.

[^5]Table 2.4: Changes in Sectoral Composition of the Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce 2009-2010

| Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce | Protestant 2010 |  |  | Roman Catholic 2010 |  |  | P \% <br> change | RC \% <br> change | All \% change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | [\%] | N | \% | [\%] | $\begin{aligned} & 2009- \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2009- \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2009- \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ |
| Private Sector (All) | 161,441 | 62.6 | 54.4 | 135,067 | 61.8 | 45.6 | -2.2 | -0.9 | -1.6 |
| Full-time | 136,722 | 53.0 | 55.2 | 110,899 | 50.7 | 44.8 | -2.9 | -1.7 | -2.5 |
| Part-time | 24,719 | 9.6 | 50.6 | 24,168 | 11.1 | 49.4 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 3.2 |
| Public Sector (AII) | 96,524 | 37.4 | 53.6 | 83,638 | 38.2 | 46.4 | -0.9 | 1.5 | 0.3 |
| Full-time | 82,079 | 31.8 | 53.7 | 70,743 | 32.3 | 46.3 | -2.0 | 1.0 | -0.6 |
| Part-time | 14,445 | 5.6 | 52.8 | 12,895 | 5.9 | 47.2 | 5.9 | 4.8 | 6.0 |
| Total Employees | 257,965 | 100 | 54.1 | 218,705 | 100 | 45.9 | -1.7 | 0.0 | -0.9 |

### 2.2.5.1. Distribution of Employees in the Monitored Northern Ireland Sectors

In 2010, the private sector accounted for the majority of both Protestant (62.6\%, $\mathrm{n}=161,441$ ) and Roman Catholic $(61.8 \%, \mathrm{n}=135,067)$ monitored employees (Table 2.4). The public sector accounted for less than two-fifths of both Protestant ( $37.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=96,524$ ) and Roman Catholic ( $38.2 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=83,638$ ) employees. When the monitored workforce is examined by type of employment, it is evident that the majority of both Protestant (53.0\%, $n=136,722$ ) and Roman Catholic $(50.7 \%$, $n=110,899)$ workers were employed full-time in the private sector, while the smallest proportion of both Protestants $(5.6 \%, n=14,445)$ and Roman Catholic $(5.9 \%, n=12,895)$ workers were employed part-time in the public sector.

Between 2009 and 2010, private sector employment contracted, while public sector employment expanded ( $1.6 \%$ vs. $0.3 \%$ ) (Table 2.4). Within the private sector, Protestant employment contracted (2.2\%) by a greater amount that Roman Catholic employment ( $0.9 \%$ ). Additionally, within the public sector, Roman Catholic employment expanded (1.5\%) by a greater amount than Protestant employment contracted ( $0.9 \%$ ). When the changes in the monitored workforce are examined by type of employment, it is apparent that private full-time employment evidenced the greatest decrease for both Protestant ( $2.9 \%$ ) and Roman Catholic ( $1.7 \%$ ) employees, while public part-time employment evidenced the greatest increase (5.9\% P., 4.8\% R.C.).

### 2.2.5.2 Community Composition of the Monitored Northern Ireland Sectors

In 2010, the difference in community composition in the private sector [ 8.8 pp ] was slightly greater than the difference in the public sector [7.2 pp]. When the monitored workforce is examined by type of employment, the greatest difference between Protestant and Roman Catholic employees [10.4 pp] occurred in private full-time employment [55.2\% vs. 44.8\%], while private part-time employment evidenced the smallest difference [ 1.2 pp ] between the two groups [50.6\% vs. 49.4\%] (Table 2.4).

### 2.3 The Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce: Full-time Employees

There were 429,719 full-time employees in the monitored workforce in 2010 (Table 2.5), a decrease of $1.8 \%(n=7,885)$ from 2009.

### 2.3.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 218,801 [54.6\%] Protestant and 181,642 [45.4\%] Roman Catholic employees in the full-time monitored workforce (Table 2.5). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Protestant monitored full-time employees ( $2.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=5,710$ ) was greater than that for their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $0.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,249$ ), although proportionally, both were small. Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the monitored workforce increased by [0.5 pp] from [44.9\%] in 2009.

Table 2.5: Monitored Northern Ireland Full-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 113,941 | $52.4 \%$ | 87,270 | $40.1 \%$ | 16,440 | $7.6 \%$ | 217,651 | $50.6 \%$ |
|  |  | $[28.5 \%]$ |  | $[21.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 104,860 | $49.4 \%$ | 94,372 | $44.5 \%$ | 12,836 | $6.1 \%$ | 212,068 | $49.4 \%$ |
|  |  | $[26.2 \%]$ |  | $[23.6 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 218,801 | $50.9 \%$ | 181,642 | $42.3 \%$ | 29,276 | $6.8 \%$ | 429,719 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[54.6 \%]$ |  | $[45.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the overall increase in the number of Roman Catholic fulltime monitored employees $(19.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=28,939)$ was greater than the decrease in the number of their Protestant counterparts ( $6.3 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=14,788$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time monitored workforce increased by [5.9 pp] from [39.5\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of Protestants and Roman Catholics employed full-time in the monitored workforce decreased year-on-year (Table 2.6). Overall, the difference between the two groups decreased by [11.8 pp] ( $n=43,727$ ), from [21.0 pp] ( $n=80,886$ ) in 2001.

### 2.3.2. Sex

In 2010, there were similar proportions of male (50.6\%, $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{2 1 7 , 6 5 1 )}$ and female (49.4\%, $\mathrm{n}=212,068$ ) employees in the monitored full-time Northern Ireland workforce (Table 2.5). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of male monitored employees ( $2.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=4,967$ ) was greater than that for their female counterparts ( $1.4 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=2,918$ ), although proportionally both were small. Thus, the female share of the monitored workforce increased slightly by (0.3 pp) from 49.1\% in 2009.

Table 2.6: Trends in Monitored Northern Ireland Full-time Employment by Community Background and by Sex, 2001-2010 ${ }^{13}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Protestant] | $60.5 \%$ | $59.9 \%$ | $59.2 \%$ | $58.6 \%$ | $57.7 \%$ | $56.9 \%$ | $56.1 \%$ | $55.2 \%$ | $55.1 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ |
| [R. Catholic] | $39.5 \%$ | $40.1 \%$ | $40.8 \%$ | $41.4 \%$ | $42.3 \%$ | $43.1 \%$ | $43.9 \%$ | $44.8 \%$ | $44.9 \%$ | $45.4 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 21.0 | 19.8 | 18.4 | 17.2 | 15.4 | 13.8 | 12.2 | 10.4 | 10.2 | 9.2 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 80,886 | 76,586 | 70,993 | 67,726 | 62,645 | 56,154 | 49,976 | 43,408 | 41,620 | 37,159 |
| Male | $53.9 \%$ | $53.5 \%$ | $52.8 \%$ | $52.6 \%$ | $52.5 \%$ | $52.5 \%$ | $52.0 \%$ | $51.7 \%$ | $50.9 \%$ | $50.6 \%$ |
| Female | $46.1 \%$ | $46.5 \%$ | $47.2 \%$ | $47.4 \%$ | $47.5 \%$ | $47.5 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ | $48.3 \%$ | $49.1 \%$ | $49.4 \%$ |
| (F-M) pp diff | -7.8 | -7.0 | -5.6 | -5.2 | -5.0 | -5.0 | -4.0 | -3.4 | -1.8 | -1.2 |
| (F-M) no. diff | $-31,765$ | $-28,176$ | $-22,999$ | $-21,723$ | $-21,074$ | $-21,862$ | $-18,111$ | $-15,350$ | $-7,632$ | $-5,583$ |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of male full-time employees in the monitored workforce decreased by $0.4 \%$ ( $n=786$ ), whilst the number of female full-time employees increased by $13.6 \%(25,396)$. Thus, the female share of the full-time monitored workforce increased by ( 3.3 pp ) from $46.1 \%$ in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of males and females employed full-time in the monitored workforce decreased overall by $(6.6 \mathrm{pp})(\mathrm{n}=26,182)$, from $(7.8 \mathrm{pp})(\mathrm{n}=31,765)$ in 2001 (Table 2.6).

### 2.3.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2010, the majority of monitored Northern Ireland full-time employees were either male Protestants [28.5\%, $\mathrm{n}=113,941$ ] or female Protestants [26.2\%, $\mathrm{n}=104,860$ ] (Table 2.5). In contrast to their Protestant counterparts, female Roman Catholic representation in the monitored full-time workforce [23.6\%, $\mathrm{n}=94,372$ ] was greater than that of male Roman Catholics [21.8\%, $n=87,270$ ]. Between 2009 and 2010, the decreases in the numbers of male Protestant ( $3.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=3,489$ ) and female Protestant ( $2.1 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=2,221$ ) full-time employees were greater than those for male Roman Catholic ( $1.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,045$ ) and female Roman Catholic employees ( $0.2 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=204$ ). Thus, the male Roman Catholic share of the monitored workforce increased by [0.1 pp] from [21.7\%] in 2009, while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [0.4 pp] from [23.2\%].

During the period 2001-2010, male Protestants were the only group to show an overall decrease in full-time employment ( $12.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=16,646$ ), while female Roman Catholic employees evidenced the greatest increase (25.0\%, n=18,895). Male Roman Catholic fulltime employment increased by $13.0 \%(n=10,044)$ over this period, while female Protestant employment increased by $1.8 \%(n=1,858)$. Consequently, the male Roman Catholic share of the monitored workforce increased by [1.8 pp] from [20.0\%] in 2001, while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [4.1 pp] from [19.5\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male Protestants and male Roman Catholics employed full-time in the publics sector decreased year on year, by a total of [7.1 pp] ( $\mathrm{n}=26,690$ ) from $[13.8 \mathrm{pp}](\mathrm{n}=53,361)$ in 2001. The difference between the proportions of female Protestants and female Roman Catholics also decreased year-on-year, by a total of [4.6 pp] ( $n=17,037$ ) from [7.2 pp] ( $n=27,525$ ) in 2001 (Table 2.7).

[^6]Table 2.7: Trends in Monitored Northern Ireland Full-time Employment by Community Background and Sex, 2001-2010 ${ }^{14}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Protestant Male] | $33.8 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $32.5 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ | $31.2 \%$ | $30.8 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $29.2 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ | $28.5 \%$ |
| [R. Catholic Male] | $20.0 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 13.8 | 13.2 | 12.2 | 11.6 | 10.3 | 9.5 | 8.4 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 6.7 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 53,361 | 50,920 | 47,307 | 45,256 | 41,716 | 38,236 | 34,517 | 29,815 | 29,115 | 26,671 |
| Protestant Female | $26.7 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ | $26.5 \%$ | $26.1 \%$ | $26.1 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ | $26.2 \%$ |
| R. Catholic Female | $19.5 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $20.6 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ | $23.2 \%$ | $23.6 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 7.2 | 6.7 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 2.6 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 27,525 | 25,666 | 23,686 | 22,470 | 20,929 | 17,918 | 15,459 | 13,593 | 12,505 | 10,488 |

### 2.3.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant (17.2\%, $\mathrm{n}=37,646$ ) and Roman Catholic ( $17.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=31,135$ ) monitored full-time workers were employed in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) (Table 2.8). The smallest proportion of Protestant workers were employed in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) $(7.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=17,200)$, while the smallest proportion of Roman Catholic workers were employed in Skilled Trade Occupations (SOC 5) (6.6\%, n=12,043). The sectoral distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees was very similar cross the SOC categories, and no notable differences ${ }^{15}$ in distribution are evident.

Table 2.8: Monitored Northern Ireland Full-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2010

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |  |
| SOC 1 | 20,634 | 9.4 | 55.8 | 16,359 | 9.0 | 44.2 | 36,993 | 9.2 |  |
| SOC 2 | 17,200 | 7.9 | 50.9 | 16,621 | 9.2 | 49.1 | 33,821 | 8.4 |  |
| SOC 3 | 34,523 | 15.8 | 55.4 | 27,842 | 15.3 | 44.6 | 62,365 | 15.6 |  |
| SOC 4 | 37,646 | 17.2 | 54.7 | 31,135 | 17.1 | 45.3 | 68,781 | 17.2 |  |
| SOC 5 | 17,873 | 8.2 | 59.7 | 12,043 | 6.6 | 40.3 | 29,916 | 7.5 |  |
| SOC 6 | 18,286 | 8.4 | 52.4 | 16,604 | 9.1 | 47.6 | 34,890 | 8.7 |  |
| SOC 7 | 24,475 | 11.2 | 54.9 | 20,113 | 11.1 | 45.1 | 44,588 | 11.1 |  |
| SOC 8 | 22,854 | 10.4 | 51.6 | 21,462 | 11.8 | 48.4 | 44,316 | 11.1 |  |
| SOC 9 | 25,310 | 11.6 | 56.5 | 19,463 | 10.7 | 43.5 | 44,773 | 11.2 |  |
| Total | 218,801 | 100.0 | 54.6 | 181,642 | 100.0 | 45.4 | 400,443 | 100.0 |  |

Between 2009 and 2010, SOC 5 showed the greatest proportional change in the number of both Protestant ( $8.4 \%$ decrease, $n=1,634$ ) and Roman Catholic ( $9.0 \%$ decrease, $\mathrm{n}=1,194$ ) employees. In Process, Plant and Machine Operative Occupations (SOC 8), the number of Roman Catholic employees increased ( $4.6 \%, n=950$ ), whilst the number of Protestant employees decreased ( $3.2 \%$, $n=755$ ). Thus, SOC 8 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with the Roman Catholic share increasing by [1.9 pp] from [46.5\%] in 2009.

[^7]
### 2.4 The Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce: Part-time Employees

There were 83,007 part-time employees in the monitored workforce in 2010 (Table 2.9), an increase of 4.2\% ( $n=3,339$ ) from 2009.

### 2.4.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 39,164 [51.4\%] Protestant and 37,063 [48.6\%] Roman Catholic employees in the part-time monitored workforce (Table 2.9). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Roman Catholic part-time employees in the monitored workforce (3.6\%, $n=1,297$ ) was slightly greater than that for their Protestant counterparts ( $3.0 \% n=1,136$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the part-time monitored workforce increased by [0.1 pp] from [48.5\%] in 2009.

Table 2.9: Monitored Northern Ireland Part-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 11,402 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 46.2 \% \\ {[15.0 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 11,036 | $\begin{gathered} 44.7 \% \\ {[14.5 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 2,267 | 9.2\% | 24,705 | 29.8\% |
| Female | 27,762 | $\begin{gathered} 47.6 \% \\ {[36.4 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 26,027 | $\begin{aligned} & 44.6 \% \\ & {[34.1 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 4,513 | 7.7\% | 58,302 | 70.2\% |
| TOTAL | 39,164 | $\begin{aligned} & 47.2 \% \\ & {[51.4 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 37,063 | $\begin{aligned} & 44.7 \% \\ & {[48.6 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 6,780 | 8.2\% | 83,007 | 100.0\% |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of Roman Catholic part-time employees increased ( $18.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=5,676$ ) by a much greater amount than their Protestant counterparts ( $0.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=335$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the monitored part-time workforce increased by [3.9 pp] from [44.7\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of Protestants and Roman Catholics employed part-time in the monitored workforce showed an overall decrease of [7.8 pp] ( $n=5,341$ ) from [10.6 pp] ( $n=7,442$ ) in 2001 (Table 2.10).

### 2.4.2. Sex

In 2010, more than two-thirds of part-time monitored employees were female (70.2\%, $\mathbf{n}=58,302$ ), while less than one-third ( $29.8 \%, \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 4 , 7 0 5 )}$ were male (Table 2.9). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of female part-time employees ( $n=2,263$ ) was twice that for male employees ( $n=1,076$ ). However, proportionally, the increase in the number of male part-time employees (4.6\%) was slightly greater than that for female employees (4.0\%). Thus, the male share of the part-time monitored workforce increased by ( 0.1 pp ) from $29.7 \%$ in 2009.

Table 2.10: Trends in Monitored Northern Ireland Part-time Employment by Community Background and by Sex, 2001-2010 ${ }^{16}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Protestant] | $55.3 \%$ | $54.7 \%$ | $54.1 \%$ | $53.0 \%$ | $53.2 \%$ | $53.0 \%$ | $52.0 \%$ | $52.2 \%$ | $51.5 \%$ | $51.4 \%$ |
| [R. Catholic] | $44.7 \%$ | $45.3 \%$ | $45.9 \%$ | $47.0 \%$ | $46.8 \%$ | $47.0 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ | $47.8 \%$ | $48.5 \%$ | $48.6 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 10.6 | 9.4 | 8.2 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 7,442 | 6,876 | 6,033 | 4,685 | 5,226 | 4,953 | 3,116 | 3,381 | 2,262 | 2,101 |
| Male | $25.8 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ | $26.9 \%$ | $26.9 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ | $27.7 \%$ | $28.3 \%$ | $28.5 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ | $29.8 \%$ |
| Female | $74.2 \%$ | $73.2 \%$ | $73.1 \%$ | $73.1 \%$ | $72.5 \%$ | $72.3 \%$ | $71.7 \%$ | $71.5 \%$ | $70.3 \%$ | $70.2 \%$ |
| (F-M) pp diff | 48.4 | 46.4 | 46.2 | 46.2 | 45.0 | 44.6 | 43.4 | 43.0 | 40.6 | 40.4 |
| (F-M) no. diff | 36,020 | 35,887 | 36,533 | 37,828 | 39,542 | 39,199 | 35,840 | 35,403 | 32,410 | 33,597 |

During the period 2001-2010, the overall increase in the number of male part-time employees ( $28.7 \%, n=5,511$ ) was greater than that for their female counterparts $(5.6 \%, n=3,088)$. Thus, the male share of the monitored part-time workforce increased by ( 4.0 pp ) from $25.8 \%$ in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportion of males and females employed part-time in the monitored workforce remains substantial (Mean diff=36,226; 44.4 pp ), overall it decreased by ( 8.0 pp ) ( $\mathrm{n}=2,423$ ) from $(48.4 \mathrm{pp})(\mathrm{n}=36,020)$ in 2001 (Table 2.10).

### 2.4.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, part-time monitored employment was noticeably divided along gender lines, with female Protestants [36.4\%, $\mathrm{n}=27,762$ ] and female Roman Catholics [34.1\%, $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 6}, \mathbf{0 2 7}$ ] comprising the majority of part-time employees (Table 2.9). Male Protestant [15.0\%, $n=11,402$ ] and male Roman Catholic [14.5\%, $n=11,036$ ] employees compromised similar, and smaller, proportions of the part-time workforce. Between 2009 and 2010, male Roman Catholics evidenced the greatest proportional increase in part-time employment ( $4.8 \%, \mathrm{n}=505$ ). The proportional increases in the number of female Protestant (3.3\%, n=878), female Roman Catholic (3.1\%, n=792) and male Protestant ( $2.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=258$ ) part-time employees were smaller again. Consequently, male Roman Catholic employees were the only groups to increase their share of the part-time monitored workforce, by [0.2 pp] from [14.3\%] in 2009.

Table 2.11: Trends in Monitored Northern Ireland Part-time Employment by Community Background and Sex, 2001-2010 ${ }^{17}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Male Protestant] | $13.9 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ |
| [Male R. Catholic] | $11.6 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 1,580 | 1,825 | 1,659 | 1,396 | 1,652 | 1,711 | 1,155 | 752 | 613 | 366 |
| [Female Protestant] | $41.4 \%$ | $40.2 \%$ | $39.6 \%$ | $38.8 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ | $38.3 \%$ | $37.3 \%$ | $37.7 \%$ | $36.4 \%$ | $36.4 \%$ |
| [Female R. Catholic] | $33.1 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $33.7 \%$ | $34.6 \%$ | $34.3 \%$ | $34.4 \%$ | $34.8 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ | $34.1 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 8.3 | 6.9 | 5.9 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 5,862 | 5,051 | 4,374 | 3,289 | 3,574 | 3,242 | 1,961 | 2,629 | 1,649 | 1,735 |

[^8]During the period 2001-2010, female Protestants were the only group to show an overall decrease in part-time employment $(4.6 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,342)$ while male Roman Catholic employees showed the greatest increase (35.5\%, n=2,891). Male Protestant part-time employees increased by $17.2 \%$ ( $n=1,677$ ), while female Roman Catholic employees increased by $12.0 \%$ ( $n=2,785$ ). Thus, female Protestant employees' were the only group to see a decrease in their share of monitored part-time workforce, by [5.0 pp] from [41.4\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male Protestants and male Roman Catholics employed part-time in the monitored workforce remained very small [Mean diff=1,271; 1.7 pp] (Table 2.11). The difference between the proportions of female Protestants and female Roman Catholic part-time employees decreased, as the mean difference between them [4.4 pp; $n=3,337$ ] was smaller than the 2001 difference [ $8.3 \mathrm{pp}, \mathrm{n}=1,580$ ].

### 2.4.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant (30.5\%, n=11,927) and Roman Catholic ( $32.9 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=12,203$ ) part-time monitored workers were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (Table 2.12). The smallest proportion of both Protestant ( $0.8 \%, \mathrm{n}=322$ ) and Roman Catholic $(0.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=273)$ part-time workers were employed in Managerial and Senior Official Occupations (SOC 1). In 2010, the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees was broadly similar, although notably, both were very concentrated in SOCs 7 and $9^{18}$. With regards to differences in sectoral distribution, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 7 (32.9\% vs. 30.5\%).

Table 2.12: Monitored Northern Ireland Part-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2010

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |  |
| SOC 19 | 322 | 0.8 | 54.1 | 273 | 0.7 | 45.9 | 595 | 0.8 |  |
| SOC 2 | 1,789 | 4.6 | 51.8 | 1,665 | 4.5 | 48.2 | 3,454 | 4.5 |  |
| SOC 3 | 4,368 | 11.2 | 54.3 | 3,681 | 9.9 | 45.7 | 8,049 | 10.6 |  |
| SOC 4 | 2,349 | 6.0 | 56.6 | 1,801 | 4.9 | 43.4 | 4,150 | 5.4 |  |
| SOC 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SOC 6 | 427 | 1.592 | 14.3 | 48.0 | 462 | 1.2 | 52.0 | 889 |  |
| SOC 7 | 11,927 | 30.5 | 49.4 | 12,203 | 32.9 | 50.6 | 24,130 | 31.7 |  |
| SOC 8 ${ }^{19}$ | 591 | 1.5 | 51.5 | 556 | 1.5 | 48.5 | 1,147 | 1.5 |  |
| SOC 9 | 11,799 | 30.1 | 51.0 | 11,316 | 30.5 | 49.0 | 23,115 | 30.3 |  |
| Total | 39,164 | 100.0 | 51.4 | 37,063 | 100.0 | 48.6 | 76,227 | 100.0 |  |

[^9]Between 2009 and 2010, Personal and Service Occupations (SOC 6) showed the greatest proportional change in the numbers of both Protestant (11.5\% increase, $\mathrm{n}=576$ ) and Roman Catholic part-time employees (10.2\% increase, n=474). In Elementary Occupations (SOC 9), the number of Protestant part-time employees increased by (5.0\%, $\mathrm{n}=564$ ), substantially more than their Roman Catholic counterparts $(0.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=8)$. Thus, SOC 9 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with the Protestant share increasing by [1.2 pp] from [49.8\%] in 2009.

### 2.5 Monitored Northern Ireland: Applicants, Appointees, Promotees \& Leavers

### 2.5.1. Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce: Applicants

There were 636,577 applicants to the monitored Northern Ireland workforce in 2010 (Table 2.13), an increase of $0.3 \%(n=2,011)$ from 2009.

### 2.5.1.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 269,175 [49.3\%] Protestant and 277,112 [50.7\%] Roman Catholic applicants to the monitored Northern Ireland workforce (Table 2.13). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Protestant applicants to the monitored workforce (1.4\%, $n=3,629$ ), although proportionally small, was greater than for their Roman Catholic counterparts $(0.4 \%, n=1,101)$. Thus, the Protestant share of monitored applicants increased by [0.3 pp] from [49.0\%] in 2009.

Table 2.13: Monitored Northern Ireland Applicants by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | 143,068 | $42.6 \%$ | 141,670 | $42.2 \%$ |  | 50,786 | $15.1 \%$ | 335,524 |
|  |  | $[26.2 \%]$ |  | $[25.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 126,107 | $41.9 \%$ | 135,442 | $45.0 \%$ | 39,504 | $13.1 \%$ | 301,053 | $47.3 \%$ |
|  |  | $[23.1 \%]$ |  | $[24.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 269,175 | $42.3 \%$ | 277,112 | $43.5 \%$ | 90,290 | $14.2 \%$ | 636,577 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[49.3 \%]$ |  |  | $[50.7 \%]$ |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of Protestant applicants to the monitored workforce decreased by $11.9 \%$ ( $n=36,226$ ), whilst the number of Roman Catholic applicants increased by $11.6 \%$ ( $n=28,869$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of monitored applicants increased by [ 5.9 pp] from [44.8\%] in 2001. From 2006, Protestants and Roman Catholics have accounted for similar proportions of applicants and, from 2008 onwards, there has been a greater number of Roman Catholic than Protestant applicants (Chart 2.2).

Chart 2.2: Monitored Northern Ireland Applicants by Community Background, 2001-2010


### 2.5.1.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of applicants to the monitored workforce were male (52.7\%, $\mathrm{n}=335,524$ ), while $47.3 \%(\mathrm{n}=301,053)$ were female (Table 2.13). Between 2009 and 2010, the number of male applicants to the monitored workforce increased by $1.8 \%$ ( $n=5,936$ ), whilst the number of female applicants decreased by $1.3 \%$ ( $n=3,925$ ). Thus, the male share of monitored applicants increased by ( 0.8 pp ) from 51.9\% in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the number of male applicants to the monitored workforce increased by $12.1 \%$ ( $n=36,116$ ), whilst the number of female applicants decreased by $1.3 \%(n=3,997)$. Thus, during this period, the male share of monitored applicants increased by ( 3.2 pp ) from $49.5 \%$ in 2001.

### 2.5.2. Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce: Appointees

There were 70,419 appointees to the monitored Northern Ireland workforce in 2010, a decrease of 16.2\% ( $n=13,654$ ) from 2009 (Table 2.14).

### 2.5.2.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 29,430 [48.0\%] Protestant and 31,928 [52.0\%] Roman Catholic appointees to the monitored Northern Ireland workforce (Table 2.14). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Protestant appointees to the monitored workforce ( $18.6 \%, \mathrm{n}=6,714$ ) was greater than of their Roman Catholic counterparts (14.8\%, $n=5,534$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of appointees to the monitored workforce increased by [1.1 pp] from [50.9\%] in 2009.

Table 2.14: Monitored Northern Ireland Appointees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Male | 14,382 | $42.1 \%$ | 15,287 | $44.7 \%$ | 4,527 | $13.2 \%$ | 34,196 | $48.6 \%$ |
|  |  | $[23.4 \%]$ |  | $[24.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 15,048 | $41.5 \%$ | 16,641 | $45.9 \%$ | 4,534 | $12.5 \%$ | 36,223 | $51.4 \%$ |
|  |  | $[24.5 \%]$ |  | $[27.1 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 29,430 | $41.8 \%$ | 31,928 | $45.3 \%$ | 9,061 | $12.9 \%$ | 70,419 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[48.0 \%]$ |  | $[52.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the overall decrease in the number of Protestant appointees to the monitored workforce $(39.8 \%, \mathrm{n}=19,473)$ was more than twice that for their Roman Catholic counterparts (19.6\%, $\mathrm{n}=7,798$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of monitored appointees increased by [7.2 pp] from [44.8] in 2001. From 2005, Protestants and Roman Catholics have accounted for similar proportions of appointees, from 2006 onwards, there has been a greater number of Roman Catholic than Protestant appointees (Chart 2.3).

Chart 2.3: Monitored Northern Ireland Appointees by Community Background, 2001-2010


### 2.5.2.2. Sex

In 2010, similar proportions of males ( $48.6 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=34,196$ ) and females (51.4\%, $\mathbf{n = 3 6}, 223$ ) were appointed to the monitored Northern Ireland workforce (Table 2.14).
Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of male appointees (16.5\%, $\mathrm{n}=6,764$ ), although numerically smaller, was proportionally greater than that for their female counterparts ( $16.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=6,890$ ). Thus, the female share of appointees to the monitored workforce increased by ( 0.1 pp ) from $51.3 \%$ in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the number of female appointees decreased (29.7\%, $n=15,309)$ by a greater amount than their male counterparts $(21.2 \%, n=9,178)$. Thus, the male share of monitored appointees increased by ( 2.9 pp ) from $45.7 \%$ in 2001.

### 2.5.3. Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce: Promotees

There were 7,319 promotees in the monitored Northern Ireland workforce in 2010 (Table 2.15), a decrease of $30.0 \%(n=3,141)$ from 2009.

### 2.5.3.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 3,503 [51.9\%] Protestant and 3,249 [48.1\%] Roman Catholic promotees in the monitored Northern Ireland workforce (Table 2.15). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Protestant promotees $(34.1 \%, n=1,816)$ was greater than of their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $28.0 \%, n=1,261$ ), although, proportionally, both were large. Thus, the Roman Catholic share of promotees to the monitored workforce increased by [2.2 pp] from [45.9\%] in 2009.

Table 2.15: Monitored Northern Ireland Promotees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 1,682 | $50.2 \%$ | 1,394 | $41.6 \%$ | 276 | $8.2 \%$ | 3,352 | $45.8 \%$ |
|  |  | $[24.9 \%]$ |  | $[20.6 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 1,821 | $45.9 \%$ | 1,855 | $46.8 \%$ | 291 | $7.3 \%$ | 3,967 | $54.2 \%$ |
|  |  | $[27.0 \%]$ |  | $[27.5 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 3,503 | $47.9 \%$ | 3,249 | $44.4 \%$ | 567 | $7.7 \%$ | 7,319 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[51.9 \%]$ |  | $[48.1 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the overall decrease in number of Protestant promotees to the monitored workforce $(34.8 \%, n=1,866)$ was more than four times greater than that for Roman Catholic promotees ( $8.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=300$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of monitored promotees increased by [8.3 pp] from [39.8\%] in 2001.

### 2.5.3.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of promotees in the monitored Northern Ireland workforce were female (54.2\%, $n=3,967$ ), while $45.8 \%(n=3,352)$ were male (Table 2.15). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of male promotees $(37.1 \%, n=1,974)$ in the monitored workforce was greater that for their female counterparts $(22.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,167)$, although, proportionally, both were large. Thus, the female share of promotees to the monitored workforce increased by [5.1 pp] from [49.1\%] in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the overall decrease in the number of male promotees in the monitored workforce $(32.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,593)$ was more than three times that for their female counterparts ( $9.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=410$ ). Thus, the female share of monitored promotees increased by (7.2 pp) from 47.0\% in 2001.

### 2.5.4. Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce: Leavers

There were 65,720 leavers from the monitored Northern Ireland workforce in 2010 (Table 2.16), a decrease of $11.3 \%(n=8,370)$ from 2009.

### 2.5.4.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 29,688 [51.8\%] Protestant and 27,591 [48.2\%] Roman Catholic leavers from the monitored Northern Ireland workforce (Table 2.16). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Roman Catholic leavers from the monitored workforce ( $12.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=3,942$ ) was greater than that for their Protestant counterparts $(6.3 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=2,008$ ). Thus, the Protestant share of monitored leavers increased by [1.7 pp] from [50.1\%] in 2009.

Table 2.16: Monitored Northern Ireland Leavers by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 13,412 | $44.4 \%$ | 12,674 | $41.9 \%$ | 4,136 | $13.7 \%$ | 30,222 | $46.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[23.4 \%]$ |  | $[22.1 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 16,276 | $45.9 \%$ | 14,917 | $42.0 \%$ | 4,305 | $12.1 \%$ | 35,498 | $54.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[28.4 \%]$ |  | $[26.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 23,688 | $45.2 \%$ | 27,591 | $42.0 \%$ | 8,441 | $12.8 \%$ | 65,720 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[51.8 \%]$ |  | $[48.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of Protestant leavers from the monitored workforce decreased by $10.4 \%$ ( $n=3,462$ ), whilst the number of Roman Catholic leavers increased by $4.9 \%$ ( $n=1,290$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of leavers from the monitored workforce increased by [4.0 pp] from [44.2\%] in 2001.

### 2.5.4.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of leavers from the monitored Northern Ireland workforce were female ( $54.0 \%$, $\mathbf{n = 3 5}, 498$ ), while $46.0 \%(n=30,222)$ were male (Table 2.16). Between 2009 and 2010, the number of female leavers from the monitored workforce decreased $(n=4,268)$ by a greater amount than male leavers ( $n=4,102$ ). However, proportionally, the decrease in the number of male leavers (12.0\%) was greater than that for their female counterparts ( $10.7 \%$ ). Thus, the female share of leavers from the monitored workforce increased by (0.3 pp) from 53.7\% in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the number of male leavers from the monitored workforce increased by $3.3 \%$ ( $n=975$ ), whilst the number of female leavers decreased by $1.6 \%$ ( $n=588$ ). Thus, the male share of leavers from the monitored workforce increased by ( 1.2 pp ) from 44.8\% in 2001.

## 3. The Private Sector

## All Employees

- In 2010, the private sector accounted for $63.0 \%$ of the total, monitored Northern Ireland workforce.
- The total private sector workforce comprised 322,954 employees, a decrease of $1.6 \%(n=5,195)$ from 2009. The composition of the private sector workforce was 161,441 [54.4\%] Protestant and 135,067 [45.6\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, total Protestant private sector employment decreased by $2.2 \%$ ( $n=3,697$ ), while total Roman Catholic employment decreased by $0.9 \%$ ( $n=1,219$ ). Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the private sector workforce increased [0.4 pp].
- In 2010, the majority of private sector employees were male $(53.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=173,437)$.


## Full-time Workforce

- In 2010, there were 269,099 full-time employees in the private sector, a decrease of $2.5 \%(n=6,879)$ compared to 2009. The composition of the full-time workforce was 136,722 [55.2\%] Protestant and 110,899 [44.8\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, full-time Protestant employment decreased by 2.9\% ( $n=4,031$ ), while full-time Roman Catholic employment decreased by $1.7 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=1,925$ ). Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the full-time private sector workforce increased [0.3 pp].
- In 2010, the majority of full-time private sector employees were male (57.8\%, $n=155,479$ ).


## Part-time Workforce

- In 2010, there were 53,855 part-time employees in the private sector, an increase of $3.2 \%$ ( $n=1,684$ ) compared to 2009. The composition of the part-time workforce was 24,719 [50.6\%] Protestant and 24,168 [49.4\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, part-time Protestant employment increased by $1.4 \%$ ( $n=334$ ), while part-time Roman Catholic employment increased by 3.0\% ( $n=706$ ). Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the part-time private sector workforce increased [0.4 pp].
- In 2010, two-thirds of part-time private sector employees were female (66.7\%, $\mathrm{n}=35,897$ ).


## Applicants, Appointees, Promotees and Leavers

In 2010, there were:

- 474,872 private sector applicants. The composition was 194,402 [48.8\%] Protestant and 203,762 [51.2\%] Roman Catholic.
- 55,276 private sector appointees. The composition was 22,763 [47.7\%] Protestant and 24,919 [52.3\%] Roman Catholic.
- 3,181 private sector promotees. The composition was 1,465 [52.9\%] Protestant and 1,305 [47.1\%] Roman Catholic.
- 41,493 private sector leavers. The composition was 17,129 [48.2\%] Protestant and 18,384 [51.8\%] Roman Catholic.


### 3.1. The Private Sector Workforce: Introduction

The purpose of this chapter is to help inform employers and other interested parties about wider compositional trends within the private sector that, alongside information on labour availability, may better inform their own considerations of fair participation in specific employment(s).

In 2010, a total of 3,796 private concerns submitted monitoring returns to the Commission, a decrease of 95 concerns from 2009.

In 2010 there were 322,954 employees in the private sector. For the second consecutive year the private sector contracted (by $1.6 \%, \mathrm{n}=5,195$ ), although at a lesser rate than that for 2009 ( $3.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=11,755$ ). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in full-time employment in the sector $(n=6,879)$ was greater than the increase in part-time employment $(n=1,684)$. Thus, overall the private sector decreased by 5,195 employees. During this period, the number of Roman Catholic employees decreased ( $n=1,219$ ) by less than Protestant employees ( $n=3,697$ ). As a result, Roman Catholic representation increased by [0.4 pp] from [45.2\%] in 2009.

In 2010, close to half of private sector workers $(48.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=155,662)$ were employed in concerns with 251+ employees, while more than two-fifths of private sector workers (44.0\%, $\mathrm{n}=141,996$ ) were employed in concerns with 26-250 employees. Less than one-tenth of the private sector workforce $(7.8 \%, \mathrm{n}=25,296)$ were employed in concerns with 11-25 employees.

### 3.2. The Private Sector Workforce: All Employees

There were 322,954 employees in the private sector in 2010 (Table 3.1), a decrease of $1.6 \%(n=5,195)$ from 2009.

### 3.2.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 161,441 [54.4\%] Protestant and 135,067 [45.6\%] Roman Catholic employees in the total private sector workforce (Table 3.1). Between 2009 and 2010, the overall decrease in the number of Protestant private sector employees (2.2\%, $n=3,697$ ), although proportionally small, was greater than that for their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $0.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,219$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the private sector workforce increased slightly by [0.4 pp] from [45.2\%] in 2009.

Table 3.1: Private Sector (All) Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 88,649 | $51.1 \%$ | 70,213 | $40.5 \%$ | 14,575 | $8.4 \%$ | 173,437 | $53.7 \%$ |
|  |  | $[29.9 \%]$ |  | $[23.7 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 72,792 | $48.7 \%$ | 64,854 | $43.4 \%$ | 11,871 | $7.9 \%$ | 149,517 | $46.3 \%$ |
|  |  | $[24.5 \%]$ |  | $[21.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 161,441 | $50.0 \%$ | 135,067 | $41.8 \%$ | 26,446 | $8.2 \%$ | 322,954 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | $[54.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of Roman Catholic private sector employees increased by $14.7 \%$ ( $n=17,265$ ), whilst the number of Protestant employees decreased by $7.1 \%(12,413)$. Thus, the Roman Catholics share of the private sector workforce increased by [5.2 pp] from [40.4\%] in 2001. In this period the difference between the proportions of Protestants and Roman Catholics employed in the private sector decreased overall by [10.4 pp] ( $\mathrm{n}=29,678$ ) from [19.2 pp] $(\mathrm{n}=56,052)$ in 2001 (Chart 3.1).

Chart 3.1: Private Sector (All) Employees by Community Background, 2001-2010


### 3.2.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of private sector employees were male ( $53.7 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=173,437$ ), while $46.3 \%(n=149,517)$ were female (Table 3.1). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of male private sector employees $(2.0 \%, n=3,566)$, although proportionally small, was greater than that for their female counterparts ( $1.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,629$ ). Thus, the female share of the private sector workforce increased slightly by ( 0.2 pp ) from $46.1 \%$ in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the number of female private sector employees increased ( $8.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=11,031$ ) by a greater amount than their male counterparts ( $4.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=7,489$ ). Thus, over this period the female share of the private sector workforce increased by (0.8 pp) from $45.5 \%$ in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of males and females employed in the private sector decreased overall by (1.6 pp) ( $n=3,542$ ) from (9.0\%) ( $n=27,462$ ) in 2001.

### 3.2.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, male Protestant employees comprised the greatest proportion of the private sector workforce [29.9\%, $\mathrm{n}=88,649$ ], while female Roman Catholic employees comprised the smallest proportion [21.9\%, $\mathbf{n = 6 4 , 8 5 4 )}$ (Table 3.1). Female Protestant [24.5\%, n=72,792] and male Roman Catholic [23.7\%, n=70,213] employees each comprised around one-quarter of the total workforce. Between 2009 and 2010, male Protestant employment decreased by the greatest amount ( $2.8 \%, \mathrm{n}=2,594$ ), while female Roman Catholic employment decreased by the smallest amount ( $0.6 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=381$ ). Female Protestant employees decreased $(1.5 \%, n=1,103)$ by slightly more than male Roman Catholic employees ( $1.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=838$ ). Consequently, male Roman Catholic employees' share of the private sector workforce increased by [0.1 pp] from [23.6\%] in 2009, while female Roman Catholic employees' share of increased by [0.3 pp] from [21.6\%] in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the increases in the numbers of male Roman Catholic ( $13.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=8,348$ ) and female Roman Catholic ( $15.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=8,917$ ) employees were greater than the decreases in male Protestant $(8.4 \%, n=8,138)$ and female Protestant $(5.5 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=4,275$ ) employees. Consequently, the male Roman Catholic share of the private sector workforce increased by [2.5 pp] from [21.2\%] in 2001, while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [2.7 pp] from [19.2\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male Protestants and Roman Catholics employed in the private sector decreased overall by [5.8 pp] ( $n=16,486$ ) from [12.0 pp] ( $n=34,922$ ), while the difference between the proportions of female Protestants and Roman Catholics decreased overall by [4.6 pp] ( $n=13,192$ ) from [7.2 pp] $(n=21,130)$ in 2001 (Table 3.2).

Table 3.2: Trends in Private Sector (All) Employment by Community Background and Sex, 2001-201020

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[$ [Male Protestant $]$ | $33.2 \%$ | $33.1 \%$ | $32.5 \%$ | $32.3 \%$ | $31.6 \%$ | $31.2 \%$ | $30.7 \%$ | $30.3 \%$ | $30.3 \%$ | $29.9 \%$ |
| [Male R. Catholic] | $21.2 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ | $23.6 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 12.0 | 11.8 | 11.0 | 10.5 | 9.2 | 8.3 | 7.3 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 6.2 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 34,922 | 34,368 | 31,872 | 30,395 | 27,865 | 25,371 | 22,544 | 20,139 | 20,192 | 18,436 |
| [Female Protestant $]$ | $26.4 \%$ | $26.2 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ | $25.7 \%$ | $25.6 \%$ | $25.1 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ |
| [Female R. Catholic] | $19.2 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ | $21.2 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 7.2 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.6 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 21,130 | 19,487 | 17,470 | 16,333 | 15,978 | 13,200 | 10,850 | 10,053 | 8,660 | 7,938 |
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### 3.2.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant $\mathbf{( 2 2 . 4 \%}, \mathrm{n}=36,189)$ and Roman Catholic $(23.8 \%, n=32,142)$ private sector workers were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (Table 3.3). The smallest proportions of both Protestant ( $5.6 \%, n=9,060$ ) and Roman Catholic $(6.6 \%, n=8,932)$ workers were employed in Professional Occupations (SOC 2). In 2010, the sectoral representation of Protestant and Roman Catholic was broadly similar across the SOC categories, although some differences are evident. For example, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) (12.2\% vs. 10.5\%). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic than Protestant workers were employed in Process, Plant and Machine Operative Occupations (SOC8) (14.5\% vs. $12.5 \%$ ).

Table 3.3: Private Sector (All) Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2010

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 16,260 | 10.1 | 56.2 | 12,698 | 9.4 | 43.8 | 28,958 | 9.8 |
| SOC 2 | 9,060 | 5.6 | 50.4 | 8,932 | 6.6 | 49.6 | 17,992 | 6.1 |
| SOC 3 | 11,918 | 7.4 | 54.8 | 9,822 | 7.3 | 45.2 | 21,740 | 7.3 |
| SOC 4 | 19,642 | 12.2 | 58.2 | 14,128 | 10.5 | 41.8 | 33,770 | 11.4 |
| SOC 5 | 15,750 | 9.8 | 58.8 | 11,029 | 8.2 | 41.2 | 26,779 | 9.0 |
| SOC 6 | 11,538 | 7.1 | 53.8 | 9,925 | 7.3 | 46.2 | 21,463 | 7.2 |
| SOC 7 | 36,189 | 22.4 | 53.0 | 32,142 | 23.8 | 47.0 | 68,331 | 23.0 |
| SOC 8 | 20,248 | 12.5 | 50.8 | 19,576 | 14.5 | 49.2 | 39,824 | 13.4 |
| SOC 9 | 20,836 | 12.9 | 55.3 | 16,815 | 12.4 | 44.7 | 37,651 | 12.7 |
| Total | 161,441 | 100.0 | 54.4 | 135,067 | 100.0 | 45.6 | 296,508 | 100.0 |

Between 2009 and 2010, SOC 5 showed the greatest proportional change in the number of both Protestant ( $9.1 \%$ decrease, $n=1,576$ ) and Roman Catholic ( $10.4 \%$ decrease, $\mathrm{n}=1,286$ ) employees. In Process, Plant and Machine Operative Occupations (SOC 8), the number of Roman Catholic employees increased ( $5.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,052$ ), whilst the number of Protestant employees decreased ( $3.3 \%$, $n=687$ ). Thus, SOC 8 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with the Roman Catholic share increasing by [2.3 pp] from [46.9\%] in 2009.

### 3.2.5. Sectoral Components of Private Sector

The private sector is comprised of three main components/sectors, namely:

- Manufacturing
- Construction
- Services

Table 3.4: Changes in Sectoral Composition of the Private Sector 2009-2010

| Private Sectors | Protestant 2010 |  |  | Roman Catholic 2010 |  |  | P \% <br> change <br> $2009-10$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { RC \% } \\ \text { change } \end{array} \\ \hline 2009-10 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | All $^{21}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | [\%] | N | \% | [\%] |  |  | N | \% |
| Manufacturing | 36,650 | 22.8 | 56.8 | 27,923 | 20.7 | 43.2 | -6.0 | -3.5 | 70,646 | 21.9\% |
| Construction | 7,582 | 4.7 | 47.9 | 8,254 | 6.1 | 52.1 | -7.5 | -6.0 | 16,607 | 5.1\% |
| Services | 116,223 | 72.4 | 54.1 | 98,415 | 73.1 | 45.9 | -0.6 | 0.3 | 234,173 | 72.5\% |
| Total | 160,455 | 100.0 | 54.4 | 134,592 | 100.0 | 45.6 | -2.2 | -0.9 | 322,95422 | 100.0\% |

### 3.2.5.1. Main Private Sector Components

In 2010, the majority of private sector workers were employed in service-related occupations $(72.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=234,713$ ) (Table 3.4). With regards to the main components of the private sector, the smallest proportion of workers were employed in construction-related occupations ( $5.1 \%, n=16,607$ ). Between 2009 and 2010, the manufacturing sector decreased by the greatest amount $(4.7 \%, n=3,479)$, while the service sector decreased by the smallest amount ( $0.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=441$ ).

### 3.2.5.2. Distribution of Employees in the Main Private Sector Components

In 2010, the service sector accounted for the majority of both Protestant (72.4\%, $\mathrm{n}=116,223$ ) and Roman Catholic $(73.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=98,415)$ private sector employees (Table 3.4). The construction sector accounted for the smallest proportion of both Protestant ( $4.7 \%$, $n=7,582$ ) and Roman Catholic ( $6.1 \%, n=8,254$ ) private sector employees.
Between 2009 and 2010, the number of Roman Catholic employees in the service sector increased (by $0.3 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=288$ ). The numbers of both Protestants and Roman Catholics employed in every other main component of the private sector decreased, and proportionally, the decreases in Protestant employees were greater than those for Roman Catholic employees. The construction sector showed the largest proportional decrease in both Protestants (7.5\%, $\mathrm{n}=612$ ) and Roman Catholic ( $6.0 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=523$ ) employees, while the services sector showed the smallest decrease in Protestant employees ( $0.6 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=746$ ).

### 3.2.5.3. Community Composition of the Private Sector Components

In 2010, the construction sector evidenced the smallest difference in community composition [47.9\% vs. 52.1\%], while the manufacturing sector evidenced the largest difference [56.8\% vs. 43.2\%] (Table 3.4).
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### 3.3 The Private Sector Workforce: Full-time Employees

There were 269,099 full-time employees in the private sector in 2010 (Table 3.5), a decrease of $2.5 \%(n=6,879)$ from 2009.

### 3.3.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 136,722 [55.2\%] Protestant and 110,899 [44.8\%] Roman Catholic employees in the full-time private sector workforce (Table 3.5). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Protestant employees ( $2.9 \%, n=4,031$ ), although small, was greater than that for their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $1.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,925$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the private sector workforce increased by [0.3 pp] from [44.5\%] in 2009.

Table 3.5: Private Sector Full-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 80,599 | $51.8 \%$ | 62,009 |  | $39.9 \%$ | 12,871 | $8.3 \%$ | 155,479 |
|  |  | $[32.5 \%]$ |  | $[25.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 56,123 | $49.4 \%$ | 48,890 | $43.0 \%$ | 8,607 | $7.6 \%$ | 113,620 | $42.2 \%$ |
|  |  | $[22.7 \%]$ |  | $[19.7 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 136,722 | $50.8 \%$ | 110,899 | $41.2 \%$ | 21,478 | $8.0 \%$ | 269,099 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[55.2 \%]$ |  | $[44.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of Protestant full-time private sector employees decreased by $9.7 \%$ ( $n=14,607$ ), whilst the number of Roman Catholic employees increased by $13.2 \%$ ( $n=12,913$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time private sector workforce increased by [5.5 pp] from [39.3\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of Protestants and Roman Catholics employed full-time in the private sector decreased overall by [11.0 pp] ( $n=27,520$ ), from [21.4 pp] ( $n=53,343$ ) in 2001 (Table 3.6).

### 3.3.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of private sector full-time employees were male (57.8\%, $n=155,479$ ), while $42.2 \%(n=113,620)$ were female (Table 3.5). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of male private sector employees $(2.9 \%, n=4,627)$, although proportionally small, was greater than that for their female counterparts ( $1.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=2,252$ ). Thus, the female share of the private sector workforce increased slightly by ( 0.2 pp ) from 42.0\% in 2009.

Table 3.6: Trends in Private Sector Full-time Employment by Community Background and by Sex,
2001-201023

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[$ Protestant $]$ | $60.7 \%$ | $60.5 \%$ | $59.8 \%$ | $59.4 \%$ | $58.4 \%$ | $57.5 \%$ | $56.3 \%$ | $55.5 \%$ | $55.5 \%$ | $55.2 \%$ |
| $[$ [R. Catholic $]$ | $39.3 \%$ | $39.5 \%$ | $40.2 \%$ | $40.6 \%$ | $41.6 \%$ | $42.5 \%$ | $43.7 \%$ | $44.5 \%$ | $44.5 \%$ | $44.8 \%$ |
| $[P-R C]$ pp diff | 21.4 | 21.0 | 19.6 | 18.8 | 16.8 | 15.0 | 12.6 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 10.4 |
| $[$ P-RC $]$ no. diff | 53,343 | 51,835 | 47,812 | 45,551 | 42,249 | 37,739 | 32,656 | 29,143 | 27,929 | 25,823 |
| Male | $59.0 \%$ | $59.1 \%$ | $58.8 \%$ | $59.1 \%$ | $59.1 \%$ | $59.1 \%$ | $58.9 \%$ | $58.7 \%$ | $58.0 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ |
| Female | $41.0 \%$ | $40.9 \%$ | $41.2 \%$ | $40.9 \%$ | $40.9 \%$ | $40.9 \%$ | $41.1 \%$ | $41.3 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ | $42.2 \%$ |
| (F-M) pp diff | -18.0 | -18.2 | -17.6 | -18.2 | -18.2 | -18.2 | -17.8 | -17.4 | -16.0 | -15.6 |
| (F-M) no. diff | $-46,858$ | $-47,042$ | $-44,891$ | $-46,524$ | $-48,789$ | $-49,970$ | $-50,239$ | $-49,995$ | $-44,234$ | $-41,859$ |

During the period 2001-2010, the numbers of female full-time employees increased ( $6.7 \%, n=7,104$ ) by a greater amount than their male counterparts $(1.4 \%, n=2,105)$. Thus, the female share of the full-time private sector workforce increased by (1.2 pp) from 41.0\% in 2001. In this period, there was a mean difference of (17.5 pp) ( $n=47,040$ ) between the proportions of males and females employed full-time in the private sector. Overall, the difference between the two groups decreased by (2.4 pp) ( $\mathrm{n}=4,999$ ), from (18.0 pp) ( $\mathrm{n}=46,858$ ) in 2001 (Table 3.6).

### 3.3.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, nearly one-third of full-time private sector employees were male Protestants [32.5\%, $n=80,599]$, while less than one-fifth were female Roman Catholics [19.7\%, $\mathbf{n}=48,890$ ] (Table 3.5). Male Roman Catholic representation in the private sector [25.0\%, $n=62,009$ ] was similar to that of female Protestants [22.7\%, $n=56,123]$. Between 2009 and 2010, male Protestant full-time employees decreased by the greatest amount (3.5\%, $\mathrm{n}=2,904$ ), while male Roman Catholic ( $2.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,353$ ), female Protestant ( $2.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,127$ ) and male Roman Catholic (1.2\%, $n=572$ ) employees decreased by the smaller amounts. Consequently, male Protestant employees' were the only group to see a decrease in their share of the full-time private sector workforce, by [0.4 pp] from [32.9\%] in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the numbers of male Protestant (11.3\%, n=10,299), and female Protestant $(7.1 \%, n=4,308)$ full-time employees in the private sector decreased, while the numbers of the male Roman Catholic ( $10.8 \%, n=6,066$ ) and female Roman Catholic ( $16.3 \%$, $n=6,847$ ) employees increased. Consequently, the male Roman Catholic share of the private sector full-time workforce increased by [2.6 pp] from [22.4\%] in 2001, while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [2.8 pp] from [16.9\%]. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male Protestants and male Roman Catholics employed full-time in the public sector decreased year-on-year, by a total of [6.6 pp] $(n=16,365)$ from [14.1 pp] ( $n=34,955$ ) in 2001 (Table 3.7). The difference between the proportions of female Protestants and female Roman Catholic full-time employees decreased year-on-year, by a total of [4.3 pp] ( $n=11,155$ ) from [7.3 pp] ( $n=18,388$ ).

[^12]Table 3.7: Trends in Private Sector Full-time Employment by Community Background and Sex, 2001-2010 ${ }^{24}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Protestant Male] | $36.5 \%$ | $36.5 \%$ | $35.9 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ | $34.9 \%$ | $34.4 \%$ | $33.6 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ | $32.9 \%$ | $32.5 \%$ |
| [R. Catholic Male] | $22.4 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ | $23.2 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 14.1 | 14.0 | 13.1 | 12.5 | 11.0 | 10.0 | 8.6 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 7.5 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 34,955 | 34,398 | 31,816 | 30,291 | 27,764 | 25,276 | 22,348 | 20,079 | 20,141 | 18,590 |
| [Protestant Female] | $24.2 \%$ | $24.0 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ |
| [R. Catholic Female] | $16.9 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 7.3 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 18,388 | 17,437 | 15,996 | 15,260 | 14,485 | 12,463 | 10,308 | 9,064 | 7,788 | 7,233 |

### 3.3.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant (17.8\%, $\mathrm{n}=24,301$ ) and Roman Catholic $(18.0 \%$, $n=19,972)$ full-time private sector workers were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (Table 3.8). The smallest proportions of both Protestant $(6.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=8,582)$ and Roman Catholic $(6.5 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=7,197)$ full-time workers were employed in Personal Service Occupations (SOC 6). In 2010, the sectoral distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees was broadly similar across the SOC categories, although some differences are evident. For example, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) (11.2\% vs. 9.6\%). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic than Protestant workers were employed in Process, Plant and Machine Operative Occupations (SOC 8) (17.2\% vs. 14.4\%).

Table 3.8: Private Sector Full-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2010

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |  |
| SOC 1 | 15,969 | 11.7 | 56.2 | 12,467 | 11.2 | 43.8 | 28,436 | 11.5 |  |
| SOC 2 | 8,788 | 6.4 | 50.4 | 8,648 | 7.8 | 49.6 | 17,436 | 7.0 |  |
| SOC 3 | 10,951 | 8.0 | 55.2 | 8,903 | 8.0 | 44.8 | 19,854 | 8.0 |  |
| SOC 4 | 18,284 | 13.4 | 58.3 | 13,067 | 11.8 | 41.7 | 31,351 | 12.7 |  |
| SOC 5 | 15,350 | 11.2 | 59.1 | 10,602 | 9.6 | 40.9 | 25,952 | 10.5 |  |
| SOC 6 | 8,582 | 6.3 | 54.4 | 7,197 | 6.5 | 45.6 | 15,779 | 6.4 |  |
| SOC 7 | 24,301 | 17.8 | 54.9 | 19,972 | 18.0 | 45.1 | 44,273 | 17.9 |  |
| SOC 8 | 19,749 | 14.4 | 50.9 | 19,081 | 17.2 | 49.1 | 38,830 | 15.7 |  |
| SOC 9 | 14,748 | 10.8 | 57.4 | 10,962 | 9.9 | 42.6 | 25,710 | 10.4 |  |
| Total | 136,722 | 100.0 | 55.2 | 110,899 | 100.0 | 44.8 | 247,621 | 100.0 |  |

Between 2009 and 2010, SOC 5 showed the greatest proportional change in the number of both Protestant ( $9.4 \%$ decrease, $n=1,600$ ) and Roman Catholic ( $10.7 \%$ decrease, $\mathrm{n}=1,273$ ) employees. In Process, Plant and Machine Operative Occupations (SOC 8), the number of Roman Catholic employees increased ( $5.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,000$ ), whilst the number of

[^13]Protestant employees decreased ( $3.5 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=706$ ). Thus, SOC 8 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with the Roman Catholic share increasing by [2.2 pp] from [46.9\%] in 2009.

### 3.4. The Private Sector Workforce: Part-time Employees

There were 53,855 part-time employees in the private sector in 2010 (Table 3.9), an increase of $3.2 \%(n=1,684)$ from 2009.

### 3.4.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 24,719 [50.6\%] Protestant and 24,168 [49.4\%] Roman Catholic employees in the part-time private sector workforce (Table 3.9). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Roman Catholic part-time employees ( $3.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=706$ ), although proportionally small, was greater that that for their Protestant counterparts (1.4\% n=334). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the part-time private sector workforce increased by [0.4 pp] from [49.0\%] in 2009.

Table 3.9: Private Sector Part-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 8,050 | $44.8 \%$ | 8,204 | $45.7 \%$ | 1,704 | $9.5 \%$ | 17,958 | $33.3 \%$ |
|  |  | $[16.5 \%]$ |  | $[16.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 16,669 | $46.4 \%$ | 15,964 | $44.5 \%$ | 3,264 | $9.1 \%$ | 35,897 | $66.7 \%$ |
|  |  | $[34.1 \%]$ |  | $[32.7 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 24,719 | $45.9 \%$ | 24,168 | $44.9 \%$ | 4,968 | $9.2 \%$ | 53,855 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[50.6 \%]$ |  | $[49.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the overall increase in the number of Roman Catholic parttime employees $(22.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=4,352)$ was greater than that for their Protestant counterparts ( $9.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=2,194$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the private sector part-time workforce increased by [2.6 pp] from [46.8\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of Protestants and Roman Catholics employed part-time in the private sector decreased, as the mean difference [2.8 pp, $n=1,312$ ] was smaller than the 2001 difference [6.4 pp, n=2,709] (Table 3.10).

### 3.4.2. Sex

In 2010, two-thirds of part-time private sector employees were female (66.7\%, $\mathrm{n}=35,897$ ), while one-third $(33.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=17,958)$ were male (Table 3.9). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of male part-time employees ( $6.3 \%, n=1,061$ ) was greater than for their female counterparts (1.8\%, $\mathrm{n}=623$ ). Thus, the male share of the parttime private sector workforce increased by (0.9 pp) from 32.4\% in 2009.

Table 3.10: Trends in Private Sector Part-time Employment by Community Background and by Sex, 2001-201025

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Protestant] | $53.2 \%$ | $52.3 \%$ | $51.7 \%$ | $51.2 \%$ | $51.6 \%$ | $50.8 \%$ | $50.7 \%$ | $51.1 \%$ | $51.0 \%$ | $50.6 \%$ |
| [R. Catholic] | $46.8 \%$ | $47.7 \%$ | $48.3 \%$ | $48.8 \%$ | $48.4 \%$ | $49.2 \%$ | $49.3 \%$ | $48.9 \%$ | $49.0 \%$ | $49.4 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 6.4 | 4.6 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.2 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 2,709 | 2,020 | 1,530 | 1,177 | 1,594 | 832 | 738 | 1,049 | 923 | 551 |
| Male | $28.2 \%$ | $28.7 \%$ | $29.6 \%$ | $29.9 \%$ | $30.5 \%$ | $30.6 \%$ | $31.1 \%$ | $31.4 \%$ | $32.4 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ |
| Female | $71.8 \%$ | $71.3 \%$ | $70.4 \%$ | $70.1 \%$ | $69.5 \%$ | $69.4 \%$ | $68.9 \%$ | $68.6 \%$ | $67.6 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
| (F-M) pp diff | 43.6 | 42.6 | 40.8 | 40.2 | 39.0 | 38.8 | 37.8 | 37.2 | 35.2 | 33.4 |
| (F-M) no. diff | 19,396 | 19,902 | 19,880 | 20,401 | 21,230 | 21,159 | 20,207 | 19,551 | 18,377 | 17,939 |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of male part-time employees increased (42.8\%, $n=5,384$ ) by a greater amount than their female counterparts ( $12.3 \%, n=3,927$ ). Thus, the male share of the private sector part-time workforce increased by ( 5.1 pp ) from $28.2 \%$ in 2001. In this period, there was a consistently large difference between the proportions of males and females employed part-time in the private sector (Mean diff=19,804; 38.9 pp ). Year-on-year the difference between the two groups decreased, by a total of (10.2 pp) $(n=1,457)$ from $(43.6 \mathrm{pp})(\mathrm{n}=19,396)$ in 2001 (Table 3.10).

### 3.4.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, part-time private sector employment was noticeably divided along gender lines, with female Protestants [34.1\%, $n=16,669$ ] and female Roman Catholic [32.7\%, $\mathbf{n}=15,964$ ] comprising the majority of part-time employees (Table 3.9). Male Protestant [16.5\%, $n=8,050$ ] and male Roman Catholic [16.8\%, $n=8,204$ ] employees compromised similar, and smaller, proportions of the part-time workforce. Between 2009 and 2010, the increases in the numbers of male Protestant (4.0\%, $n=310$ ) and male Roman Catholic ( $6.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=515$ ) part-time employees were greater than those for female Protestant ( $0.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=24$ ) and female Roman Catholic (1.2\%, $\mathrm{n}=191$ ) employees. Thus, the male Protestant share of the part-time private workforce increased by [0.3 pp] from [16.2\%] in 2009, while the male Roman Catholic share increased by [0.7 pp] from [16.1\%].

During the period 2001-2010, the increases in the numbers of male Protestant (36.7\%, $n=2,161$ ) and male Roman Catholic ( $38.5 \%, n=2,282$ ) part-time private sector employees were greater than those for female Roman Catholic (14.9\%, $n=2,070$ ) and female Protestant ( $0.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=33$ ) employees. Consequently, the male Roman Catholic share of the part-time workforce increased by [2.8 pp] from [14.0\%] in 2001, while the male Protestant share increased by [2.6 pp] from [13.9\%]. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male Protestants and Roman Catholics employed part-time in the private sector remained very small [Mean diff=45, 0.1 pp ] (Table 3.11), while the difference between the proportions of female Protestants and Roman Catholics was slightly larger [Mean diff=1,268; 2.7 pp ]. Additionally, the difference between female Protestant and Roman Catholic employees decreased, as the mean difference between them was smaller than the 2001 difference [ $6.5 \mathrm{pp} ; \mathrm{n}=2,742$ ].

[^14]Table 3.11: Trends in Private Sector Part-time Employment by Community Background and Sex, 2001-201026

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Male Protestant ] | $13.9 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ |
| [Male R. Catholic] | $14.0 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | -0.3 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | -33 | -30 | 56 | 104 | 101 | 95 | 196 | 60 | 51 | -154 |
| [Female Protestant] | $39.3 \%$ | $38.1 \%$ | $36.9 \%$ | $36.3 \%$ | $36.4 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ | $35.5 \%$ | $34.8 \%$ | $34.1 \%$ |
| [Female R. Catholic] | $32.8 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ | $33.7 \%$ | $34.1 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ | $34.1 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 6.5 | 4.6 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 2,742 | 2,050 | 1,474 | 1,073 | 1,493 | 737 | 542 | 989 | 872 | 704 |

### 3.4.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant ( $48.1 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=11,888$ ) and Roman Catholic $(50.4 \%, n=12,170)$ part-time private sector workers were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (Table 3.12). The smallest proportion of Protestant part-time workers were employed in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) (1.1\%, $\mathrm{n}=272$ ), while the smallest proportion of their Roman Catholic counterparts were employed in Managerial and Senior Official Occupations (SOC 1) (1.0\%, n=231). In 2010, the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time workers was broadly similar, although notably, both were very concentrated in SOCs 7 and $9^{27}$. With regards to differences in sectorial distribution, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 7 (50.4\% vs. 48.1\%).

Table 3.12: Private Sector Part-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2010

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 128 | 291 | 1.2 | 55.7 | 231 | 1.0 | 44.3 | 522 | 1.1 |
| SOC 2 $^{28}$ | 272 | 1.1 | 48.9 | 284 | 1.2 | 51.1 | 556 | 1.1 |
| SOC 3 | 967 | 3.9 | 51.3 | 919 | 3.8 | 48.7 | 1,886 | 3.9 |
| SOC 4 | 1,358 | 5.5 | 56.1 | 1,061 | 4.4 | 43.9 | 2,419 | 4.9 |
| SOC 528 | 400 | 1.6 | 48.4 | 427 | 1.8 | 51.6 | 827 | 1.7 |
| SOC 6 | 2,956 | 12.0 | 52.0 | 2,728 | 11.3 | 48.0 | 5,684 | 11.6 |
| SOC 7 | 11,888 | 48.1 | 49.4 | 12,170 | 50.4 | 50.6 | 24,058 | 49.2 |
| SOC 828 | 499 | 2.0 | 50.2 | 495 | 2.0 | 49.8 | 994 | 2.0 |
| SOC 9 | 6,088 | 24.6 | 51.0 | 5,853 | 24.2 | 49.0 | 11,941 | 24.4 |
| Total | 24,719 | 100.0 | 50.6 | 24,168 | 100.0 | 49.4 | 48,887 | 100.0 |

Between 2009 and 2010, Personal and Service Occupations (SOC 6) showed the greatest proportional change in the numbers of both Protestant ( $17.8 \%$ increase, $n=146$ ) and Roman Catholic part-time employees (16.6\%, n=131). In SOC 7 (Sales and Customer Service Occupations), the number of Roman Catholic employees increased ( $6.0 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=691$ ) by a greater amount than their Protestant counterparts (1.7\%, $n=202$ ). Thus, SOC 7 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with the Roman Catholic share increasing by [1.0 pp] from [49.6\%] in 2009.

[^15]
### 3.5 Private Sector Workforce: Applicants, Appointees, Promotees \& Leavers

### 3.5.1. Private Sector Workforce: Applicants

There were 474,872 applicants to the private sector in 2010 (Table 3.13), a decrease of $3.5 \%(n=17,263)$ from 2009.

### 3.5.1.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 194,402 [48.8\%] Protestant and 203,762 [51.2\%] Roman Catholic applicants to the private sector (Table 3.13). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Roman Catholic applicants ( $3.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=7,300$ ), although proportionally small, was slightly greater than for their Protestant counterparts (3.1\%, $\mathrm{n}=6,250$ ). Thus, the Protestant share of private sector applicants increased by [0.1 pp] from [48.7\%] in 2009.

Table 3.13: Private Sector Applicants by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | 108,240 | $41.3 \%$ | 109,996 | $41.9 \%$ | 44,034 | $16.8 \%$ | 262,270 | $55.2 \%$ |
|  |  | $[27.2 \%]$ |  | $[27.6 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 86,162 | $40.5 \%$ | 93,766 | $44.1 \%$ | 32,674 | $15.4 \%$ | 212,602 | $44.8 \%$ |
|  |  | $[21.6 \%]$ |  | $[23.5 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 194,402 | $40.9 \%$ | 203,762 | $42.9 \%$ | 76,708 | $16.2 \%$ | 474,872 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[48.8 \%]$ |  | $[51.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of Protestant private sector applicants decreased by $15.7 \%$ ( $n=36,134$ ), whilst the number of Roman Catholic applicants increased by $13.4 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=24,104$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of private sector applicants increased by [7.4 pp] from [43.8\%] in 2001. From 2006, Protestants and Roman Catholics have accounted for similar proportions of applicants and, from 2007 onwards, there has been a greater number of Roman Catholic than Protestant applicants (Chart 3.2).

### 3.5.1.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of applicants to the private sector were male (55.2\%, $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{2 6 2 , 2 7 0}$ ), while $44.8 \%$ ( $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 1 2 , 6 0 2 )}$ were female (Table 3.13). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of female applicants $(5.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=11,740)$ was greater than for their male counterparts ( $2.1 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=5,523$ ). Thus, the male share of private sector applicants increased by ( 0.8 pp ) from $54.4 \%$ in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the number of male applicants to the private sector increased ( $8.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=19,570$ ) by a greater amount than their female counterparts $(2.6 \%, \mathrm{n}=5,438)$.
Thus, the male share of private sector applicants increased by (1.3 pp) from $53.9 \%$ in 2001.

Chart 3.2: Private Sector Applicants by Community Background, 2001-2010


### 3.5.2. Private Sector Workforce: Appointees

There were 55,276 appointees to the private sector in 2010, a decrease of $18.5 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=12,563$ ) from 2009 (Table 3.14).

### 3.5.2.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 22,763 [47.7\%] Protestant and 24,919 [52.3\%] Roman Catholic appointees to the private sector (Table 3.14). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Protestant appointees (20.6\%, $\mathrm{n}=5,892$ ) was greater than for their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $17.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=5,274$ ), although both were large. Thus, the Roman Catholic share of private sector appointees increased by [1.0 pp] from [51.3\%] in 2009.

Table 3.14: Private Sector Appointees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 11,935 | $41.5 \%$ | 12,854 | $44.7 \%$ | 3,943 | $13.7 \%$ | 28,732 | $52.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[25.0 \%]$ |  | $[27.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 10,828 | $40.8 \%$ | 12,065 | $45.5 \%$ | 3,651 | $13.8 \%$ | 26,544 | $48.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[22.7 \%]$ |  | $[25.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 22,763 | $41.2 \%$ | 24,919 | $45.1 \%$ | 7,594 | $13.7 \%$ | 55,276 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[47.7 \%]$ |  | $[52.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the numbers of Protestant private sector appointees decreased $(41.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=16,003)$ by a greater amount than their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $18.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=5,502$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of private sector appointees increased by [8.3 pp] from [44.0\%] in 2001. From 2005, Protestants and Roman Catholics have accounted for similar proportions of appointees and, from 2006 onwards, there has been a greater number of Roman Catholic than Protestant appointees (Chart 3.3).


### 3.5.2.2. Sex

In 2010, similar proportions of males $\mathbf{( 5 2 . 0 \%}$, $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{2 8}, 732$ ) and females (48.0\%, $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 6}, \mathbf{5 4 4}$ ) were appointed to the private sector (Table 3.14). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of female private sector appointees $(19.3 \%, n=6,350)$ was greater than for their male counterparts $(17.8 \%, n=6,213)$, although, proportionally, both were large. Thus, the male share of private sector appointees increased by ( 0.5 pp ) from 51.5\% in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the number of female private sector appointees decreased ( $28.1 \%, n=10,391$ ) by a greater amount than their male counterparts $(22.3 \%, n=8,235)$. Thus, the male share of private sector appointees increased by ( 2.0 pp ) from $50.0 \%$ in 2001.

### 3.5.3. Private Sector Workforce: Promotees

There were 3,181 promotees in the private sector in 2010 (Table 3.15), a decrease of 22.3\% ( $n=911$ ) from 2009.

### 3.5.3.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 1,465 [52.9\%] Protestant and 1,305 [47.1\%] Roman Catholic promotees in the private sector (Table 3.15). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Protestant promotees $(29.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=618)$ was greater than for their Roman

Catholic counterparts (18.1\%, $\mathrm{n}=228$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of private sector promotees increased by [3.8 pp] from [43.3\%] in 2009.

Table 3.15: Private Sector Promotees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 821 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 47.2 \% \\ & {[29.6 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 704 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 40.5 \% \\ {[25.4 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 215 | 12.4\% | 1,740 | 54.7\% |
| Female | 644 | $\begin{aligned} & 44.7 \% \\ & {[23.2 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 601 | $\begin{gathered} 41.7 \% \\ {[21.7 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 196 | 13.6\% | 1,441 | 45.3\% |
| TOTAL | 1,465 | $\begin{aligned} & 46.1 \% \\ & {[52.9 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 1,305 | $\begin{aligned} & 41.0 \% \\ & {[47.1 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 411 | 12.9\% | 3,181 | 100.0\% |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of Protestant promotees in the private sector decreased (39.5\%, n=957) by a greater amount than their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $10.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=150$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of private sector promotees increase by [9.6 pp] from [37.5\%] in 2001.

### 3.5.3.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of promotees in the private sector were male ( $54.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,740$ ), while 45.3\% ( $n=1,441$ ) were female (Table 3.15). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of male private sector promotees $(28.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=702)$ was more than twice that for their female counterparts ( $12.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=209$ ). Thus, the female share of private sector promotees increased by ( 5.0 pp ) from $40.3 \%$ in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the number of male private sector promotees decreased $(30.5 \%, n=764)$ by more than three times that of their female counterparts $(8.6 \%, n=135)$. Thus, the female share of private sector promotees increase by ( 6.7 pp ) from $38.6 \%$ in 2001.

### 3.5.4. Private Sector Workforce: Leavers

There were 41,493 leavers from the private sector in 2010 (Table 3.16), a decrease of 17.0\% ( $n=8,470$ ) from 2009.

### 3.5.4.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 17,129 [48.2\%] Protestant and 18,384 [51.8\%] Roman Catholic leavers from the private sector (Table 3.16). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Roman Catholic leavers $(16.7 \%, n=3,687)$ was slightly greater than that for their Protestant counterparts ( $16.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=3,305$ ). Thus, the Protestant share of private sector leavers increased by [0.1 pp] from [48.1\%] in 2009.

Table 3.16: Private Sector Leavers by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 9,113 | $41.7 \%$ | 9,624 | $43.1 \%$ | 3104 | $14.2 \%$ | 21,841 | $52.6 \%$ |
|  |  | $[25.7 \%]$ |  | $[27.1 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 8,016 | $40.8 \%$ | 8,760 | $43.6 \%$ | 2876 | $14.6 \%$ | 19,652 | $47.4 \%$ |
|  |  | $[22.6 \%]$ |  | $[24.7 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 17,129 | $41.3 \%$ | 18,384 | $44.3 \%$ | 5,980 | $14.4 \%$ | 41,493 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[48.2 \%]$ |  | $[51.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of Protestant leavers decreased by 18.4\% ( $n=3,869$ ), whilst the number of Roman Catholic leavers increased by $10.7 \%$ ( $n=1,777$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of private sector leavers increased by [7.6 pp] from [44.2\%] in 2001.

### 3.5.4.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of leavers from the private sector were male (52.6\%, $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{2 1 , 8 4 1 ) ,}$ while $47.4 \%(n=19,652)$ were female (Table 3.16). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of female leavers $(18.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=4,371)$ was greater than that for their male counterparts ( $15.8 \%, n=4,099$ ). Thus, the male share of private sector leavers increased by ( 0.7 pp ) from $51.9 \%$ in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the number of male private sector leavers increased by $7.8 \%$ ( $n=1,572$ ), whilst the number of female leavers decreased by $4.3 \%$ ( $n=882$ ). Thus, the male share of private sector leavers increased by (2.9 pp) from 49.7\% in 2001.

## 4. The Public Sector

## All Employees

- In 2010, the public sector accounted for $37 \%$ of the total, monitored Northern Ireland workforce.
- The total public sector workforce comprised 189,772 employees, an increase of $0.3 \%$ ( $n=649$ ) from 2009. The composition of the public sector workforce was 96,524 [53.6\%] Protestant and 83,638 [46.4\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, total Protestant public sector employment decreased by $0.9 \%$ ( $n=877$ ), while total Roman Catholic employment increased by $1.5 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=1,267$ ). Thus, overall, the Roman Catholic share increased [ 0.6 pp ].
- In 2010, nearly two-thirds $(63.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=120,853)$ of public sector employees were female.


## Full-time Workforce

- In 2010, there was 160,620 full-time employees in the public sector, a decrease of $0.6 \%$ ( $n=1,006$ ) compared to 2009. The composition of the full-time workforce was 82,079 [53.7\%] Protestant and 70,743 [46.3\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, full-time Protestant employment decreased by 2.0\% ( $n=1,679$ ), while full-time Roman Catholic employment increased by $1.0 \%$ ( $n=676$ ). Overall, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time public sector workforce increased [0.8 pp].
- In 2010, the majority of full-time public sector employees were female (61.3\%, $n=98,448)$.


## Part-time Workforce

- In 2010, there was 29,152 part-time employees in the public sector, an increase of $6.0 \%(n=1,665)$ compared to 2009. The composition of the part-time workforce was 14,445 [52.8\%] Protestant and 12,895 [47.2\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, part-time Protestant employment increased by 5.9\% ( $n=802$ ), while part-time Roman Catholic employment increased by $4.8 \%$ ( $n=591$ ). Overall, the Protestant share of the part-time public sector workforce increased [0.2 pp].
- In 2010, more than three-quarters of part-time public sector employees were female (76.9\%, $n=22,405$ ).


## Applicants, Appointees, Promotees and Leavers

In 2010, there were:

- 161,705 public sector applicants. The composition was 74,773 [50.5\%] Protestant and 73,350 [49.5\%] Roman Catholic.
- 15,143 public sector appointees. The composition was 6,667 [48.7\%] Protestant and 7,009 [51.3\%] Roman Catholic.
- 4,138 public sector promotees. The composition was 2,038 [51.2\%] Protestant and 1,944 [48.8\%] Roman Catholic.
- 24,227 public sector leavers. The composition was 12,559 [57.7\%] Protestant and 9,207 [42.3\%] Roman Catholic.


### 4.1. The Public Sector Workforce: Introduction

The purpose of this chapter is to help inform employers and other interested parties about wider compositional trends within the public sector that, alongside information on labour availability, may better inform their own considerations of fair participation in specific employment(s).

In 2010, a total of 122 public bodies submitted monitoring returns to the Commission. The public sector enjoyed steady growth between 2001 ( $n=175,083$ ) and 2005 ( $n=194,077$ ), but has subsequently contracted year-on-year. Thus, in 2010 the public sector comprised 189,772 employees, a decrease of 4,305 employees from its peak in 2005.

Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in part-time employment in the public sector ( $n=1,655$ ) was greater than the decrease in full-time employment ( $n=1,006$ ). Thus, in 2010 the sector expanded for the first time since 2005, by 649 employees. During this period, the decrease in the number of Protestants in full-time employment ( $n=1,679$ ) was greater than the increase in the number in part-time employment (802). Thus, overall the number of Protestant employees decreased ( $n=877$ ). Conversely, the numbers of Roman Catholics in both full-time and parttime employment increased (by 676 and 591 respectively). As a result, Roman Catholic public sector representation increased by [0.6 pp] from [45.8\%] in 2009.

In 2010, the majority of public sector employees were female (63.7\%). Between 2009 and 2010, female representation in the public sector increased by ( 0.3 pp ), mainly as a result of the increase in female Roman Catholic employees in both full-time ( $n=368$ ) and parttime ( $n=601$ ) employment.

### 4.2. The Public Sector Workforce: All Employees

There were 189,772 employees in the public sector in 2010 (Table 4.1), an increase of $0.3 \% ~(n=649)$ from 2009.

### 4.2.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 96,524 [53.6\%] Protestant and 83,638 [46.4\%] Roman Catholic employees in the total public sector workforce (Table 4.1). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Roman Catholic employees $(1.5 \%, n=1,267)$ was greater than the decrease in their Protestant counterparts ( $0.9 \%, n=877$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the public sector workforce increased slightly by [0.6 pp] from [45.8\%] in 2009.

Table 4.1: Public Sector (All) Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 36,694 | $53.2 \%$ | 28,093 | $40.8 \%$ | 4,132 | $6.0 \%$ | 68,919 | $36.3 \%$ |
|  |  | $[20.4 \%]$ |  | $[15.6 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 59,830 | $49.5 \%$ | 55,545 | $46.0 \%$ | 5,478 | $4.5 \%$ | 120,853 | $63.7 \%$ |
|  |  | $[33.2 \%]$ |  | $[30.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 96,524 | $50.9 \%$ | 83,638 | $44.1 \%$ | 9,610 | $5.1 \%$ | 189,772 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[53.6 \%]$ |  | $[46.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of Roman Catholic public sector employees increased by $26.2 \%$ ( $n=17,350$ ), whilst the number of Protestant employees decreased by 2.1\% ( $n=2,040$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the public sector workforce increased by [6.2 pp] from [40.2\%] in 2001. In this period the difference between the proportions of Protestants and Roman Catholics employed in the public sector decreased year-on-year, by a total of [12.4 pp] $(n=19,390)$ from [19.6 pp] $(n=32,276)$ in 2010 (Chart 4.1).


### 4.2.2. Sex

In 2010, nearly two-thirds of public sector employees were female ( $63.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=120,853$ ), while more than one-third were male ( $36.3 \%$, $\mathbf{n = 6 8 , 9 1 9 )}$ (Table 4.1). Between 2009 and 2010, the number of male public sector employees decreased by $0.5 \%$ ( $n=325$ ), whilst the number of female employees increased by $0.8 \%(n=974)$. Thus, the female share of the public sector workforce increased slightly by ( 0.3 pp ) from $63.4 \%$ in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the overall increase in the number of female public sector employees ( $16.9 \%, n=17,453$ ) was far greater than the decrease in their male counterparts ( $3.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=2,764$ ). Thus, the female share of the public sector workforce increased by (4.6 pp) from $59.1 \%$ in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of males and females employed in the public sector increased by a total of (9.2 $\mathrm{pp})(\mathrm{n}=20,217)$ from (18.2 pp) $(\mathrm{n}=31,717)$ in 2010.

### 4.2.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, nearly one-third of public sector employees were female Protestants [33.2\%, $n=59,830]$, while less than one-sixth were male Roman Catholics [15.6\%, $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 8}, \mathbf{0 9 3}$ ] (Table 4.1). Female Roman Catholic representation was [30.8\%, $\mathrm{n}=55,545$ ],
while male Protestant representation was [20.4\%, n=36,694]. Between 2009 and 2010, the number of male Protestant and female Protestant employees decreased, by 1.7\% ( $n=637$ ) and $0.4 \% ~(n=240)$ respectively, whilst the number of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employees increased, by 1.1\% ( $n=298$ ) and 1.8\% ( $n=969$ ) respectively. Thus, male Roman Catholic employees' share of the public sector workforce increased by [0.1 pp] from [15.5\%] in 2009, while female Roman Catholic employees' share increased by [0.4 pp] from [30.4\%].

During the period 2001-2010, male Protestants were the only group to show a decrease in employment ( $15.7 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=6,831$ ), while female Roman Catholics showed the greatest increase (29.8\%, $n=12,763$ ). Proportionally, the number of male Roman Catholic employees increased (19.5\%, $n=4,587$ ) by more than female Protestant employees (8.7\%, $\mathrm{n}=4,791$ ). Consequently, the male Roman Catholic share of the public sector workforce increased by [1.3 pp] from [14.3\%] in 2001, while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [4.8 pp] from [26.0\%]. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male Protestants and Roman Catholics employed in the public sector decreased year-on-year, by [7.3 pp, $n=11,418$ ] from [12.1 pp, $n=20,019$ ] (Table 4.2) in 2001. The difference between the proportions of female Protestant and Roman Catholic employees also decreased year-on-year, by [5.0 pp, n=7,972] from [7.4 pp, $n=12,257]$ in 2001.

Table 4.2: Trends in Public Sector (All) Employment by Community Background and Sex, 2001-201029

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Male Protestant] | $26.4 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ |
| [Male R. Catholic] | $14.3 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 7 \%}$ | $14.9 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 12.1 | 10.9 | 9.9 | 9.1 | 8.4 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 4.8 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 20,019 | 18,377 | 17,094 | 16,257 | 15,503 | 14,576 | 13,128 | 10,428 | 9,536 | 8,601 |
| [Female Protestant] | $33.4 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $33.2 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ | $33.2 \%$ |
| [Female R. Catholic] | $26.0 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ | $28.1 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ | $30.1 \%$ | $30.4 \%$ | $30.8 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 7.4 | 6.7 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 2.4 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 12,257 | 11,230 | 10,590 | 9,426 | 8,525 | 7,960 | 6,570 | 6,169 | 5,494 | 4,285 |

### 4.2.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant [27.9\%, $\mathrm{n}=26,973$ ] and Roman Catholic [25.9\%, $\mathrm{n}=21,701$ ] public sector workers were employed in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3) (Table 4.3). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) [0.2\% both, $\mathrm{n}=213$ (P)/ 174 (R.C.)]. In 2010, the sectoral distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees was broadly similar across the SOC categories, although some differences are evident. For example, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in SOC 3 (27.9\% vs. 25.9\%). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 4 (22.5\% vs. 21.1\%).

[^16]Between 2009 and 2010, the greatest proportional change in the number of Protestant employees occurred in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) (2.1\% increase, $n=201$ ), while the greatest proportional change for their Roman Catholic counterparts occurred in SOC 6 (6.4\% increase, $\mathrm{n}=712$ ). Furthermore, in SOC 5 the number of Roman Catholic employees increased ( $6.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=87$ ), whilst the number of Protestant employees decreased (1.6\%, $\mathrm{n}=41$ ). Thus, SOC 5 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition during this period, with the Roman Catholic share increasing by [1.8 pp] from [34.9\%] in 2009.

Table 4.3: Public Sector (All) Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2010

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 4,696 | 4.9 | 54.4 | 3,934 | 4.7 | 45.6 | 8,630 | 4.8 |
| SOC 2 | 9,929 | 10.3 | 51.5 | 9,354 | 11.2 | 48.5 | 19,283 | 10.7 |
| SOC 3 | 26,973 | 27.9 | 55.4 | 21,701 | 25.9 | 44.6 | 48,674 | 27.0 |
| SOC 4 | 20,353 | 21.1 | 52.0 | 18,808 | 22.5 | 48.0 | 39,161 | 21.7 |
| SOC 5 | 2,550 | 2.6 | 63.3 | 1,476 | 1.8 | 36.7 | 4,026 | 2.2 |
| SOC 6 | 12,340 | 12.8 | 51.2 | 11,785 | 14.1 | 48.8 | 24,125 | 13.4 |
| SOC 730 | 213 | 0.2 | 55.0 | 174 | 0.2 | 45.0 | 387 | 0.2 |
| SOC 8 | 3,197 | 3.3 | 56.7 | 2,442 | 2.9 | 43.3 | 5,639 | 3.1 |
| SOC 9 | 16,273 | 16.9 | 53.8 | 13,964 | 16.7 | 46.2 | 30,237 | 16.8 |
| Total | 96,524 | 100.0 | 53.6 | $\mathbf{8 3 , 6 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | 46.4 | $\mathbf{1 8 0 , 1 6 2}$ | 100.0 |

### 4.2.5. Sectoral Components of Public Sector

The public sector is categorised into six components/sectors, namely:

- Health
- Education
- District councils
- Civil service
- Security-related
- Other public authorities

Table 4.4: Changes in Sectoral Composition of the Public Sector 2009-201031

| Public Sectors | Protestant 2010 |  |  | Roman Catholic 2010 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P} \text { \% } \\ \text { change } \end{gathered}$ | RC \% change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | [\%] | N | \% | [\%] | 2009-10 | 2009-10 |
| Health | 32,659 | 33.8 | 50.0 | 32,664 | 39.1 | 50.0 | -5.3 | -1.2 |
| Education | 18,475 | 19.1 | 51.1 | 17,696 | 21.2 | 48.9 | 0.5 | $<0.01$ |
| District Council | 6,662 | 6.9 | 58.4 | 4,754 | 5.7 | 41.6 | 1.7 | 3.1 |
| Civil Service | 18,329 | 19.0 | 54.6 | 15,233 | 18.2 | 45.4 | -0.6 | -0.2 |
| Security | 9,803 | 10.2 | 77.9 | 2,780 | 3.3 | 22.1 | -4.3 | 4.2 |
| Other Public Authorities | 12,097 | 12.5 | 53.1 | 10,704 | 12.8 | 46.9 | 12.1 | 15.8 |
| All Public Sector | 96,524 | 100.0 | 53.6 | 83,638 | 100.0\% | 46.4\% | -0.9\% | 1.5\% |

[^17]
### 4.2.5.1. Distribution of Employees in the Public Sector Components

In 2010, the health sector accounted for the majority of both Protestant (33.8\%, $n=32,659$ ) and Roman Catholic $(39.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=32,664)$ public sector employees (Table 4.4). District Councils accounted for the smallest proportion of Protestant employees (6.9\%, $n=6,662$ ), while Security accounted for the smallest proportion of Roman Catholic employees (3.3\%, $\mathrm{n}=2,780$ ). A difference of ( 6.9 pp ) was evident between the proportions of Protestants ( $10.2 \%, n=9,803$ ) and Roman Catholics (3.3\%, $n=2,780$ ) employed in the Security sector.

Between 2009 and 2010, there was an increase in the number of Protestants and Roman Catholics employed in Other Public Authorities, and in District Councils (Table 4.4). In both sectors, the proportional increase in the number of Roman Catholic employees was greater than for their Protestant counterparts (15.8\% vs. 12.1\% Other Public Authorities; $3.1 \%$ vs. $1.7 \%$ District Councils). In contrast, in the Education sector, the proportional increase in the number of Protestant employees was greater than that for their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $0.5 \%$ vs. $<0.01 \%$ ). Additionally, the numbers of Protestants and Roman Catholics employed in Health and in Civil Service decreased. In both sectors, the proportional decrease in the number of Protestant employees was greater than for their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $5.3 \%$ vs. $1.2 \%$ Health; $0.6 \%$ vs. $0.2 \%$ Civil Service). Differences in the direction of proportional change emerged with regards to Protestant and Roman Catholic employment in the Security sector, as Protestant employment decreased by $4.3 \%$ and Roman Catholic employment increased by $4.2 \%$.

### 4.2.5.2. Community Composition of the Public Sector Components

In 2010, the health sector evidenced the smallest difference [ $<0.01 \mathrm{pp}$ ] in community composition [50.0\% vs. 50.0\%], while the security sector evidenced the largest difference [77.9\% vs. 22.1\%] (Table 4.4). Please refer to chapters 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 for a more detailed examination of the community composition of the public sector components.

### 4.3. The Public Sector Workforce: Full-time Employees

There were 160,620 full-time employees in the public sector in 2010 (Table 4.5), a decrease of $0.6 \%(n=1,006)$ from 2009.

### 4.3.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 82,079 [53.7\%] Protestant and 70,743 [46.3\%] Roman Catholic employees in the full-time public sector workforce (Table 4.5). Between 2009 and 2010 the number of Protestant full-time employees decreased by $2 \%$ ( $n=1,679$ ), whilst the number of Roman Catholic employees increased by $1 \%$ ( $n=676$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time public sector workforce increased by [0.8 pp] from [45.5\%] in 2009.

Table 4.5: Public Sector Full-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 33,342 | $53.6 \%$ | 25,261 | $40.6 \%$ | 3,569 | $5.7 \%$ | 62,172 | $38.7 \%$ |
|  |  | $[21.8 \%]$ |  | $[16.5 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 48,737 | $49.5 \%$ | 45,482 | $46.2 \%$ | 4,229 | $4.3 \%$ | 98,448 | $61.3 \%$ |
|  |  | $[31.9 \%]$ |  | $[29.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 82,079 | $51.1 \%$ | 70,743 | $44.0 \%$ | 7,798 | $4.9 \%$ | 160,620 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[53.7 \%]$ |  | $[46.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, there was a large increase in the number of Roman Catholics employed full-time in the public sector $(29.3 \%, n=16,026)$ and a small decrease in the number of Protestant employees ( $0.2 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=181$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time public sector workforce increased by [6.4 pp], from [39.9\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of Protestants and Roman Catholics employed full-time in the public sector decreased by a total of [12.8 pp] ( $n=16,207$ ), from [20.2 pp] ( $n=27,543$ ) in 2001 (Table 4.6).

### 4.3.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of full-time public sector employees were female (61.3\%, $\mathbf{n}=98,448$ ), while almost two-fifths $(38.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=62,172$ ) were male (Table 4.5). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of female full-time employees $(0.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=666)$ was greater than for their male counterparts ( $0.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=340$ ), although proportionally, both were small. Thus, the male / female shares of the full-time public sector workforce remained relatively unchanged (<0.05\%) from 2009 figures.

Table 4.6: Trends in Public Sector Full-time Employment by Community Background and by Sex, 2001-201032

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Protestant] | $60.1 \%$ | $58.9 \%$ | $58.1 \%$ | $57.4 \%$ | $56.7 \%$ | $56.1 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ | $54.7 \%$ | $54.5 \%$ | $53.7 \%$ |
| [R. Catholic] | $39.9 \%$ | $41.1 \%$ | $41.9 \%$ | $42.6 \%$ | $43.3 \%$ | $43.9 \%$ | $44.4 \%$ | $45.3 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ | $46.3 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 20.2 | 17.8 | 16.2 | 14.8 | 13.4 | 12.2 | 11.2 | 9.4 | 9.0 | 7.4 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 27,543 | 24,751 | 23,181 | 22,175 | 20,396 | 18,415 | 17,320 | 14,265 | 13,691 | 11,336 |
| Male | $44.8 \%$ | $43.6 \%$ | $42.8 \%$ | $42.1 \%$ | $41.4 \%$ | $41.2 \%$ | $40.1 \%$ | $39.2 \%$ | $38.7 \%$ | $38.7 \%$ |
| Female | $55.2 \%$ | $56.4 \%$ | $57.2 \%$ | $57.9 \%$ | $58.6 \%$ | $58.8 \%$ | $59.9 \%$ | $60.8 \%$ | $61.3 \%$ | $61.3 \%$ |
| (F-M) pp diff | 10.4 | 12.8 | 14.4 | 15.8 | 17.2 | 17.6 | 19.8 | 21.6 | 22.6 | 22.6 |
| (F-M) no. diff | 15,093 | 18,866 | 21,892 | 24,801 | 27,715 | 28,108 | 32,128 | 34,645 | 36,602 | 36,276 |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of male full-time employees decreased by 4.4\% ( $n=2,891$ ), whilst the number of female employees increased by $22.8 \%$ ( $n=18,292$ ). Thus, the female share of the full-time public sector workforce increased by ( 6.1 pp ) from $55.2 \%$ in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of males and females employed full-time in the public sector increased overall by (12.2 pp) ( $\mathrm{n}=21,183$ ), from $(10.4 \mathrm{pp})(\mathrm{n}=15,093)$ in 2001 (Table 4.6).

[^18]
### 4.3.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, nearly one-third of full-time public sector employees were female Protestants [31.9\%, $n=48,737$ ], while less than one-sixth were male Roman Catholics [16.5\%, $\mathbf{n = 2 5 , 2 6 1}$ ] (Table 4.5). Female Roman Catholic representation was [29.8\%, n=45,482], while male Protestant representation was [21.8\%, n=33,342]. Between 2009 and 2010, the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant full-time public sector employees decreased, by $1.7 \%(n=585)$ and $2.2 \% ~(n=1,094)$ respectively; whilst the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employees increased, by $1.2 \%(n=308)$ and $0.8 \%(n=368)$ respectively. Consequently, the male Roman Catholic share of the full-time public sector workforce increased by [0.3 pp] from [16.2\%] in 2009, while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [0.5 pp] from [29.3\%].

During the period 2001-2010, male Protestants were the only group to show an overall decrease in full-time employment ( $16.0 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=6,347$ ). Female Roman Catholics showed the greatest increase in full-time employment ( $36.0 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=12,048$ ), while proportionally, male Roman Catholics employees increased ( $18.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=3,978$ ) more than female Protestant employees ( $14.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=6,166$ ). Consequently, male Protestant employees were the only group to see a decrease in their share of the full-time public sector workforce, by [7.2 pp] from [29.0\%] in 2009. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male Protestants and Roman Catholics employed full-time in the public sector decreased year-onyear, by a total of $[8.1 \mathrm{pp}](\mathrm{n}=10,325)$ from $[13.4 \mathrm{pp}](\mathrm{n}=18,406)$ (Table 4.7). The difference between the proportions of female Protestants and female Roman Catholic employees also decreased year-on-year, by a total of [4.6 pp] ( $n=5,882$ ) from [6.7 pp] ( $n=9,137$ ).

Table 4.7: Trends in Public Sector Full-time Employment by Community Background and Sex, 2001-201033

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Protestant Male] | $29.0 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ | $25.9 \%$ | $25.1 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ |
| [R. Catholic Male] | $15.5 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 13.4 | 11.9 | 10.8 | 10.0 | 9.1 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 6.4 | 5.8 | 5.3 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 18,406 | 16,522 | 15,491 | 14,965 | 13,952 | 12,960 | 12,169 | 9,736 | 8,974 | 8,081 |
| [Protestant Female] | $31.1 \%$ | $31.3 \%$ | $31.4 \%$ | $31.5 \%$ | $31.6 \%$ | $31.4 \%$ | $31.7 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ | $32.4 \%$ | $31.9 \%$ |
| [R. Catholic Female] | $24.4 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ | $26.1 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ | $29.1 \%$ | $29.3 \%$ | $29.8 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 6.7 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.1 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 9,137 | 8,229 | 7,690 | 7,210 | 6,444 | 5,455 | 5,151 | 4,529 | 4,717 | 3,255 |

### 4.3.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant [28.7\%, $\mathrm{n}=23,572$ ] and Roman Catholic [26.8\%, $n=18,939$ ] full-time public sector workers were employed in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3) (Table 4.8). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) [0.2\% both, n=174 (P)/ 141 (R.C.)]. In 2010, the sectoral distribution of Protestant

[^19]and Roman Catholic employees was relatively similar across the SOC categories, although some differences are evident. For example, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in SOC 3 (28.7\% vs. 26.8\%). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 4 ( $25.5 \%$ vs. $23.6 \%$ ).

Table 4.8: Public Sector Full-time Employees by Community Background and SOC

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 4,665 | 5.7 | 54.5 | 3,892 | 5.5 | 45.5 | 8,557 | 5.6 |
| SOC 2 | 8,412 | 10.2 | 51.3 | 7,973 | 11.3 | 48.7 | 16,385 | 10.7 |
| SOC 3 | 23,572 | 28.7 | 55.4 | 18,939 | 26.8 | 44.6 | 42,511 | 27.8 |
| SOC 4 | 19,362 | 23.6 | 51.7 | 18,068 | 25.5 | 48.3 | 37,430 | 24.5 |
| SOC 5 | 2,523 | 3.1 | 63.6 | 1,441 | 2.0 | 36.4 | 3,964 | 2.6 |
| SOC 6 | 9,704 | 11.8 | 50.8 | 9,407 | 13.3 | 49.2 | 19,111 | 12.5 |
| SOC 734 | 174 | 0.2 | 55.2 | 141 | 0.2 | 44.8 | 315 | 0.2 |
| SOC 8 | 3,105 | 3.8 | 56.6 | 2,381 | 3.4 | 43.4 | 5,486 | 3.6 |
| SOC 9 | 10,562 | 12.9 | 55.4 | 8,501 | 12.0 | 44.6 | 19,063 | 12.5 |
| Total | 82,079 | 100.0 | 53.7 | 70,743 | 100.0 | 46.3 | 152,822 | 100.0 |

Between 2009 and 2010, the greatest proportional change in the number of Protestant employees occurred in Elementary Occupations (SOC 9) (7.5\% decrease, n=856), while the greatest proportional change for their Roman Catholic counterparts occurred in SOC 5 (5.8\% increase, $\mathrm{n}=79$ ). Furthermore, in SOC 6 the decrease in the number of Protestant employees (4.8\%, $n=487$ ) was greater than the increase in their Roman Catholic counterparts (2.9\%, $\mathrm{n}=268$ ). Thus, SOC 6 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition during this period, with the Roman Catholic share increasing by [1.9 pp] from [47.3\%] in 2009.

### 4.4. The Public Sector Workforce: Part-time Employees

There were 29,152 part-time employees in the public sector in 2010 (Table 4.9), an increase of 6.0\% ( $n=1,665$ ) from 2009.

### 4.4.1. Community Background

## In 2010, there were 14,445 [52.8\%] Protestant and 12,895 [47.2\%] Roman Catholic

 employees in the part-time public sector workforce (Table 4.9). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Protestant part-time employees $(5.9 \%, n=802)$ was greater that that for their Roman Catholic counterparts (4.8\%, $\mathrm{n}=591$ ). Thus, the Protestant share of the part-time public sector workforce increased by [0.2 pp] from [52.6\%] in 2009.[^20]Table 4.9: Public Sector Part-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 3,352 | $49.7 \%$ | 2,832 | $42.0 \%$ | 563 | $8.3 \%$ | 6,747 | $23.1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $[12.3 \%]$ |  | $[10.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 11,093 | $49.5 \%$ | 10,063 | $44.9 \%$ | 1,249 | $5.6 \%$ | 22,405 | $76.9 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $[40.6 \%]$ |  | $[36.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 14,445 | $49.6 \%$ | 12,895 | $44.2 \%$ | 1,812 | $6.2 \%$ | 29,152 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $[52.8 \%]$ | $[47.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of Protestant employees decreased ( $n=1,859$ ) by a greater amount than the number of Roman Catholic employees increased ( 1,324 ). However, in proportional terms, the decrease in Protestant part-time employment (11.4\%) was equal to the increase in Roman Catholic part-time employment (11.4\%). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of the public sector workforce increased by [5.7 pp] from [41.5\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of Protestants and Roman Catholics employed part-time in the public sector decreased overall by [11.4 pp] $(n=3,183)$ from [17.0 pp] $(n=4,733)$ in 2001 (Table 4.10).

### 4.4.2. Sex

In 2010, more than three-quarters of part-time public sector employees were female ( $76.9 \%$, $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 2 , 4 0 5 ) , ~ w h i l e ~ l e s s ~ t h a n ~ o n e - q u a r t e r ~ ( ~} \mathbf{2 3 . 1} \%$, $\mathrm{n}=6,747$ ) were male (Table 4.9). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of female part-time employees (7.9\%, $\mathrm{n}=1,640$ ) was greater than for their male counterparts ( $0.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=15$ ). Thus, the female share of the part-time public sector workforce increased by (1.4 pp) from $75.5 \%$ in 2009.

Table 4.10: Trends in Public Sector Part-time Employment by Community Background and by Sex, 2001-201035

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Protestant] | $58.5 \%$ | $58.4 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ | $55.9 \%$ | $55.7 \%$ | $56.6 \%$ | $54.3 \%$ | $54.2 \%$ | $52.6 \%$ | $52.8 \%$ |
| [R. Catholic] | $41.5 \%$ | $41.6 \%$ | $42.2 \%$ | $44.1 \%$ | $44.3 \%$ | $43.4 \%$ | $45.7 \%$ | $45.8 \%$ | $47.4 \%$ | $47.2 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 17.0 | 16.8 | 15.6 | 11.8 | 11.4 | 13.2 | 8.6 | 8.4 | 5.2 | 5.6 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 4,733 | 4,856 | 4,503 | 3,508 | 3,632 | 4,121 | 2,378 | 2,332 | 1,339 | 1,550 |
| Male | $22.2 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ | $23.2 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ |
| Female | $77.8 \%$ | $76.1 \%$ | $77.4 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ | $77.5 \%$ | $77.0 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ | $76.8 \%$ | $75.5 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ |
| (F-M) pp diff | 55.6 | 52.2 | 54.8 | 55.6 | 55.0 | 54.0 | 53.4 | 53.6 | 51.0 | 53.8 |
| (F-M) no. diff | 16,624 | 15,985 | 16,653 | 17,427 | 18,312 | 18,040 | 15,633 | 15,852 | 14,033 | 15,658 |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of male part-time employees increased by $1.9 \%$ ( $n=127$ ), whilst the number of female employees decreased by $3.6 \%$ ( $n=839$ ). Thus, the male share of the part-time public sector workforce increased slightly by ( 0.9 pp ) from $(22.2 \%)$ in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportion of males and female employed in the public sector has remained relatively substantial (Mean diff=16,422, 53.9 $p p)$, but overall has decreased marginally by $(1.8 \mathrm{pp})(\mathrm{n}=966)$ from $(55.6 \mathrm{pp})(\mathrm{n}=16,624)$ in 2001 (Table 4.10).

[^21]
### 4.4.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, part-time public sector employment was noticeably divided along gender lines, with female Protestants [40.6\%, $n=11,093$ ] and female Roman Catholics [ $36.8 \%, \mathrm{n}=10,063$ ] comprising the majority of part-time employees (Table 4.9). Male Protestant [12.3\%, $n=3,352$ ] and male Roman Catholic [10.4\%, $n=2,832$ ] employees compromised similarly small proportions of the part-time workforce. Between 2009 and 2010, the numbers of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic part-time public sector employees increased, by $8.3 \%(n=854)$ and $6.4 \% ~(n=601)$ respectively; whilst the numbers of male Protestant and male Roman Catholic employees decreased, by 1.5\% ( $n=52$ ) and $0.4 \%(n=10)$ respectively. Consequently, female Protestant employees' share of the part-time workforce increased by [1.1 pp] from [39.5\%] in 2009 and female Roman Catholic employees' share increased by [0.3 pp] from [36.5\%] in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the overall numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant part-time public sector employees decreased, by $12.6 \%$ ( $n=484$ ) and $11.0 \%$ ( $n=1,375$ ) respectively. In contrast, the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employees increased, by $27.4 \%(n=609)$ and $7.6 \%(n=715)$ respectively. Thus, the male Roman Catholic share of the part-time public sector workforce increased by [2.4 pp] from [8.0\%] in 2001, while the female Roman Catholic share increased [3.3 pp] from [33.5\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male Protestants and Roman Catholics employed part-time in the public sector decreased, by a total of $[3.9 \mathrm{pp}](\mathrm{n}=1,093)$ from $[5.8 \mathrm{pp}](\mathrm{n}=1,613)$ in 2001 (Table 4.11). The difference between the proportions of female Protestants and Roman Catholic employees fluctuated during this period, but overall decreased by $[7.4 \mathrm{pp}](\mathrm{n}=2,090)$ from $[11.2 \mathrm{pp}](\mathrm{n}=3,120)$ in 2001.

Table 4.11: Trends in Public Sector Part-time Employment by Community Background and Sex, 2001-2010 ${ }^{36}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Male Protestant] | $13.8 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 6 \%}$ | $14.0 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ |
| [Male R. Catholic] | $8.0 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 5.8 | 6.4 | 5.6 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.9 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 1,613 | 1,855 | 1,603 | 1,292 | 1,551 | 1,616 | 959 | 692 | 562 | 520 |
| [Female Protestant] | $44.7 \%$ | $43.5 \%$ | $43.9 \%$ | $42.8 \%$ | $42.2 \%$ | $42.6 \%$ | $41.0 \%$ | $41.6 \%$ | $39.5 \%$ | $40.6 \%$ |
| [Female R. Catholic] | $33.5 \%$ | $33.1 \%$ | $33.8 \%$ | $35.4 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ | $34.6 \%$ | $35.9 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ | $36.5 \%$ | $36.8 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 11.2 | 10.4 | 10.1 | 7.5 | 6.6 | 8.0 | 5.1 | 5.9 | 3.0 | 3.8 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 3,120 | 3,001 | 2,900 | 2,216 | 2,081 | 2,505 | 1,419 | 1,640 | 777 | 1,030 |

[^22]
### 4.4.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant (39.5\%, n=5,711) and Roman Catholic ( $42.4 \%$, $n=5,463$ ) part-time public sector workers were employed in Elementary Occupations (SOC 9) (Table 4.12). The smallest proportion of Protestant part-time workers were employed in Skilled Trade Occupations (SOC 5) (0.2\%, n=27), while the smallest proportion of Roman Catholic workers were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) ( $0.3 \%$, $n=33$ ). In 2010, the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time employees was relatively similar across the SOC categories, although notably, both were quite concentrated in SOCs 3 and $9^{37}$. With regards to differences in sectoral distribution, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in SOC 3 (23.5\% vs. 21.4\%). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 9 (42.4\% vs. 39.5\%).

Table 4.12: Public Sector Part-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2010

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 ${ }^{38}$ | 31 | 0.2 | 42.5 | 42 | 0.3 | 57.5 | 73 | 0.3 |
| SOC 2 | 1,517 | 10.5 | 52.3 | 1,381 | 10.7 | 47.7 | 2,898 | 10.6 |
| SOC 3 | 3,401 | 23.5 | 55.2 | 2,762 | 21.4 | 44.8 | 6,163 | 22.5 |
| SOC 4 | 991 | 6.9 | 57.3 | 740 | 5.7 | 42.7 | 1,731 | 6.3 |
| SOC 538 | 27 | 0.2 | 43.5 | 35 | 0.3 | 56.5 | 62 | 0.2 |
| SOC 6 | 2,636 | 18.2 | 52.6 | 2,378 | 18.4 | 47.4 | 5,014 | 18.3 |
| SOC 738 | 39 | 0.3 | 54.2 | 33 | 0.3 | 45.8 | 72 | 0.3 |
| SOC 838 | 92 | 0.6 | 60.1 | 61 | 0.5 | 39.9 | 153 | 0.6 |
| SOC 9 | 5,711 | 39.5 | 51.1 | 5,463 | 42.4 | 48.9 | 11,174 | 40.9 |
| Total | 14,445 | 100.0 | 52.8 | $\mathbf{1 2 , 8 9 5}$ | 100.0 | 47.2 | 27,340 | 100.0 |

Between 2009 and 2010, the greatest proportional change in the number of both Protestant (21.2\% increase, $n=461$ ) and Roman Catholic part-time employees (23.0\%, $n=444$ ) occurred in SOC 6. In SOC 9, the proportional increase in the number of Protestant employees (13.4\%, n=676) was three times that of their Roman Catholic counterparts (4.1\%, $\mathrm{n}=215)$. Thus, during this period SOC 9 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with the Protestant share increasing by [2.1 pp] from [49.0\%] in 2009.

[^23]${ }^{38}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represents $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment.

### 4.5 Public Sector Workforce: Applicants, Appointees, Promotees \& Leavers

### 4.5.1. Public Sector Workforce: Applicants

There were 161,705 applicants to the public sector in 2010 (Table 4.13), an increase of 13.5\% ( $n=19,274$ ) from 2009.

### 4.5.1.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 74,773 [50.5\%] Protestant and 73,350 [49.5\%] Roman Catholic applicants to the public sector (Table 4.13). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Protestant applicants to the public sector $(15.2 \%, n=9,879)$ was greater than for their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $12.9 \%, n=8,401$ ). Thus, the Protestant share of public sector applicants increased by [0.5 pp] from [50.0\%] in 2009.

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 34,828 | $47.5 \%$ | 31,674 | $43.2 \%$ | 6,752 | $9.2 \%$ | 73,254 | $45.3 \%$ |
|  |  | $[23.5 \%]$ |  | $[21.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 39,945 | $45.2 \%$ | 41,676 | $47.1 \%$ | 6,830 | $7.7 \%$ | 88,451 | $54.7 \%$ |
|  |  | $[27.0 \%]$ |  | $[28.1 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 74,773 | $46.2 \%$ | 73,350 | $45.4 \%$ | 13,582 | $8.4 \%$ | 161,705 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[50.5 \%]$ |  | $49.5 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.13: Public Sector Applicants by Community Background and Sex in 2010
During the period 2001-2010, the number of Roman Catholic applicants to the public sector increased by $6.9 \% ~(n=4,765)$, whilst the number of Protestant applicants decreased by less than $1 \%(0.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=92)$. Thus, during this period the Roman Catholic share of public sector applicants increased by [1.7 pp] from [47.8\%] in 2001. For the majority of this period, year-on-year there were similar numbers of Protestant and Roman Catholic applicants (Chart 4.2).


### 4.5.1.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of applicants to the public sector were female (54.7\%, $n=88,451$ ), while $45.3 \%(n=73,254)$ were male (Table 4.13). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of male applicants to the public sector $(18.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=11,459)$ was greater than that for their female counterparts ( $9.7 \%, n=7,815$ ). Thus, the male share of public sector applicants increased by (1.9 pp) from 43.4\% in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the number of male applicants to the public sector increased by 29.2\% ( $n=16,546$ ), whilst the number of female applicants decreased by $9.6 \%$ ( $n=9,435$ ). Thus, during this period, the male share of public sector applicants increased by ( 8.6 pp ) from $36.7 \%$ in 2001.

### 4.5.2. Public Sector Workforce: Appointees

There were 15,143 appointees to the public sector in 2010, a decrease of $6.7 \%(n=1,091)$ from 2009 (Table 4.14).

### 4.5.2.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 6,667 [48.7\%] Protestant and 7,009 [51.3\%] Roman Catholic appointees to the public sector (Table 4.14). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Protestant appointees to the public sector ( $11.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=822$ ) was greater than for their Roman Catholic counterparts (3.6\%, $n=260$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of public sector appointees increased by [2.0 pp] from [49.3\%] in 2009.

Table 4.14: Public Sector Appointees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 2,447 | $44.8 \%$ | 2,433 | $44.5 \%$ | 584 | $10.7 \%$ | 5,464 | $36.1 \%$ |
|  |  | $[17.9 \%]$ |  | $[17.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 4,220 | $43.6 \%$ | 4,576 | $47.3 \%$ | 883 | $9.1 \%$ | 9,679 | $63.9 \%$ |
|  |  | $[30.9 \%]$ |  | $[33.5 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 6,667 | $44.0 \%$ | 7,009 | $46.3 \%$ | 1,467 | $9.7 \%$ | 15,143 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[48.7 \%]$ |  | $[51.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of Protestant public sector appointees decreased $(34.2 \%, n=3,470)$ by a greater amount that their Roman Catholic counterparts (24.7, $n=2,296$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of public sector appointees increased by [3.4 pp] from [47.9] in 2001. For the majority of this period, year-on-year there were similar numbers of Protestant and Roman Catholic appointees (Chart 4.3).

Chart 4.3: Public Sector Appointees by Community Background, 2001-2010


### 4.5.2.2. Sex

In 2010, nearly two-thirds of appointees to the public sector were female (63.9\%, $\mathrm{n}=9,679$ ), while $36.1 \%(\mathrm{n}=5,464)$ were male (Table 4.14). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of male public sector appointees ( $9.2 \%, n=551$ ) was greater than that for their female counterparts $(5.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=540)$. Thus, the female share of public sector appointees increased by ( 1.0 pp ) from 62.9\% in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the number of female public sector appointees decreased ( $33.7 \%, n=4,918$ ) by a greater amount than male appointees $(14.7 \%, n=943)$. Thus, the male share of public sector appointees increased by ( 5.6 pp ) from 30.5\% in 2001.

### 4.5.3. Public Sector Workforce: Promotees

There were 4,138 promotees in the public sector in 2010 (Table 4.15), a decrease of $35 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=2,230$ ) from 2009.

### 4.5.3.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 2,038 [51.2\%] Protestant and 1,944 [48.8\%] Roman Catholic promotees in the public sector (Table 4.15). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Protestant promotees in the public sector $(37.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,198)$ was greater than that for their Roman Catholic counterparts (33.4\%, n=973). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of public sector promotees increased by [1.4 pp] from [47.4\%] in 2009.

Table 4.15: Public Sector Promotees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 861 | $53.4 \%$ | 690 | $42.8 \%$ | 61 | $3.8 \%$ | 1,612 | $39.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[21.6 \%]$ |  | $[17.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 1,177 | $46.6 \%$ | 1,254 | $49.6 \%$ | 95 | $3.8 \%$ | 2,526 | $61.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[29.6 \%]$ |  | $[31.5 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 2,038 | $49.3 \%$ | 1,944 | $47.0 \%$ | 156 | $3.8 \%$ | 4,138 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[51.2 \%]$ |  | $[48.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of Protestant public sector promotees decreased (30.8\%, $\mathrm{n}=909$ ) by a greater amount than their Roman Catholic counterparts (7.2\%, $\mathrm{n}=150$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of public sector promotees increased by [7.3 pp] from [41.5\%] in 2001.

### 4.5.3.2. Sex

In 2010, three-fifths of promotees to the public sector were female ( $61.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=2,526$ ),
 the number of male promotees in the public sector $(44.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,272)$ was greater than that for their female counterparts ( $27.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=958$ ). Thus, the female share of public sector promotees increased by ( 6.3 pp ) from $54.7 \%$ in 2009.
During the period 2001-2010, the number of male public sector promotees decreased ( $34.0 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=829$ ) by more than three times that for their female counterparts $(9.8 \%, \mathrm{n}=275)$. Thus, the female share of public sector promotees increased by (7.6 pp) from 53.4\% in 2001.

### 4.5.4. Public Sector Workforce: Leavers

There were 24,227 leavers from the public sector in 2010 (Table 4.16), an increase of $0.4 \% ~(n=100)$ from 2009.

### 4.5.4.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 12,559 [57.7\%] Protestant and 9,207 [42.3\%] Roman Catholic leavers from the public sector (Table 4.16). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Protestant public sector leavers (11.5\%, $\mathrm{n}=1,297$ ) was greater than the decrease in the number of Roman Catholic leavers ( $2.7 \%$, $n=255$ ). Thus, the Protestant share of public sector leavers increased by [3.4 pp] from [54.3\%] in 2009.

Table 4.16: Public Sector Leavers by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 4,299 | $51.3 \%$ | 3,050 | $36.4 \%$ | 1,032 | $12.3 \%$ | 8,381 | $34.6 \%$ |
|  |  | $[19.8 \%]$ |  | $[14.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 8,260 | $52.1 \%$ | 6,157 | $38.9 \%$ | 1,429 | $9.0 \%$ | 15,846 | $65.4 \%$ |
|  |  | $[37.9 \%]$ |  | $[28.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 12,559 | $51.8 \%$ | 9,207 | $38.0 \%$ | 2,461 | $10.2 \%$ | 24,227 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[57.7 \%]$ |  | $[42.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of Roman Catholic leavers from the public sector decreased ( $5.0 \%, n=487$ ), whilst the number of Protestant leavers increased (3.3\%, $\mathrm{n}=407$ ). Thus, the Protestant share of pubic sector leavers increased by [2.1 pp] from [55.6\%] in 2001.

### 4.5.4.2. Sex

In 2010, nearly two-thirds of leavers from the public sector were female (65.4\%, $n=15,846$ ), while more than one-third ( $34.6 \%, n=8,381$ ) were male (Table 4.16). Between 2009 and 2010, the number of male leavers decreased by less than $0.01 \%(n=3)$, while the number of female leavers increased by $0.7 \%$ ( $n=103$ ). Thus, between 2009 and 2010, the female share of public sector appointees increased by ( 0.1 pp ) from $65.3 \%$ in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the number of male leavers from the public sector decreased by $6.6 \% ~(~ n=597)$, whilst the number of female leavers increased by $1.9 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=294$ ). Thus, the female share of public sector leavers increased by ( 2.0 pp ) from $63.4 \%$ in 2001.
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## 5. Public Sector - Health

## All Employees

- In 2010, the health sector accounted for $36.6 \%$ of all public sector employment.
- The total health sector comprised 69,523 employees, a decrease of $3.6 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=2,599$ ) from 2009. The composition of the health sector workforce was 32,659 [50.0\%] Protestant and 32,664 [50.0\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, total Protestant health sector employment decreased by $5.3 \%$ ( $n=1,834$ ), while total Roman Catholic employment decreased by $1.2 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=401$ ). Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share increased by [1.1 pp].
- In 2010, more than four-fifths $(81.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=56,491)$ of health sector employees were female.


## Full-time Workforce

- In 2010, there were 54,469 full-time employees in the health sector, a decrease of $2.9 \%(n=1,802)$ compared with 2009. The composition of the full-time workforce was 27,891 [49.8\%] Protestant and 28,063 [50.2\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, full-time Protestant employment decreased by $4.4 \%$ ( $n=1,297$ ), while full-time Roman Catholic employment decreased by $0.9 \%$ ( $n=264$ ). Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the full-time workforce increased by [ 0.9 pp ].
- In 2010, more than forth-fifths $(80.6 \%, n=47,928)$ of full-time health sector employees were female.


## Part-time Workforce

- In 2010, there were 10,054 part-time employees in the health sector, a decrease of $7.3 \%(n=797)$ compared with 2009. The composition of the parttime workforce was 4,768 [50.9\%] Protestant and 4,601 [49.1\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, part-time Protestant employment decreased by $10.1 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=537$ ), while part-time Roman Catholic employment decreased by $2.9 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=137$ ). Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the part-time workforce increased by [1.9 pp].
- In 2010, the part-time health sector workforce had a higher proportion of female employees ( $85.2 \%, n=8,563$ ) than the full-time workforce.


## Applicants, Appointees, Promotees and Leavers

In 2010, there were:

- 44,835 health sector applicants. The composition was 18,260 [ $45.2 \%$ ] Protestant and 22,113 [54.8\%] Roman Catholic.
- 7,717 health sector appointees. The composition was 3,242 [47.1\%] Protestant and 3,636 [52.9\%] Roman Catholic.
- 877 health sector promotees. The composition was 397 [47.3\%] Protestant and 442 [52.7\%] Roman Catholic.
- 8,256 health sector leavers. The composition was 4,047 [56.0\%] Protestant and 3,184 [44.0\%] Roman Catholic.


### 5.1 The Health Sector Workforce: Introduction

The purpose of this chapter is to help inform employers and other interested parties about wider compositional trends within the health sector that, alongside information on labour availability, may better inform their own considerations of fair participation in specific employment(s).

In 2010, the health sector accounted for $36.6 \%$ of all public sector employment. Between 2009 and 2010, the number of full-time employees in the health sector decreased ( $n=1,802$ ), as did the number of part-time employees ( $n=797$ ). Thus, overall the health sector decreased by 2,599 employees. During this period, the number of Roman Catholic employees decreased ( $n=401$ ) by less than Protestant employees ( $n=1,834$ ). As a result, Roman Catholic representation increased for the first time since 2007, by [1.1 pp] from [48.9\%] in 2009.

Females continue to account for the majority of employees in the health sector. In 2010, more than four-fifths $(81.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=56,491)$ of all those employees in the health sector were female. Furthermore, females accounted for a slightly greater proportion of the part-time workforce $(85.2 \%, n=8,563)$ than the full-time workforce $(80.6 \%, n=47,928)$.

### 5.2. The Health Sector Workforce: All Employees

There were 69,523 employees in the health sector in 2010 (Table 5.1), a decrease of 3.6\% ( $\mathrm{n}=2,599$ ) from 2009.

### 5.2.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 32,659 [50.0\%] Protestant and 32,664 [50.0\%] Roman Catholic employees in the total health sector workforce (Table 5.1). Between 2009 and 2010, the number of Protestant health sector employees decreased $(5.3 \%, n=1,834)$ by a greater amount than their Roman Catholic counterparts (1.2\%, n=401). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the health sector workforce increased by [1.1 pp] from [48.9\%] in 2009.

Table 5.1: Health Sector (All) Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 5,516 | $42.3 \%$ | 6,135 | $47.1 \%$ | 1,381 | $10.6 \%$ | 13,032 | $18.7 \%$ |
|  |  | $[8.4 \%]$ |  | $[9.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 27,143 | $48.0 \%$ | 26,529 | $47.0 \%$ | 2,819 | $5.0 \%$ | 56,491 | $81.3 \%$ |
|  |  | $[41.6 \%]$ |  | $[40.6 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 32,659 | $47.0 \%$ | 32,664 | $47.0 \%$ | 4,200 | $6.0 \%$ | 69,523 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[50.0 \%]$ |  | $[50.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the increase in the number of Roman Catholic employees ( $28.8 \%, \mathrm{n}=7,299$ ) was more than twice that for their Protestant counterparts (11.1\%, $n=3,265)$. Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the health sector workforce increased by [3.7 pp] from [46.3\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of Protestants and Roman Catholics employed in the health sector has remained consistently small [Mean diff=1,792; 3.0 pp ], and overall, has decreased by [7.4 pp] ( $\mathrm{n}=4,024$ ) from [7.4 pp] ( $n=4,029$ ) in 2001 (Chart 5.1).

Chart 5.1: Health Sector (All) Employees by Community Background, 2001-2010


### 5.2.2. Sex

In 2010, more than four-fifths of health sector employees were female (81.3\%, $\mathrm{n}=56,491$ ), while less than one-fifth were male (18.7\%, $\mathrm{n}=13,032$ ) (Table 5.1). Between 2009 and 2010, the number of male health sector employees decreased ( $n=1,550$ ), as did the number of female employees ( $n=1,049$ ). Proportionally, the decrease in the number of male employees (10.6\%) was five times greater than for their female counterparts (1.8\%). Thus, the female share of the health sector workforce increased slightly by (1.5 pp) from 79.8\% in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the number of female health sector employees increased ( $n=8,161$ ) by more than three times that of their male $(n=2,505)$ counterparts. However, proportionally, the increase in the number of male employees (23.8\%) was greater than for their female counterparts ( $16.9 \%$ ). Thus, the male share of the health sector workforce increased by ( 0.8 pp ) from $17.9 \%$ in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of males and females employed in the health sector remained substantial (Mean diff $=42,056,63.2 \mathrm{pp}$ ) but overall decreased marginally by ( 1.6 pp ) from ( 64.2 pp ) in 2001. ${ }^{39}$

[^24]
### 5.2.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2010, health sector employment was noticeably divided along gender lines, with female Protestant [41.6\%, $\mathrm{n}=27,143$ ] and female Roman Catholics [40.6\%, $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{2 6}, \mathbf{5 2 9}$ ] comprising the majority of employees (Table 5.1). Male Protestant $[8.4 \%, n=5,516]$ and male Roman Catholic [9.4\%, $n=6,135$ ] employees comprised similarly small proportions of the health sector workforce. Between 2009 and 2010, female Roman Catholics were the only group to show an increase in employment ( $0.2 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=60$ ). Proportionally, male Protestant employees decreased by the greatest amount ( $13.4 \%$, $n=854$ ), while female Protestants decreased by $3.5 \% ~(~ n=980)$ and male Roman Catholics decreased by $7.0 \% ~(n=461)$. Thus, female Roman Catholic employees were the only group to increase their share of the health sector workforce, by [1.4 pp] from [39.2\%] in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, each of the four groups showed an increase in employment. Proportionally, the increases in male Roman Catholic (31.3\%, $n=1,463$ ) and female Roman Catholic $(28.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=5,836)$ employees were greater than those for male Protestant (17.7\%, $\mathrm{n}=829$ ) and female Protestant ( $9.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=2,436$ ) employees. Consequently, the male Roman Catholic share of the health sector workforce increased by [0.9 pp] from [8.5\%] in 2001, while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [2.8 pp] from [37.8\%]. In this period, the difference between the proportion of male Protestant and male Roman Catholic health employees remained consistently small [Mean diff=102, 0.7 pp ] (Table 5.2), while the difference between the proportion of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic employees decreased, by $[6.3 \mathrm{pp}](\mathrm{n}=3,415)$ from [7.3\%] $(\mathrm{n}=4,029)$ in 2001.

Table 5.2: Trends in Health Sector (AII) Employment by Community Background and Sex, 2001-2010 ${ }^{40}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Male Protestant] | $8.6 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ |
| [Male R. Catholic] | $8.5 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 0.1 | ${ }^{*} 0.2$ | ${ }^{*} 0.5$ | ${ }^{*} 0.8$ | ${ }^{*} 1.1$ | ${ }^{* 1.0}$ | ${ }^{*} 1.1$ | ${ }^{*} 0.8$ | ${ }^{*} 0.4$ | ${ }^{*} 1.0$ |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 15 | 96 | ${ }^{*} 285$ | ${ }^{*} 508$ | ${ }^{*} 647$ | ${ }^{*} 628$ | ${ }^{*} 699$ | ${ }^{*} 578$ | ${ }^{*} 226$ | ${ }^{*} 619$ |
| [Female Protestant] | $45.1 \%$ | $44.8 \%$ | $44.5 \%$ | $43.4 \%$ | $42.7 \%$ | $42.7 \%$ | $42.3 \%$ | $42.3 \%$ | $41.6 \%$ | $41.6 \%$ |
| [Female R. Catholic] | $37.8 \%$ | $38.2 \%$ | $38.7 \%$ | $39.4 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $39.9 \%$ | $40.2 \%$ | $40.1 \%$ | $39.2 \%$ | $40.6 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 7.3 | 6.6 | 5.8 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.0 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 4,029 | 3,643 | 3,151 | 2,391 | 1,710 | 1,782 | 1,329 | 1,521 | 1,654 | 614 |

### 5.2.4 Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant (31.6\%, $\mathrm{n}=10,308$ ) and Roman Catholic ( $35.1 \%$, $n=11,451$ ) health sector workers were employed in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3) (Table 5.3). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (<0.01\% both, $\mathrm{n}=\leq 10$ both). The distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic workers was broadly similar across the SOC categories, although notably, both were very concentrated in SOCs 3,6 and $9^{41}$.

[^25]With regards to differences in sectoral distribution, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in Elementary Occupations (SOC 9) (17.7\% vs. 16.0\%). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 3 (35.1\% vs. 31.6\%).

Between 2009 and 2010, the greatest proportional change in the numbers of both Protestant (17.3\% decrease, $n=265$ ) and Roman Catholic employees (14.4\% decrease, $n=224$ ) occurred in Managerial and Senior Officials Occupations (SOC1). In SOC 6, the decrease in Protestant employees ( $7.5 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=467$ ) was greater than the increase in Roman Catholic employees (3.6\%, $\mathrm{n}=207$ ). Thus, SOC 6 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with the Roman Catholic share increasing by [2.9 pp] from [47.8\%] in 2009.

Table 5.3: Health Sector (All) Employees by Community Background and SOC in $2010^{42}$

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 1,264 | $3.9 \%$ | $48.7 \%$ | 1,334 | $4.1 \%$ | $51.3 \%$ | 2,598 | $4.0 \%$ |
| SOC 2 | 4,095 | $12.5 \%$ | $50.8 \%$ | 3,960 | $12.1 \%$ | $49.2 \%$ | 8,055 | $12.3 \%$ |
| SOC3 | 10,308 | $31.6 \%$ | $47.4 \%$ | 11,451 | $35.1 \%$ | $52.6 \%$ | 21,759 | $33.3 \%$ |
| SOC4 | 4,661 | $14.3 \%$ | $52.5 \%$ | 4,209 | $12.9 \%$ | $47.5 \%$ | 8,870 | $13.6 \%$ |
| SOC5 ${ }^{43}$ | 459 | $1.4 \%$ | $57.2 \%$ | 343 | $1.1 \%$ | $42.8 \%$ | 802 | $1.2 \%$ |
| SOC6 | 5,768 | $17.7 \%$ | $49.3 \%$ | 5,924 | $18.1 \%$ | $50.7 \%$ | 11,692 | $17.9 \%$ |
| SOC7 43 | $*$ | $0.0 \%$ | $*$ | $*$ | $0.0 \%$ | $*$ | $*$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| SOC8 ${ }^{43}$ | 328 | $1.0 \%$ | $60.4 \%$ | 215 | $0.7 \%$ | $39.6 \%$ | 543 | $0.8 \%$ |
| SOC9 | 5,769 | $17.7 \%$ | $52.5 \%$ | 5,226 | $16.0 \%$ | $47.5 \%$ | 10,995 | $16.8 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 2 6 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{3 2 , 6 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{6 5 , 3 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

### 5.3 The Health Sector Workforce: Full-time Employees

There were 59,469 full-time employees in the health sector in 2010 (Table 5.4), a decrease of $2.9 \%(n=1,802)$ from 2009.

### 5.3.1 Community Background

In 2010, there were 27,891 [49.8\%] Protestant and 28,063 [50.2\%] Roman Catholic fulltime employees in the health sector workforce (Table 5.4). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Protestant full-time employees $(4.4 \%, n=1,297)$ was greater that for their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $0.9 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=264$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time health sector workforce increased by [0.9 pp] from [49.3\%] in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the number of Roman Catholic full-time health sector employees increased ( $33.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=7,014$ ) by a greater amount than their Protestant counterparts ( $18.0 \%, n=4,260$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholics share of the health sector workforce increased by [3.1 pp] from [47.1\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between

[^26]the proportions of Protestants and Roman Catholics employed full-time in the health sector decreased, by a total of $[5.4 \mathrm{pp}](\mathrm{n}=2,680)$ from $[5.8 \mathrm{pp}](\mathrm{n}=2,582)$ in 2001 (Table 5.5).

Table 5.4: Health Sector Full-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Male | 4,859 | $42.1 \%$ | 5,502 | $47.7 \%$ | 1,180 | $10.2 \%$ | 11,541 | $19.4 \%$ |
|  |  | $[8.7 \%]$ |  | $[9.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 23,032 | $48.1 \%$ | 22,561 | $47.1 \%$ | 2,335 | $4.9 \%$ | 47,928 | $80.6 \%$ |
|  |  | $[41.2 \%]$ |  | $[40.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 27,891 | $46.9 \%$ | 28,063 | $47.2 \%$ | 3,515 | $5.9 \%$ | 59,469 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[49.8 \%]$ |  | $[50.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

### 5.3.2. Sex

In 2010, more than four-fifths of full-time health sector employees were female ( $80.6 \%, \mathrm{n}=47,928$ ), while less than one-fifth were male (19.4\%, $\mathrm{n}=11,541$ ) (Table 5.4).
Between 2009 and 2010, the number of male employees decreased ( $n=1,315$ ) by a greater amount that their female counterparts ( $n=487$ ). Proportionally, the decrease in the number of male full-time employees (10.2\%) was ten times greater than that of their female counterparts (1.0\%). Thus, the female share of the full-time health sector workforce increased by (1.6 pp) from 79.0\% in 2009.

Table 5.5: Trends in Health Sector Full-time Employment by Community Background and by Sex in 2001-201044

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Protestant] | $52.9 \%$ | $52.6 \%$ | $52.0 \%$ | $51.3 \%$ | $50.9 \%$ | $50.8 \%$ | $50.4 \%$ | $50.5 \%$ | $50.7 \%$ | $49.8 \%$ |
| [R. Catholic] | $\mathbf{4 7 . 1 \%}$ | $47.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 7} \%$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 2 \%}$ | $49.6 \%$ | $49.5 \%$ | $49.3 \%$ | $50.2 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 5.8 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.4 | -0.4 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 2,582 | 2,339 | 1,939 | 1,333 | 911 | 891 | 414 | 532 | 861 | -172 |
| Male | $19.7 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ |
| Female | $80.3 \%$ | $80.5 \%$ | $80.5 \%$ | $80.5 \%$ | $80.5 \%$ | $80.5 \%$ | $80.7 \%$ | $80.5 \%$ | $79.0 \%$ | $80.6 \%$ |
| (F-M) pp diff | 60.6 | 61.0 | 61.0 | 61.0 | 61.0 | 61.0 | 61.4 | 61.0 | 58.0 | 61.2 |
| (F-M) no. diff | 29,103 | 29,830 | 31,136 | 32,921 | 34,152 | 35,149 | 36,586 | 36,445 | 35,559 | 36,387 |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of female full-time employees in the health sector increased $(24.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=9,344)$ by a greater amount than their male counterparts ( $21.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=2,060$ ). Thus, the female share of the full-time health sector workforce increased by ( 0.3 pp ) from $80.3 \%$ in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of males and females employed in the health sector remained substantial (Mean diff=33,727, 60.7 pp ) (Table 5.5).

[^27]
### 5.3.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, female Protestant [41.2\%, $\mathrm{n}=23,032$ ] and female Roman Catholics [40.3\%, $\mathbf{n}=22,561$ ] comprised the majority of full-time health sector employees (Table 5.4). Male Protestant [8.7\%, $n=4,859$ ] and male Roman Catholic [9.8\%, $n=5,502$ ] employees comprised similarly small proportions of the full-time health sector workforce. Between 2009 and 2010, female Roman Catholics were the only group to show an increase in fulltime employment ( $0.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=86$ ). Male Protestants showed the greatest decrease in employment ( $13.6 \%$, $n=766$ ), while proportionally, male Roman Catholic employees decreased ( $6.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=350$ ) more than female Protestant employees $(2.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=531)$. Consequently, the female Protestant share of the full-time health sector workforce increased by [0.2 pp] from [41.0\%] in 2009, while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [1.2 pp] from [39.1\%] in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, in proportional terms, the overall increases in male Roman Catholic (29.4\%, n=1,249) and female Roman Catholic (34.3\%, n=5,765) employment were greater than those for male Protestant ( $15.8 \%, \mathrm{n}=662$ ) and female Protestant ( $18.5 \%, 3,598$ ) employment. Thus, the male Roman Catholic share of the health sector workforce increased by [0.3 pp] from [9.5\%] in 2001, while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [2.7 pp] from [37.6\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportion of male Protestant and Roman Catholic health employees remained consistently small [Mean diff=368, 0.7 pp ] (Table 5.6), but overall increased marginally by [1 pp] ( $n=587$ ) from [0.1 pp] $(n=56)$ in 2001. Conversely, the difference between the proportion of female Protestant and Roman Catholic employees decreased, by [5.1 pp] ( $n=2,167$ ) from [5.9\%] ( $n=2,638$ ) in 2001.

Table 5.6: Trends in Health Sector Full-time Employment by Community Background and Sex, 2001-201045

|  | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Male Protestant] | $9.4 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ |
| [Male R. Catholic] | $9.5 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | -0.1 | -0.2 | -0.4 | -0.8 | -0.9 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -0.4 | -1.1 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | -56 | -99 | -172 | -390 | -463 | -530 | -560 | -543 | -227 | -643 |
| [Female Protestant] | $43.5 \%$ | $43.3 \%$ | $42.8 \%$ | $42.4 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ | $42.1 \%$ | $41.7 \%$ | $41.8 \%$ | $41.0 \%$ | $41.2 \%$ |
| [Female R. Catholic] | $37.6 \%$ | $37.9 \%$ | $38.4 \%$ | $39.0 \%$ | $39.4 \%$ | $39.4 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $39.9 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ | $40.3 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 5.9 | 5.3 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 0.8 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 2,638 | 2,438 | 2,111 | 1,723 | 1,374 | 1,421 | 974 | 1,075 | 1,088 | 471 |

[^28]
### 5.3.4 Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant (33.5\%, $\mathrm{n}=9,098$ ) and Roman Catholic ( $36.6 \%, \mathrm{n}=10,271$ ) full-time health sector workers were employed in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3) (Table 5.7). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (<0.01\% both, $\mathrm{n} \leq 10$ both). The distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic workers was broadly similar, although notably, both were very concentrated in SOCs 3 and $6^{46}$. With regards to differences in sectoral distribution, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in Elementary Occupations (SOC 9) (13.6\% vs. 12.0\%). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 3 ( $36.6 \%$ vs. $32.6 \%$ ).

Table 5.7: Health Sector Full-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in $2010^{47}$

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC1 | 1,250 | 4.5 | 48.7 | 1,318 | 4.7 | 51.3 | 2,568 | 4.6 |
| SOC2 | 3,668 | 13.2 | 50.0 | 3,674 | 13.1 | 50.0 | 7,342 | 13.1 |
| SOC3 | 9,098 | 32.6 | 47.0 | 10,271 | 36.6 | 53.0 | 19,369 | 34.6 |
| SOC4 | 4,381 | 15.7 | 52.3 | 3,988 | 14.2 | 47.7 | 8,369 | 15.0 |
| SOC5 ${ }^{48}$ | 446 | 1.6 | 57.9 | 324 | 1.2 | 42.1 | 770 | 1.4 |
| SOC6 | 4,964 | 17.8 | 50.2 | 4,925 | 17.5 | 49.8 | 9,889 | 17.7 |
| SOC 748 | $*$ | 0.0 | $C$ | $*$ | 0.0 | $C$ | $*$ | 0.0 |
| SOC 8 | 274 | 1.0 | 60.2 | 181 | 0.6 | 39.8 | 455 | 0.8 |
| SOC9 | 3,806 | 13.6 | 53.0 | 3,381 | 12.0 | 47.0 | 7,187 | 12.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 7 , 8 9 1}$ | 100.0 | 49.8 | $\mathbf{2 8 , 0 6 3}$ | 100.0 | 50.2 | 55,954 | 100.0 |

Between 2009 and 2010, the greatest proportional change in the numbers of both Protestant (17.4\% decrease, $n=264$ ) and Roman Catholic employees (14.7\% decrease, $n=227$ ) occurred in Managerial and Senior Officials Occupations (SOC1). In SOC 6, the decrease in Protestant employees ( $6.6 \%, \mathrm{n}=352$ ) was greater than the increase in Roman Catholic employees ( $3.4 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=162$ ). Thus, SOC 6 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with the Roman Catholic share increasing by [2.5 pp] from [47.3\%] in 2009.

### 5.4 The Health Sector Workforce: Part-time Employees

There were 10,054 part-time employees in the health sector in 2010 (Table 5.8), a decrease of $7.3 \%(n=797)$ from 2009.

### 5.4.1 Community Background

In 2010, there were 4,768 [50.9\%] Protestant and 4,601 [49.1\%] Roman Catholic part-time employees in the total health sector workforce (Table 5.8). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of part-time Protestant employees $(10.1 \%, n=537)$ was more than three times that for their Roman Catholic counterparts (2.9\%, $n=137$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the part-time health sector workforce increased by [1.9 pp] from [47.2\%] in 2009.

[^29]During the period 2001-2010, the number of part-time Protestant employees decreased by $17.3 \%$ ( $n=995$ ), whilst the number of Roman Catholic employees increased by $6.6 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=285$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholics share of the health sector workforce increased by [6.3 pp] from [42.8\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of Protestants and Roman Catholics employed part-time in the health sector decreased by [12.6 pp] ( $n=1,280$ ) from [14.4 pp] ( $n=1,447$ ) in 2001, although some fluctuation was evident between 2006 and 2010 (Table 5.9).

Table 5.8: Health Sector Part-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 657 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline 44.1 \% \\ & {[7.0 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 633 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 42.5 \% \\ & {[6.8 \%]} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 201 | 13.5\% | 1,491 | 14.8\% |
| Female | 4,111 | $\begin{gathered} 48.0 \% \\ {[43.9 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 3,968 | $\begin{gathered} 46.3 \% \\ {[42.4 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 484 | 5.7\% | 8,563 | 85.2\% |
| TOTAL | 4,768 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 47.4 \% \\ {[50.9 \%]} \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | 4,601 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 45.8 \% \\ {[49.1 \%]} \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | 685 | 6.8\% | 10,054 | 100.0\% |

### 5.4.2. Sex

In 2010, more than four-fifths of part-time health sector employees were female ( $85.2 \%, n=8,563$ ), while less than one-fifth were male ( $14.8 \%, n=1,491$ ) (Table 5.8). Between 2009 and 2010, the number of female part-time employees decreased ( $\mathrm{n}=562$ ) more than their male counterparts ( $n=235$ ). However, proportionally, the decrease in the number of part-time male employees (13.6\%) was greater than for the female counterparts (6.2\%). Thus, the female share of the health sector workforce increased slightly by (1.1pp) from $84.1 \%$ in 2009.

Table 5.9: Trends in Health Sector Part-time Employment by Community Background and by Sex, 2001-201049

|  | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Protestant] | $57.2 \%$ | $56.2 \%$ | $55.7 \%$ | $52.7 \%$ | $50.7 \%$ | $51.3 \%$ | $51.1 \%$ | $51.9 \%$ | $52.8 \%$ | $50.9 \%$ |
| [R. Catholic] | $42.8 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ | $44.3 \%$ | $47.3 \%$ | $49.3 \%$ | $48.7 \%$ | $48.9 \%$ | $48.1 \%$ | $47.2 \%$ | $49.1 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 14.4 | 12.4 | 11.4 | 5.4 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 5.6 | 1.8 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 1,447 | 1,304 | 1,212 | 550 | 152 | 263 | 216 | 411 | 567 | 167 |
| Male | $9.7 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ |
| Female | $90.3 \%$ | $89.9 \%$ | $90.8 \%$ | $89.3 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ | $86.9 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ | $85.1 \%$ | $84.1 \%$ | $85.2 \%$ |
| (F-M) pp diff. | 80.6 | 79.8 | 81.6 | 78.6 | 76.0 | 73.8 | 71.4 | 70.2 | 68.2 | 70.4 |
| (F-M) no. diff | 8,700 | 9,016 | 9,323 | 8,470 | 9,262 | 8,142 | 7,787 | 8,125 | 7,399 | 7,072 |

[^30]During the period 2001-2010, the number of male part-time employees increased by $42.5 \%$ ( $n=445$ ), whilst the number of female part-time employees decreased by $12.1 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=1,183$ ). Thus, the male share of the part-time health sector workforce increased by (5.1 pp ) from $9.7 \%$ in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male and female part-time health sector employees remained substantial (Mean diff=8,330; 75.1 pp), but decreased overall by (10.2 pp) ( $n=1,628$ ), from ( 80.6 pp ) ( $\mathrm{n}=8,700$ ) in 2001.

### 5.4.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, female Protestants [43.9\%, $n=4,111$ ] and female Roman Catholics [42.4\%, $\mathrm{n}=3,968$ ] comprised more than $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ of part-time health sector employees (Table 5.8). Male Protestant [7.0\%, $\mathrm{n}=657$ ] and male Roman Catholic [6.8\%, $\mathrm{n}=633$ ] employees comprised similarly small proportions of the workforce. Between 2009 and 2010, male Roman Catholic employees showed the greatest proportional decrease in part-time employment ( $14.9 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=111$ ), followed by male Protestant (11.8\%, $\mathrm{n}=88$ ) and female Protestant employees ( $9.8 \%$, $n=449$ ). Female Roman Catholics employees showed the smallest decrease in employment ( $0.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=26$ ). Consequently, female Roman Catholic employees were the only group to increase their share of the part-time health sector workforce, by [2.6 pp] from [39.8\%] in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, only female Protestants showed an overall decrease in parttime employment $(22.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,162)$. The overall increases in the number of male Roman Catholic ( $51.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=214$ ) and male Protestant ( $34.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=167$ ) part-time employees were greater than that for female Roman Catholic employees ( $1.8 \%, n=71$ ). Consequently, the male Protestant share of the part-time health sector workforce increased by [2.1 pp] from [4.9\%] in 2009, while the male Roman Catholic share increased by [2.6 pp] from [4.2\%]. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time health sector employees remained small [Mean diff=59; 0.5 pp ]. The difference between the proportions of female Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time employees decreased, as the mean difference between them [6.6 pp, $n=688$ ] was smaller than the 2001 difference [13.6 pp, n=1,376] (Table 5.10).

Table 5.10: Trends in Part-time Health Sector Employment by Community Background and Sex in $2010^{50}$

|  | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 2004 | 2005 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 2009 | 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Male Protestant] | $4.9 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| [Male R. Catholic] | $4.2 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 0.7 | 0.1 | -1.0 | -1.2 | -1.6 | -0.9 | -1.3 | -0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 71 | 3 | -113 | -118 | -184 | -98 | -139 | -35 | 1 | 24 |
| [Female Protestant] | $52.3 \%$ | $51.6 \%$ | $52.2 \%$ | $48.5 \%$ | $46.0 \%$ | $45.7 \%$ | $45.2 \%$ | $45.3 \%$ | $45.4 \%$ | $43.9 \%$ |
| [Female R. Catholic] | $38.7 \%$ | $39.3 \%$ | $39.8 \%$ | $41.9 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ | $42.2 \%$ | $41.7 \%$ | $41.1 \%$ | $39.8 \%$ | $42.4 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 13.6 | 12.3 | 12.4 | 6.6 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 5.6 | 1.5 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 1376 | 1301 | 1325 | 668 | 336 | 361 | 355 | 446 | 566 | 143 |

${ }^{50}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

### 5.4.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant [41.2\%, n=1,963] and Roman Catholic [40.1\%, $\mathrm{n}=1,845$ ] part-time health sector workers were employed in Elementary Occupations (SOC 9) (Table 5.11). The smallest proportions of both Protestant ( $0.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=13$ ) and Roman Catholic ( $0.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=19$ ) workers were employed in Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5). The distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic workers was broadly similar, although notably, both were very concentrated in SOCs 3 and 9. With regards to differences in sectoral distribution, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) (9.0\% vs. 6.2\%). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 6 (21.7\% vs. 16.9\%).

Table 5.11: Health Sector Part-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in $2010^{51}$

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |  |
| SOC 152 | 14 | $0.3 \%$ | $46.7 \%$ | 16 | $0.3 \%$ | $53.3 \%$ | 30 | $0.3 \%$ |  |
| SOC 2 | 427 | $9.0 \%$ | $59.9 \%$ | 286 | $6.2 \%$ | $40.1 \%$ | 713 | $7.6 \%$ |  |
| SOC 3 | 1,210 | $25.4 \%$ | $50.6 \%$ | 1,180 | $25.6 \%$ | $49.4 \%$ | 2,390 | $25.5 \%$ |  |
| SOC 4 | 280 | $5.9 \%$ | $55.9 \%$ | 221 | $4.8 \%$ | $44.1 \%$ | 501 | $5.3 \%$ |  |
| SOC 5 52 | 13 | $0.3 \%$ | $40.6 \%$ | 19 | $0.4 \%$ | $59.4 \%$ | 32 | $0.3 \%$ |  |
| SOC 6 | 804 | $16.9 \%$ | $44.6 \%$ | 999 | $21.7 \%$ | $55.4 \%$ | 1,803 | $19.2 \%$ |  |
| SOC 7 52 | $*$ | $0.1 \%$ | - | $*$ | - | - | $*$ | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| SOC 852 | 54 | $1.1 \%$ | $61.4 \%$ | 34 | $0.7 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ | 88 | $0.9 \%$ |  |
| SOC 9 | 1,963 | $41.2 \%$ | $51.5 \%$ | 1,845 | $40.1 \%$ | $48.5 \%$ | 3,808 | $40.6 \%$ |  |
| Total | 4,768 | $100.0 \%$ | $50.9 \%$ | 4,601 | $100.0 \%$ | $49.1 \%$ | 9,369 | $100.0 \%$ |  |

Between 2009 and 2010, the greatest proportional change in the numbers of Protestant part-time employees occurred in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (16.7\% decrease, $n=56$ ), while the greatest change in Roman Catholic employees occurred in Professional Occupations (SOC2) (10.3\% decreased, $\mathrm{n}=33$ ). During this period, the proportion of Protestants employed in SOC 6 decreased (12.5\%, $n=115$ ), while the proportion of Roman Catholics increased (4.5\%, $\mathrm{n}=45$ ). Thus, SOC 6 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with Roman Catholic employees' share increasing by [4.5 pp] from [50.9\%] in 2009.

[^31]
### 5.5 Health Sector Workforce: Applicants, Appointees, Promotees \& Leavers

### 5.5.1. Health Sector Workforce: Applicants

There were 44,835 applicants to the health sector in 2010 (Table 5.12). This represents an increase of 5.4\% ( $n=2,311$ ) from 2009.

### 5.5.1.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 18,260 [45.2\%] Protestant and 22,113 [54.8\%] Roman Catholic applicants to the health sector (Table 5.12). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Roman Catholic applicants $(10.2 \%, n=2,046)$ was, proportionally, nearly twice that of their Protestant counterparts (5.2\%, $\mathrm{n}=908$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of health sector applicants increased by [1.2 pp] from [53.6\%] in 2009.

Table 5.12: Health Sector Applicants by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 5,462 | $39.5 \%$ | 6,479 | $46.9 \%$ | 1,876 | $13.6 \%$ | 13,817 | $30.8 \%$ |
|  |  | $[13.5 \%]$ |  | $[16.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 12,798 | $41.3 \%$ | 15,634 | $50.4 \%$ | 2,586 | $8.3 \%$ | 31,018 | $69.2 \%$ |
|  |  | $[31.7 \%]$ |  | $[38.7 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 18,260 | $40.7 \%$ | 22,113 | $49.3 \%$ | 4,462 | $10.0 \%$ | 44,835 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[45.2 \%]$ |  | $[54.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Between 2001 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Protestant health sector applicants (19.1\%, $\mathrm{n}=4,308$ ) was more than three times that for their Roman Catholic counterparts (5.5\%, $\mathrm{n}=1,286$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of health sector applicants increased by [3.9 pp] from [50.9\%] in 2001. Over this period, Roman Catholics have, in general, comprised a greater proportion of applicants when compared to Protestants (Chart 5.2).

Chart 5.2: Health Sector Applicants by Community Background, 2001-2010


### 5.5.1.2. Sex

In 2010, more than two-thirds of applicants to the health sector were female (69.2\%, $\mathbf{n = 3 1 , 0 1 8}$ ), while $\mathbf{3 0 . 8 \%}(\mathrm{n}=13,817$ ) were male (Table 5.12). Between 2009 and 2010, there was a substantial increase in the number of male applicants to the health sector (20.1\%, $n=2,310$ ), and little change in the number of female applicants ( $<0.01 \%, n=1$ ). Thus, the male share of health sector applicants increased by (3.7 pp) from $27.1 \%$ in 2009.
During the period 2001-2010, the number of males health sector applicants increased by $10.1 \%$ ( $n=1,264$ ), whilst the number of female applicants decreased by $18.8 \%(n=7,164)$. Thus, the male share of health sector applicants increased by (6.1\% pp) from $24.7 \%$ in 2001.

### 5.5.2. Health Sector Workforce: Appointees

There were 7,717 appointees to the health sector in 2010 (Table 5.13), an increase of 9.2\% ( $n=650$ ) from 2009.

### 5.5.2.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 3,242 [47.1\%] Protestant and 3,636 [52.9\%] Roman Catholic health sector appointees (Table 5.13). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Roman Catholic appointees $(12.7 \%, n=411)$ was nearly twice that of their Protestant (6.9\%, n=208) counterparts. Thus, the Roman Catholic share of health sector appointees increased by [1.4 pp] from [51.5\%] in 2009.

Table 5.13: Health Sector Appointees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 835 | $41.5 \%$ | 892 | $44.4 \%$ | 283 | $14.1 \%$ | 2,010 | $26.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[12.1 \%]$ |  | $[13.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 2,407 | $42.2 \%$ | 2,744 | $48.1 \%$ | 556 | $9.7 \%$ | 5,707 | $74.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[35.0 \%]$ |  | $[39.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 3,242 | $42.0 \%$ | 3,636 | $47.1 \%$ | 839 | $10.9 \%$ | 7,717 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[47.1 \%]$ |  | $[52.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

Between 2001 and 2010, the number of Protestant health sector appointees decreased ( $34.6 \%, n=1,718$ ) by a greater amount than their Roman Catholic counterparts (27.3\%, $\mathrm{n}=1,362$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of health sector appointees increased by [2.7 pp] from [50.2\%] in 2001. Over this period, Roman Catholics have consistently comprised a greater proportion of appointees when compared to Protestants (Chart 5.3).

Chart 5.3: Health Sector Appointees by Community Background, 2001-2010


### 5.5.2.2. Sex

In 2010, nearly three-quarters of appointees to the health sector were female (74.0\%, $\mathbf{n}=5,707$ ), while $\mathbf{2 6 . 0} \%(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2}, 010)$ were male (Table 5.13). Between 2009 and 2010, the number of male health sector appointees increased $(n=336)$ by slightly more than female appointees $(n=314)$. However, proportionally, the increase in the number of male appointees (20.1\%) was more than three times that of their female counterparts (5.8\%). Thus, the male share of health sector appointees increased by ( 2.3 pp ) from $23.7 \%$ in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the number of female health sector appointees decreased $(34.3 \%, n=2,986)$ by a much greater amount than their male counterparts $(2.2 \%, n=45)$. Thus, the male share of health sector appointees increased by (6.9 pp) from 19.1\% in 2001.

### 5.5.3. Health Sector Workforce: Promotees

There were 877 promotees in the health sector in 2010 (Table 5.14), an increase of 22.8\% ( $\mathrm{n}=163$ ) from 2009.

### 5.5.3.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 397 [47.3\%] Protestant and 442 [52.7\%] Roman Catholic health sector promotees (Table 5.14). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Roman Catholic promotees ( $32.3 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=108$ ) was greater than of their Protestant counterparts (18.5\%, $\mathrm{n}=62$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of health sector promotees increased by [2.8 pp] from [49.9\%] in 2009.

Table 5.14: Health Sector Promotees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined ${ }^{53}$ |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 77 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline 47.0 \% \\ & {[9.2 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 78 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline 47.6 \% \\ & {[9.3 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | * | 5.5\% | 164 | 18.7\% |
| Female | 320 | $\begin{gathered} 44.9 \% \\ {[38.1 \%]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 364 | $\begin{gathered} 51.1 \% \\ {[43.4 \%]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \# | 4.1\% | 713 | 81.3\% |
| TOTAL | 397 | $\begin{gathered} 45.3 \% \\ {[47.3 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 442 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 50.4 \% \\ {[52.7 \%]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 38 | 4.3\% | 877 | 100.0\% |

### 5.5.3.2. Sex

In 2010, four-fifths of promotees to the health sector were female (81.3\%, $\mathrm{n}=713$ ), while 18.7\% ( $\mathrm{n}=164$ ) were male (Table 5.14). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of female promotees in the health sector ( $44.6 \%, \mathrm{n}=220$ ) was greater than the decrease in male promotees ( $25.8 \%, \mathrm{n}=57$ ). Thus, the female share of health sector promotees increased by (12.3 pp) from 69.0\% in 2009.
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### 5.5.4. Health Sector Workforce: Leavers

There were 8,256 leavers from the health sector in 2010 (Table 5.15), a decrease of 1.2\% ( $\mathrm{n}=103$ ) from 2009.

### 5.5.4.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 4,047 [56.0\%] Protestant and 3,184 [44.0\%] Roman Catholic leavers from the health sector (Table 5.15). Between 2009 and 2010, there was an increase in the number of Protestant leavers from the health sector ( $16.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=558$ ), and a decrease in the number of Roman Catholic leavers (13.2\%, n=486). Thus, the Protestant share of health sector leavers increased by [7.3 pp] from [48.7\%] in 2009.

Table 5.15: Health Sector Leavers by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 933 | $39.7 \%$ | 878 | $\begin{array}{c}37.3 \% \\ {[12.1 \%]}\end{array}$ | 541 | $23.0 \%$ | 2,352 | $28.5 \%$ |
|  |  | $[12.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |$)$

### 5.5.4.2. Sex

In 2010, nearly three-quarters of leavers from the health sector were female (71.5\%, $\mathbf{n = 5 , 9 0 4}$ ), while 28.5\% ( $\mathrm{n}=2,352$ ) were male (Table 5.15). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of female leavers ( $n=234$ ) was greater than the increase in male leavers ( $n=131$ ). However, proportionally, the increase in the number of male leavers ( $5.9 \%$ ) was greater than the decrease in female leavers (3.8\%). Thus, the male share of health leavers increased by ( 1.9 pp ) from $26.6 \%$ in 2009.

## 6. Public Sector - Education

All Employees

- In 2010, the education sector accounted for $20 \%$ of all public sector employment.
- The total education sector comprised 37,977 employees, an increase of 0.8\% ( $\mathrm{n}=307$ ) from 2009. The composition of the education sector workforce was 18,475 [51.1\%] Protestant and 17,696 [48.9\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, total Protestant education sector employment increased by $0.5 \%$ ( $n=98$ ), while total Roman Catholic employment changed very little (<0.1\%). Overall, the Protestant share of the education sector workforce increased by [0.2 pp].
- In 2010, more than three-quarters $(78.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=29,903)$ of education sector employees were female.


## Full-time Workforce

- In 2010, there were 23,496 full-time employees in the education sector, a decrease of $7.7 \%$ ( $n=1,955$ ) compared to 2009. The composition of the full-time workforce was 11,500 [50.9\%] Protestant and 11,085 [49.1\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, full-time Protestant employment decreased by $9.6 \%$ ( $n=1,221$ ), while full-time Roman Catholic employment decreased by $4.8 \%$ ( $n=565$ ). Overall, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time education sector workforce increased by [1.3 pp].
- In 2010 , more than three-quarters $(77.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=18,174)$ of full-time education sector employees were female.


## Part-time Workforce

- In 2010, there were 14,481 part-time employees in the public sector, an increase of $18.5 \%(n=2,262)$ compared to 2009. The composition of the parttime workforce was 6,975 [51.3\%] Protestant and 6,611 [48.7\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, part-time Protestant employment increased by $23.3 \%$ ( $n=1,319$ ), while part-time Roman Catholic employment increased by $9.3 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=563$ ). Overall, the Protestant share of the part-time education sector workforce increased by [3.0 pp].
- In 2010, more than four-fifths of part-time education sector employees were female (81.0\%, n=11,729).


## Applicants, Appointees, Promotees and Leavers

In 2010, there were:

- 18,515 education sector applicants. The composition was 7,777 [46.1\%] Protestant and 9,088 [53.9\%] Roman Catholic.
- 2,065 education sector appointees. The composition was 906 [47.6\%] Protestant and 996 [52.4\%] Roman Catholic.
- 504 education sector promotees. The composition was 219 [45.9\%] Protestant and 258 [54.1\%] Roman Catholic
- 6,559 education sector leavers. The composition was 3,028 [52.0\%] Protestant and 2,797 [48.0\%] Roman Catholic.


### 6.1 The Education Sector Workforce: Introduction

The purpose of this chapter is to help inform employers and other interested parties about wider compositional trends within the education sector that, alongside information on labour availability, may better inform their own considerations of fair participation in specific employment(s).

The education sector was comprised of 13 public authorities, including six regional colleges and five education and library boards. Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in part-time employment in the education sector ( $n=2,262$ ) was greater than the decrease in full-time employment ( $n=1,955$ ). Thus, overall the sector increased by 307 employees. During this period, the increase in the number of Protestants in part-time employment $(n=1,319)$ was greater that the decrease in full-time employment ( $n=1,221$ ). Conversely, the decrease in the number of Roman Catholics in full-time employment ( $n=565$ ) was greater than the increase in part-time employment ( $n=563$ ). Thus, the overall number of Protestant employees in the education sector increased ( $n=98$ ), whilst the number of Roman Catholic employees decreased ( $n=2$ ), resulting in the Protestant share of the total education sector workforce increased slightly by [0.2 pp] to [51.1\%].

Females continue to account for the majority of employees in the education sector. In 2010, more than three-quarters $(78.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=29,903)$ of all employees in the education sector were female. Furthermore, females accounted for greater proportion of the part-time education workforce $(81.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=11,729)$ than the full-time workforce $(77.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=18,174)$.

### 6.2 The Education Sector Workforce: All Employees

There were 37,977 employees in the education sector in 2010 (Table 6.1), an increase of $0.8 \%(n=307)$ from 2009.

### 6.2.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 18,475 [51.1\%] Protestant and 17,696 [48.9\%] Roman Catholic employees in the total education sector workforce (Table 6.1). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Protestant education sector employees was small ( $0.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=98$ ), as was the decrease in their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $<0.01 \%, \mathrm{n}=2$ ). Thus, the Protestant share of the education sector workforce increased slightly by [0.2 pp] from [50.9\%] in 2009.

Table 6.1: Education Sector (All) Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 3,894 | $48.2 \%$ | 3,658 | $45.3 \%$ | 522 | $6.5 \%$ | 8,074 | $21.3 \%$ |
|  |  | $[10.8 \%]$ |  | $[10.1 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 14,581 | $48.8 \%$ | 14,038 | $46.9 \%$ | 1,284 | $4.3 \%$ | 29,903 | $78.7 \%$ |
|  |  | $[40.3 \%]$ |  | $[38.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 18,475 | $48.6 \%$ | 17,696 | $46.6 \%$ | 1,806 | $4.8 \%$ | 37,977 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[51.1 \%]$ |  | $[48.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the overall increase in the number of Roman Catholic employees $(24.2 \%, n=3,450)$ was more than twice that of their Protestant counterparts ( $9.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,629$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the education sector workforce increased by [3.1 pp] from [45.8\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of Protestants and Roman Catholic education sector employees decreased by a total of $[6.2 \mathrm{pp}](\mathrm{n}=1,821)$, from $[8.4 \mathrm{pp}](\mathrm{n}=2,600)$ in 2001.

Chart 6.1: Education Sector (All) Employees by Community Background 2001-2010


### 6.2.2. Sex

In 2010, more than three-quarters of education sector employees were female (78.7\%, $n=29,903$ ), while less than one-quarter were male ( $21.3 \%, n=8,074$ ) (Table 6.1).
Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of male employees $(0.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=76$ ) was small, as was the increase in their female counterparts ( $1.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=383$ ). Thus, the female share of the education sector workforce increased slightly by ( 0.3 pp ) from $78.4 \%$ in 2009.

Between 2001 and 2010, female education sector employees increased ( $19.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=4,773$ ) by a greater amount than their male counterparts ( $2.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=193$ ). Thus, the female share of the education sector workforce increased slightly by ( 2.6 pp ) from $76.1 \%$ in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of males and females education sector employees remained substantial (Mean diff=20,269, 55.4 pp ). Year-on-year, the difference between the two groups fluctuated, but an overall increase of ( $5.2 \mathrm{pp}, \mathrm{n}=4,580$ ) is evident, from (52.2 pp) ( $n=17,249$ ) in 2001.

### 6.2.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, employment in the education sector was noticeably divided along gender lines, with female Protestants [40.3\%, $n=14,581$ ] and female Roman Catholics [38.8\%, $\mathrm{n}=14,038$ ] compromising the majority of employees (Table 6.1). Male Protestant [10.8\%,n=3,894] and male Roman Catholics [10.1\%, $n=3,658$ ] employees comprised similarly small proportions of the workforce. Between 2009 and 2010, the numbers of male Protestant and male Roman Catholic employees decreased, by 1.3\% ( $n=52$ ) and $2.4 \% ~(n=90)$ respectively. Conversely, the numbers of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic employees increased, by $1.0 \%$ ( $n=150$ ) and 0.6\% ( $n=88$ ) respectively. Consequently, the female Protestant share of the education sector workforce increased slightly by [0.3 pp] from [40.0\%] in 2009, while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [0.1 pp] from [38.7\%].

During the period 2001-2010, the numbers of employees in each of the four groups increased, although the overall increase in male Protestant employment was very small ( $0.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=7$ ). In proportional terms, the overall increase in female Roman Catholic employees (29.5\%, $\mathrm{n}=3,914$ ) was more than twice that for female Protestant employees ( $12.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,622$ ), and four times that for male Roman Catholic employees (7.5\%, $\mathrm{n}=256$ ). Thus, female Roman Catholic employees' were the only group to increase their share of the education sector workforce, by [3.9 pp] from [34.9\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male Protestant and male Roman Catholics education sector employees remained small [Mean diff=453, 1.3 pp ] (Table 6.2) and decreased overall by [0.9 pp] ( $n=249$ ), from [1.6 pp] $(n=485)$ in 2001. The difference between the proportions of female Protestants and Roman Catholics also decreased, as the mean difference between the two groups [4.1 pp, $n=1,415$ ] was smaller than the 2001 difference [6.8 pp, $\mathrm{n}=2,115$ ].

Table 6.2: Trends in Education Sector (All) Employment by Community Background and Sex, 2001-201054

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Male Protestant] | $12.5 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ |
| [Male R. Catholic] | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9 \%}$ | $11.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 2 \%}$ | $9.8 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 485 | 537 | 419 | 613 | 617 | 555 | 497 | 376 | 198 | 236 |
| [Female Protestant] | $41.7 \%$ | $41.0 \%$ | $41.0 \%$ | $41.6 \%$ | $41.5 \%$ | $41.1 \%$ | $41.1 \%$ | $40.9 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $40.3 \%$ |
| [Female R. Catholic] | $34.9 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ | $36.2 \%$ | $36.5 \%$ | $36.9 \%$ | $37.9 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | $38.7 \%$ | $38.8 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 6.8 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 2,115 | 1,856 | 1,639 | 1,948 | 1,879 | 1,515 | 1,134 | 1,043 | 481 | 543 |

### 6.2.4. Community Background and SOC

## In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant [29.3\%, n=5,407] and Roman Catholic [28.6\%, $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{5 , 0 6 2}$ ] workers were employed in Elementary Occupations (SOC 9)

(Table 6.3). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) [0.3\%, n=48 P; 0.1\%, n=22 R.C]. The distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees was broadly similar across the SOC categories, although notably, both were very concentrated in SOCs 6 and $9^{55}$. With regards to differences in sectoral distribution, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic than Protestant workers were employed in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) (14.7\% vs. 12.6\%).

Table 6.3: Education Sector (All) Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2010

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 514 | 2.8 | 52.4 | 466 | 2.6 | 47.6 | 980 | 2.7 |
| SOC 2 | 2,336 | 12.6 | 47.4 | 2,596 | 14.7 | 52.6 | 4,932 | 13.6 |
| SOC 3 | 1,959 | 10.6 | 49.2 | 2,023 | 11.4 | 50.8 | 3,982 | 11.0 |
| SOC 4 | 2,127 | 11.5 | 52.0 | 1,963 | 11.1 | 48.0 | 4,090 | 11.3 |
| SOC 5 | 549 | 3.0 | 56.7 | 419 | 2.4 | 43.3 | 968 | 2.7 |
| SOC 6 | 5,079 | 27.5 | 51.5 | 4,782 | 27.0 | 48.5 | 9,861 | 27.3 |
| SOC 7 $^{56}$ | 48 | 0.3 | 68.6 | 22 | 0.1 | 31.4 | 70 | 0.2 |
| SOC 8 | 456 | 2.5 | 55.7 | 363 | 2.1 | 44.3 | 819 | 2.3 |
| SOC 9 | 5,407 | 29.3 | 51.6 | 5,062 | 28.6 | 48.4 | 10,469 | 28.9 |
| Total | 18,475 | 100.0 | 51.1 | 17,696 | 100.0 | 48.9 | 36,171 | 100.0 |

Between 2009 and 2010, the greatest proportional change in the numbers of both Protestant (11.0\% increase, $\mathrm{n}=505$ ) and Roman Catholic employees (11.9\% increase, $\mathrm{n}=508$ ) occurred in SOC 6. In Managerial and Senior Official Occupations (SOC 1), the number of protestant employees decreased slightly ( $0.6 \%, n=3$ ), whilst the number of Roman Catholic employees increased slightly ( $2.6 \%, \mathrm{n}=12$ ). Thus, SOC 1 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with the Roman Catholic share increasing by [0.8 pp] from [46.8\%] in 2009.
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### 6.3 The Education Sector Workforce: Full-time Employees

There were 23,496 full-time employees in the education sector in 2010 (Table 6.4), a decrease of $7.7 \% ~(n=1,955)$ from 2009.

### 6.3.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 11,500 [50.9\%] Protestant and 11,085 [49.1\%] Roman Catholic full-time employees in the total education sector workforce (Table 6.4). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Protestant full-time education sector employees ( $9.6 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=1,221$ ) was twice that for Roman Catholic employees (4.8\%, $\mathrm{n}=565$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the education sector workforce increased slightly by [1.3 pp] from [47.8\%] in 2009.

Table 6.4: Education Sector Full-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 2,649 | $49.8 \%$ | 2,398 | $45.1 \%$ | 275 | $5.2 \%$ | 5,322 | $22.7 \%$ |
|  |  | $[11.7 \%]$ |  | $[10.6 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 8,851 | $48.7 \%$ | 8,687 | $47.8 \%$ | 636 | $3.5 \%$ | 18,174 | $77.3 \%$ |
|  |  | $[39.2 \%]$ |  | $[38.5 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 11,500 | $48.9 \%$ | 11,085 | $47.2 \%$ | 911 | $3.9 \%$ | 23,496 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[50.9 \%]$ |  |  | $[49.1 \%]$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the overall increase in Roman Catholic education sector employees ( $35.1 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=2881$ ) was greater than that for Protestant employees (19.7\%, $\mathrm{n}=1,896$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the education sector workforce increased by [3.0 pp] from [46.1\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of Protestant and Roman Catholics full-time education sector employees remained small [Mean diff=1,009, 4.9 pp ] and showed an overall decrease, as the mean difference was smaller than the 2001 difference [7.8 pp, $n=1,400$ ] (Table 6.5).

### 6.3.2. Sex

In 2010, more than three-quarters of full-time education sector employees were female (77.3\%, $\mathrm{n}=18,174$ ), while less than one-quarter were male $(22.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=5,322)$ (Table 6.4). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of female education sector employees $(8.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,726)$ was, proportionally, more than twice that of their male counterparts ( $4.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=229$ ). Thus, the male share of the education sector workforce increased slightly by ( 0.9 pp ) from $21.8 \%$ in 2009.

Table 6.5: Trends in Education Sector Full-time Employment by Community Background and by Sex, 2001-201057

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Protestant] | $53.9 \%$ | $53.4 \%$ | $52.8 \%$ | $53.7 \%$ | $52.8 \%$ | $51.0 \%$ | $52.2 \%$ | $51.6 \%$ | $52.2 \%$ | $50.9 \%$ |
| [R. Catholic] | $46.1 \%$ | $46.6 \%$ | $47.2 \%$ | $46.3 \%$ | $47.2 \%$ | $49.0 \%$ | $47.8 \%$ | $48.4 \%$ | $47.8 \%$ | $49.1 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 7.8 | 6.8 | 5.6 | 7.4 | 5.6 | 2.0 | 4.4 | 3.2 | 4.4 | 1.8 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 1,400 | 1,280 | 1,108 | 1,508 | 1,219 | 390 | 959 | 735 | 1,071 | 415 |
| Male | $27.5 \%$ | $26.6 \%$ | $25.7 \%$ | $25.1 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ |
| Female | $72.5 \%$ | $73.4 \%$ | $74.3 \%$ | $74.9 \%$ | $75.3 \%$ | $74.2 \%$ | $77.5 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ | $78.2 \%$ | $77.3 \%$ |
| (F-M) pp diff. | 45.0 | 46.8 | 48.6 | 49.8 | 50.6 | 48.4 | 55.0 | 55.6 | 56.4 | 54.6 |
| (F-M) no. diff | 8,422 | 9,057 | 9,877 | 10,664 | 11,357 | 9,920 | 12,488 | 13,286 | 14,349 | 12,852 |

During the period 2001 - 2010, female education sector employees increased (34.0\%, $n=4,614$ ) by a greater amount than their male counterparts (3.6\%, $\mathrm{n}=184$ ). Thus, the female share of the full-time education sector workforce increased by (4.8 pp) from $72.5 \%$ in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of males and females employed full-time in the education sector remained substantial (Mean diff=11,227, 51.1 pp ) and showed an overall decrease, as the mean difference was smaller than the 2001 difference ( $45.0 \mathrm{pp} ; \mathrm{n}=8,422$ ) (Table 6.5).

### 6.3.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, the female Protestants [39.2\%, n=8,851] and Roman Catholics [38.5\%, $\mathbf{n}=8,687$ ] comprised the majority of full-time education sector employees (Table 6.4).
Male Protestant [11.7\%, $n=2,649$ ] and Roman Catholic [10.6\%, $n=2,398$ ] employees comprised similarly small proportions of the workforce. Between 2009 and 2010, the number of female Protestant full-time employees decreased $(11.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,119)$ by a much greater amount than any other group. Female Roman Catholic employees decreased by $5.2 \%$, ( $n=481$ ), while the decreases in male Protestant ( $3.7 \%, n=102$ ) and male Roman Catholic ( $3.4 \%, n=84$ ) employees were smaller again. As a result, female Protestant employees were the only group to see a decrease in their share of the full-time workforce, by [1.7 pp] from [40.9 \%] in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the number of female Roman Catholic full-time employees increased ( $46.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=2,740$ ) by a much greater amount than any other group. Female Protestant employees increased by $26.4 \%$ ( $n=1,847$ ), while the increases in male Roman Catholic ( $6.2 \%, n=141$ ) and male Protestant ( $1.9 \%, n=49$ ) employees were smaller again. Thus, female Roman Catholic employees were the only group to increase their share of the full-time education sector workforce, by [5.1 pp] from [33.4\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportion of male Protestant and male Roman Catholic fulltime employees remained small [Mean diff=323, 1.6 pp ] (Table 6.6), and decreased overall

[^34]by [0.8 pp] ( $n=92$ ), from [1.9 pp] $(n=343)$ in 2001. The difference between the proportions of female Protestant and Roman Catholic employees also decreased, as the mean difference [ $3.4 \mathrm{pp} ; \mathrm{n}=686$ ] was greater than the 2001 difference [ $5.9 \mathrm{pp} ; \mathrm{n}=1,057$ ].

Table 6.6: Trends in Education Sector Full-time Employment by Sex Community Background and Sex, 2001-2010 ${ }^{58}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Male Protestant] | $14.6 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ |
| [Male R. Catholic] | $12.7 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 343 | 337 | 265 | 447 | 339 | 308 | 352 | 316 | 269 | 251 |
| [Female Protestant] | $39.3 \%$ | $39.3 \%$ | $39.3 \%$ | $40.1 \%$ | $39.7 \%$ | $37.4 \%$ | $40.2 \%$ | $39.9 \%$ | $40.9 \%$ | $39.2 \%$ |
| [Female R. Catholic] | $33.4 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ | $34.9 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ | $37.4 \%$ | $38.1 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 5.9 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 1,057 | 943 | 843 | 1,061 | 880 | 82 | 607 | 419 | 802 | 164 |

### 6.3.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant (32.7\%, $\mathrm{n}=3,766$ ) and Roman Catholic ( $33.7 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=3,740$ ) full-time workers were employed in Personal Services Occupations (SOC 6) (Table 6.7). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) [0.2\% P, 0.1\% R.C]. ${ }^{59}$ The distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees was broadly similar across the SOC categories, although notably, both were concentrated in SOCs 4, 6 and 9 ${ }^{60}$. With regards to differences in sectoral distribution, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in SOC 9 (17.0\% vs. 15.7\%). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) (14.1\% vs. 11.6\%).

Table 6.7: Education Sector Full-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in $2010^{61}$

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 513 | 4.5 | 52.5 | 464 | 4.2 | 47.5 | 977 | 4.3 |
| SOC 2 | 1,333 | 11.6 | 46.0 | 1,564 | 14.1 | 54.0 | 2,897 | 12.8 |
| SOC 3 | 1,116 | 9.7 | 50.3 | 1,101 | 9.9 | 49.7 | 2,217 | 9.8 |
| SOC 4 | 1,826 | 15.9 | 51.7 | 1,708 | 15.4 | 48.3 | 3,534 | 15.6 |
| SOC 5 | 545 | 4.7 | 57.1 | 410 | 3.7 | 42.9 | 955 | 4.2 |
| SOC 6 | 3,766 | 32.7 | 50.2 | 3,740 | 33.7 | 49.8 | 7,506 | 33.2 |
| SOC 7 ${ }^{62}$ | $\#$ | 0.2 | - | $*$ | 0.1 | - | 27 | 0.1 |
| SOC 8 | 430 | 3.7 | 55.0 | 352 | 3.2 | 45.0 | 782 | 3.5 |
| SOC 9 | 1,953 | 17.0 | 52.9 | 1,737 | 15.7 | 47.1 | 3,690 | 16.3 |
| Total | 11,500 | 100.0 | 50.9 | 11,085 | 100.0 | 49.1 | 22,585 | 100.0 |

[^35]Between 2009 and 2010, the greatest proportional change in the numbers of both Protestant (32.0\% decrease, $\mathrm{n}=918$ ) and Roman Catholic employees (21.7\% decrease, $\mathrm{n}=480$ ) occurred in SOC 9. Thus, as a result of the lesser proportional decrease in the numbers of Roman Catholic employees, SOC 9 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with the Roman Catholic share increasing by [3.5 pp] from [43.6\%] in 2009.

### 6.4. The Education Sector Workforce: Part-time Employees

There were 14,481 part-time employees in the education sector in 2010 (Table 6.8), an increase of 18.5\% ( $n=2,262$ ) from 2009.

### 6.4.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 6,975 [51.3\%] Protestant and 6,611 [48.7\%] Roman Catholic parttime employees in the total education sector workforce (Table 6.8). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of part-time Protestant employees ( $23.3 \%, n=1,319$ ) was more than twice that of their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $9.3 \%$, $n=563$ ). Thus, the Protestant share of the part-time education sector workforce increased by [3.0 pp] from [48.3\%] in 2009.

Table 6.8: Education Sector Part-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 1,245 | $45.2 \%$ | 1,260 | $45.8 \%$ | 247 | $9.0 \%$ | 2,752 | $19.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[9.2 \%]$ |  | $[9.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 5,730 | $48.9 \%$ | 5,351 | $45.6 \%$ | 648 | $5.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 7 2 9}$ | $81.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[42.2 \%]$ |  | $[39.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 6,975 | $48.2 \%$ | 6,611 | $45.7 \%$ | 895 | $6.2 \%$ | 14,481 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[51.3 \%]$ |  | $[48.7 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the overall increase in Roman Catholic part-time employees ( $9.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=569$ ) was more than twice that of the decrease in their Protestant counterparts (3.7\%, $\mathrm{n}=267$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the part-time education workforce increased by [ 3.2 pp ] from [45.5\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time employees decreased, as the mean difference [ $5.9 \mathrm{pp} ; \mathrm{n}=860$ ] was smaller than the 2001 difference [ $9.0 \mathrm{pp} ; \mathrm{n}=1,200$ ] (Table 6.9).

### 6.4.2. Sex

In 2010, more than four-fifths of part-time education sector employees were female ( $81.0 \%$, $n=11,729$ ), while less than one-fifth were male (19.0\%, $n=2,752$ ) (Table 6.8). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of part-time female employees $(21.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=2,109)$ was, proportionally, more than three times that of their male counterparts $(5.9 \%, n=153)$. Thus, the female share of the part-time education sector workforce increased by ( 2.3 pp ) from $78.7 \%$ in 2009.

Table 6.9: Trends in Education Sector Part-time Employment by Community Background and by Sex, 2001-2010 ${ }^{63}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Protestant] | $54.5 \%$ | $54.1 \%$ | $53.6 \%$ | $53.5 \%$ | $54.1 \%$ | $55.1 \%$ | $52.5 \%$ | $52.6 \%$ | $48.3 \%$ | $51.3 \%$ |
| [R. Catholic] | $45.5 \%$ | $45.9 \%$ | $46.4 \%$ | $46.5 \%$ | $45.9 \%$ | $44.9 \%$ | $47.5 \%$ | $47.4 \%$ | $51.7 \%$ | $48.7 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 9.0 | 8.2 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 8.2 | 10.2 | 5.0 | 5.2 | -3.4 | 2.6 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 1,200 | 1,113 | 950 | 1,053 | 1,277 | 1,680 | 672 | 684 | -392 | 364 |
| Male | $19.2 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ |
| Female | $80.8 \%$ | $79.5 \%$ | $80.4 \%$ | $81.2 \%$ | $81.3 \%$ | $82.3 \%$ | $81.2 \%$ | $80.5 \%$ | $78.7 \%$ | $81.0 \%$ |
| (F-M) pp diff | 61.7 | 59.0 | 60.7 | 62.5 | 62.7 | 64.5 | 62.3 | 60.9 | 57.5 | 62.0 |
| (F-M) no. diff | 8,827 | 8,466 | 8,505 | 10,046 | 10,236 | 11,228 | 8,784 | 8,329 | 7,021 | 8,977 |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of part-time female education sector employees increased $(1.4 \%, n=159)$ by a greater amount than their male counterparts $(0.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=9)$ overall. Thus, the female share of the part-time education workforce increased slightly by $(0.2 \mathrm{pp})$ from $80.8 \%$ in 2001 . In this period, the difference between the proportions of male and female part-time employees remained substantial (Mean diff=9,042, 61.4 pp ). Year-on year, the difference between the two groups fluctuated, and no consistent upwards or downwards trend is evident (Table 6.9).

### 6.4.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, female Protestants [42.2\%, $\mathrm{n}=5,730$ ] and Roman Catholics [39.4\%, $\mathrm{n}=5,351$ ] comprised more than $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ of the part-time education sector employees (Table 6.8).
Male Protestant [9.2\%, $n=1,245$ ] and Roman Catholic employees [9.3\%, $n=1,260$ ] comprised similarly small proportions of the part-time workforce. Between 2009 and 2010, male Roman Catholic employees were the only group to show a decrease in part-time employment ( $0.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=6$ ). Female Protestant employees showed the greatest increase in part-time employment (28.4\%, $\mathrm{n}=1,269$ ), while the increases in female Roman Catholic employees ( $11.9 \%, n=569$ ) and male Protestant employees ( $4.2 \%, n=50$ ) were smaller again. Thus, female Protestant employees were the only group to increase their share of employment, by [4.1 pp] from [38.1\%] in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the overall increases in the numbers of male Roman Catholic ( $10.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=115$ ) and female Roman Catholic ( $9.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=454$ ) part-time education sector employees were, proportionally, greater than the decreases in male Protestant ( $3.3 \%, n=42$ ) and female Protestant ( $3.8 \%, n=225$ ) employees. As a result, the male Roman Catholic share of the education sector workforce increased by [0.7 pp] from [8.6\%] in 2001, while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [2.5 pp] from [36.9\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male Protestant and male Roman Catholics part-time employees remained small [Mean diff=131, 0.9 pp ], while a

[^36]larger difference existed between female Protestant and female Roman Catholic part-time employees [Mean diff=730, 5.1 pp] (Table 6.10).

Table 6.10: Trends in Education Sector Part-time Employment by Community Background and Sex, 2001-2010 ${ }^{64}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Male Protestant] | $9.7 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ |
| [Male R. Catholic] | $8.6 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.5 | -0.6 | -0.1 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 142 | 200 | 154 | 166 | 278 | 247 | 145 | 60 | -71 | -15 |
| [Female Protestant] | $44.8 \%$ | $43.4 \%$ | $43.4 \%$ | $43.7 \%$ | $44.0 \%$ | $45.6 \%$ | $42.6 \%$ | $42.7 \%$ | $38.1 \%$ | $42.2 \%$ |
| [Female R. Catholic] | $36.9 \%$ | $36.7 \%$ | $37.4 \%$ | $37.8 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ | $36.8 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ | $37.9 \%$ | $40.9 \%$ | $39.4 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 7.9 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 8.8 | 4.0 | 4.8 | -2.8 | 2.8 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 1,058 | 913 | 796 | 887 | 999 | 1,433 | 527 | 624 | -321 | 379 |

### 6.4.4. Community Background and SOC

## In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant (49.5\%, $\mathrm{n}=3,454$ ) and Roman

 Catholic ( $50.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=3,325$ ) part-time workers were employed in Elementary Occupations (SOC 9) (Table 6.11). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Managerial and Senior Official Occupations (SOC 1) ( $<0.01 \%, \mathrm{n} \leq 10$ both) $)^{65}$. In 2010, the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time employees was broadly similar, although notably, both were very concentrated in SOC 9. With regards to differences in sectoral distribution, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in Personal Service Occupations (SOC 6) (18.8\% vs. $15.8 \%$ ). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3) (13.9\% vs. 12.1\%).Table 6.11: Education Sector Part-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in $2010^{66}$

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 167 | $*$ | $0.0 \%$ | - | $*$ | $0.0 \%$ | - | $*$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| SOC 2 | 1,003 | $14.4 \%$ | $49.3 \%$ | 1,032 | $15.6 \%$ | $50.7 \%$ | 2,035 | $15.0 \%$ |
| SOC 3 | 843 | $12.1 \%$ | $47.8 \%$ | 922 | $13.9 \%$ | $52.2 \%$ | 1,765 | $13.0 \%$ |
| SOC 4 | 301 | $4.3 \%$ | $54.1 \%$ | 255 | $3.9 \%$ | $45.9 \%$ | 556 | $4.1 \%$ |
| SOC 5 67 | $*$ | $0.1 \%$ | - | $*$ | $0.1 \%$ | - | 13 | $0.1 \%$ |
| SOC 6 | 1,313 | $18.8 \%$ | $55.8 \%$ | 1,042 | $15.8 \%$ | $44.2 \%$ | 2,355 | $17.3 \%$ |
| SOC 767 | 30 | $0.4 \%$ | $69.8 \%$ | 13 | $0.2 \%$ | $30.2 \%$ | 43 | $0.3 \%$ |
| SOC 8 | 67 | 26 | $0.4 \%$ | $70.3 \%$ | 11 | $0.2 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ | 37 |
| SOC 9 | 3,454 | $49.5 \%$ | $51.0 \%$ | 3,325 | $50.3 \%$ | $49.0 \%$ | 6,779 | $49.9 \%$ |
| Total | 6,975 | $100.0 \%$ | $51.3 \%$ | 6,611 | $100.0 \%$ | $48.7 \%$ | 13,586 | $100.0 \%$ |

[^37]Between 2009 and 2010, the greatest proportional change in the numbers of both Protestant ( $73.0 \%$ increase, $n=554$ ) and Roman Catholic employees ( $57.6 \%$ increase, $\mathrm{n}=381$ ) occurred in SOC 6. In SOC 9, the proportional increase for Protestant employees ( $33.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=860$ ) was greater than that for Roman Catholics ( $10.8 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=324$ ). Thus, SOC 9 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with the Protestant share increasing by [4.6 pp] from [46.4\%] in 2009.

### 6.5. Education Sector Workforce: Applicants, Appointees, Promotees \& Leavers

### 6.5.1. Education Sector Workforce: Applicants

There were 18,515 applicants to the education sector in 2010 (Table 6.12). This represents an increase of $3.4 \% ~(n=609)$ from 2009.

### 6.5.1.1. Community Background

## In 2010, there were 7,777 [46.1\%] Protestant and 9,088 [53.9\%] Roman Catholic

 applicants to the public sector (Table 6.12). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Protestant education sector applicants ( $2.6 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=199$ ), while small, was greater than that for their Roman Catholic counterparts (1.2\%, $\mathrm{n}=108$ ). Thus, the Protestant share of education sector applicants increased slightly by [0.3 pp] from [45.8\%] in 2009.Table 6.12: Education Sector Applicants by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 2,521 | $42.6 \%$ | 2,793 | $47.2 \%$ | 607 | $10.3 \%$ | 5,921 | $32.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[14.9 \%]$ |  | $[16.6 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 5,256 | $41.7 \%$ | 6,295 | $50.0 \%$ | 1,043 | $8.3 \%$ | 12,594 | $68.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[31.2 \%]$ |  | $[37.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 7,777 | $42.0 \%$ | 9,088 | $49.1 \%$ | 1,650 | $8.9 \%$ | 18,515 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | $[46.1 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of Protestant education sector applicants decreased $(25.7 \%, n=2,686)$ slightly more than their Roman Catholic counterparts (23.4\%, $\mathrm{n}=2,779$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of education sector applicants increased slightly by [0.8 pp] from [53.1\%] in 2001. Over this period Roman Catholics have consistently accounted for a greater proportion of applicants, when compared to Protestant applicants (Chart 6.2).

Chart 6.2: Education Sector Applicants by Community Background, 2001-2010


### 6.5.1.2. Sex

In 2010, more than two-thirds of education sector applicants were female (68.0\%, $\mathbf{n = 1 2 , 5 9 4}$ ), while $32.0 \%(n=5,921)$ were male (Table 6.12). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of male education sector applicants $(27.1 \%, n=1,261)$ was far greater than the decrease for female applicants (4.9\%, $n=652$ ). Thus, the male share of education sector applicants increased by ( 6.0 pp ] from 26.0\% in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the overall decrease in the number of female education sector applicants $(29.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=5,324)$ was far greater that that for their male counterparts ( $2.8 \%, \mathrm{n}=168$ ). Thus, the male share of education sector applicants increased by ( 6.6 pp ) from 25.4\% in 2001.

### 6.5.2. Education Sector Workforce: Appointees

There were 2,065 appointees to the education sector in 2010 (Table 6.13), a decrease of 6.6\% ( $n=145$ ) from 2009.

### 6.5.2.1. Community Background

## In 2010, there were 906 [47.6\%] Protestant and 996 [52.4\%] Roman Catholic

 education sector appointees (Table 6.13). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Protestant appointees ( $8.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=79$ ) was nearly twice that of their Roman Catholic ( $4.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=43$ ) counterparts. Thus, the Roman Catholic share of education sector appointees increased slightly by [1.1 pp] from [51.3\%] in 2009.Table 6.13: Education Sector Appointees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 241 | $45.6 \%$ | 243 | $46.0 \%$ | 44 | $8.3 \%$ | 528 | $25.6 \%$ |
|  |  | $[12.7 \%]$ |  | $[12.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 665 | $43.3 \%$ | 753 | $49.0 \%$ | 119 | $7.7 \%$ | 1,537 | $74.4 \%$ |
|  |  | $[35.0 \%]$ |  | $[39.6 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 906 | $43.9 \%$ | 996 | $48.2 \%$ | 163 | $7.9 \%$ | 2,065 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[47.6 \%]$ |  | $[52.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the overall decrease in the number of Protestant appointees ( $46.3 \%, n=782$ ) was greater than that for their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $38.0 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=611$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of education sector appointees increased by [3.6 pp] from [48.8\%] in 2001. Over this period, the trend in education sector evidenced a reversal several times, with a greater number of Protestant applicants in some years, and Roman Catholic applicants in others (Chart 6.3).

Chart 6.3: Education Sector Appointees by Community Background, 2001-2010


### 6.5.2.2. Sex

In 2010, nearly three-quarters of appointees to the education sector were female ( $74.4 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=1,537$ ), while $\mathbf{2 5 . 6 \%}(\mathrm{n}=528)$ were male (Table 6.13). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of female education sector appointees $(10.0 \%, n=171)$ was greater than the increase in male appointees ( $5.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=26$ ). Thus, the male share of education sector appointees increased by ( 2.9 pp ) from $22.7 \%$ in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the overall decrease in the number of female education sector appointees $(n=1,059)$ was greater than that of their male counterparts ( $n=377$ ). However, proportionally, the decrease in male appointees (41.7\%) was greater than that for female appointees (40.8\%). Thus, the female share of appointees increased slightly by (0.2 pp) from (74.2\%) in 2001.

### 6.5.3. Education Sector Workforce: Promotees

There were 504 promotees in the education sector in 2010 (Table 6.14), a decrease of 8.4\% ( $n=46$ ) from 2009.

### 6.5.3.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 219 [45.9\%] Protestant and 258 [54.1\%] Roman Catholic education sector promotees (Table 6.14). Between 2009 and 2010, the number of Protestant education sector promotees decreased ( $14.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=37$ ) by more than their Roman Catholic counterparts (3.7\%, $\mathrm{n}=10$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of education sector promotees increased by [3.0 pp] from [51.1\%] in 2009.

Table 6.14: Education Sector Promotees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined ${ }^{68}$ |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 59 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 48.4 \% \\ {[12.4 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 55 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 45.1 \% \\ {[11.5 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | * | 6.6\% | 122 | 24.2\% |
| Female | 160 | $\begin{gathered} 41.9 \% \\ {[33.5 \%]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 203 | $\begin{gathered} 53.1 \% \\ {[42.6 \%]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \# | 5.0\% | 382 | 75.8\% |
| TOTAL | 219 | $\begin{gathered} 43.5 \% \\ {[45.9 \%]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 258 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 51.2 \% \\ {[54.1 \%]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 27 | 5.4\% | 504 | 100.0\% |

### 6.5.3.2. Sex

In 2010, three-quarters of promotees in the education sector were female (75.8\%, $\mathbf{n}=382$ ), while 24.2\% ( $\mathbf{n = 1 2 2}$ ) were male (Table 6.14). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of female education sector promotees ( $9.7 \%, n=41$ ) was greater than that for their male counterparts ( $3.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=5$ ). Thus, the male share of education sector promotees increased by (1.1 pp) from $23.1 \%$ in 2009.

[^38]
### 6.5.4. Education Sector Workforce: Leavers

There were 6,559 leavers from the education sector in 2010 (Table 6.15), a decrease of 5.8\% ( $n=403$ ) from 2009.

### 6.5.4.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 3,028 [52.0\%] Protestant and 2,797 [48.0\%] Roman Catholic education sector leavers (Table 6.15). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Protestant education sector leavers $(20.8 \%, n=522)$ was greater than the decrease in their Roman Catholic counterparts (3.2\%, $\mathrm{n}=93$ ). Thus, the Protestant share of education sector leavers increased by [5.6 pp] from [46.4\%] in 2009.

Table 6.15: Education Sector Leavers by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 588 | $45.2 \%$ | 552 | $42.5 \%$ | 160 | $12.3 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 0 0}$ | $19.8 \%$ |
|  |  | $[10.1 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 6.5.4.2. Sex

In 2010, four-fifths of leavers from the education sector were female (80.2\%, $\mathbf{n = 5 , 2 5 9}$ ), while 19.8\% ( $\mathrm{n}=1, \mathbf{3 0 0}$ ) were male (Table 6.15). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of female education sector leavers $(7.4 \%, n=418)$ was greater than the increase in male leavers $(1.2 \%, n=15)$. Thus, the male share of education sector leavers increased by (1.3 pp) from 18.5\% in 2009.

## 7. Public Sector - District Councils

## All Employees

- In 2010, the district councils accounted for $6.3 \%$ of all public sector employment.
- The district councils comprised 11,917 employees, an increase of $2.5 \%(n=296)$ from 2009. The composition of the district council workforce was 6,662 [58.4\%] Protestant and 4,754 [41.6\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, total Protestant district council employment increased by $1.7 \%$ ( $n=113$ ), while total Roman Catholic employment increased by $3.1 \%$ ( $n=141$ ). Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the district council workforce increased by [0.3 pp].
- In 2010, the majority of district council employees were male $(58.6 \%, \mathrm{n}=6,983)$.


## Full-time Workforce

- There were 10,274 full-time employees in the district councils, an increase of $1.3 \%(n=133)$ from 2009. The composition of the full-time workforce was 5,730 [58.2\%] Protestant and 4,113 [41.8\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, full-time Protestant district council employment increased by $0.5 \%$ ( $n=29$ ), while full-time Roman Catholic employment increased by $1.8 \%(n=74)$. Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the fulltime district council workforce increased by [0.3 pp].
- In 2010, three-fifths of full-time district council employees were male (60.9\%, $n=6,241$ ).


## Part-time Workforce

- There were 1,670 part-time employees in the district councils, an increase of 10.8\% ( $n=163$ ) from 2009. The composition of the part-time workforce was 932 [59.2\%] Protestant and 641 [40.8\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, part-time Protestant district council employment increased by 9.9\% ( $n=84$ ), while part-time Roman Catholic employment increased by $11.7 \%$ ( $n=67$ ). Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the parttime district council workforce increased by [0.4 pp].
- In 2010, the majority of part-time district council employees were female (55.6\%, $\mathrm{n}=928$ ).


## Applicants, Appointees, Promotees and Leavers

In 2010, there were:

- 23,903 district council applicants. The composition was 11,974 [54.2\%]

Protestant and 10,137 [45.8\%] Roman Catholic.

- 1,299 district council appointees. The composition was 630 [52.0\%] Protestant and 581 [48.0\%] Roman Catholic.
- 118 district council promotees. The composition was 57 [49.6\%] Protestant and 58 [50.4\%] Roman Catholic.
- 1,366 district council leavers. The composition was 739 [57.9\%] Protestant and 538 [42.1\%] Roman Catholic.


### 7.1. $\quad$ The District Councils Workforce: Introduction

The purpose of this chapter is to help inform employers and other interested parties about wider compositional trends within the district councils that, alongside information on labour availability, may better inform their own considerations of fair participation in specific employment(s).

In 2010, the district council sector ${ }^{69}$ comprised $6.3 \%(n=11,917)$ of the total public sector workforce. The full-time workforce accounted for $86.0 \%(n=10,247)$ of all district council employees. Between 2009 and 2010, both the full-time and part-time workforces increased in numbers, by 133 and 163 employees respectively. Thus, overall the sector increased by 296 employees. The increase in the number of Roman Catholic full-time employees ( $n=74$ ) was greater than that for Protestant employees ( $n=29$ ). Conversely, the increase in the number of Protestant part-time employees ( $n=84$ ) was greater than that for Roman Catholic employees ( $\mathrm{n}=67$ ). Thus, the overall increase in the number of Roman Catholic employees ( $n=141$ ) was greater than that for Protestant employees ( $n=113$ ), resulting in the Roman Catholic share of the district council workforce increasing by [0.3 pp] to [41.6\%] in 2010.

Males continue to account for the majority of employees in district councils. In 2010, nearly three-fifths $(58.6 \%, n=6,983)$ of all those employees in district councils were male.
Furthermore, males accounted for the majority of the full-time workforce ( $60.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=6,241$ ), but a minority of the part-time workforce (44.4\%, n=742).

### 7.2. $\quad$ The District Councils Workforce: All Employees

There were 11,917 employees in district councils in 2010 (Table 7.1), an increase of $2.5 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=296$ ) from 2009.

### 7.2.1. Community Background

## In 2010, there were 6,662 [58.4\%] Protestant and 4,754 [41.6\%] Roman Catholic

 employees in the total district council workforce (Table 7.1). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Roman Catholic district council employees ( $3.1 \%, n=141$ ) was greater than that of their Protestant counterparts (1.7\%, $\mathrm{n}=113$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the district council workforce increased slightly by [0.3 pp] from [41.3\%] in 2009.Table 7.1: District Council (All) Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 3,997 | $57.2 \%$ | 2,686 | $38.5 \%$ | 300 | $4.3 \%$ | 6,983 | $58.6 \%$ |
|  |  | $[35.0 \%]$ |  | $[23.5 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 2,665 | $54.0 \%$ | 2,068 | $41.9 \%$ | 201 | $4.1 \%$ | 4,934 | $41.4 \%$ |
|  |  | $[23.3 \%]$ |  | $[18.1 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 6,662 | $55.9 \%$ | 4,754 | $39.9 \%$ | 501 | $4.2 \%$ | 11,917 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[58.4 \%]$ |  | $[41.6 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^39]During the period 2001-2010, the number of Roman Catholic district council employees increased $(36.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,261)$ by a greater amount than their Protestant counterparts ( $18.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,022$ ). Thus, Roman Catholic employees' share of the district council workforce increased by [3.4 pp] from [38.2\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees remained substantial [Mean diff=2,146, 21.1 pp] but an overall decrease of [6.8 pp] ( $\mathrm{n}=239$ ) is evident, from [23.6 pp] ( $n=2,147$ ) in 2001 (Chart 7.1).

Chart 7.1: District Council (All) Employees by Community Background, 2001-2010


### 7.2.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of district council employees were male (58.6\% $\mathbf{n = 6 , 9 8 3 )}$, while 41.4\% ( $n=4,934$ ) were female (Table 7.1). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of male employees ( $n=168$ ) was slightly greater than that for female employees ( $n=128$ ). However, proportionally, the increase in the number of female employees (2.7\%) was slightly greater than that for male employees (2.5\%). Thus, during this period there was little change ( $<0.05 \%$ ) in male and female shares of the district council workforce.

During the period 2001-2010, the overall increase in the number of male district council employees ( $n=1,267$ ) was greater than that for female employees ( $n=1,028$ ). However, proportionally, the increase in the number of female employees (26.3\%) was greater than that for male employees (22.2\%). Thus, the female share of the district council workforce increased slightly by ( 0.8 pp ) from $40.6 \%$ in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male and female employees decreased marginally, as the mean difference between them ( $18.1 \mathrm{pp} ; \mathrm{n}=1,940$ ) was smaller than the 2001 difference ( $18.8 \mathrm{pp} ; \mathrm{n}=1,810$ ).

### 7.2.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, more than one-third of district council employees were male Protestants [ $35.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=3,997$ ], while more than one-sixth were female Roman Catholics [18.1\%, $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 , 0 6 8}$ ] (Table 7.1). Female Protestant [23.3\%, $\mathrm{n}=2,665$ ] and male Roman Catholic [23.5\%, n=2686] employees comprised similar proportions of the workforce. Between 2009 and 2010, female Roman Catholics saw the greatest proportional increase in employment (3.2\%, $\mathrm{n}=65$ ), while male Roman Catholic employees increased by a slightly smaller $2.9 \%(n=76)$. There were similar, and smaller, proportional increases in numbers of female Protestant (1.8\%, $\mathrm{n}=47$ ) and male Protestant ( $1.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=66$ ) employees. Thus, the male Roman Catholic share of the district council workforce increased by [0.1 pp] from [23.4\%] in 2009, while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [0.2 pp] from [17.9\%].

During the period 2001-2010, female Roman Catholics saw the greatest overall increase in employment (46.8\%, $n=659$ ). Male Roman Catholic employees increased by $28.9 \%$ ( $n=602$ ), while the proportional increases in numbers of male Protestant ( $18.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=618$ ) and female Protestant (17.9\%, n=404) employees were smaller again. Consequently, the male Roman Catholic share of the district council workforce increased by [0.7 pp] from [22.8\%] in 2001, while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [2.7 pp] from [15.4\%]. In this period, there was a mean difference of [13.2 pp] ( $n=1,361$ ) between the proportions of male Protestant and male Roman Catholic district council employees. Overall the difference between the two groups decreased marginally by [2.7 pp] ${ }^{70}$ from [14.2 pp] ( $\mathrm{n}=1,295$ ) in 2001 (Table 7.2). There was a smaller mean difference between the proportions of female Protestant and Roman Catholic employees [7.7 pp, $\mathrm{n}=786$ ], and overall the difference between the two groups decreased, as the mean difference was smaller than the 2001 difference [ $9.4 \mathrm{pp}, \mathrm{n}=852$ ].

Table 7.2: Trends in District Council (All) Employment Community Background and Sex, 2001-201071

|  | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Male Protestant] | $37.0 \%$ | $36.8 \%$ | $36.7 \%$ | $36.7 \%$ | $36.7 \%$ | $36.2 \%$ | $36.2 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ |
| [Male R. Catholic] | $22.8 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 14.2 | 14.0 | 14.2 | 14.2 | 14.2 | 13.4 | 12.5 | 12.4 | 11.8 | 11.5 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 1,295 | 1,315 | 1,396 | 1,413 | 1,463 | 1,400 | 1,355 | 1,338 | 1,321 | 1,311 |
| [Female Protestant] | $24.8 \%$ | $24.8 \%$ | $25.1 \%$ | $24.8 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ |
| [Female R. Catholic] | $15.4 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ | $16.4 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 9.4 | 9.1 | 9.4 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 5.6 | 5.2 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 852 | 856 | 926 | 867 | 836 | 887 | 715 | 704 | 615 | 597 |

### 7.2.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant (21.5\%, $n=1,435$ ) and Roman Catholic ( $\mathbf{2 0 . 7 \%}$, $\mathrm{n}=983$ ) workers were employed in Elementary Occupations (SOC 9) (Table 7.3). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and

[^40]Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (0.4\%, n=26 P; 0.7\%, n=32 R.C]. In 2010, the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees was broadly similar across the SOC categories, although notably, both were concentrated in SOCs 4 and $9^{72}$. With regards to differences in sectoral distribution, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in Process Plant and Machine Operative Occupations (SOC 8) (9.2\% vs. 6.3\%). In contrast, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic than Protestant workers were employed in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3) (16.6\% vs.14.7\%).

Table 7.3: District Council (All) Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2010

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 656 | 9.8 | 57.0 | 494 | 10.4 | 43.0 | 1,150 | 10.1 |
| SOC 2 | 274 | 4.1 | 51.1 | 262 | 5.5 | 48.9 | 536 | 4.7 |
| SOC 3 | 979 | 14.7 | 55.4 | 788 | 16.6 | 44.6 | 1,767 | 15.5 |
| SOC 4 | 1,203 | 18.1 | 58.1 | 867 | 18.2 | 41.9 | 2,070 | 18.1 |
| SOC 5 | 479 | 7.2 | 65.8 | 249 | 5.2 | 34.2 | 728 | 6.4 |
| SOC 6 | 995 | 14.9 | 56.1 | 779 | 16.4 | 43.9 | 1,774 | 15.5 |
| SOC 73 | 26 | 0.4 | 44.8 | 32 | 0.7 | 55.2 | 58 | 0.5 |
| SOC 8 | 615 | 9.2 | 67.2 | 300 | 6.3 | 32.8 | 915 | 8.0 |
| SOC 9 | 1,435 | 21.5 | 59.3 | 983 | 20.7 | 40.7 | 2,418 | 21.2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 , 6 6 2}$ | 100.0 | 58.4 | 4,754 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | 41.6 | 11,416 | 100.0 |

Between 2009 and 2010, the greatest proportional change in the numbers of both Protestant ( $8.5 \%$ increase, $n=48$ ) and Roman Catholic (10.3\%, n=28) employees occurred in SOC 8. In Personal Service Occupations (SOC 6), the number of Protestant employees decreased ( $3.6 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=37$ ), whilst the number of Roman Catholic employees increased ( $0.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=3$ ). Thus, SOC 6 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with the Roman Catholic share increasing by [1.0 pp] from [42.9\%] in 2009.

### 7.3. The District Councils Workforce: Full-time Employees

There were 10,274 full-time employees in the district councils in 2010 (Table 7.4), an increase of $1.3 \%(n=133)$ from 2009.

### 7.3.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 5,730 [58.2\%] Protestant and 4,113 [41.8\%] Roman Catholic fulltime employees in the district council workforce (Table 7.4). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Roman Catholic full-time employees (1.8\%, $n=74$ ), was greater than that for their Protestant counterparts ( $0.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=29$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time district council workforce increased by [0.3 pp] from [41.5 \%] in 2009.

[^41]Table 7.4: District Council Full-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 3,591 | $57.5 \%$ | 2,396 | $38.4 \%$ | 254 | $4.1 \%$ | 6,241 | $60.9 \%$ |
|  |  | $[36.5 \%]$ |  | $[24.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 2,139 | $53.4 \%$ | 1,717 | $42.9 \%$ | 150 | $3.7 \%$ | 4,006 | $39.1 \%$ |
|  |  | $[21.7 \%]$ |  | $[17.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 5,730 | $55.9 \%$ | 4,113 | $40.1 \%$ | 404 | $3.9 \%$ | 10,247 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[58.2 \%]$ |  | $[41.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the overall increase in the number of Roman Catholic fulltime district council employees $(36.3 \%, n=1,096)$ was greater than that for their Protestant counterparts (16.5\%, $\mathrm{n}=810$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time district council workforce increased by [3.8 pp] from [38.0\%] in 2009. In this period, the difference between the proportions of Protestant and Roman Catholic district council employees decreased by [7.6 pp] ( $n=286$ ) from [24.0 pp] ( $n=1,903$ ) in 2001 (Table 7.5).

### 7.3.2. Sex

In 2010, three-fifths of full-time district council employees were male (60.9\%, $n=6,241$ ), while $39.1 \%(n=4,006)$ were female (Table 7.4). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of female full-time employees (1.9\%, $\mathrm{n}=76$ ) although small, was greater than that of their male counterparts $(0.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=57)$. Thus, the female share of the full-time workforce increased by ( 0.2 pp ) from 38.9\% in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the overall increase in the number of female full-time employees ( $30.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=934$ ), although numerically smaller, was proportionally far greater than that of their male counterparts $(19.6 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,024)$. Thus, the female share of the full-time workforce increased by ( 2.0 pp ) from $37.1 \%$ in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male and female full-time employees remained substantial [Mean diff $=2,209,23.9 \mathrm{pp}$ ], but did decrease overall by ( 4.0 pp ) from ( 25.8 pp ) in 2001 (Table 7.5). ${ }^{74}$

Table 7.5: Trends in District Council Full-time Employment by Community Background and by Sex, 2001-2010 ${ }^{75}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Protestant] | $62.0 \%$ | $61.5 \%$ | $61.8 \%$ | $61.2 \%$ | $60.8 \%$ | $60.6 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $59.2 \%$ | $58.5 \%$ | $58.2 \%$ |
| [R. Catholic] | $38.0 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ | $38.2 \%$ | $38.8 \%$ | $39.2 \%$ | $39.4 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $40.8 \%$ | $41.5 \%$ | $41.8 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 24.0 | 23.0 | 23.6 | 22.4 | 21.6 | 21.2 | 20.0 | 18.4 | 17.0 | 16.4 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 1,903 | 1,850 | 1,980 | 1,923 | 1,914 | 1,907 | 1,844 | 1,750 | 1,662 | 1,617 |
| Male | $62.9 \%$ | $62.4 \%$ | $62.1 \%$ | $62.1 \%$ | $62.3 \%$ | $62.0 \%$ | $62.0 \%$ | $61.6 \%$ | $61.1 \%$ | $60.9 \%$ |
| Female | $37.1 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ | $37.9 \%$ | $37.9 \%$ | $37.7 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | $38.4 \%$ | $38.9 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ |
| (F-M) pp diff | -25.8 | -24.8 | -24.2 | -24.2 | -24.6 | -24.0 | -24.0 | -23.2 | -22.2 | -21.8 |
| (F-M) no. diff | $-2,145$ | $-2,079$ | $-2,110$ | $-2,154$ | $-2,263$ | $-2,258$ | $-2,309$ | $-2,285$ | $-2,254$ | $-2,235$ |

[^42]
### 7.3.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, male Protestant employees comprised the greatest proportion of the fulltime district council workforce [ $36.5 \%, n=3,591$ ], while male Roman Catholic employees comprised $\mathbf{2 4 . 3 \%}(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 , 3 9 6})$ (Table 7.4). Similarly, female Protestant employees comprised a greater proportion of the full-time workforce [21.7\%, $n=2,139]$ than female Roman Catholic employees [17.4\%, n=1,717]. Between 2009 and 2010, female Roman Catholics saw the greatest increase in full-time employment ( $3.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=57$ ), while female Protestants saw the smallest increase ( $0.3 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=7$ ). Male Roman Catholic employees increased by $0.7 \%$ ( $n=17$ ), while male Protestant employees increased by $0.6 \%(n=22)$. Consequently, female Roman Catholic employees were the only group to increase their share of the full-time workforce, by [0.4 pp] from [17.0\%] in 2009.

Between 2001 and 2010, the increases in the numbers of male Roman Catholic (26.9\%, $\mathrm{n}=508$ ) and female Roman Catholic ( $52.1 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=588$ ) full-time employees were greater that those for male Protestant ( $15.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=469$ ) and female Protestant ( $19.0 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=341$ ) employees. Thus, the male Roman Catholic share of the district council workforce increased by [0.5 pp] from [23.8\%] in 2001, while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [3.2 pp] from [14.2\%]. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male Protestant and male Roman Catholic employees fluctuated, but decreased overall by [3.3 pp] ( $n=39$ ) from [15.5 pp] ( $n=1,234$ ) in 2001 (Table 7.6). Additionally, the difference between the proportions of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic employees decreased, as the mean difference [ 6.8 pp ; $\mathrm{n}=593$ ] was smaller than the 2001 difference [ $8.5 \mathrm{pp}, \mathrm{n}=669$ ] in 2001.

Table 7.6: Trends in District Council Full-time Employment Community Background and Sex, 2001-201076

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Male Protestant] | $39.3 \%$ | $38.7 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ | $38.4 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ | $38.1 \%$ | $37.8 \%$ | $37.1 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ | $36.5 \%$ |
| [Male R. Catholic] | $23.8 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 5} \%$ | $23.6 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ | $24.0 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ | $24.3 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ | $24.3 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 15.5 | 15.0 | 15.1 | 14.8 | 14.9 | 14.1 | 13.6 | 12.8 | 12.2 | 12.2 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 1,234 | 1,209 | 1,259 | 1,272 | 1,316 | 1,272 | 1,258 | 1,214 | 1,190 | 1,195 |
| [Female Protestant] | $22.7 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ | $23.2 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ |
| [Female R. Catholic] | $14.2 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ | $16.4 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 8.5 | 8.0 | 8.6 | 7.6 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 6.4 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 4.3 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 669 | 641 | 721 | 651 | 598 | 635 | 586 | 536 | 472 | 422 |

### 7.3.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant (22.8\%, $\mathrm{n}=1,305$ ) and Roman Catholic (21.1\%, n=866) full-time workers were employed in Elementary Occupations (SOC 9) (Table 7.7). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) ( $0.4 \%$ both; $n=22$ P; $n=15$ R.C). In 2010, the distribution of full-time Protestant and Roman Catholic employees was broadly similar across the SOC categories, ${ }^{78}$ although notably, both were very concentrated in SOCs 4 and $9^{77}$.

[^43]With regards to differences in sectoral distribution, a greater proportion of Protestants than Roman Catholic workers were employed in Process Plant and Machine Operative Occupations (SOC 8) (10.6\% vs. 7.0\%) and in Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) (8.2\% vs. $6.0 \%$ ). In contrast, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic than Protestant workers were employed in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3) (16.1\% vs.13.9\%).

Between 2009 and 2010, the greatest proportional change in the number of full-time Protestant employees ( $7.6 \%$ increase, $\mathrm{n}=43$ ) occurred in SOC 8, while the greatest change in Roman Catholic employees occurred in Managerial and Senior Official Occupations (SOC 1) ( $8.1 \%$ increase, $n=37$ ). In SOC 1, the number of Roman Catholic employees increased $(8.1 \%, n=37)$ more than their Protestant counterparts $(3.6 \%, n=23)$. Thus SOC 1 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with the fulltime Roman Catholic share increasing by [1.0 pp] from [42.0\%] in 2009.

Table 7.7: District Council Full-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2010

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 654 | 11.4 | 57.0 | 494 | 12.0 | 43.0 | 1,148 | 11.7 |
| SOC 2 | 269 | 4.7 | 50.9 | 260 | 6.3 | 49.1 | 529 | 5.4 |
| SOC 3 | 797 | 13.9 | 54.6 | 664 | 16.1 | 45.4 | 1,461 | 14.8 |
| SOC 4 | 1,043 | 18.2 | 56.6 | 800 | 19.5 | 43.4 | 1,843 | 18.7 |
| SOC 5 | 470 | 8.2 | 65.7 | 245 | 6.0 | 34.3 | 715 | 7.3 |
| SOC 6 | 563 | 9.8 | 53.8 | 483 | 11.7 | 46.2 | 1,046 | 10.6 |
| SOC 78 | 22 | 0.4 | 59.5 | 15 | 0.4 | 40.5 | 37 | 0.4 |
| SOC 8 | 607 | 10.6 | 68.0 | 286 | 7.0 | 32.0 | 893 | 9.1 |
| SOC 9 | 1,305 | 22.8 | 60.1 | 866 | 21.1 | 39.9 | 2,171 | 22.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 , 7 3 0}$ | 100.0 | 58.2 | 4,113 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | 41.8 | 9,843 | 100.0 |

### 7.4. The District Councils Workforce: Part-time Employees

There were 1,670 part-time employees in the district council in 2010 (See Table 7.8), an increase of 10.8\% ( $n=163$ ) from 2009.

### 7.4.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 932 [59.2\%] Protestant and 641 [40.8\%] Roman Catholic part-time employees in the total district council workforce (See Table 7.8). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of part-time Roman Catholic employees (11.7\%, $n=67$ ), was greater that for their Protestant counterparts ( $9.9 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=84$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the part-time district council workforce increased slightly by [0.4 pp] from [40.4\%] in 2009.

[^44]Table 7.8: District Council Part-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 406 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 54.7 \% \\ {[25.8 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 290 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 39.1 \% \\ \text { [18.4\%] } \end{gathered}$ | 46 | 6.2\% | 742 | 44.4\% |
| Female | 526 | $\begin{aligned} & 56.7 \% \\ & {[33.4 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 351 | $\begin{aligned} & 37.8 \% \\ & {[22.3 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 51 | 5.5\% | 928 | 55.6\% |
| TOTAL | 932 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 55.8 \% \\ & {[59.2 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 641 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 38.4 \% \\ {[40.8 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 97 | 5.8\% | 1,670 | 100.0\% |

During the period 2001-2010, the overall increase in the number of Roman Catholic part-time employees ( $34.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=165$ ), although numerically smaller, was proportionally greater than that of their Protestant counterparts (29.4\%, n=212). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the parttime workforce increased by [1.0 pp] from [39.8\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees remained substantial [Mean diff=311; 22.6 pp ]. Overall, the difference between the two groups increased slightly, as the mean difference was greater than the 2001 difference [20.4 pp; n=244].

Table 7.9: Trends in District Council Part-time Employment by Community Background and Sex, 2001-2010 ${ }^{79}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Protestant $]$ | $60.2 \%$ | $62.0 \%$ | $62.0 \%$ | $63.1 \%$ | $63.4 \%$ | $63.4 \%$ | $59.1 \%$ | $60.9 \%$ | $59.6 \%$ | $59.2 \%$ |
| [R. Catholic] | $39.8 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | $36.9 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ | $40.9 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ | $40.4 \%$ | $40.8 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 20.4 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 26.2 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 18.2 | 21.8 | 19.2 | 18.4 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 244 | 321 | 342 | 357 | 385 | 380 | 226 | 292 | 274 | 291 |
| Male | $37.4 \%$ | $41.4 \%$ | $41.9 \%$ | $40.4 \%$ | $39.4 \%$ | $39.8 \%$ | $40.2 \%$ | $41.8 \%$ | $41.9 \%$ | $44.4 \%$ |
| Female | $62.6 \%$ | $58.6 \%$ | $58.1 \%$ | $59.6 \%$ | $60.6 \%$ | $60.2 \%$ | $59.8 \%$ | $58.2 \%$ | $58.1 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ |
| (F-M) pp diff | 25.2 | 17.2 | 16.2 | 19.2 | 21.2 | 20.4 | 19.6 | 16.4 | 16.2 | 11.2 |
| (F-M) no. diff | 335 | 254 | 252 | 285 | 333 | 310 | 257 | 231 | 245 | 186 |

### 7.4.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of part-time district council employees were female (55.6\%, $n=928$ ), while 44.4\% ( $n=742$ ) were male (Table 7.8). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of male part-time employees (17.6\%, $n=111$ ) was, proportionally, more than three times that for their female counterparts ( $5.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=52$ ). Thus, the male share of the part-time district council workforce increased by ( 2.5 pp) from $41.9 \%$ in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the overall increase in the number of part-time male employees (48.7\%, $\mathrm{n}=243$ ) was greater than that for their female counterparts (11.3\%, $\mathrm{n}=94$ ). Thus, the male share of the part-time workforce increased by ( 7.0 pp ) from $37.4 \%$ in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male and female parttime employees decreased (Table 7.9), as the mean difference between them ( 18.3 pp ; $\mathrm{n}=269$ ) was smaller than the 2001 difference ( 25.2 pp ; $\mathrm{n}=335$ ).

[^45]
### 7.4.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, the majority of part-time district council employees were either female Protestants [33.4\%, $\mathrm{n}=526$ ] or male Protestants [25.8\%, $\mathrm{n}=406$ ] (Table 7.8). Female Roman Catholic employees comprised [22.3\%, $n=351$ ] of the part-time workforce, while male Roman Catholic employees comprised [18.4\%, n=290]. Between 2009 and 2010, male Roman Catholics saw the greatest increase in part-time employment ( $25.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=59$ ), while female Roman Catholic employees saw the smallest increase ( $2.3 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=8$ ). Male Protestant employees increased by $12.2 \%(n=44)$, while female Protestant employees increased by $8.2 \%(n=40)$. Consequently, male Protestant employees' share of the parttime workforce increased by [0.3 pp] from [25.5\%] in 2009, while the share of male Roman Catholic employees' increased by [2.2 pp] from [16.2\%].

Table 7.10: Trends in District Council Part-time Employment by Community Background and Sex, 2001-201080

|  | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Male Protestant] | $21.5 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ |
| [Male R. Catholic] | $16.4 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 5.1 | 8.0 | 9.6 | 10.4 | 10.2 | 9.1 | 7.8 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 7.4 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 61 | 106 | 137 | 141 | 147 | 128 | 97 | 124 | 131 | 116 |
| [Female Protestant] | $38.7 \%$ | $37.1 \%$ | $36.1 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ | $35.5 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ |
| [Female R. Catholic] | $23.4 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | $24.8 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $24.1 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 15.3 | 16.2 | 14.3 | 15.8 | 16.6 | 17.8 | 10.4 | 12.5 | 10.1 | 11.1 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 183 | 215 | 205 | 216 | 238 | 252 | 129 | 168 | 143 | 175 |

During the period 2001-2010, the increases in the numbers of male Protestant (58.0\%, $\mathrm{n}=149$ ) and male Roman Catholic ( $48.0 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=94$ ) district council employees were greater than those for female Protestant (13.6\%, n=63) and female Roman Catholic (25.4\%, n=71) employees. Thus, the male Protestant share of the part-time workforce increased by [4.3 pp] from [21.5\%] in 2001, while the male Roman Catholic share increased by [2.0 pp] from [16.4\%]. In this period, the difference between male Protestant and male Roman Catholic part-time employees increased, as the mean difference [8.6 pp; $n=119$ ] was greater than the 2001 difference [ $5.1 \mathrm{pp} ; \mathrm{n}=61$ ] (Table 7.10). Conversely, the difference between female Protestant and female Roman Catholic employees decreased slightly, as the mean difference [14.0 pp; $\mathrm{n}=192$ ] was smaller than the 2001 difference [15.3 pp; $\mathrm{n}=183$ ].

### 7.4.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant (46.4\%, n=432) and Roman Catholic (46.2\%, n=296) part-time workers were employed in Personal Services Occupations (SOC 6) (Table 7.11). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Managerial and Senior Official Occupations (SOC 1) (0.2\% P./ 0.0\% R.C., $\mathrm{n} \leq 10$ both). In 2010, the distribution of part-time Protestant and Roman Catholic employees was concentrated in SOCs 3, 4 and $6^{81}$. With regards to differences in sectoral distribution, a

[^46]greater proportion of Protestant than Roman Catholic workers were employed in SOC 4 ( $17.2 \%$ vs. $10.5 \%$ ). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic than Protestants workers were employed in Elementary Occupations (SOC 9) (18.3\% vs. 13.9\%).

Table 7.11: District Council Part-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in $2010^{82}$

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | [\%] | N | \% | [\%] | N | \% |
| SOC $1^{83}$ | * | 0.2 | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | * | 0.1 |
| SOC $2^{83}$ | * | 0.5 | - | * | 0.3 | - | * | 0.4 |
| SOC 3 | 182 | 19.5 | 59.5 | 124 | 19.3 | 40.5 | 306 | 19.5 |
| SOC 4 | 160 | 17.2 | 70.5 | 67 | 10.5 | 29.5 | 227 | 14.4 |
| SOC $5^{83}$ | * | 1.0 | - | * | 0.6 | - | 13 | 0.8 |
| SOC 6 | 432 | 46.4 | 59.3 | 296 | 46.2 | 40.7 | 728 | 46.3 |
| SOC $7^{83}$ | * | 0.4 | - | \# | 2.7 | - | 21 | 1.3 |
| SOC $8^{83}$ | * | 0.9 | - | \# | 2.2 | - | 22 | 1.4 |
| SOC 9 | 130 | 13.9 | 52.6 | 117 | 18.3 | 47.4 | 247 | 15.7 |
| Total | 932 | 100.0 | 59.2 | 641 | 100.0 | 40.8 | 1,573 | 100.0 |

Between 2009 and 2010, the greatest proportional change in the number of part-time Protestant employees (43.3\% increase, $\mathrm{n}=55$ ) occurred in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3), while the greatest change in Roman Catholic employees occurred in SOC 9 (34.5\% increase, $n=30$ ). In SOC 3, the increase in the number of Protestant employees was greater than that for Roman Catholic employees (25.3\%, $\mathrm{n}=25$ ). Thus, SOC 3 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with the part-time Protestant share increasing by [3.3 pp] from [56.2\%] in 2009.

### 7.5. The District Councils Workforce: Applicants, Appointees, Promotees \& Leavers

### 7.5.1. District Council Workforce: Applicants

There were 23,903 district council applicants in 2010 (Table 7.12), an increase of 12.1\% ( $\mathrm{n}=2,581$ ) from 2009.

### 7.5.1.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 11,974 [54.2\%] Protestant and 10,137 [45.8\%] Roman Catholic district council applicants (Table 7.12). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Protestant district council applicants $(15.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,559)$ was nearly twice that for Roman Catholic applicants ( $8.9 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=831$ ). Thus, Protestant share of district council applicants increased by [1.4 pp] from [52.8\%] in 2009.

[^47]Table 7.12: District Council Applicants by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 7,622 | $52.8 \%$ | 5,745 | $39.8 \%$ | 1,059 | $7.3 \%$ | 14,426 | $60.4 \%$ |
|  |  | $[34.5 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the overall increase in the number of Roman Catholic applicants $(43.6 \%, \mathrm{n}=3,080)$ was greater than that for their Protestant counterparts ( $21.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=2,090$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of district council applicants increased by [4.1 pp] from [41.7\%] in 2001. Over this period, while Protestants have consistently accounted for a greater proportion of applicants, the proportion accounted for by Roman Catholics has increased (Chart 7.2).

Chart: 7.2: District Council Applicants by Community Background, 2001-2010


### 7.5.1.2. Sex

In 2010, three-fifths of district council applicants were male ( $60.4 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=14,426$ ), while $39.6 \%(n=9,477)$ were female (Table 7.12). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of male district council applicants $(20.9 \%, n=2,492)$ was far greater than that for their female counterparts $(0.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=89)$. Thus, the male share of district council applicants increased by (4.4 pp) from $56.0 \%$ in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the number of male applicants to the district councils increased $(69.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=5,911)$ by a far greater amount than their female counterparts ( $2.4 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=220$ ). Thus, the male share of district council applicants increased by ( 12.5 pp ) from 47.9\% in 2001.

### 7.5.2. District Council Workforce: Appointees

There were 1,299 district council appointees in 2010 (Table 7.13), a decrease of $14.3 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=217$ ) from 2009.

### 7.5.2.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 630 [52.0\%] Protestant and 581 [48.0\%] Roman Catholic district council appointees (Table 7.13). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Protestant district council appointees (15.9\%, $n=119$ ) was marginally greater than that for Roman Catholic appointees (15.2\%, $\mathrm{n}=104$ ) counterparts. Thus, the Roman Catholic share of district council appointees increased slightly by [0.2 pp] from [47.8\%] in 2009.

Table 7.13: District Council Appointees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 360 | $50.4 \%$ | 303 | $42.4 \%$ | 51 | $7.1 \%$ |  | 714 |
|  |  | $[29.7 \%]$ |  | $[25.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 270 | $46.2 \%$ | 278 | $47.5 \%$ | 37 | $6.3 \%$ | 585 | $45.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[22.3 \%]$ |  | $[23.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 630 | $48.5 \%$ | 581 | $44.7 \%$ | 88 | $6.8 \%$ | 1,299 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[52.0 \%]$ |  | $[48.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of Protestant appointees to the district councils decreased (29.4\%, $\mathrm{n}=262$ ) by a far greater amount than their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $3.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=20$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of district council appointees increased by [7.7 pp] from [40.3\%] in 2001. Over this period, while Protestants have consistently accounted for a greater proportion of appointees, the proportion accounted for by Roman Catholics has increased (Chart 7.3).

Chart: 7.3: District Council Appointees by Community Background, 2001-2010


### 7.5.2.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of district council appointees were male ( $55.0 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=714$ ), while 45.0\% ( $n=585$ ) were female (Table 7.13). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of female district council appointees ( $15.9 \%, n=111$ ) was slightly greater than that for their male counterparts $(12.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=106)$. Thus, the male share of district council appointees increased by ( 0.9 pp ) from 54.1\% in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the overall decrease in the number of female district council appointees $(26.1 \%$, $n=207)$ was, proportionally, far greater than that of their male counterparts ( $5.6 \%, \mathrm{n}=42$ ). Thus, the male share of district council appointees increased by ( 6.2 pp ) from $48.8 \%$ in 2001.

### 7.5.3. District Council Workforce: Promotees

There were 118 district council promotees in 2010 (Table 7.14), a decrease of $1.7 \%$ ( $n=2$ ) from 2009.

### 7.5.3.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 57 [49.6\%] Protestant and 58 [50.4\%] Roman Catholic promotees in the district councils (Table 7.14). Between 2009 and 2010, there was a small decrease in the number of Protestant promotees (3.4\%, $n=2$ ), and no change in the number of Roman Catholic promotees. Thus, the Roman Catholic share of promotees in the district council increased by [0.8 pp] from [49.6\%] in 2009.

Table 7.14: District Council Promotees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined84 |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 30 | $56.6 \%$ | 21 | $39.6 \%$ | $*$ | $3.8 \%$ | 53 | $44.9 \%$ |
|  |  | $[26.1 \%]$ |  | $[18.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 27 | $41.5 \%$ | 37 | $56.9 \%$ | $*$ | $1.5 \%$ | 65 | $55.1 \%$ |
|  |  | $[23.5 \%]$ |  | $[32.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 57 | $48.3 \%$ | 58 | $49.2 \%$ | $*$ | $2.5 \%$ | 118 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[49.6 \%]$ |  | $[50.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

### 7.5.3.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of district council promotees were female (55.1\%, $\mathrm{n}=65$ ), while 44.9\% ( $n=53$ ) were male (Table 7.14). Between 2009 and 2010, there was a small decrease in the number of male promotees ( $3.6 \%, n=2$ ), and no change in the number of female promotees. Thus, the female share of district council promotees increased by (0.9 pp) from 54.2\% in 2009.

[^48]
### 7.5.4. District Council Workforce: Leavers

There were 1,366 leavers from the district councils in 2010 (Table 7.15), a decrease of 19.7\% ( $n=336$ ) from 2009.

### 7.5.4.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 739 [57.9\%] Protestant and 538 [42.1\%] Roman Catholic leavers from the district councils (Table 7.15). Between 2009 and 2010, the number of Roman Catholic district council leavers decreased (22.6\%, $\mathrm{n}=157$ ) by a greater amount than their Protestant counterparts ( $16.8 \%, \mathrm{n}=149$ ). Thus, the Protestant share of district council leavers increased by [1.8 pp] from [56.1\%] in 2009.

Table 7.15: District Council Leavers by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | 420 | $56.1 \%$ | 279 | $37.2 \%$ | 50 | $6.7 \%$ | 749 | $54.8 \%$ |
|  |  | $[32.9 \%]$ |  | $[21.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 319 | $51.7 \%$ | 259 | $42.0 \%$ | 39 | $6.3 \%$ | 617 | $45.2 \%$ |
|  |  | $[25.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 7.5.4.2. District Council Leavers by Sex

In 2010, the majority of district council leavers were male ( $54.8 \%$, $n=749$ ), while 45.2\% ( $n=617$ ) were female (Table 7.15). Between 2009 and 2010, the number of female leavers from the district councils decreased ( $22.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=178$ ) by a greater amount than male leavers ( $17.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=158$ ). Thus, the male share of district council leavers increased by (1.5 pp) from $53.3 \%$ in 2009.

## 8. Public Sector - Civil Service

## All Employees

- In 2010, the civil service accounted for $18.5 \%$ of all public sector employment.
- The civil service comprised 35,101 employees, a decrease of $0.2 \%(n=79)$ from 2009. The composition of the civil service workforce was 18,329 [54.6\%] Protestant and 15,233 [45.4\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, total Protestant civil service employment decreased by $0.6 \%$ ( $n=107$ ), while total Roman Catholic employment decreased by $0.2 \%$ ( $n=29$ ). Overall, the Roman Catholic share of the civil service workforce increased by [0.1 pp].
- In 2010, male $(50.6 \%, \mathrm{n}=17,756)$ and female $(49.4 \%, 17,345)$ employees comprised similar proportions of the civil service.


## Full-time Workforce

- In 2010, there were 34,698 full-time employees in the civil service, an increase of $0.5 \%(n=179)$ from 2009. The composition of the full-time workforce was 18,096 [54.5\%] Protestant and 15,082 [45.5\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, full-time Protestant and Roman Catholic civil service employment increased by similar amounts ( $0.3 \%$ both, $n=51$ P./ 50 R.C.). Overall, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time civil service workforce increased by [0.1 pp].


## Part-time Workforce

- In 2010, there were 403 part-time employees in the civil service, a decrease of 39.0\% ( $n=258$ ) from 2009. The composition of the part-time workforce was 233 [60.7\%] Protestant and 151 [39.3\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, part-time Protestant civil service employment decreased by $40.4 \%$ ( $n=158$ ), while part-time Roman Catholic employment decreased by $34.3 \%$ ( $n=79$ ). Overall, the Roman Catholic share of the part-time civil service workforce increased by [2.3 pp].
- In 2010, the majority of part-time civil service employees were female (88.1\%, $n=355$ ).


## Applicants, Appointees, Promotees and Leavers

In 2010, there were:

- 28,534 civil service applicants. The composition was 13,450 [50.7\%] Protestant and 13,091 [49.3\%] Roman Catholic.
- 2,096 civil service appointees. The composition was 1,027 [54.0\%] Protestant and 875 [46.0\%] Roman Catholic.
- 1,901 civil service promotees. The composition was 944 [50.9\%] Protestant and 911 [49.1\%] Roman Catholic.
- 2,216 civil service leavers. The composition was 1,140 [55.4\%] Protestant and 916 [44.6\%] Roman Catholic.


### 8.1. The Civil Service Workforce: Introduction

The purpose of this chapter is to help inform employers and other interested parties about wider compositional trends within the civil service that, alongside information on labour availability, may better inform their own considerations of fair participation in specific employment(s). ${ }^{85}$

In 2010, the civil service accounted for $18.5 \%$ ( $n=35,101$ ) of the total public sector workforce. Within the civil service, the full-time workforce accounted for $98.9 \%$ ( $n=34,698$ ) of all employees. Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of part-time civil service employees ( $n=258$ ) was greater than the increase in the number of full-time employees ( $n=179$ ). Thus, overall the sector decreased by 79 employees. The decrease in the number of Protestant employees ( $n=107$ ) was greater than that for their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $\mathrm{n}=29$ ), resulting in a rise of [0.1 pp] to [45.4\%] in the Roman Catholic share of the total civil service workforce. The trend of increasing Roman Catholic representation has been observed every year since 2001.

In contrast to other areas of the public sector, male (50.6\%, $n=17,756$ ) and female (49.4\%, $n=17,345$ ) employees accounted for similar proportions of the total civil service workforce. When examined by types of employment, it is evident that, while males and female accounted for similar proportions of the full-time workforce (51.0\% vs. 49.0\%), females accounted for the majority of the part-time workforce (88.1\%).

### 8.2 The Civil Service Workforce: All Employees

There were 35,101 employees in the civil service in 2010 (Table 8.1), a decrease of 0.2\% ( $\mathrm{n}=79$ ) from 2009.

### 8.2.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 18,329 [54.6\%] Protestant and 15,233 [45.4\%] Roman Catholic employees in the total civil service workforce (Table 8.1). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Protestant civil service employees ( $0.6 \%, \mathrm{n}=107$ ) was greater than that for their Roman Catholic ( $0.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=29$ ) counterparts. Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the civil service workforce increased slightly by [0.1 pp], from [45.3\%] in 2009.

Table 8.1: Civil Service (All) Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 9,688 | $54.6 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 , 1 0 8}$ | $40.0 \%$ | 960 | $5.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 7 5 6}$ | $50.6 \%$ |
|  |  | $[28.9 \%]$ |  | $[21.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 8,641 | $49.8 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 , 1 2 5}$ | $46.8 \%$ | 579 | $3.3 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 3 4 5}$ | $49.4 \%$ |
|  |  | $[25.7 \%]$ |  | $[24.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 18,329 | $52.2 \%$ | 15,233 | $43.4 \%$ | 1,539 | $4.4 \%$ | 35,101 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[54.6 \%]$ |  | $[45.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

[^49]During the period 2001-2010, the number of Roman Catholic employees increased by $9.9 \%$ $(1,369)$, whilst the number of Protestant employees decreased by $12.6 \%(2,640)$. Thus, Roman Catholic employees' share of the civil service workforce increased by [5.6 pp] from [ $39.8 \%$ ] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees decreased year-on-year. Overall, the difference between the two groups decreased by [11.2 pp] $(n=4,009)$ from [20.4 pp] $(n=7,105)$ in 2001 (Chart 8.1).

Chart 8.1: Civil Service (All) Employees by Community Background, 2001-2010


### 8.2.2. Sex

In 2010, male (50.6\%, $\mathrm{n}=17,756$ ) and female $(49.4 \%, 17,345)$ employees comprised similar proportions of the civil service (Table 8.1). Between 2009 and 2010, the number of female civil service employees decreased $(0.3 \%, n=56)$ more than their male counterparts $(0.1 \%, n=23)$, although the changes in both were small. Thus, the male share of the civil service workforce increased by ( 0.1 pp ) from 50.5\% in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the overall decrease in the number of male civil service employees $(9.9 \%, n=1,943)$ was greater than that for their female counterparts ( $1.2 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=213$ ). Thus, the female share of the civil service workforce increased by ( 2.3 pp ) from $47.1 \%$ in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male and female employees decreased, as the mean difference between them ( $2.9 \mathrm{pp} ; \mathrm{n}=1,136$ ) was smaller than the 2001 difference ( $5.8 \mathrm{pp} ; \mathrm{n}=2,141$ ).

### 8.2.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, male Protestant employees comprised the largest proportion of the workforce [28.9\%, $\mathrm{n}=9,688$ ], and male Roman Catholics comprised the smallest [21.2\%, $\mathbf{n = 7 , 1 0 8 ]}$ (Table 8.1). Female Protestant and Roman Catholic employees each
comprised around one-quarter of the workforce [25.7\%, $n=8,641$; and $24.2 \%, n=8,125$ respectively]. Between 2009 and 2010, female Protestant employees saw the greatest, albeit still small, decrease in employment ( $0.8 \%, \mathrm{n}=70$ ). Male Protestant employees decreased by $0.4 \%$, $(n=37)$, while the decreases in the numbers of female Roman Catholic ( $0.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=20$ ) and male Roman Catholic ( $0.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=9$ ) employees were smaller again. Consequently, male Roman Catholic employees' were the only group to increase their share of the workforce, by [0.1 pp] from [21.1\%] in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant employees decreased, by $15.1 \%(n=1,725)$ and $9.6 \%(n=915)$ respectively. In contrast, the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employees increased, by $6.4 \%$, ( $n=429$ ) and 13.1\% ( $n=940$ ) respectively. Consequently, the male Roman Catholic share of the civil service workforce increased by [2.0 pp] from [19.2\%] in 2001, while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [3.6 pp] from [20.6\%]. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male Protestant and male Roman Catholic civil service employees decreased by [5.9 pp] ( $n=2,154$ ) from [13.6 pp] ( $n=4,734$ ) in 2001 (Table 8.2). The difference between the proportions of female Protestants and female Roman Catholics decreased by a similar amount to that of their male counterparts [5.3 pp, $\mathrm{n}=1,855$ ], although the initial difference between them was smaller [6.8 pp, $\mathrm{n}=2,371$ ].

Table 8.2: Trends in Civil Service (AII) Employment by Community Background and Sex, 2001-201086

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Male Protestant] | $32.8 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ | $31.3 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ | $30.7 \%$ | $30.4 \%$ | $29.2 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ |
| [Male R. Catholic] | $19.2 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $19.8 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ | $21.2 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 13.6 | 12.5 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 10.9 | 10.5 | 9.9 | 9.0 | 7.8 | 7.7 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 4,734 | 4,507 | 4,357 | 4,273 | 4,304 | 4,105 | 3,711 | 3,138 | 2,608 | 2,580 |
| [Female Protestant] | $27.4 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ | $26.6 \%$ | $26.5 \%$ | $27.2 \%$ | $25.9 \%$ | $25.7 \%$ |
| [Female R. Catholic] | $20.6 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 6.8 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 2,371 | 2,063 | 1,956 | 1,826 | 1,729 | 1,617 | 1,466 | 1,302 | 566 | 516 |

### 8.2.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant (47.3\%, $\mathrm{n}=8,678$ ) and Roman Catholic ( $60.1 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=9,158$ ) workers were employed in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) (Table 8.3). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (<0.01\% P. / 0.0\% R.C.; $\mathrm{n} \leq 10$ both). In 2010, the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees was broadly similar across the SOC categories, although notably, both were very concentrated into SOCs 3 and $4^{87}$. With regards to differences in sectoral distribution, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in SOC 3 ( $29.4 \%$ vs. 22.0\%) and Elementary Occupations (SOC 9) (7.6\% vs. 3.8\%). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 4 ( $60.1 \%$ vs. $47.3 \%$ ).

[^50]Between 2009 and 2010, the greatest proportional change in the numbers of both Protestant (3.8\% increase, $n=72$ ) and Roman Catholic ( $4.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=72$ ) employees occurred in Professional Occupations (SOC 2). In Elementary Occupations (SOC 9), the number of Protestant employees decreased ( $3.6 \%, n=52$ ), whilst the number of Roman Catholic employees increased ( $1.6 \%, \mathrm{n}=9$ ). Thus, SOC 9 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with the Roman Catholic share increasing by [1.0 pp] from [28.4\%] in 2009.

Table 8.3: Civil Service (All) Employees by Community Background and SOC in $2010^{88}$

| SOC $^{*}$ | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 $^{89}$ | 300 | 1.6 | 64.5 | 165 | 1.1 | 35.5 | 465 | 1.4 |
| SOC 2 | 1,990 | 10.9 | 55.2 | 1,617 | 10.6 | 44.8 | 3,607 | 10.7 |
| SOC 3 | 5,386 | 29.4 | 61.6 | 3,357 | 22.0 | 38.4 | 8,743 | 26.1 |
| SOC 4 | 8,678 | 47.3 | 48.7 | 9,158 | 60.1 | 51.3 | 17,836 | 53.1 |
| SOC 589 | 223 | 1.2 | 66.2 | 114 | 0.7 | 33.8 | 337 | 1.0 |
| SOC 6 $^{89}$ | 79 | 0.4 | 78.2 | 22 | 0.1 | 21.8 | 101 | 0.3 |
| SOC 789 | $*$ | 0.0 | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | $*$ | 0.0 |
| SOC 889 | 270 | 1.5 | 55.7 | 215 | 1.4 | 44.3 | 485 | 1.4 |
| SOC 9 | 1,402 | 7.6 | 70.6 | 585 | 3.8 | 29.4 | 1,987 | 5.9 |
| Total | 18,329 | 100.0 | 54.6 | 15,233 | 100.0 | 45.4 | 33,562 | 100.0 |

### 8.3 The Civil Service Workforce: Full-time Employees

There were 34,698 full-time employees in the civil service in 2010 (Table 8.4), an increase of $0.5 \% ~(n=179)$ from 2009.

### 8.3.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 18,096 [54.5\%] Protestant and 15,082 [45.5\%] Roman Catholic full-time employees in the civil service workforce (Table 8.4). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Protestant employees ( $0.3 \%$, $n=51$ ), was nearly identical to that of their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $0.3 \%, n=50$ ). However, during this period, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time civil service workforce increased by [0.1 pp] from [45.4\%] in 2009.

Table 8.4: Civil Service Full-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 9,660 | $54.6 \%$ | 7,092 | $40.0 \%$ | 956 | $5.4 \%$ | 17,708 | $51.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[29.1 \%]$ |  | $[21.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 8,436 | $49.7 \%$ | 7,990 | $47.0 \%$ | 564 | $3.3 \%$ | 16,990 | $49.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[25.4 \%]$ |  | $[24.1 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 18,096 | $52.2 \%$ | 15,082 | $43.5 \%$ | 1,520 | $4.4 \%$ | 34,698 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[54.5 \%]$ |  | $[45.5 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

[^51]During the period 2001-2010, the number of full-time Protestant civil service employees decreased by $13.1 \%(2,736)$, whilst the number of Roman Catholic employees increased by $9.3 \%(1,278)$. Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time workforce increased by [5.6 pp] from [39.9\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of Protestant and Roman Catholic full-time employees decreased year-on-year, by a total of [11.2 pp] $(n=4,014)$ from [20.2 pp] $(n=7,028)$ in 2001 (Table 8.5).

Table 8.5: Trends in Civil Service Full-time Employment by Community Background and by Sex, 2001-2010 ${ }^{90}$

|  | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 2009 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Protestant] | $60.1 \%$ | $59.0 \%$ | $58.2 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ | $57.5 \%$ | $57.3 \%$ | $56.8 \%$ | $56.3 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ | $54.5 \%$ |
| [R. Catholic] | $39.9 \%$ | $41.0 \%$ | $41.8 \%$ | $42.2 \%$ | $42.5 \%$ | $42.7 \%$ | $43.2 \%$ | $43.7 \%$ | $45.4 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 20.2 | 18.0 | 16.4 | 15.6 | 15.0 | 14.6 | 13.6 | 12.6 | 9.2 | 9.0 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 7028 | 6469 | 6142 | 6002 | 5931 | 5614 | 5052 | 4304 | 3013 | 3,014 |
| Male | $53.1 \%$ | $52.5 \%$ | $52.3 \%$ | $51.9 \%$ | $51.8 \%$ | $51.8 \%$ | $51.8 \%$ | $50.3 \%$ | $51.1 \%$ | $51.0 \%$ |
| Female | $46.9 \%$ | $47.5 \%$ | $47.7 \%$ | $48.1 \%$ | $48.2 \%$ | $48.2 \%$ | $48.2 \%$ | $49.7 \%$ | $48.9 \%$ | $49.0 \%$ |
| (F-M) pp diff. | -6.2 | -5.0 | -4.6 | -3.8 | -3.6 | -3.6 | -3.6 | -0.6 | -2.2 | -2.0 |
| (F-M) no. diff | $-2,289$ | $-1,918$ | $-1,770$ | $-1,529$ | $-1,444$ | $-1,460$ | $-1,412$ | -236 | -731 | -718 |

### 8.3.2. Sex

In 2010, male $(51.0 \%$, $n=17,708)$ and female $(49.0 \%, n=16,990)$ full-time employees accounted for similar proportions of the civil service workforce (Table 8.4). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of female employees $(0.6 \%, n=96)$, although small, was marginally greater than that for their male counterparts $(0.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=83)$. Thus, female employees' share of the full-time civil service workforce increased by ( 0.1 pp ) from $48.9 \%$ in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the number of male civil service employees decreased (9.9\%, $\mathrm{n}=1,954$ ) by a greater amount than their female counterparts $(2.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=383)$. Thus, the female share of the civil service workforce increased by ( 2.1 pp ) from $46.9 \%$ in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male and female full-time employees decreased overall by $(4.2 \mathrm{pp})(\mathrm{n}=1,571)$ from $(6.2 \mathrm{pp})(\mathrm{n}=2,289)$ in 2001 (Table 8.5).

### 8.3.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, similar proportions of female Protestants [25.4\%, $\mathrm{n}=8,436$ ] and female Roman Catholics [24.1\%, $n=7,990$ ] were employed full-time in the civil service workforce (Table 8.4). Male Protestant employees comprised the largest proportion of the workforce [ $29.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=9,660$ ], and male Roman Catholics comprised the smallest [21.4\%, $\mathrm{n}=7,092$ ]. Between 2009 and 2010, each of the four groups showed a small increase of $0.3 \%$ in employment. ${ }^{91}$ Thus, during this period there was little change ( $<0.01 \%$ ) in the male and female Protestant and Roman Catholic shares of the civil service workforce.
${ }^{90}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.
${ }^{91} \mathrm{n}=28$ for male Protestants, $\mathrm{n}=23$ for both female Protestants and male Roman Catholics, and $\mathrm{n}=27$ for female Roman Catholics.

During the period 2001-2010, the number of male Protestant and female Protestant fulltime employees decreased, by $15.2 \%(n=1,733)$ and $10.6 \%(n=1,003)$ respectively. Conversely, the number of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employees increased, by $6.4 \%(n=425)$ and $12.0 \%(n=853)$ respectively. Thus, male Roman Catholic employees' share of the full-time civil service workforce increased by [2.2 pp] from [19.2\%] in 2001, while female Roman Catholic employees' share increased by [ 3.5 pp ] from [20.6\%]. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male Protestant and male Roman Catholic full-time civil service employees decreased overall by [6.0 pp] ( $\mathrm{n}=2,158$ ) from [13.7 pp] ( $\mathrm{n}=4,726$ ) in 2001 (Table 8.6). The difference between the proportions of female Protestants and female Roman Catholics decreased overall by [5.4 pp] ( $\mathrm{n}=1,856$ ) from [6.7 pp] ( $\mathrm{n}=2,302$ ) in 2001.

Table 8.6: Trends in Civil Service Full-time Employment by Community Background and Sex, 2001-201092

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Male Protestant] | $32.9 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ | $31.5 \%$ | $31.1 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ | $30.8 \%$ | $30.5 \%$ | $29.3 \%$ | $29.1 \%$ | $29.1 \%$ |
| [Male R. Catholic] | $19.2 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 13.7 | 12.5 | 11.6 | 11.0 | 10.9 | 10.5 | 9.8 | 9.0 | 7.7 | 7.7 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 4,726 | 4,498 | 4,336 | 4,247 | 4,280 | 4,066 | 3,669 | 3,104 | 2,563 | 2,568 |
| [Female Protestant] | $27.3 \%$ | $26.9 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ | $26.6 \%$ | $26.4 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ | $26.9 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ |
| [Female R. Catholic] | $20.6 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | $24.1 \%$ | $24.1 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 6.7 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 2,302 | 1,971 | 1,806 | 1,755 | 1,651 | 1,548 | 1,383 | 1,200 | 450 | 446 |

### 8.3.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant (47.0\%, $n=8,501$ ) and Roman Catholic (59.9\%, $n=9,038$ ) full-time workers were employed in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) (Table 8.7). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (<0.01\% P. / 0.0\% R.C.; $n \leq 10$ both). In 2010, the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic full-time employees was broadly similar across the SOC categories, although notably, both were very concentrated into SOCs 3 and $4^{93}$. With regards to differences in sectoral distribution, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed full-time in SOC 3 ( $29.6 \%$ vs. $22.1 \%$ ) and Elementary Occupations (SOC 9) (7.7\% vs. $3.9 \%$ ). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic than Protestant workers were employed full-time in SOC 4 (59.9\% vs. 47.0\%).

[^52]Table 8.7: Civil Service Full-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in $2010^{94}$

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 $^{95}$ | 299 | 1.7 | 64.7 | 163 | 1.1 | 35.3 | 462 | 1.4 |
| SOC 2 | 1,976 | 10.9 | 55.1 | 1,610 | 10.7 | 44.9 | 3,586 | 10.8 |
| SOC 3 | 5,361 | 29.6 | 61.6 | 3,339 | 22.1 | 38.4 | 8,700 | 26.2 |
| SOC 4 | 8,501 | 47.0 | 48.5 | 9,038 | 59.9 | 51.5 | 17,539 | 52.9 |
| SOC 5 $^{95}$ | 223 | 1.2 | 66.2 | 114 | 0.8 | 33.8 | 337 | 1.0 |
| SOC 6 $^{95}$ | 79 | 0.4 | 79.8 | 20 | 0.1 | 20.2 | 99 | 0.3 |
| SOC 7 $^{95}$ | $*$ | 0.0 | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | $*$ | 0.0 |
| SOC 8 $^{95}$ | 268 | 1.5 | 55.5 | 215 | 1.4 | 44.5 | 483 | 1.5 |
| SOC 9 | 1,388 | 7.7 | 70.4 | 583 | 3.9 | 29.6 | 1,971 | 5.9 |
| Total | 18,096 | 100.0 | 54.5 | 15,082 | 100.0 | 45.5 | 33,178 | 100.0 |

Between 2009 and 2010, the greatest proportional change in the numbers of both Protestant (3.6\% increase, $\mathrm{n}=68$ ) and Roman Catholic ( $5.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=76$ ) employees occurred in Professional Occupations (SOC 2). In Elementary Occupations (SOC 9), the number of Protestant employees decreased ( $3.3 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=47 \%$ ), whilst the number of Roman Catholic employees increased ( $1.1 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=11$ ). Thus, SOC 9 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with the Roman Catholic share increasing by [1.1 pp] from [28.5\%] in 2009.

### 8.4. The Civil Service Workforce: Part-time Employees

There were 403 part-time employees in the civil service sector in 2010 (Table 8.8), a decrease of 39.0\% ( $n=258$ ) from 2009.

### 8.4.1. Community Background

## In 2010, there were 233 [60.7\%] Protestant and 151 [39.3\%] Roman Catholic part-time

 employees in the civil service workforce (Table 8.8). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Protestant part-time civil service employees ( $40.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=158$ ), was greater than that for their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $34.3 \%$, $n=79$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the part-time civil service workforce increased by [2.3 pp] from [37.0\%] in 2009.Table 8.8: Civil Service Part-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined ${ }^{96}$ |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 28 | $58.3 \%$ | 16 | $33.3 \%$ | $*$ | $8.3 \%$ | 48 | $11.9 \%$ |
|  |  | $[7.3 \%]$ |  | $[4.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 205 | $57.7 \%$ | 135 | $38.0 \%$ | $\#$ | $4.2 \%$ | 355 | $88.1 \%$ |
|  |  | $[53.4 \%]$ |  | $[35.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 233 | $57.8 \%$ | 151 | $37.5 \%$ | 19 | $4.7 \%$ | 403 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[60.7 \%]$ |  | $[39.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

[^53]During the period 2001-2010, the overall increase in the number of Protestant part-time employees ( $n=96$ ) was greater than that for Roman Catholic employees ( $n=91$ ). However, proportionally, the increase in the number of Roman Catholic part-time employees (151.7\%) was greater than that for their Protestant counterparts (70.1\%). Thus the Roman Catholic share of the part-time workforce increased by [8.8 pp] from [30.5\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees decreased, as the mean difference of [31.8 pp] ${ }^{97}$ was smaller than the 2001 difference of [39.0 pp] (Table 8.9).

### 8.4.2. Sex

## In 2010, close to nine-tenths of part-time civil service employees were female

 ( $88.1 \%$, $n=355$ ), while $11.9 \%(n=48)$ were male (Table 8.8). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of female part-time employees ( $n=152$ ) was greater than that for male employees ( $n=106$ ). However, proportionally, the decrease in the number of male part-time employees ( $68.8 \%$ ) was greater than that for their female counterparts ( $30.0 \%$ ). Thus, the female share of the part-time civil service workforce increased by (11.4 pp) from 76.7\% in 2009.Table 8.9: Trends in Civil Service Part-time Employment by Community Background and by Sex, 2001$2010^{98}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Protestant] | $69.5 \%$ | $71.5 \%$ | $68.8 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ | $66.1 \%$ | $65.3 \%$ | $64.9 \%$ | $63.3 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ | $60.7 \%$ |
| [R. Catholic] | $30.5 \%$ | $28.5 \%$ | $31.2 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ | $33.9 \%$ | $34.7 \%$ | $35.1 \%$ | $36.7 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ | $39.3 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 39.0 | 43.0 | 37.6 | 31.6 | 32.2 | 30.6 | 29.8 | 26.6 | 26.0 | 21.4 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 77 | 101 | 171 | 97 | 102 | 108 | 125 | 136 | 161 | 82 |
| Male | $16.7 \%$ | $23.2 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ | $27.9 \%$ | $26.6 \%$ | $32.3 \%$ | $30.4 \%$ | $26.5 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ |
| Female | $83.3 \%$ | $76.8 \%$ | $82.2 \%$ | $72.1 \%$ | $73.4 \%$ | $67.7 \%$ | $69.6 \%$ | $73.5 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ | $88.1 \%$ |
| (F-M) pp diff. | 66.6 | 53.6 | 64.4 | 44.2 | 46.8 | 35.4 | 39.2 | 47.0 | 53.4 | 76.2 |
| (F-M) no. diff | 148 | 143 | 323 | 144 | 155 | 143 | 176 | 256 | 353 | 307 |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of female part-time employees increased ( $91.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=170$ ) by a greater amount than their male counterparts ( $29.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=11$ ). Thus, the female share of the part-time workforce increased by (4.8 pp) from $83.3 \%$ in 2001. In this period the difference between the proportions of male and female part-time employees decreased, as the mean difference of ( 52.7 pp$)^{99}$ was smaller than the 2001 difference of (66.6 pp) (Table 8.9).
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### 8.4.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, female Protestant employees comprised the majority of the part-time civil service workforce [53.4\%, n=205] (Table 8.8). Female Roman Catholic employees also accounted for a large proportion of the workforce [35.2\%, n=135]. Male Protestant employees comprised [7.3\%, $\mathrm{n}=28$ ] and male Roman Catholic employees comprised [4.2\%, n=16] of this workforce. Between 2009 and 2010, there were similar proportional decreases in male Protestant ( $69.9 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=65$ ) and male Roman Catholic ( $66.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=32$ ) employment, while the decreases in female Protestant employment ( $31.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=93$ ) and female Roman Catholic employment ( $25.8 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=47$ ) were smaller. Thus, female Protestant employees share of the part-time workforce increased by [5.4 pp] from [48.0\%] in 2009, while female Roman Catholic employees share increased by [5.9 pp] from [29.3\%].

During the period 2001-2010, the increases in the numbers of female Protestant (75.2\%, $\mathrm{n}=88$ ) and female Roman Catholic (181.3\%, $\mathrm{n}=87$ ) part-time employees were greater than those for male Protestant ( $n=8$ ) and male Roman Catholic ( $n=4$ ) employees ${ }^{100}$. The larger increase in the number of female Roman Catholic employees means they were the only group to see their share of the part-time civil service workforce increase, by [10.8 pp] from [24.4\%] in 2001. In this period, there was a consistent, although numerically small, difference between the proportions of male Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time civil service employees [Mean diff=26, 6.7 pp ] (Table 8.10). Similarly, there was a consistent, and small, difference between the proportions of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic part-time civil service employees [Mean diff $=90,25.1 \mathrm{pp}$ ], and overall the difference between them decreased, as the mean difference of [ 25.1 pp ] was smaller than the 2001 difference of [35.0 pp]. ${ }^{101}$

Table 8.10: Trends in Civil Service Part-time Employment by Community Background and Sex, 2001-2010102

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Male Protestant] | $10.2 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| [Male R. Catholic] | $6.1 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 4.1 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 8.5 | 7.6 | 11.0 | 10.1 | 6.7 | 7.3 | 3.1 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 8 | 9 | 21 | 26 | 24 | 39 | 42 | 34 | 45 | 12 |
| [Female Protestant] | $59.4 \%$ | $59.6 \%$ | $57.4 \%$ | $48.2 \%$ | $49.1 \%$ | $44.4 \%$ | $44.2 \%$ | $46.5 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ | $53.4 \%$ |
| [Female R. Catholic] | $24.4 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ | $25.1 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ | $24.3 \%$ | $26.5 \%$ | $29.3 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 35.0 | 39.2 | 33.0 | 23.1 | 24.7 | 19.5 | 19.9 | 20.0 | 18.7 | 18.2 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 69 | 92 | 150 | 71 | 78 | 69 | 83 | 102 | 116 | 70 |
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### 8.4.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant (76.0\%, $\mathrm{n}=177$ ) and Roman Catholic ( $79.5 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=120$ ) part-time workers were employed in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) (Table 8.11). No Protestant or Roman Catholic parttime employees were employed in either Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) or Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7). The distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees was broadly similar across the SOC categories, although notably, both were very concentrated in to SOCs 3 and $4 .{ }^{103}$ With regards to differences in sectoral distribution, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed part-time in Elementary Occupations (SOC 9) (6.0\% vs. 1.3\%). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic than Protestant workers were employed part-time in SOC 4 ( $79.5 \%$ vs. $76.0 \%$ ). Due to the high number of data exclusions, little in the way of trend analyses can be undertaken for part-time civil service employees.

Table 8.11: Civil Service Part-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in $2010^{104}$

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | [\%] | N | \% | [\%] | N | \% |
| SOC $1^{105}$ | * | 0.4 | - | * | 1.3 | - | * | 0.8 |
| SOC 2 | \# | 6.0 | - | * | 4.6 | - | 21 | 5.5 |
| SOC 3 | 25 | 10.7 | 58.1 | 18 | 11.9 | 41.9 | 43 | 11.2 |
| SOC 4 | 177 | 76.0 | 59.6 | 120 | 79.5 | 40.4 | 297 | 77.3 |
| SOC $5^{105}$ | 0 | 0.0 | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | 0 | 0.0 |
| SOC $6^{105}$ | 0 | 0.0 | - | * | 1.3 | - | * | 0.5 |
| SOC $7^{105}$ | 0 | 0.0 | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | 0 | 0.0 |
| SOC $8^{105}$ | * | 0.0 | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | * | 0.5 |
| SOC 9 | \# | 6.0 | 87.5 | * | 1.3 | 12.5 | 16 | 4.2 |
| Total | 233 | 100.0 | 60.7 | 151 | 100.0 | 39.3 | 384 | 100.0 |

### 8.5. Civil Service Workforce: Applicants, Appointees, Promotees \& Leavers

### 8.5.1. Civil Service Workforce: Applicants

There were 28,534 civil service applicants in 2010 (Table 8.12), an increase of $12.2 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=3,107$ ) from 2009.

### 8.5.1.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 13,450 [50.7\%] Protestant and 13,091 [49.3\%] Roman Catholic civil service applicants (Table 8.12). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Roman Catholic applicants to the civil service ( $18.0 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=1,997$ ) was more than twice that for Protestant applicants (7.6\%, $\mathrm{n}=953$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of civil service applicants increased by [2.3 pp] from [47.0\%] in 2009.

[^56]Table 8.12: Civil Service Applicants by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 6,903 | $46.9 \%$ | 6,574 | $44.7 \%$ | 1,227 | $8.3 \%$ | 14,704 | $51.5 \%$ |
|  |  | $[26.0 \%]$ |  | $[24.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 6,547 | $47.3 \%$ | 6,517 | $47.1 \%$ | 766 | $5.5 \%$ | 13,830 | $48.5 \%$ |
|  |  | $[24.7 \%]$ |  | $[24.6 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 13,450 | $47.1 \%$ | 13,091 | $45.9 \%$ | 1,993 | $7.0 \%$ | 28,534 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[50.7 \%]$ |  | $[49.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of Protestant applicants decreased (26.9\%, $n=4,943$ ) by a greater amount that their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $23.8 \%, n=4,078$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of civil service applicants increased slightly by [1.0 pp] from [48.3\%] in 2001. In every year, aside from 2004, Protestants have consistently accounted for a greater proportion of applicants when compared to Roman Catholics (Chart 8.2).

Chart 8.2: Civil Service Applicants by Community Background, 2001-2010


### 8.5.1.2. Sex

In 2010, males (51.5\%, $\mathrm{n}=14,704$ ) and females ( $48.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=13,830$ ) comprised similar proportions of applicants to the civil service (Table 8.12). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of male applicants $(14.7 \%, n=1,880)$ was greater than that for their female counterparts ( $9.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,227$ ). Thus, the male share of civil service applicants increased by ( 1.1 pp ) from $50.4 \%$ in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the overall decrease in the number of female civil service applicants $(32.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=6,546)$ was more than twice that for their male counterparts ( $12.0 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=2,007$ ). Thus, the male share of civil service applicants increased by ( 6.4 pp ) from 45.1\% in 2001.

### 8.5.2. Civil Service Workforce: Appointees

There were 2,096 civil service appointees in 2010 (Table 8.13), a decrease of 21.9\% ( $\mathrm{n}=587$ ) from 2009.

### 8.5.2.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 1,027 [54.0\%] Protestant and 875 [46.0\%] Roman Catholic civil service appointees (Table 8.13). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Protestant appointees (26.1\%, $\mathrm{n}=363$ ) was greater than that for Roman Catholic appointees (22.5\%, n=254). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of civil service appointees increased slightly by [1.2 pp] from [44.8\%] in 2009.

Table 8.13: Civil Service Appointees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 541 | $48.6 \%$ | 470 | $42.2 \%$ | 103 | $9.2 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 1 4}$ | $53.1 \%$ |
|  |  | $[28.4 \%]$ |  | $[24.7 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 486 | $49.5 \%$ | 405 | $41.2 \%$ | 91 | $9.3 \%$ | 982 | $46.9 \%$ |
|  |  | $[25.6 \%]$ |  | $[21.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 1,027 | $49.0 \%$ | 875 | $41.7 \%$ | 194 | $9.3 \%$ | 2,096 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[54.0 \%]$ |  | $[46.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the overall decrease in the number of Roman Catholic appointees (20.5\%, $\mathrm{n}=225$ ) was more that three times that for their Protestant counterparts ( $6.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=70$ ). Thus, the Protestant share of civil service appointees increased by [4.1 pp] from [49.9\%] in 2001. From 2005, Protestants have consistently accounted for a greater proportion of appointees, when compared to Roman Catholics (Chart 8.3).

Chart 8.3: Civil Service Appointees by Community Background, 2001-2010


### 8.5.2.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of civil service appointees were male ( $53.1 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=1,114$ ), while $46.9 \%$ ( $n=982$ ) were female (Table 8.13). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of female appointees ( $24.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=317$ ) was greater than that for their male counterparts ( $19.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=270$ ). Thus, the male share of civil service appointees increased by ( 1.5 pp ) from $51.6 \%$ in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the overall decrease in the number of female appointees ( $29.9 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=418$ ) was greater than that of their male counterparts ( $4.6 \%, \mathrm{n}=54$ ). Thus, the male share of civil service appointees increased by (7.6 pp) from 45.5\% in 2001.

### 8.5.3. Civil Service Workforce: Promotees

There were 1,901 civil service promotees in 2010 (Table 8.14), a decrease of 52.5\% ( $\mathrm{n}=2,102$ ) from 2009.

### 8.5.3.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 944 [50.9\%] Protestant and 911 [49.1\%] Roman Catholic promotees in the civil service (Table 8.14). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Roman Catholic promotees $(53.3 \%, n=1,038)$ was slightly greater than that for their Protestant counterparts ( $51.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,012$ ). Thus, the Protestant share of civil service promotees increased slightly by [0.8 pp] from [50.1\%] in 2009.

Table 8.14: Civil Service Promotees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined 106 |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 452 | $51.8 \%$ | 394 | $45.2 \%$ | 26 | $3.0 \%$ | 872 | $45.9 \%$ |
|  |  | $[24.4 \%]$ |  | $[21.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 492 | $47.8 \%$ | 517 | $50.2 \%$ | 20 | $1.9 \%$ | 1,029 | $54.1 \%$ |
|  |  | $[26.5 \%]$ |  | $[27.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 944 | $49.7 \%$ |  | 911 | $47.9 \%$ | 46 | $2.4 \%$ | 1,901 |
|  |  | $[50.9 \%]$ |  | $[49.1 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

### 8.5.3.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of civil service promotees were females (54.1\%, $\mathrm{n}=1,029$ ), while $45.9 \%$ ( $n=872$ ) were male (Table 8.14). Between 2009 and 2010, the number of female promotees decreased ( $n=1,080$ ) by a greater amount than male promotees ( $n=1,022$ ). However, proportionally, the decrease in the number of male promotees (54.0\%) was greater than that of their female counterparts (51.2\%). Thus, the female share of civil service promotees increased by ( 1.4 pp ) from $52.7 \%$ in 2009.
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### 8.5.4. Civil Service Workforce: Leavers

There were 2,216 leavers from the civil service in 2010 (Table 8.15), a decrease of $44.2 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=1,752$ ) from 2009.

### 8.5.4.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 1,140 [55.4\%] Protestant and 916 [44.6\%] Roman Catholic leavers from the civil service (Table 8.15). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Protestant leavers from the civil service $(56.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,454)$ was far greater than that for their Roman Catholic counterparts (17.3\%, $\mathrm{n}=191$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of civil service leavers increased by [14.7 pp] from [29.9\%] in 2009.

Table 8.15: Civil Service Leavers by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 586 | $51.2 \%$ | 476 | $41.6 \%$ | 83 | $7.2 \%$ | 1,145 | $51.7 \%$ |
|  |  | $[28.5 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 8.5.4.2. Sex

In 2010, there were similar numbers of male (51.7\%, $n=1,145$ ) and female ( $48.3 \%$, $\mathbf{n}=1,071$ ) leavers from the civil service (Table 8.15). Between 2009 and 2010, the proportional decrease in the number of female leavers ( $50.0 \%, n=1,073$ ) was greater than that for their male counterparts ( $37.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=679$ ). Thus, the male share of civil service leavers increased by (5.7 pp) from 46.0\% in 2009.

## 9. Public Sector - Security-related

## All Employees

- In 2010, the security-related sector accounted for $10.2 \%$ of all public sector employment.
- The security-related sector comprised 13,092 employees, a decrease of $2.7 \%$ ( $n=359$ ) from 2009. The composition of the security-related workforce was 9,803 [77.9\%] Protestant and 2,780 [22.1\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, total Protestant security-related employment decreased by $4.3 \%$ ( $n=440$ ), while total Roman Catholic employment increased by $4.2 \%(n=112)$. Overall, the Roman Catholic share of the security-related workforce increased by [1.4 pp].
- In 2010, more than two-thirds of security-related employees were male (68.0\%, $\mathrm{n}=8,899$ ).

Full-time Workforce

- There were 12,380 full-time employees in the security-related sector, a decrease of $2.2 \%$ ( $n=284$ ) from 2009. The composition of the full-time workforce was 9,159 [77.1\%] Protestant and 2,721 [22.9\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, full-time Protestant security-related employment decreased by $3.9 \%$ ( $n=371$ ), while full-time Roman Catholic employment increased by $4.5 \%$ ( $n=117$ ). Overall, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time security-related workforce increased by [1.4 pp].
- In 2010, two-thirds of full-time security-related employees were male (68.5\%, $n=8,480$ ).


## Part-time Workforce

- There were 712 part-time employees in the security-related sector, a decrease of $9.5 \%(n=75)$ from 2009. The composition of the part-time workforce was 644 [91.6\%] Protestant and 59 [8.4\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, part-time Protestant security-related employment decreased by $9.7 \%$ ( $n=69$ ), while part-time Roman Catholic employment decreased by $7.8 \%(n=5)$. Overall, the Roman Catholic share of the part-time security-related workforce increased by [0.2 pp].
- In 2010, the majority of part-time security-related employees were male ( $58.8 \%$, $n=419$ ).


## Applicants, Appointees, Promotees and Leavers

In 2010, there were:

- 16,058 security-related applicants. The composition was 9,930 [63.9\%]

Protestant and 5,617 [36.1\%] Roman Catholic.

- 533 security-related appointees. The composition was 293 [56.7\%] Protestant and 224 [43.3\%] Roman Catholic.
- 319 security-related promotees. The composition was 248 [80.5\%] Protestant and 60 [19.5\%] Roman Catholic.
- 879 security-related leavers. The composition was 720 [85.9\%] Protestant and 118 [14.1\%] Roman Catholic.


### 9.1. The Security-related Workforce: Introduction

The purpose of this chapter is to help inform employers and other interested parties about wider compositional trends within the security-related workforce that, alongside information on labour availability, may better inform their own considerations of fair participation in specific employment(s).

In 2010, security-related employment accounted for $6.9 \%$ of the total public workforce and comprised 13,092 employees. ${ }^{107}$ The security-related sector has contracted every year since 2001. Between 2009 and 2010, the number of full-time employees in the securityrelated sector decreased ( $n=284$ ), as did the number of part-time employees ( $n=75$ ). Thus, overall the sector decreased by 359 employees. During this period, the increase in the number of Roman Catholics in full-time employment ( $n=117$ ) was greater than the decrease in the number in part-time employment ( $n=5$ ). In contrast, the number of Protestants in both full-time and part-time employees decreased (by 371 and 69 respectively). Thus, total Roman Catholic employment increased ( $n=112$ ), while total Protestant employment decreased ( $n=440$ ). As a result, Roman Catholic representation in the security-related workforce increased by [1.4 pp] from 2009 [20.7\%]. At [22.1\%] the Roman Catholic share of the security-related sector is now nearly three times its 2001 level [8.4\%].

In 2010, more than two-thirds of total security-related employees were male (68.0\%, $\mathrm{n}=8,899$ ). Atypically for the public sector, males accounted for the majority of both full-time (68.5\%) and part-time (58.8\%) security-related employees. However, between 2001 and 2010, the proportion of security-related employment accounted for by females increased steadily. The increase has been more marked for part-time employment ( $26.2 \%$ to $41.2 \%$ ), than full-time employment (20.8\% to 31.5\%).

### 9.2. The Security-related Workforce: All Employees

There were 13,092 employees in the security-related sector in 2010 (Table 9.1), a decrease of 2.7\% ( $n=359$ ) from 2009.

### 9.2.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 9,803 [77.9\%] Protestant and 2,780 [22.1\%] Roman Catholic employees in the total security-related workforce (Table 9.1). Between 2009 and 2010, the number of Protestant employees decreased ( $n=440$ ) by a greater amount than the number of Roman Catholic employees increased ( $n=112$ ). However, proportionally, the decrease in the number of Protestant employees (4.3\%) was similar to the increase in their Roman Catholic counterparts (4.2\%). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the securityrelated workforce increased slightly by [1.4 pp] from [20.7\%] in 2009.

[^58]Table 9.1: Security-related (All) Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 6,697 | $75.3 \%$ | 1,782 | $20.0 \%$ | 420 | $4.7 \%$ | 8,899 | $68.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[53.2 \%]$ |  | $[14.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 3,106 | $74.1 \%$ | 998 | $23.8 \%$ | 89 | $2.1 \%$ | 4,193 | $32.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[24.7 \%]$ |  | $[7.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 9,803 | $74.9 \%$ | 2,780 | $21.2 \%$ | 509 | $3.9 \%$ | 13,092 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[77.9 \%]$ |  | $[22.1 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the number of Roman Catholic employees increased by $63.0 \%$ ( $n=1,075$ ), whilst the number of Protestant employees decreased by $47.5 \%$ ( $n=8,878$ ). Thus, Roman Catholic employees' share of the security-related workforce increased by [13.7 pp] from [8.4\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees decreased year-on-year, by a total of $[27.4 \mathrm{pp}](\mathrm{n}=9,953)$ from [83.2 pp] ( $\mathrm{n}=16,976$ ) in 2001 (Chart 9.1).


### 9.2.2. Sex

In 2010, more than two-thirds of security-related employees were male (68.0\%, $n=8,899$ ), while $32.0 \%$ were female $(n=4,193)$ (Table 9.1). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of male employees $(4.3 \%, n=404)$, although small, was greater than the increase in their female counterparts ( $1.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=45$ ). Thus, female employees' share of security related employment increased by (1.2 pp) from 30.8\% in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the overall decrease in male security-related employees $(47.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=7,907)$ was far greater than that for their female counterparts $(7.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=330)$. Thus, the female share of the security-related workforce increased by ( 10.8 pp ) from 21.2\% in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male and female employees decreased year-on-year, by a total of $(21.6 \mathrm{pp})(\mathrm{n}=7,577)$ from $(57.6 \mathrm{pp})(\mathrm{n}=12,283)$ in 2001.

### 9.2.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, male Protestant employees comprised the majority of the security-related workforce [53.2\%, n=6,697] (Table 9.1). Security-related employment was very much divided along community background lines, as female Protestant employees also accounted for a large proportion of the workforce [24.7\%, $n=3,106]$. Male Roman Catholics comprised [14.2\%] ( $n=1,782$ ) of employees, while female Roman Catholics comprised [7.9\%] ( $n=998$ ). Between 2009 and 2010, male Protestants were the only group to show a decrease in employment $(6.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=445)$. There were similar proportional increases in the number of male Roman Catholic (4.3\%, $n=74$ ) and female Roman Catholic (4.0\%, $n=38$ ) employees, and a smaller increase in the number of female Protestant employees (0.2\%, $\mathrm{n}=5$ ). Thus, male Protestant employees were the only group to see a decrease in their share of the security-related workforce, by [2.1 pp] from [55.3\%] in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant security-related employees decreased, by $54.7 \%$ ( $n=8,091$ ) and 20.2\% ( $n=787$ ) respectively. In contrast, the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employees increased, by $47.8 \%(n=576)$ and $100 \%(n=499)$ respectively. As a result of the larger decrease in the number of male Protestant employees, they were the only group to see their share of the security-related workforce decrease, by [19.3 pp] from [72.5\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male Protestant and male Roman Catholic security-related employees decreased year-on-year, by a total of [27.6 pp] ( $n=8,667$ ) from [66.6 pp] ( $n=13,582$ ) in 2001 (Table 9.2). In contrast, the difference between the proportions of female Protestant and Roman Catholic employees altered very little over the ten years, with an mean difference of [17.0 pp] ( $n=2,781$ ) evident.

Table 9.2: Trends in Security-related (All) Employment by Community Background and Sex, 2001-2010 ${ }^{108}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Male Protestant] | $72.5 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ | $69.1 \%$ | $67.9 \%$ | $65.9 \%$ | $64.5 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ | $57.2 \%$ | $55.3 \%$ | $53.2 \%$ |
| [Male R. Catholic] | $5.9 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 66.6 | 65.3 | 62.4 | 60.6 | 57.7 | 55.5 | 52.9 | 45.1 | 42.1 | 39.0 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 13,582 | 12,216 | 11,281 | 10,741 | 10,068 | 9,284 | 8,253 | 5,943 | 5,434 | 4,915 |
| [Female Protestant] | $19.1 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | $24.0 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ |
| [Female R. Catholic] | $2.4 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 16.7 | 17.7 | 17.9 | 17.4 | 17.2 | 16.8 | 15.9 | 16.9 | 16.6 | 16.8 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 3,394 | 3,316 | 3,231 | 3,092 | 3,007 | 2,810 | 2,470 | 2,240 | 2,141 | 2,108 |
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### 9.2.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant (75.5\%, $\mathrm{n}=7,406$ ) and Roman Catholic (82.2\%, n=2,285) workers were employed in Associated Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3) (Table 9.3). Conversely, no Protestant or Roman Catholic security-related workers were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7). In 2010, the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees was broadly similar across the SOC categories, although notably, both were very concentrated in SOC 3. With regards to difference in sectoral distribution, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in Managerial and Senior Official Occupations (SOC 1) (6.8\% vs. 4.4\%) and Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) (13.5\% vs. 9.8\%). Conversely a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 3 ( $82.2 \%$ vs. $75.5 \%$ ).

Table 9.3: Security-related (All) Employees by Community Background and SOC in $2010^{109}$

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | $N$ | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 664 | 6.8 | 84.5 | 122 | 4.4 | 15.5 | 786 | 6.2 |
| SOC 2 | 137 | 1.4 | 67.8 | 65 | 2.3 | 32.2 | 202 | 1.6 |
| SOC 3110 | 7,406 | 75.5 | 76.4 | 2,285 | 82.2 | 23.6 | 9,691 | 77.0 |
| SOC 4 | 1,319 | 13.5 | 82.9 | 272 | 9.8 | 17.1 | 1,591 | 12.6 |
| SOC 5110 | 108 | 1.1 | 85.0 | 19 | 0.7 | 15.0 | 127 | 1.0 |
| SOC 6110 | $*$ | 0.1 | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | $*$ | 0.1 |
| SOC 7 | $* 10$ | 0 | 0.0 | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | 0 |
| SOC 8110 | $\#$ | 0.2 | - | $*$ | 0.2 | - | 27 | 0.2 |
| SOC 9110 | 138 | 1.4 | 92.0 | 12 | 0.4 | 8.0 | 150 | 1.2 |
| Total | 9,803 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 5 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Between 2009 and 2010, the greatest proportional change in the numbers of both Protestant ( $8.7 \%$ decrease, $n=63$ ) and Roman Catholic ( $9.6 \%, n=13$ ) employees occurred in SOC 1. In SOC 3, the number of Protestant employees decreased (4.2\%, $n=326$ ), whilst the number of Roman Catholic employees increased ( $6.1 \%$, $n=132$ ). Thus, SOC 3 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with the Roman Catholic share increasing by [1.8 pp] from [21.8\%] in 2009.
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### 9.3. The Security-related Workforce: Full-time Employees

There were 12,380 full-time employees in the security-related sector in 2010 (Table 9.4), a decrease of 2.2\% ( $n=284$ ) from 2009.

### 9.3.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 9,159 [77.1\%] Protestant and 2,721 [22.9\%] Roman Catholic fulltime employees in the security-related workforce (Table 9.4). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of full-time Protestant employees ( $n=371$ ) was greater than the increase in their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $n=117$ ). However, proportionally, the increase in the number of Roman Catholic full-time employees (4.5\%) was greater that the decrease in their Protestant counterparts (3.9\%). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time security-related workforce increased by [1.4 pp] from [21.5\%] in 2009.

Table 9.4: Security-related Full-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $\mathbf{6 , 3 1 5}$ | $74.5 \%$ | 1,751 | $20.6 \%$ | 414 | $4.9 \%$ | 8,480 | $68.5 \%$ |
|  |  | $[53.2 \%]$ |  | $[14.7 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 2,844 | $72.9 \%$ | 970 | $24.9 \%$ | 86 | $2.2 \%$ | 3,900 | $31.5 \%$ |
|  |  | $[23.9 \%]$ |  | $8.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 9,159 | $74.0 \%$ | 2,721 | $22.0 \%$ | 500 | $4.0 \%$ | 12,380 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[77.1 \%]$ |  | $[22.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the overall decrease in the number of Protestant full-time employees ( $n=7,866$ ) was greater than the increase in their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $n=1,090$ ). However, proportionally, the increase in the number of Roman Catholic full-time employees (66.8\%) was greater that the decrease in their Protestant counterparts (46.2\%). Thus, Roman Catholic employees' share of the full-time security-related workforce increased by [14.2 pp] from [8.7\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of Protestant and Roman Catholic full-time employees decreased year-onyear, by a total of [28.4 pp] (n=8,956) from [82.6\%] $(n=15,394)$ in 2001 (Table 9.5).

### 9.3.2. Sex

In 2010, more that two-thirds of full-time security-related employees were male ( $68.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=8,480$ ), while ( $31.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=3,900$ ) were female (Table 9.4). Between 2009 and 2010, the number of male full-time employees decreased by $4.0 \%$ ( $n=356$ ), whilst the number of female employees increased by $1.9 \%(n=72)$. Thus, female employees' share of the full-time security-related workforce increased by [1.3 pp] from [30.2\%] in 2009.

Table 9.5: Trends in Security-related Full-time Employment by Community Background and by Sex, 2001-2010 ${ }^{111}$

|  | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Protestant] | $91.3 \%$ | $90.9 \%$ | $89.6 \%$ | $88.4 \%$ | $86.6 \%$ | $85.1 \%$ | $83.5 \%$ | $80.2 \%$ | $78.5 \%$ | $77.1 \%$ |
| [R. Catholic] | $8.7 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 5 \%}$ | $19.8 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 82.6 | 81.8 | 79.2 | 76.8 | 73.2 | 70.2 | 67.0 | 60.4 | 57.0 | 54.2 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 15,394 | 13,679 | 12,838 | 12,535 | 11,520 | 10,541 | 9,699 | 7,477 | 6,926 | 6,438 |
| Male | $79.2 \%$ | $77.5 \%$ | $76.2 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $74.6 \%$ | $73.7 \%$ | $73.9 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ | $69.8 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ |
| Female | $20.8 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | $24.1 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ | $26.1 \%$ | $29.4 \%$ | $30.2 \%$ | $31.5 \%$ |
| (F-M) pp diff. | -58.4 | -55.0 | -52.4 | -51.8 | -49.2 | -47.4 | -47.8 | -41.2 | -39.6 | -37.0 |
| (F-M) no. diff | $-11,443$ | $-9,620$ | $-8,905$ | $-8,841$ | $-8,092$ | $-7,428$ | $-7,181$ | $-5,310$ | $-5,008$ | $-4,580$ |

During the period 2001-2010, the overall decrease in the number of male full-time securityrelated employees $(45.3 \%, n=7,023)$ was far greater than that for their female counterparts ( $3.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=160$ ). Thus, the female share of the security-related workforce increased by (10.7 pp ) from $20.8 \%$ in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male and female full-time employees decreased by a total of (21.4 pp) ( $\mathrm{n}=6,863$ ), from (58.4 pp) ( $\mathrm{n}=11,443$ ) in 2001 (Table 9.5).

### 9.3.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, male Protestant employees comprised the majority of the full-time securityrelated workforce [53.2\%, $\mathbf{n = 6 , 3 1 5}$ ] (Table 9.4). Full-time security-related employment was very much divided along community background lines, as female Protestant employees also accounted for a large proportion of the workforce [23.9\%, n=2,844]. Male Roman Catholics comprised [14.7\%] ( $n=1,751$ ) of employees, while female Roman Catholics comprised [8.2\%] ( $\mathrm{n}=970$ ). Between 2009 and 2010, male Protestants were the only group to show a decrease in full-time employment ( $6.0 \%$, $n=400$ ), while the increases in male Roman Catholic ( $4.5 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=76$ ), female Roman Catholic ( $4.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=41$ ) and female Protestant ( $0.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=29$ ) employment were small. Thus, male Protestant employees' were the only group to see their share of the full-time security-related workforce decrease, by [2.1 pp] from [55.3\%] in 2009.

During the period 2001-2010, the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant security-related full-time employees decreased, by $53.4 \%$ ( $n=7,235$ ) and 18.2\% ( $n=631$ ) respectively. Conversely, the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employees increased, by 49.9\% ( $n=583$ ) and 109.5\% ( $n=507$ ) respectively. As a result of the larger decrease in the number of male Protestant employees, they were the only group to see their share of the full-time workforce fall, by [19.4 pp] from [72.6\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male Protestant and male Roman Catholic security-related full-time employees decreased year-on-year, by a total of [27.8 pp] ( $n=7,818$ ) from [66.3 pp] ( $n=12,382$ ) in 2001 (Table 9.6). In contrast, the difference between the proportions of female Protestant and Roman Catholic employees altered very little over the ten years, with a mean difference of [16.3 pp] ( $n=2,457$ ) evident.

[^61]Table 9.6: Trends in Security-related Full-time Employment by Community Background and Sex, 2001-2010 ${ }^{112}$

|  | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Male Protestant] | $72.6 \%$ | $70.8 \%$ | $68.6 \%$ | $67.6 \%$ | $65.2 \%$ | $63.5 \%$ | $62.7 \%$ | $57.3 \%$ | $55.3 \%$ | $53.2 \%$ |
| [Male R. Catholic] | $6.3 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 66.3 | 64.6 | 61.5 | 59.8 | 56.3 | 53.8 | 52.0 | 44.6 | 41.5 | 38.5 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 12,382 | 10,779 | 9,974 | 9,763 | 8,875 | 8,071 | 7,518 | 5,515 | 5,040 | 4,564 |
| [Female Protestant] | $18.6 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $23.2 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ |
| [Female R. Catholic] | $2.5 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 16.1 | 17.4 | 17.7 | 17.0 | 16.7 | 16.4 | 15.0 | 15.9 | 15.5 | 15.7 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 3,012 | 2,900 | 2,864 | 2,772 | 2,645 | 2,470 | 2,181 | 1,962 | 1,886 | 1,874 |

### 9.3.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant (73.9\%, $\mathrm{n}=6,765$ ) and Roman Catholic (81.8\%, n=2,226) full-time workers were employed in Associated
Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3) (Table 9.7). Conversely, no Protestant or Roman Catholic security-related full-time workers were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7). In 2010, the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic full-time employees was broadly similar across the SOC categories, although notably, both were very concentrated in SOC 3. With regards to difference in sectoral distribution, a greater proportion of Protestant than Roman Catholic workers were employed full-time in Managerial and Senior Official Occupations (SOC 1) (7.2\% vs. 4.5\%) and Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) (14.4\% vs. 10.0\%). Conversely a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed fulltime in SOC 3 (81.8 \% vs. 73.9\%).

Table 9.7: Security-related Full-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in $2010^{113}$

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | [\%] | N | \% | [\%] | N | \% |
| SOC 1 | 664 | 7.2 | 84.5 | 122 | 4.5 | 15.5 | 786 | 6.6 |
| SOC $2^{114}$ | 137 | 1.5 | 67.8 | 65 | 2.4 | 32.2 | 202 | 1.7 |
| SOC 3 | 6,765 | 73.9 | 75.2 | 2,226 | 81.8 | 24.8 | 8,991 | 75.7 |
| SOC 4 | 1,317 | 14.4 | 82.9 | 272 | 10.0 | 17.1 | 1,589 | 13.4 |
| SOC $5^{114}$ | 108 | 1.2 | 85.0 | 19 | 0.7 | 15.0 | 127 | 1.1 |
| SOC 6114 | * | 0.1 | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | * | 0.1 |
| SOC 7114 | 0 | 0.0 | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | 0 | 0.0 |
| SOC $8^{114}$ | \# | 0.2 | 81.5 | * | 0.2 | 18.5 | 27 | 0.2 |
| SOC 9114 | 137 | 1.5 | 91.9 | 12 | 0.4 | 8.1 | 149 | 1.3 |
| Total | 9,159 | 100.0 | 77.1 | 2,721 | 100.0 | 22.9 | 11,880 | 100.0 |

[^62]Between 2009 and 2010, the greatest proportional change in the numbers of both Protestant ( $8.7 \%$ decrease, $n=63$ ) and Roman Catholic ( $9.6 \%, \mathrm{n}=13$ ) employees occurred in SOC 1. In SOC 3, the number of Protestant employees decreased (3.7\%, $\mathrm{n}=258$ ), whilst the number of Roman Catholic employees increased ( $6.6 \%$, $n=137$ ). Thus, SOC 3 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with the Roman Catholic share increasing by [1.9 pp] from [22.9\%] in 2009.

### 9.4. The Security-related Workforce: Part-time Employees

There were 712 part-time employees in the security-related sector in 2010 (Table 9.8), a decrease of $9.5 \%(n=75)$ from 2009.

### 9.4.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 644 [91.6\%] Protestant and 59 [8.4\%] Roman Catholic part-time employees in the security-related workforce (Table 9.8). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of part-time Protestant employees ( $9.7 \%, n=69$ ), was greater than that for their Roman Catholic counterparts $(7.8 \%, \mathrm{n}=5)$. Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the part-time security-related workforce increased by [0.2 pp] from [8.2\%] in 2009.

Table 9.8: Security-related Part-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined ${ }^{115}$ |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 382 | $91.2 \%$ | 31 | $7.4 \%$ | $*$ | $1.4 \%$ | 419 | $58.8 \%$ |
|  |  | $[54.3 \%]$ |  | $[4.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 262 | $89.4 \%$ | 28 | $9.6 \%$ | $*$ | $1.0 \%$ | 293 | $41.2 \%$ |
|  |  | $[37.3 \%]$ |  | $[4.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 644 | $90.4 \%$ | 59 | $8.3 \%$ | $*$ | $1.3 \%$ | 712 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[91.6 \%]$ |  | $[8.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

During the period 2001-2010, the overall decrease in the number of Protestant part-time security-related employees $(61.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,012)$ was far greater than that for their Roman Catholic counterparts (20.3\%, $\mathrm{n}=15$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the part-time workforce increased by [4.1 pp] from [4.3\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees decreased by a total of [8.2 pp] ( $\mathrm{n}=997$ ) from [91.4 pp] ( $\mathrm{n}=1,582$ ) in 2001 (Table 9.9).

[^63]
### 9.4.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of part-time security-related employees were male (58.8\%, $\mathbf{n = 4 1 9}$ ), while 41.2\% ( $\mathrm{n}=293$ ) were female (Table 9.8). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of male part-time employees ( $10.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=48$ ), was greater than that for their female counterparts ( $8.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=27$ ). Thus, the female share of the part-time security-related workforce increased by ( 0.5 pp ) from 40.7\% in 2009.

Table 9.9: Trends in Security-related Part-time Employment by Community Background and by Sex, 2001-2010 ${ }^{116}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Protestant] | $95.7 \%$ | $95.8 \%$ | $95.4 \%$ | $95.8 \%$ | $95.7 \%$ | $95.6 \%$ | $95.1 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ | $91.8 \%$ | $91.6 \%$ |
| [R. Catholic] | $4.3 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 91.4 | 91.6 | 90.8 | 91.6 | 91.4 | 91.2 | 90.2 | 84.0 | 83.6 | 83.2 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 1,582 | 1,853 | 1,674 | 1,298 | 1,555 | 1,553 | 1,024 | 706 | 649 | 585 |
| Male | $73.8 \%$ | $76.0 \%$ | $76.3 \%$ | $73.6 \%$ | $74.7 \%$ | $76.3 \%$ | $69.9 \%$ | $59.6 \%$ | $59.3 \%$ | $58.8 \%$ |
| Female | $26.2 \%$ | $24.0 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ | $26.4 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ | $30.1 \%$ | $40.4 \%$ | $40.7 \%$ | $41.2 \%$ |
| (F-M) pp diff | -47.6 | -52.0 | -52.6 | -47.2 | -49.4 | -52.6 | -39.8 | -19.2 | -18.6 | -17.6 |
| (F-M) no. diff | -840 | $-1,074$ | -987 | -681 | -856 | -909 | -459 | -164 | -147 | -126 |

During the period 2001-2010, the overall decrease in the number of male part-time security-related employees $(67.8 \%, \mathrm{n}=884)$ was far greater than that for female employees ( $36.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=170$ ). Thus, female part-time employees' share of the security-related workforce increased by ( 15.0 pp ) from $26.2 \%$ in 2001. In this period the difference between the proportions of male and female part-time employees remained substantial (Mean diff=624; 39.7 pp ), but did decrease overall, as the mean difference was smaller than the 2001 difference ( $47.6 \mathrm{pp} ; \mathrm{n}=840$ ) (Table 9.9).

### 9.4.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, male Protestant employees comprised the majority of the part-time security-related workforce [54.3\%, $\mathrm{n}=382$ ], while female Protestant employees comprised [37.3\%] ( $n=262$ ) (Table 9.8). Male Roman Catholic [4.4\%, $n=31$ ] and female Roman Catholic [4.0\%, $n=28$ ] employees accounted for similarly small proportions of the workforce. Between 2009 and 2010, male Protestant employees showed the greatest decrease in employment ( $10.5 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=45$ ), while male Roman Catholic employees showed the smallest ( $6.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=2$ ). Proportionally, female Roman Catholic part-time employees decreased $(9.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=3)$ by more than female Protestant employees ( $8.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=24$ ). Consequently, male Roman Catholic employees' share of the part-time security-related workforce increased by [0.2 pp] from [4.2\%] in 2009, while female Protestant employees' share increased by [0.5\%] from [36.8\%].

[^64]During the period 2001-2010, the decrease in the numbers of male Protestant (69.1\%, $\mathrm{n}=856$ ) and female Protestant ( $37.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=156$ ) security-related employees were greater than those for male Roman Catholic (18.4\%, $n=7$ ) and female Roman Catholic employees $(22.2 \%, n=8)$. As a result of the larger decrease in the number of male Protestant employees, they were the only group to see their share of the part-time workforce decrease, by [17.3 pp] from [71.6\%] in 2001. In this period, the difference between the proportions of male Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time security-related employees decreased, as the mean difference between them [63.8 pp; n=924] was smaller than the 2001 difference [69.4 $\mathrm{pp}, \mathrm{n}=1,200$ ] (Table 9.10). Conversely, the difference between the proportions of female Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time employees increased, as the mean difference between them [25.1 pp; n=324] was larger than the 2001 difference [22.1 pp; n=382].

Table 9.10: Trends in Security-related Part-time Employment by Community Background and Sex, 2001-2010 ${ }^{117}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 2007 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Male Protestant] | $71.6 \%$ | $73.5 \%$ | $73.5 \%$ | $71.3 \%$ | $72.5 \%$ | $73.8 \%$ | $67.3 \%$ | $55.2 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ | $54.3 \%$ |
| [Male R. Catholic] | $2.2 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 69.4 | 71.0 | 70.8 | 69.1 | 70.1 | 71.3 | 64.7 | 50.9 | 50.8 | 49.9 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 1,200 | 1,437 | 1,307 | 978 | 1,193 | 1,213 | 735 | 428 | 394 | 351 |
| [Female Protestant] | $24.2 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ | $23.2 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ | $36.8 \%$ | $36.8 \%$ | $37.3 \%$ |
| [Female R. Catholic] | $2.1 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| [P-RC] pp diff | 22.1 | 20.6 | 19.9 | 22.6 | 21.3 | 20.0 | 25.4 | 33.1 | 32.8 | 33.3 |
| [P-RC] no. diff | 382 | 416 | 367 | 320 | 362 | 340 | 289 | 278 | 255 | 234 |

### 9.4.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the majority of Protestant part-time workers (99.5\%, $\mathrm{n}=641$ ) and all Roman Catholic part-time workers (100\%, $\mathrm{n}=59$ ) were employed in Associated Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3) (Table 9.11). SOCs 4 and $9^{118}$ were the only other occupational categories in which Protestant part-time workers were employed ( $\mathrm{n}<10$ both). As the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time employees was mostly concentrated into SOC 3, no further trends or distribution analyses can be undertaken.

Table 9.11: Security-related Part-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in $2010{ }^{119}$

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |  |
| SOC 1 | 0 | 0.0 | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| SOC 2 | 0 | 0.0 | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| SOC 3 | 641 | 99.5 | 91.6 | 59 | 100.0 | 8.4 | 700 | 99.6 |  |
| SOC 420 | $*$ | 0.3 | - | 0 | - | - | $*$ | - |  |
| SOC 5 | 0 | 0.0 | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| SOC 6 | 0 | 0.0 | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| SOC 7 | 0 | 0.0 | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| SOC 8 | 0 | 0.0 | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| SOC 9120 | $*$ | 0.1 | - | 0 | - | - | $*$ | - |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |
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### 9.5. Security-related Workforce: Applicants, Appointees, Promotees \& Leavers

### 9.5.1. Security-related Workforce: Applicants

There were 16,058 security-related applicants in 2010 (Table 9.12), an increase of $41.8 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=4,737$ ) from 2009.

### 9.5.1.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 9,930 [63.9\%] Protestant and 5,617 [36.1\%] Roman Catholic security-related applicants (Table 9.12). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Protestant applicants $(52.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=3,400)$ was far greater than that for their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $30.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,314$ ), although both were large. Thus, the Protestant share of security-related applicants increased by [3.6pp] from [60.3\%] in 2009.

Table 9.12: Security-related Applicants by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 5,482 | $59.9 \%$ | 3,344 | $36.5 \%$ | 333 | $3.6 \%$ | 9,159 | $57.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[35.3 \%]$ |  | $[21.5 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 4,448 | $64.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 7 3}$ | $32.9 \%$ | 178 | $2.6 \%$ | 6,899 | $43.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[28.6 \%]$ |  | $[14.6 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 9,930 | $61.8 \%$ | 5,617 | $35.0 \%$ | 511 | $3.2 \%$ | 16,058 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[63.9 \%]$ |  | $[36.1 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

### 9.5.1.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of applicants to the security-related sector were male (57.0\%, $n=9,159$ ), while $43.0 \%(n=6,899)$ were female (Table 9.12). Between 2009 and 2010, the numbers of male applicants increased ( $n=2,046$ ) by a smaller amount than female applicants ( $n=2,691$ ). Proportionally, the increase in the number of female applicants (63.9\%) was more than twice that of their male counterparts (28.8\%). Thus, the female share of security-related applicants increased by ( 5.5 pp) from $37.5 \%$ in 2009.

### 9.5.2. Security-related Workforce: Appointees

There were 533 security-related appointees in 2010 (Table 9.13), a decrease of 32.2\% ( $\mathrm{n}=253$ ) from 2009.

### 9.5.2.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 293 [56.7\%] Protestant and 224 [43.3\%] Roman Catholic securityrelated appointees (Table 9.13). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Protestant appointees (35.6\%, $\mathrm{n}=162$ ) was greater than that for their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $23.8 \%, \mathrm{n}=70$ ), although, proportionally, both were large. Thus, the Roman Catholic share of security-related appointees increased by [4.0 pp] from [39.3\%] in 2009.

Table 9.13: Security-related Appointees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 152 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 48.3 \% \\ {[29.4 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 152 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 48.3 \% \\ {[29.4 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 11 | 3.5\% | 315 | 59.1\% |
| Female | 141 | $\begin{gathered} 64.7 \% \\ {[27.3 \%]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 72 | $\begin{gathered} 33.0 \% \\ {[13.9 \%]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 2.3\% | 218 | 40.9\% |
| TOTAL | 293 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 55.0 \% \\ {[56.7 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 224 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 42.0 \% \\ {[43.3 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 16 | 3.0\% | 533 | 100.0\% |

### 9.5.2.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of security-related appointees were male ( $59.1 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=315$ ), while 40.9\% ( $\mathrm{n}=218$ ) were female (Table 9.13). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of male appointees ( $34.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=163$ ) was greater than that for their female counterparts (29.2\%, $\mathrm{n}=90$ ). Thus, the female share of security-related appointees increased by (1.6 pp) from 39.3\% in 2009.

### 9.5.3. Security-related Workforce: Promotees

There were 319 security-related promotees in 2010 (Table 9.14), a decrease of $38.7 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=201$ ) from 2009.

### 9.5.3.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 248 [80.5\%] Protestant and 60 [19.5\%] Roman Catholic promotees in the security-related workforce (Table 9.14). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the numbers of Protestant promotees ( $n=158$ ) was more than four times that for Roman Catholic promotees ( $\mathrm{n}=34$ ). However, proportionally, the decrease in the number of Protestant promotees (38.9\%) was only slightly greater than that for their Roman Catholic counterparts (36.2\%). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of security-related promotees increased slightly by [0.7 pp] from [18.8\%] in 2009.

Table 9.14: Security-related Promotees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined ${ }^{121}$ |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 152 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 81.3 \% \\ {[49.4 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 29 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 15.5 \% \\ & {[9.4 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | * | 3.2\% | 187 | 58.6\% |
| Female | 96 | $\begin{gathered} 72.7 \% \\ {[31.2 \%]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 31 | $\begin{gathered} 23.5 \% \\ {[10.1 \%]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | * | 3.8\% | 132 | 41.4\% |
| TOTAL | 248 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 77.7 \% \\ & {[80.5 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 60 | $\begin{gathered} 18.8 \% \\ {[19.5 \%]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 11 | 3.4\% | 319 | 100.0\% |
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### 9.5.3.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of security-related promotees were male ( $58.6 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=187$ ), while 41.4\% ( $n=132$ ) were female (Table 9.14). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of male promotees ( $45.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=155$ ) was far greater than that for their female counterparts $(25.8 \%, \mathrm{n}=46)$. Thus, the female share of security-related promotees increased by ( 6.4 pp ) from $35.0 \%$ in 2009.

### 9.5.4. Security-related Workforce: Leavers

There were 879 leavers from the security-related sector in 2010 (Table 9.15), a decrease of $2.5 \%(n=23)$ from 2009.

### 9.5.4.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 720 [85.9\%] Protestant and 118 [14.1\%] Roman Catholic leavers from the security-related sector (Table 9.15). Between 2009 and 2010, the numbers of Protestant leavers decreased ( $n=24$ ) by a greater amount than Roman Catholic leavers ( $n=7$ ). However, proportionally, the decrease in the number of Roman Catholic leavers ( $5.6 \%$ ) was greater than that for their Protestant counterparts (3.2\%). Thus, the Protestant share of security sector leavers increased by [0.3 pp] from [85.6\%] in from 2009.

Table 9.15: Security-related Leavers by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined ${ }^{122}$ |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 588 | $82.7 \%$ | 84 | $11.8 \%$ | $\#$ | $5.5 \%$ | 711 | $80.9 \%$ |
|  |  | $[70.2 \%]$ |  | $[10.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 132 | $78.6 \%$ | 34 | $20.2 \%$ | $*$ | $1.2 \%$ | 168 | $19.1 \%$ |
|  |  | $[15.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 9.5.4.2. Sex

In 2010, four-fifths of security-related leavers were male (80.9\%, $\mathrm{n}=711$ ), while 19.1\% ( $\mathrm{n}=168$ ) were female (Table 9.15). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of male leavers $(2.6 \%, n=19)$ was slightly greater than that for their female counterparts $(2.3 \%, n=4)$. However, there was little change (<0.01 pp) in the male and female shares of security-related leavers from 2009.
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## 10. Public Sector - 'Other' Public Authorities

## All Employees

- In 2010, the 'other' public authorities' workforce accounted for $12.7 \%$ of all public sector employment.
- The 'other' public authorities comprised 24,063 employees, an increase of $14.8 \%(n=3,105)$ from 2009. The composition of the total workforce was 12,097 [53.1\%] Protestant and 10,704 [46.9\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, total Protestant employment increased by 12.1\% ( $n=1,307$ ), while total Roman Catholic employment increased by $15.8 \%$ ( $n=1,459$ ). Thus, overall, the Roman Catholic share of the 'other' public authorities workforce increased by [0.8 pp].
- In 2010, nearly two-thirds of employees in 'other' public authorities were male ( $65.1 \%, n=15,663$ ).


## Full-time Workforce

- In 2010, there were 22,231 full-time employees in the 'other' public authorities' workforce, an increase of $14.1 \%(n=2,745)$ from 2009. The composition of the full-time workforce was 11,204 [53.2\%] Protestant and 9,872 [46.8\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2009 and 2010, full-time Protestant employment increased by 11.4\% ( $n=1,144$ ), while full-time Roman Catholic employment increased 14.9\% ( $n=1,277$ ). Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the full-time other' public authorities workforce increased by [0.7 pp].
- In 2010, nearly two-thirds of full-time employees in 'other' public authorities were male ( $64.6 \%, n=14,368$ ).


## Part-time Workforce

- In 2010, there were 1,832 part-time employees in the 'other' public authorities' workforce, an increase of $24.5 \%(n=360)$ from 2009. The composition of the part-time workforce was 893 [51.8\%] Protestant and 832 [48.2\%] Roman Catholic
- Between 2009 and 2010, part-time Protestant employment increased by $22.3 \%$ ( $n=163$ ), while part-time Roman Catholic employment increased by 28.0\% ( $\mathrm{n}=182$ ). Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the part-time 'other' public workforce increased by [1.1 pp].
- In 2010, more than 70 percent of part-time employees in 'other' public authorities were male ( $70.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,295$ ).


## Applicants, Appointees, Promotees and Leavers

In 2010, there were:

- 29,970 'other' public authorities' applicants. The composition was 13,460 [50.3\%] Protestant and 13,325 [49.7\%] Roman Catholic.
- 1,515 'other' public authorities' appointees. The composition was 625 [46.6\%] Protestant and 717 [53.4\%] Roman Catholic.
- 466 'other' public authorities' promotees. The composition was 209 [48.7\%] Protestant and 220 [51.3\%] Roman Catholic.
- 5,011 'other' public authorities' leavers. The composition was 2,927 [63.8\%] Protestant and 1,661 [36.2\%] Roman Catholic.


### 10.1. The 'Other’ Public Authorities' Workforce: Introduction

The purpose of this section is to help inform employers and other interested parties about wider compositional trends within 'other' public authorities that, alongside information on labour availability, may better inform their own considerations of fair participation in specific employment(s).

There are 70 specified public authorities which do not fit into any of the five public authority sections (e.g. health, education etc). The list includes non-departmental bodies such as Ulsterbus, Invest NI and the General Consumer Council. For the purposes of this report they have been classified as 'other' public authorities.

In 2010, the total 'other' public authorities' workforce accounted for $12.7 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=24,063$ ) of the total public sector workforce. The full-time workforce accounted for $92.4 \%$ of all employees in 'other' public authorities. Between 2009 and 2010, both the full-time and part-time workforces increased in numbers (by $n=2,745$ and $n=360$ respectively). Thus, overall the sector increased by 3,105 employees. The overall increase in the number of Roman Catholic employees ( $n=1,459$ ) was greater than that for Protestant employees ( $n=1,307$ ). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of the other public authorities' workforce increased by [0.8 pp] to [41.6\%] in 2010.

Males continued to account for the majority of employees in the 'other' public authorities. In 2010, nearly two-thirds (65.1\%) of all employees in the 'other' public authorities were male. Furthermore, males accounted for a greater proportion of the part-time workforce ( $70.7 \%$ ) than the full-time workforce ( $64.6 \%$ ).

The analysis which follows will be limited to comparisons with 2009. ${ }^{123}$

### 10.2. The 'Other’ Public Authorities' Workforce: All Employees

There were 24,063 employees in the 'other' public authorities' workforce in 2010 (Table 10.1), an increase of $14.8 \%(n=3,105)$ from 2009.

### 10.2.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 12,097 [53.1\%] Protestant and 10,704 [46.9\%] Roman Catholic employees in the total 'other' public authorities' workforce (Table 10.1). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Roman Catholic 'other' public authorities employees ( $15.8 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,459$ ) was greater than that for their Protestant counterparts ( $12.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,307$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the 'other' public authorities' workforce increased slightly by [0.8 pp] from [46.1\%] in 2009.

[^68]Table 10.1: 'Other' Public Authorities' (All) Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 8,081 | $51.6 \%$ | 6,856 | $43.8 \%$ | 726 | $4.6 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 6 6 3}$ | $65.1 \%$ |
|  |  | $[35.4 \%]$ |  | $[30.1 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 4,016 | $47.8 \%$ | 3,848 | $45.8 \%$ | 536 | $6.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 , 4 0 0}$ | $34.9 \%$ |
|  |  | $[17.6 \%]$ |  | $[16.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 12,097 | $50.3 \%$ | 10,704 | $44.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 6 2}$ | $5.2 \%$ | 24,063 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[53.1 \%]$ |  | $[46.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

### 10.2.2. Sex

In 2010, nearly two-thirds of 'other' public authorities employees were male (65.1\%, $\mathrm{n}=15,663$ ), while $34.9 \%(\mathrm{n}=8,400)$ were female (Table 10.1). Between 2009 and 2010, the numbers of male and female employees increased by similar amounts ( $n=1,543$ and $\mathrm{n}=1,562$ respectively). However, proportionally, the increase in the number of female employees (22.8\%) was twice that for their male counterparts (10.9\%). Thus, female employees share of the 'other' public authorities' workforce increased by ( 2.3 pp ) from 32.6\% in 2009.

### 10.2.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, 'other' public authorities employment was very much divided along gender lines, with male Protestant [35.4\%, $n=8,081$ ] and male Roman Catholic [30.1\%, $\mathbf{n}=6,856$ ] employees comprising the majority of the workforce (Table 10.1). Female Protestant [17.6\%, $n=4,016$ ] and female Roman Catholic [16.9\%, $n=3,848$ ] employees comprised similar proportions of the workforce. Between 2009 and 2010, female Roman Catholic employment increased by the greatest amount ( $24.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=747$ ), followed, in proportional terms, by female Protestant employment (18.7\%, n=634). Proportionally, the increases in male Roman Catholic (11.6\%, $\mathrm{n}=712$ ) and male Protestant ( $9.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=673$ ) employees were smaller again. Thus, female Protestant employees' share of the 'other' public authorities' workforce increased by [0.7 pp] from [16.9\%] in 2009, while female Roman Catholic employees' share increased by [1.4 pp] from [15.5\%].

### 10.2.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant (19.6\%, $\mathrm{n}=2,365$ ) and Roman Catholic (21.9\%, $\mathrm{n}=2,339$ ) workers were employed in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) (Table 10.2). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (1.1\% both, n=131 P./ 118 R.C.). In 2010, the sectoral distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees was broadly similar across the SOC categories, although some differences are evident. For example, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) (6.1\% vs. 3.1\%). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 4 (21.9\% vs. 19.6\%) and Elementary Occupations (SOC 9) (19.6\% vs. 17.6\%).

Table 10.2: 'Other' Public Authorities' (All) Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2010

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 1,383 | 11.4 | 50.5 | 1,357 | 12.7 | 49.5 | 2,740 | 12.0 |
| SOC 2 | 1,097 | 9.1 | 56.2 | 854 | 8.0 | 43.8 | 1,951 | 8.6 |
| SOC 3 | 2,338 | 19.3 | 54.1 | 1,985 | 18.5 | 45.9 | 4,323 | 19.0 |
| SOC 4 | 2,365 | 19.6 | 50.3 | 2,339 | 21.9 | 49.7 | 4,704 | 20.6 |
| SOC 5 | 732 | 6.1 | 68.8 | 332 | 3.1 | 31.2 | 1,064 | 4.7 |
| SOC 6 | 410 | 3.4 | 59.6 | 278 | 2.6 | 40.4 | 688 | 3.0 |
| SOC 7124 | 131 | 1.1 | 52.6 | 118 | 1.1 | 47.4 | 249 | 1.1 |
| SOC 8 | 1,506 | 12.4 | 52.8 | 1,344 | 12.6 | 47.2 | 2,850 | 12.5 |
| SOC 9 | 2,135 | 17.6 | 50.4 | 2,097 | 19.6 | 49.6 | 4,232 | 18.6 |
| Total | 12,097 | 100.0 | $\mathbf{5 3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 7 0 4}$ | 100.0 | 46.9 | 22,801 | 100.0 |

Between 2009 and 2010, the greatest proportional change in the number of Protestant employees occurred in SOC 4 ( $23.6 \%$ increase, $n=451$ ), while the greatest change in Roman Catholic employees occurred in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) (31.8\% increase, n=206). In SOC 5, the proportional increase in the number of Roman Catholic employees (26.2\%, $\mathrm{n}=69$ ) was greater than that of their Protestant counterparts (13.3\%, $\mathrm{n}=86$ ). Thus, SOC 5 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with the Roman Catholic share increasing by [2.3 pp] from [28.9\%] in 2009.

### 10.3. The 'Other’ Public Authorities’ Workforce: Full-time Employees

There were 22,231 full-time employees in the 'other' public authorities in 2010 (Table 10.3), an increase of $14.1 \%(n=2,745)$ from 2009.

### 10.3.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 11,204 [53.2\%] Protestant and 9,872 [46.8\%] Roman Catholic fulltime employees in the 'other' public authorities' workforce (Table 10.3). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Roman Catholic full-time employees ( $14.9 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=1,277$ ), was greater than that for their Protestant counterparts (11.4\%, $\mathrm{n}=1,144$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time 'other' public authorities' workforce increased by [0.7 pp] from [46.1\%] in 2009.

Table 10.3: 'Other' Public Authorities' Full-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 7,447 | $51.8 \%$ | 6,254 | $43.5 \%$ | 667 | $4.6 \%$ | 14,368 | $64.6 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $[35.3 \%]$ |  | $[29.7 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 3,757 | $47.8 \%$ | 3,618 | $46.0 \%$ | 488 | $6.2 \%$ | 7,863 | $35.4 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $[17.8 \%]$ |  | $[17.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 11,204 | $50.4 \%$ | 9,872 | $44.4 \%$ | 1,155 | $5.2 \%$ | 22,231 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $[53.2 \%]$ | $[46.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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### 10.3.2. Sex

In 2010, nearly two-thirds of full-time employees in 'other' public authorities were male ( $64.6 \%, \mathrm{n}=14,368$ ), while $35.4 \%(\mathrm{n}=7,863)$ were female (Table 10.3). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of male full-time employees ( $n=1,403$ ) was greater than that for female employees ( $n=1,342$ ). However, proportionally, the increase in the number of female full-time employees $(20.6 \%$ ) was twice that of their male counterparts ( $10.8 \%$ ). Thus, female employees share of the 'other' public full-time workforce increased by ( 1.9 pp ) from $33.5 \%$ in 2009.

### 10.3.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, full-time employment in 'other' public authorities was very much divided along gender lines, with male Protestant [35.3\%, n=7,447] and Roman Catholic [29.7\%, $\mathrm{n}=6,254$ ] employees comprising the majority of the workforce (Table 10.3). Female Protestant [17.8\%, $\mathrm{n}=3,757$ ] and Roman Catholic [ $17.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=3,618$ ] employees comprised similar proportions of the workforce. Between 2009 and 2010, female Roman Catholic full-time employment increased by the greatest amount (21.8\%, n=647). Proportionally, the increase in female Protestant employment ( $16.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=523$ ) was greater than those for male Roman Catholic ( $11.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=630$ ) and male Protestant $(9.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=621$ ) employment. Thus, female Protestant employees' share of the full-time workforce increased by [ 0.5 pp ] from [17.3 \%] in 2009, while female Roman Catholic employees' share increased by [1.3 pp] from [15.9\%].

### 10.3.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the greatest proportions of both Protestant (20.5\%, $\mathrm{n}=2,294$ ) and Roman Catholic ( $22.9 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=2,262$ ) full-time workers were employed in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) (Table 10.4). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (1.2\% both, n=129 P / 116 R.C.]. The sectoral distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic workers was broadly similar across the SOC categories, although some differences are evident. For example, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed fulltime in Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) (6.5\% vs. 3.3\%). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed full-time in SOC 4 (22.9\% vs. 20.5\%) and Elementary Occupations (SOC 9) (19.5\%. vs. 17.7\%).

Between 2009 and 2010, the greatest proportional change in the numbers of both Protestant full-time employees ( $23.4 \%$ increase, $\mathrm{n}=195$ ) and Roman Catholic full-time employees ( $31.1 \%$ increase, $\mathrm{n}=190$ ) occurred in Professional Occupations (SOC 2). In SOC 5, the proportional increase in the number of Roman Catholic full-time employees $(27.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=70)$ was greater than that of their Protestant counterparts ( $13.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=86$ ). Thus, SOC 5 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with the Roman Catholic share increasing by [ 2.3 pp ] from [28.7\%] in 2009.

Table 10.4: 'Other' Public Authorities' Full-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2010

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 1,370 | 12.2 | 50.6 | 1,335 | 13.5 | 49.4 | 2,705 | 12.8 |
| SOC 2 | 1,029 | 9.2 | 56.3 | 800 | 8.1 | 43.7 | 1,829 | 8.7 |
| SOC 3 | 1,838 | 16.4 | 54.6 | 1,526 | 15.5 | 45.4 | 3,364 | 16.0 |
| SOC 4 | 2,294 | 20.5 | 50.4 | 2,262 | 22.9 | 49.6 | 4,556 | 21.6 |
| SOC 5 | 731 | 6.5 | 69.0 | 329 | 3.3 | 31.0 | 1,060 | 5.0 |
| SOC 6 | 323 | 2.9 | 57.5 | 239 | 2.4 | 42.5 | 562 | 2.7 |
| SOC 7125 | 129 | 1.2 | 52.7 | 116 | 1.2 | 47.3 | 245 | 1.2 |
| SOC 8 | 1,504 | 13.4 | 52.8 | 1,342 | 13.6 | 47.2 | 2,846 | 13.5 |
| SOC 9 | 1,986 | 17.7 | 50.8 | 1,923 | 19.5 | 49.2 | 3,909 | 18.5 |
| Total | 11,204 | 100.0 | 53.2 | 9,872 | 100.0 | 46.8 | 21,076 | 100.0 |

### 10.4. The 'Other' Public Authorities' Workforce: Part-time Employees

There were 1,832 part-time employees in the 'other' public authorities' workforce in 2010 (Table 10.5), an increase of $24.5 \%(n=360)$ from 2009.

### 10.4.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 893 [51.8\%] Protestant and 832 [48.2\%] Roman Catholic part-time employees in the 'other' public authorities' workforce (Table 10.5). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Roman Catholic part-time employees ( $28.0 \%, n=182$ ), was greater than that for their Protestant counterparts ( $22.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=163$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the part-time 'other' public authorities' workforce increased by [1.1 pp] from [47.1\%] in 2009.

Table 10.5: 'Other' Public Authorities' Part-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 634 | $49.0 \%$ | 602 | $46.5 \%$ | 59 | $4.6 \%$ | 1,295 | $70.7 \%$ |
|  |  | $[36.8 \%]$ |  | $[34.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 259 | $48.2 \%$ | 230 | $42.8 \%$ | 48 | $8.9 \%$ | 537 | $29.3 \%$ |
|  |  | $[15.0 \%]$ |  | $[13.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 893 | $48.7 \%$ | 832 | $45.4 \%$ | 107 | $5.8 \%$ | 1,832 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[51.8 \%]$ |  | $[48.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

### 10.4.2. Sex

In 2010, three-quarters of part-time employees in 'other' public authorities were male (70.7\%, $\mathrm{n}=1,295$ ), while $\mathbf{2 9 . 3 \%}(\mathrm{n}=537)$ were female (Table 10.5). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of part-time female employees ( $69.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=220$ ), was, proportionally, far greater than that for their male counterparts (12.1, $\mathrm{n}=140$ ). Thus, the female share of the part-time 'other' public authorities' workforce increased by (7.8 pp) from $21.5 \%$ in 2009.

[^70]
### 10.4.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2010, part-time employment in 'other’ public authorities was very much divided along gender lines, with male Protestant [36.8\%, $\mathrm{n}=634$ ] and male Roman Catholic [ $34.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=602$ ] employees comprising the majority of the workforce (Table 10.5). Female Protestant [15.0\%, n=259] and female Roman Catholic [13.3\%, n=230] employees comprised similar, smaller, proportions of the workforce. Between 2009 and 2010, there were similarly large proportional increases in the number of female Protestant (75.0\%, $\mathrm{n}=111$ ) and female Roman Catholic ( $76.9 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=100$ ) part-time employees, while the increases for male Roman Catholic (15.8\%, n=82) and male Protestant (8.9\%, n=52) employees were smaller. Thus, female Protestant employees' share of the 'other' public authorities' part-time workforce increased by [4.3 pp] from [10.7\%] in 2009, while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [3.9 pp] from [9.4\%].

### 10.4.4. Community Background and SOC

In 2010, the majority of both Protestant (56.0\%, $\mathrm{n}=500$ ) and Roman Catholic (55.2\%, n=459) part-time workers were employed in Associated Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3) (Table 10.6). Very few Protestant or Roman Catholic part-time employees were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) or Process, Plant and Machine Operative Occupations (SOC 8) ( $0.2 \%, \mathrm{n} \leq 10$ both). The distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic workers was broadly similar across the SOC categories, although notably, both were very concentrated in SOCs 3 and $9 .{ }^{126}$ With regards to differences in sectoral distribution, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in Personal Services Occupations (SOC 6) ( $9.7 \%$ vs. $4.7 \%$ ). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 9 (20.9\% vs. 16.7\%).

Table 10.6: 'Other' Public Authorities' Part-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in $2010^{127}$

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | [\%] | N | \% | [\%] | N | \% |
| SOC $1^{128}$ | 13 | 1.5 | 37.1 | 22 | 2.6 | 62.9 | 35 | 2.0 |
| SOC 2 | 68 | 7.6 | 55.7 | 54 | 6.5 | 44.3 | 122 | 7.1 |
| SOC 3 | 500 | 56.0 | 52.1 | 459 | 55.2 | 47.9 | 959 | 55.6 |
| SOC 4 | 71 | 8.0 | 48.0 | 77 | 9.3 | 52.0 | 148 | 8.6 |
| SOC $5^{128}$ | * | 0.1 | - | * | 0.4 | - | * | 0.2 |
| SOC 6 | 87 | 9.7 | 69.0 | 39 | 4.7 | 31.0 | 126 | 7.3 |
| SOC $7^{128}$ | * | 0.2 | - | * | 0.2 | - | * | 0.2 |
| SOC $8^{128}$ | * | 0.2 | - | * | 0.2 | - | * | 0.2 |
| SOC 9 | 149 | 16.7 | 46.1 | 174 | 20.9 | 53.9 | 323 | 18.7 |
| Total | 893 | 100.0 | 51.8 | 832 | 100.0 | 48.2 | 1,725 | 100.0 |

[^71]Between 2009 and 2010, the greatest proportional change in the numbers of both Protestant employees (141.7\% increase, $\mathrm{n}=51$ ) and Roman Catholic employees (254.5\% increase, $\mathrm{n}=28$ ) occurred in SOC 6, although the numerical increases involved were small. Thus, SOC 6 demonstrated the greatest change in community composition, with the Roman Catholic share increasing by [7.6 pp] from [23.4\%] in 2009.

## 10.5. 'Other' Public Authorities' Workforce: Applicants, Appointees, Promotees \& Leavers

### 10.5.1. 'Other' Public Authorities' Workforce: Applicants

There were 29,970 applicants to 'other' public authorities in 2010 (Table 10.7), an increase of $16.1 \%(n=4,165)$ from 2009.

### 10.5.1.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 13,460 [50.3\%] Protestant and 13,325 [49.7\%] Roman Catholic applicants to 'other' public authorities (Table 10.7). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Protestant applicants $(14.4 \%, n=1,690)$ was slightly greatly than that for their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $14.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=1,648$ ). Thus, the Protestant share of applicants to 'other' public authorities increased by [0.1 pp] from [50.2\%] in 2009.

Table 10.7: 'Other' Public Authorities’ Applicants by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 6,851 | $44.9 \%$ | 6,743 | $44.2 \%$ | 1,653 | $10.8 \%$ | 15,247 | $50.9 \%$ |
|  |  | $[25.6 \%]$ |  | $[25.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 6,609 | $44.9 \%$ | 6,582 | $44.7 \%$ | 1532 | $10.4 \%$ | 14,723 | $49.1 \%$ |
|  |  | $[24.7 \%]$ |  | $[24.6 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 13,460 | $44.9 \%$ | 13,325 | $44.5 \%$ | 3,185 | $10.6 \%$ | 29,970 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[50.3 \%]$ |  | $[49.7 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

### 10.5.1.2. Sex

In 2010, males $\mathbf{( 5 0 . 9} \%$, $\mathrm{n}=15,247$ ) and females $(49.1 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=14,723$ ) comprised similar proportions of applicants to 'other' public authorities (Table 10.7). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of female applicants $(35.5 \%, n=3,859)$ was far greater than that for their male counterparts $(2.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=306)$. Thus, the female share of applicants to 'other' public authorities increased by ( 7.0 pp ) from $42.1 \%$ in 2009.

### 10.5.2. 'Other' Public Authorities' Workforce: Appointees

There were 1,515 appointees to 'other' public authorities in 2010 (Table 10.8), a decrease of $28.6 \%(n=606)$ from 2009.

### 10.5.2.1 Community Background

In 2010, there were 625 [46.6\%] Protestant and 717 [53.4\%] Roman Catholic appointees to 'other' public authorities (Table 10.8). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Protestant appointees (36.2\%, $\mathrm{n}=354$ ) was greater than that for their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $22.4 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=207$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of appointees to 'other' public authorities increased by [4.8 pp] from [48.6\%] in 2009.

Table 10.8: 'Other' Public Authorities' Appointees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 336 | $41.4 \%$ | 382 | $47.0 \%$ | 94 | $11.6 \%$ | 812 | $53.6 \%$ |
|  |  | $[25.0 \%]$ |  | $[28.5 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 289 | $41.1 \%$ | 335 | $47.7 \%$ | 79 | $11.2 \%$ | 703 | $46.4 \%$ |
|  |  | $[21.5 \%]$ |  | $[25.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 625 | $41.3 \%$ | 717 | $47.3 \%$ | 173 | $11.4 \%$ | 1,515 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[46.6 \%]$ |  | $[53.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

### 10.5.2.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of appointees to 'other' public authorities were male (53.6\%,
 decrease in the number of male appointees ( $34.9 \%, n=435$ ) was greater than that for their female counterparts $(19.6 \%, \mathrm{n}=171)$. Thus, the female share of 'appointees to 'other' public authorities increased by ( 5.2 pp ) from 41.2\% in 2009.

### 10.5.3. 'Other' Public Authorities' Workforce: Promotees

There were 466 'promotees in 'other' public authorities in 2010 (Table 10.9), a decrease of 8.1\% ( $n=41$ ) from 2009.

### 10.5.3.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 209 [48.7\%] Protestant and 220 [51.3\%] Roman Catholic promotees in 'other' public authorities (Table 10.9). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of Protestant promotees (19.9\%, $n=52$ ) was greater than the increase in their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $0.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=2$ ). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of promotees in 'other' public authorities increased by [5.8 pp] from [45.5\%] in 2009.

Table 10.9: 'Other' Public Authorities' Promotees by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 124 | $48.4 \%$ | 116 | $45.3 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $[28.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |$)$

### 10.5.3.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of promotees in 'other' public authorities were male (54.9\%, $\mathbf{n}=256$ ), while $45.1 \%(\mathbf{n}=210)$ were female (Table 10.9). Between 2009 and 2010, the decrease in the number of male promotees $(10.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=30)$, was more than twice that for their female counterparts ( $5.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=11$ ), although numerically, both were small. Thus, the female share of promotees in 'other' public authorities increased by ( 1.5 pp ) from 43.6\% in 2009.

### 10.5.4. 'Other' Public Authorities' Workforce: Leavers

There were 5,011 leavers from the 'other' public authorities in 2010 (Table 10.10), an increase of $116.6 \%(n=2,698)$ from 2009.

### 10.5.4.1. Community Background

In 2010, there were 2,927 [63.8\%] Protestant and 1,661 [36.2\%] Roman Catholic leavers from 'other' public authorities (Table 10.10). Between 2009 and 2010, the increase in the number of Protestant leavers (164.9\%, $\mathrm{n}=1,822$ ) was far greater than that for their Roman Catholic counterparts ( $69.5 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=681$ ), although, proportionally, both were large. Thus, the Protestant share of leavers from 'other' public authorities increased by [10.8 pp] from [53.0\%] in 2009.

Table 10.10: 'Other’ Public Authorities’ Leavers by Community Background and Sex in 2010

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 1,214 | $55.9 \%$ | 786 | $36.2 \%$ | 170 | $7.8 \%$ | 2,170 | $43.3 \%$ |
|  |  | $[26.5 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 10.5.4.2. Sex

In 2010, the majority of leavers from 'other' public authorities were female (56.7\%,
 increase in the number of female leavers $(244.4 \%, n=2,016)$ was far greater than that for their male counterparts ( $45.8 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=682$ ), although, proportionally, both were large. Thus, the female share of leavers from 'other' public authorities increased by ( 21.0 pp ) from $35.7 \%$ in 2009.

## Appendices

## Appendix 1: Definitions, Technical Considerations and Wider Concepts in Fair Employment

Appendix 1 sets out a range of definitions and methodological points which may assist the reader in interpreting the data presented in the report.

## 1. Timeline: Changes to Monitoring Coverage over Time

The Fair Employment (Northern Ireland) Act 1989 ("The 1989 Act") introduced compulsory workforce monitoring. The primary purpose was to assess the degree of fair participation within individual concerns, and thus to determine whether affirmative action measures might be necessary.

The exact scope and coverage of the monitoring provisions has however changed over time as follows:

1990: Monitoring introduced, covering all specified public authorities and those private sector concerns with 26 or more employees. Only full-time employees are monitored. Public authorities and large private sector concerns (251+ employees) submit applicant and appointee data one year later (1991).

1992: Monitoring extended to cover full-time employees in private sector concerns with 11-25 employees.
2001: Monitoring extended to cover part-time employees, plus applicants and appointees in all registered private sector concerns. For the first time monitoring now includes promotees and leavers, but only in public bodies, and large private sector concerns (251+ employees).

2004: SOC 2000 replaces SOC 90 for classifying jobs. Due to the nature of changes in the SOC coding framework, comparisons with historic SOC data are now problematic.

Present: The following are currently monitored by all registered concerns: all employees (full time and part time); applicants; appointees. Public Sector bodies and large (251+) private sector organisations must also monitor promotees and leavers.

As the categories covered by monitoring have changed over time, the scope of the annual Monitoring Report has also changed, as differing data sets became available.

## 2. Definitions and Technical Considerations Relevant to the Annual Summary of Monitoring Returns

The following may be of help in understanding the information presented in this report, and in the wider concepts associated with fair employment ${ }^{129}$.
The Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce refers to the aggregated returns from all specified public authorities, and registered private sector concerns. Figures quoted in this report relate specifically to the workforce composition of monitored (registered and specified) employments, and not to all employers / employees in Northern Ireland ${ }^{130}$.

Specification of Public Authorities: All public sector employers identified in the Fair Employment (Specification of Public Authorities) Order (Northern Ireland), as amended, are deemed to be automatically registered with the Commission. Specified authorities are deemed to be registered on 1st January each year.

Registration: All private sector concerns with 11 or more employees are required to register with the Commission ${ }^{131}$. Private concerns are registered throughout the calendar year, on the 6th day of any given month, i.e. 6th January, 6th February, 6th December.

Applicants: refers to those individuals who have applied to fill vacancies for employment in any monitored concern in Northern Ireland. An individual is counted as an applicant only once by an employer in any given year, even if that individual has made more than one application for employment. Employees who apply internally for vacancies are not included.

Appointees: although all appointees are monitored, the annual monitoring return includes data only on those appointees still employed at the anniversary date of registration. In practice, this rule may result in an unspecified number of temporary appointments not being recorded, particularly in those employers or occupational grades prone to rapid turnover ${ }^{132}$.

Caution is required when making direct comparisons between the community composition of applicants and appointees data as presented in the annual monitoring report. Firstly, applicant and appointee information is presented for the monitoring year in question, not

[^72]by recruitment competition. For example, an applicant may be counted in one monitoring year, but not be appointed until the following monitoring year and thus the data would be reported in two separate monitoring returns. Secondly, an applicant who was appointed on a temporary basis may be counted as an applicant for the purposes of monitoring, but may not appear as an appointee if their contract ends before the anniversary date of registration. This problem does not impact on triennial employer reviews, as employers are able to consider the raw data on a competition by competition basis

A Promotee ${ }^{133}$ is defined as person who fills the following four conditions:-
(i) the employee has moved jobs within the concern; and
(ii) in so doing, has filled a job which was restricted to persons already employed in the concern; and
(iii) has remained in the job for not less than six months; and
(iv) as a direct result of the move has received an increase in remuneration (excluding expenses).
A Leaver ${ }^{134}$ is classified as a former employee who ceased to be employed in the concern during the 12 month reference period.

Community Composition: The legislation specifies that "composition" refers to the numbers who are to be treated for the purposes of monitoring as belonging to each community. "Community" means the Protestant community, or the Roman Catholic community, in Northern Ireland.

Community Background: Protestant refers to those determined by monitoring methods as members of the Protestant community. Roman Catholic or 'Catholic' refers to those determined by monitoring methods as members of the Roman Catholic community. Individuals for whom it was not possible to determine a community background are described as 'Non-determined'135.

Recording Workforce Community Background: On a monitoring return, employers are required to specify the composition of their workforce by community background, sex and occupational grouping, and whether they were employed for more or less than 16 hours per week.

Current legislation requires employers to determine the community background of their employees via the 'Direct Question'. This requires the applicant or employee to state in writing whether they belong to the Protestant or Roman Catholic community or belong to neither.

[^73]The residuary method is to be used when the Direct Question fails to provide a determination of community background. The residuary method is based on the fact that there are a number of pieces of information about an individual which, if known, can give a reasonable indication of community background.

Presenting Workforce Composition (Community Background) using square [ ] and round ( ) brackets: In this report, percentages shown in square brackets [ ] are based on Protestants and Roman Catholics only, with the Non-Determined excluded. Where square brackets are used, and a percentage for one community is shown (e.g. Protestant), the corresponding percentage for the other community (e.g. Roman Catholic) can be calculated by subtracting the Protestant percentage from 100. Percentages in round brackets ( ) are derived from a total population sum, examples of their use include: between-year Protestant / Roman Catholic percentage increases and decreases; total workforce breakdowns of Protestant, Roman Catholic and Non-Determined employees and distributional analyses of Protestant / Roman Catholic employees across difference SOC categories. Please note that [ ] and ( ) percentages may not always sum to 100 due to rounding.

Standard Occupational Classification (SOC): The Standard Occupational Classification allow jobs to be classified and compared according to the kind of work performed (job), and the competent performance of the tasks and duties (skill).

During the period 1990 - 2003, the classification system in use by employers, and adopted in all previous Monitoring Reports up to and including 2003, was known as SOC90. However, the Fair Employment (Monitoring) (Amendment) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2002 changed the prescribed classification system to the updated SOC2000. This new system was adopted by employers for monitoring purposes on 1st January $2004{ }^{136}$.

The nine SOC2000 groups are:-
SOC1 Managers and Senior Officials
SOC2 Professional Occupations
SOC3 Associate Professional and Technical Occupations
SOC4 Administrative and Secretarial Occupations
SOC5 Skilled Trades Occupations
SOC6 Personal Service Occupations
SOC7 Sales and Customer Service Occupations
SOC8 Process, Plant and Machine Operatives
SOC9 Elementary Occupations

## Move of base year for trend comparisons from 1990 to 2001.

With the inclusion of part-time employees in 2001, and private sector applicants and appointees from smaller concerns, it became possible to analyse a more comprehensive range of employees, applicants and appointees. The default year for all longer term comparisons has thus been moved from 1990 to 2001. Historical data remains available via the previously published reports.

Factors associated with the Review of Public Administration (RPA) resulted in 2008 being an atypical year for monitoring. In brief, 12 newly-established public authorities, including five health trusts and six regional colleges, were specified by the Commission on 1st January 2008.

For first monitoring returns, employers are not required to submit data on applicants, appointees, promotees and leavers. As the health trusts and regional colleges account for a considerable proportion of monitored applicants and appointees, this resulted in a sharp drop in the reported number of applicants etc for 2008. In 2009, the 12 new authorities commenced their submission of applicant and appointee data. This has resulted in a substantial increase in the recorded count for applicants, appointees, promotees and leavers for 2009.

## 3. Wider Concepts in Fair Employment

The Fair Employment Code of Practice (2003), as amended, makes a number of observations regarding wider concepts:

Fair Participation: While fair participation is mentioned but not defined in the legislation, the Code notes:
"The determination of what is fair depends on the circumstances of each particular case i.e. each specific employment situation."
"It does not mean that every job, occupation or position in every undertaking throughout Northern Ireland must reflect the proportionate distribution of Protestants and Roman Catholics in the province."
"It is not possible to prescribe a rigid and predetermined level of participation which should be achieved generally by all employers. Obviously what is fair will depend very much on the circumstances of each particular and individual case. What is required is that you afford opportunities to both communities and, where a community is under-represented, you take affirmative action steps to remedy that under-representation. Accordingly you must ask yourself whether, in the light of all the factors known to you - and including advice from the [Equality] Commission the composition of the workforce and of your recent recruits as revealed by monitoring is broadly in line with what might reasonably be expected."
"The ideal is to identify any job category within a workforce for which there are fewer applicants or workers of a particular community background than might reasonably be expected given the relative numbers with the necessary qualifications, experience, etc. in the catchment area. Every job category does not have to reflect the overall proportion of both communities in Northern Ireland."

With regards to Catchment Area: the Code notes:
"This refers to the area from within which an employer would normally expect to recruit for the particular job in question and the proportionate distribution of both communities in that area. Its determination calls for the exercise of informed judgement by the employer and consultation with the Commission."
"Informed judgement must be exercised in deciding on the relevant catchment area for jobs and on the proportionate religious distribution which might reasonably be expected in both an existing workforce and job applicants. Much depends on the nature of the job in question. Some relevant factors in deciding on the catchment area are:

- the qualifications, skills and expertise necessary for the job in question;
- the wages on offer;

■ the hours of work involved;

- travelling methods, arrangements, distances and times;

■ valid knowledge based on your own expertise and personal experience."

Affirmative Action: "This is a mechanism for change. The term refers to action designed to secure fair participation in employment by members of the Protestant or the Roman Catholic community by means including the adoption of practices encouraging such participation, and the modification or abandonment of practices that have or may have the effect of restricting or discouraging such participation."

Chill Factor: "This term describes a problem of attitude towards, and environment within, the workplace. Members of a particular community can feel discouraged or prevented from applying for jobs in any company or undertaking perceived as being traditionally associated with the other community. The company or undertaking can feel it pointless to desist from customary and casual recruitment practices geared to a particular community on the assumption that efforts to attract the other community would be wasted. To break the circle it is necessary to change perception and habitual practice in both the community and the company or undertaking."

Under-Representation: "The term is used in the context of affirmative action. It reflects the fact that a particular community, whether Protestant or Roman Catholic, is not enjoying fair participation in employment. In these circumstances the community concerned can be described as "under-represented."

## Appendix 2: Composition of Individual Public Authorities and Private Sector Concerns, 2010

## Introduction

Appendix 2, which contains four sections, includes information on those 102 Specified Authorities (public sector bodies) and 2,272 private sector concerns which had 26 or more employees in 2010. There were 20 public authorities and 1,524 private sector concerns which had 25 or less employees, and these are not detailed here.

Section 1 deals with employees of the 102 public authorities. The community background of the total workforce in each concern, including part-time staff, is listed here. There was one authority in Section 1 which had less than 10 Protestant employees, and one authority which has had than 10 Protestant and Roman Catholic employees. For these 2 concerns no information on the community background of any of the employees is provided. This is to ensure that the religion of any individual cannot be inferred from the data published in this Appendix. Such concerns are identified as follows:

* indicates those in which there were less than 10 Roman Catholic employees; \# indicates those in which there were less than 10 Protestant employees.

For authorities that had 10 or more Protestants and 10 or more Roman Catholics, information on the religion of employees is provided. This includes the total number of Protestant, Roman Catholic and Non-Determined employees. The [square brackets] percentages of the authority's workforce accounted for by Protestant and Roman Catholic employees are also shown ([ ] exclude Non-Determined employees, see Appendix 1 for fi).

Section 2 deals with employees in those 1,524 private sector concerns with 26 or more employees. The same information is provided as was given for public sector employees in Section 1. In the private sector there were 424 concerns which had less than 10 Roman Catholic employees (marked with a *). There were also 314 concerns with less than 10 Protestant employees (marked with a \#). A profile of these concerns by size is shown in Table A1.

Table A1: Private Sector Concerns with less than 10 Employees of one Community by Size Band

| SIZE BAND <br> (Number of Employees) | Concerns with less than 10 <br> Protestant Employees | Concerns with less than 10 <br> Roman Catholic Employees | Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 6 - 5 0}$ | 227 | 342 | 569 |
| $\mathbf{5 1 - 1 0 0}$ | 78 | 76 | 154 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 1 - 2 5 0}$ | 9 | 6 | 15 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 1 +}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 314 | 424 | 738 |

Section 3 lists the composition of appointees to those 102 public bodies with 26 or more employees. The total number of appointees to each body is given. If there were less than two Protestant appointees and Roman Catholic appointees, only the total number of appointees is shown. For the remaining public bodies, the number of Protestant, Roman Catholic and Non-Determined appointees is listed.

Section 4 provides information on appointees to the private sector in the same format that was used for the public sector in Section 3. Although all private sector concerns, irrespective of size, now provide information on the composition of appointees on their monitoring return, in order to limit the size of the publication, only those concerns with 251 or more employees are listed here. There were 195 such concerns in 2010. For those concerns in which there were no appointments there are zeros in all columns.

## Interpretation of information

The Commission recommends that care should be exercised in the interpretation of this information for the following reasons:
(i) The composition of each concern or authority is a snapshot of the employment pattern at the time of completion of the monitoring return. This employment pattern is a product of employment practices, and the availability of those with the requirements to be employed in these concerns, over a considerable period of time.
(ii) Since the employment pattern is a snapshot in time, it does not indicate any change which may have taken place. For a number of concerns, the potential for change may have been limited due to reductions in their workforces and "chill factors" associated with their locations.
(iii) A number of the concerns and authorities included have more than one location. The compositional figures are the overall figures for all locations.
(iv) For those concerns and authorities in which there are less than 10 employees of one community, only the total number of employees is included. The proportion of the overall workforce represented by a small number of employees is greater for smaller concerns, e.g. in a concern with 30 employees, 9 represents $30 \%$ of the total workforce whereas in a concern with 300 employees, 9 represents $3 \%$ of the total.
(v) The composition of every concern does not have to reflect the overall proportions of both communities in Northern Ireland. For each concern, the representation of each community which might reasonably be expected will be influenced by the concern's location, the nature of the jobs which it provides, and the composition of available labour within the catchment area.

An assessment of whether or not both communities are enjoying fair participation in a particular concern requires more than a simple examination of the composition of the overall workforce in the concern - it will also require an examination of the composition of each of the major job groups within the concern. This will include:
(a) making a determination of the reasonable recruitment area. It is rarely the case that this corresponds exactly to the area in the immediate vicinity of the concern's premises. It may be influenced by a wide range of factors, including location, the
nature of the job, qualifications and experience required, travelling conditions and rates of pay; and
(b) making comparisons between the availability and utilisation of both communities. The availability of members of both communities within the reasonable recruitment area will require consideration of the community compositions of:

- the population
- the unemployed
- school leavers, and
- those with the requisite skills in the community, training institutions and/or with the concern.


## Appendix 2:

 Section 1
## Composition of Individual Specified Authorities (26+ employees)

Specified Authority
Agri-food \& Biosciences Institute
Antrim Borough Council
Ards Borough Council
Armagh City \& District Council
Arts Council of Northern Ireland
Ballymena Borough Council
Ballymoney Borough Council
Banbridge District Council
Belfast City Council
Belfast Education \& Library
Belfast Harbour Commissioners
Belfast Health \& Social Care Trust
Big Lottery Fund, The
National Museums \& Galleries of Northern Ireland
British Broadcasting Corporation
Carrickfergus Borough Council
Castlereagh Borough Council
Police Service of Northern Ireland
Chief Electoral Officer for Northern Ireland, The
Citybus Ltd
Coleraine Borough Council
Commissioner for Children \& Young People for Northern Ireland
Comptroller \& Auditor General for Northern Ireland
Construction Industry Training Board
Cookstown District Council
Council for Catholic Maintained Schools
Craigavon Borough Council
Derry City Council
Down District Council
Dungannon \& South Tyrone Borough Council
Equality Commission for Northern Ireland
Fermanagh District Council
Food Standards Agency Northern Ireland
Foyle Carlingford \& Irish Lights Commission
General Consumer Council for Northern Ireland
Belfast Metropolitan College, The
North West Regional College, The
Northern Regional College, The
South Eastern Regional College, The
South West College, The
Southern Regional College, The
Stranmillis University College, Belfast
Armagh Observatory \& Planetarium
Head of Department of Finance \& Personnel
Health \& Social Care Regulation \& Quality Improvement Authority
Trade \& Business Development Body, The
Invest Northern Ireland
Labour Relations Agency
Larne Borough Council
Limavady Borough Council
Lisburn City Council
Livestock \& Meat Commission for Northern Ireland
Londonderry Port \& Harbour Commissioners
Magherafelt District Council
Minister for the Civil Service, The
Moyle District Council
P. R.C. N.D. TOTAL [\%P] [\%RC]

|  | 508 | 227 | 141 | 876 | [69.1\%] [30.9\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 209 | 71 | 8 | 288 | [74.6\%] [25.4\%] |
|  | 335 | 61 | 14 | 410 | [84.6\%] [15.4\%] |
|  | 171 | 154 | 4 | 329 | [52.6\%] [47.4\%] |
|  | 22 | 38 | 5 | 65 | [36.7\%] [63.3\%] |
|  | 245 | 68 | 15 | 328 | [78.3\%] [21.7\%] |
|  | 100 | 28 | 11 | 139 | [78.1\%] [21.9\%] |
|  | 165 | 59 | 9 | 233 | [73.7\%] [26.3\%] |
|  | 1,558 | 1,243 | 115 | 2,916 | [55.6\%] [44.4\%] |
|  | 2,199 | 2,297 | 169 | 4,665 | [48.9\%] [51.1\%] |
|  | 88 | 30 | 8 | 126 | [74.6\%] [25.4\%] |
|  | 10,289 | 10,860 | 1,247 | 22,396 | [48.7\%] [51.3\%] |
|  | 28 | 26 | 4 | 58 | [51.9\%] [48.1\%] |
|  | 255 | 128 | 65 | 448 | [66.6\%] [33.4\%] |
|  | 369 | 305 | 85 | 759 | [54.7\%] [45.3\%] |
|  | 190 | 17 | 11 | 218 | [91.8\%] [8.2\%] |
|  | 304 | 29 | 27 | 360 | [91.3\%] [8.7\%] |
|  | 6,111 | 2,102 | 197 | 8,410 | [74.4\%] [25.6\%] |
|  | 32 | 29 | 1 | 62 | [52.5\%] [47.5\%] |
|  | 352 | 387 | 19 | 758 | [47.6\%] [52.4\%] |
|  | 247 | 88 | 25 | 360 | [73.7\%] [26.3\%] |
|  | 11 | 13 | 4 | 28 | [45.8\%] [54.2\%] |
|  | 86 | 59 | 5 | 150 | [59.3\%] [40.7\%] |
|  | 33 | 16 | 1 | 50 | [67.3\%] [32.7\%] |
|  | 178 | 155 | 8 | 341 | [53.5\%] [46.5\%] |
|  | 12 | 67 | 1 | 80 | [15.2\%] [84.8\%] |
|  | 461 | 381 | 32 | 874 | [54.8\%] [45.2\%] |
|  | 154 | 471 | 21 | 646 | [24.6\%] [75.4\%] |
|  | 80 | 255 | 12 | 347 | [23.9\%] [76.1\%] |
|  | 154 | 197 | 20 | 371 | [43.9\%] [56.1\%] |
|  | 50 | 95 | 4 | 149 | [34.5\%] [65.5\%] |
|  | 126 | 179 | 15 | 320 | [41.3\%] [58.7\%] |
|  | 23 | 14 | 2 | 39 | [62.2\%] [37.8\%] |
|  | 22 | 37 | 0 | 59 | [37.3\%] [62.7\%] |
|  | 18 | 27 | 4 | 49 | [40.0\%] [60.0\%] |
|  | 846 | 717 | 125 | 1,688 | [54.1\%] [45.9\%] |
|  | 171 | 627 | 33 | 831 | [21.4\%] [78.6\%] |
|  | 696 | 299 | 24 | 1,019 | [69.9\%] [30.1\%] |
|  | 613 | 353 | 198 | 1,164 | [63.5\%] [36.5\%] |
|  | 250 | 466 | 36 | 752 | [34.9\%] [65.1\%] |
|  | 465 | 612 | 41 | 1,118 | [43.2\%] [56.8\%] |
|  | 133 | 68 | 18 | 219 | [66.2\%] [33.8\%] |
| */\# | - | - | - | 28 | - - |
|  | 15,224 | 13,319 | 926 | 29,469 | [53.3\%] [46.7\%] |
|  | 78 | 70 | 18 | 166 | [52.7\%] [47.3\%] |
| \# | - | - | - | 43 | - - |
|  | 337 | 290 | 5 | 632 | [53.7\%] [46.3\%] |
|  | 29 | 36 | 2 | 67 | [44.6\%] [55.4\%] |
|  | 130 | 46 | 11 | 187 | [73.9\%] [26.1\%] |
|  | 85 | 84 | 11 | 180 | [50.3\%] [49.7\%] |
|  | 377 | 116 | 23 | 516 | [76.5\%] [23.5\%] |
|  | 30 | 12 | 5 | 47 | [71.4\%] [28.6\%] |
|  | 11 | 31 | 6 | 48 | [26.2\%] [73.8\%] |
|  | 110 | 105 | 2 | 217 | [51.2\%] [48.8\%] |
|  | 2,949 | 1,794 | 596 | 5,339 | [62.2\%] [37.8\%] |
|  | 39 | 67 | 8 | 114 | [36.8\%] [63.2\%] |

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

| Specified Authority | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Newry \& Mourne District Council | 79 | 382 | 15 | 476 | [17.1\%] | [82.9\%] |
| Newtownabbey Borough Council | 566 | 71 | 19 | 656 | [88.9\%] | [11.1\%] |
| North Down Borough Council | 426 | 58 | 53 | 537 | [88.0\%] | [12.0\%] |
| North Eastern Education \& Library Board | 4,414 | 2,037 | 277 | 6,728 | [68.4\%] | [31.6\%] |
| Northern Health \& Social Care Trust | 7,739 | 4,766 | 879 | 13,384 | [61.9\%] | [38.1\%] |
| Northern Ireland Ambulance Service Health \& Social Care Trust | 639 | 455 | 45 | 1,139 | [58.4\%] | [41.6\%] |
| Northern Ireland Assembly Commission | 156 | 120 | 17 | 293 | [56.5\%] | [43.5\%] |
| Northern Ireland Blood Transfusion Service Agency | 110 | 103 | 9 | 222 | [51.6\%] | [48.4\%] |
| Northern Ireland Community Relations Council | 21 | 31 | 0 | 52 | [40.4\%] | [59.6\%] |
| Northern Ireland Council for the Curriculum Examinations \& Assessment | 164 | 155 | 50 | 369 | [51.4\%] | [48.6\%] |
| Northern Ireland Fire \& Rescue Service Board | 1,249 | 840 | 94 | 2,183 | [59.8\%] | [40.2\%] |
| Northern Ireland Guardian Ad Litem Agency | 23 | 40 | 7 | 70 | [36.5\%] | [63.5\%] |
| Northern Ireland Housing Executive | 1,463 | 1,761 | 56 | 3,280 | [45.4\%] | [54.6\%] |
| Northern Ireland Legal Services Commission | 66 | 87 | 9 | 162 | [43.1\%] | [56.9\%] |
| Northern Ireland Library Authority | 465 | 410 | 49 | 924 | [53.1\%] | [46.9\%] |
| Northern Ireland Local Government Officers' Superannuation Committee | 33 | 16 | 3 | 52 | [67.3\%] | [32.7\%] |
| Northern Ireland Medical \& Dental Training Agency | 78 | 55 | 11 | 144 | [58.6\%] | [41.4\%] |
| Northern Ireland Policing Board, The | 2,046 | 466 | 89 | 2,601 | [81.4\%] | [18.6\%] |
| Northern Ireland Railways Company Ltd | 612 | 275 | 38 | 925 | [69.0\%] | [31.0\%] |
| Northern Ireland Screen Commission | 12 | 25 | 5 | 42 | [32.4\%] | [67.6\%] |
| Northern Ireland Social Care Council | 21 | 24 | 19 | 64 | [46.7\%] | [53.3\%] |
| Northern Ireland Tourist Board | 76 | 54 | 8 | 138 | [58.5\%] | [41.5\%] |
| Northern Ireland Transport Holding Company | 22 | 10 | 1 | 33 | [68.8\%] | [31.3\%] |
| Northern Ireland Water Ltd | 782 | 545 | 106 | 1,433 | [58.9\%] | [41.1\%] |
| Omagh District Council | 110 | 222 | 9 | 341 | [33.1\%] | [66.9\%] |
| Police Ombudsman for Northern Ireland, The | 78 | 52 | 14 | 144 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Probation Board for Northern Ireland | 181 | 197 | 29 | 407 | [47.9\%] | [52.1\%] |
| Regional Agency for Public Health \& Social Well-being | 112 | 98 | 6 | 216 | [53.3\%] | [46.7\%] |
| Regional Business Services Organisation | 177 | 192 | 83 | 452 | [48.0\%] | [52.0\%] |
| Regional Health \& Social Care Board | 351 | 445 | 154 | 950 | [44.1\%] | [55.9\%] |
| Royal Mail Group PLC | 2,091 | 2,091 | 9 | 4,191 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Secretary of State for Defence | 145 | 19 | 16 | 180 | [88.4\%] | [11.6\%] |
| South Eastern Education \& Library Board | 3,578 | 2,143 | 512 | 6,233 | [62.5\%] | [37.5\%] |
| South Eastern Health \& Social Care Trust | 5,756 | 2,783 | 835 | 9,374 | [67.4\%] | [32.6\%] |
| Southern Education \& Library Board | 2,907 | 3,920 | 164 | 6,991 | [42.6\%] | [57.4\%] |
| Southern Health \& Social Care Trust | 4,799 | 6,438 | 608 | 11,845 | [42.7\%] | [57.3\%] |
| Special EU Programmes Body, The | 17 | 32 | 5 | 54 | [34.7\%] | [65.3\%] |
| Sports Council for Northern Ireland | 57 | 48 | 7 | 112 | [54.3\%] | [45.7\%] |
| Strabane District Council | 63 | 147 | 3 | 213 | [30.0\%] | [70.0\%] |
| Strategic Investment Board Ltd | 14 | 14 | 6 | 34 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Ulster Supported Employment Ltd | 69 | 29 | 12 | 110 | [70.4\%] | [29.6\%] |
| Ulsterbus Ltd | 1,290 | 983 | 65 | 2,338 | [56.8\%] | [43.2\%] |
| Warrenpoint Harbour Authority | 12 | 37 | 2 | 51 | [24.5\%] | [75.5\%] |
| Waterways Ireland | 29 | 55 | 4 | 88 | [34.5\%] | [65.5\%] |
| Western Education \& Library Board | 2,198 | 4,141 | 209 | 6,548 | [34.7\%] | [65.3\%] |
| Western Health \& Social Care Trust | 3,327 | 7,259 | 577 | 11,163 | [31.4\%] | [68.6\%] |
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## Appendix 2:

Section 2

## Composition of Private Sector Concerns (26+ employees)

## Composition of Private Sector Concerns (26+ employees)

Company Name
3fivetwo Healthcare
3M (UK) PLC
8over8 Ltd
A/wear Ltd
A24 Group
A4E Ltd
AAH Pharmaceuticals Ltd
Abacus Legal Recruitment
Abbey Bond Lovis Ltd
Abbey Christian Brothers Grammar School
Abbey Insurance Brokers Ltd
Abbey Upholsterers Ltd
Abbeyfield Belfast Society Ltd
Abbeyfield UK (Northern Ireland) Ltd
Abingdon Manor Care Centre Ltd
ABP Lurgan
Ace Fixings
Acheson \& Glover Ltd
Action Cancer
Action For Children Northern Ireland
Action Mental Health
Adair Arms Hotel
Adamsez (Northern Ireland) Ltd
Adarra Developments Ltd T/A Ladyhill Lodge Private Nursing Home
Adarra Developments Ltd T/A Maine Nursing Home
Adecco (UK) Ltd
Adelaide Insurance Services Ltd
Adman Civil Projects Ltd
ADT Fire \& Security PLC
Advice (Northern Ireland) Ltd
Aecom Ltd
Aelia (UK) Ltd
Aepona Ltd
Aer Lingus Ltd
Aes Kilroot Power Ltd
AFT (Liquor) Stores Ltd
Age NI
Aghadowey Creamery Ltd
Agnew Autoexchange Ltd
Agnew Isaac (Mallusk) Ltd
Agnew Isaac (Mercedes Benz)
Agnew Isaac (Porsche / Saab)
Agnew Isaac Audi
Agnew Isaac Holdings Ltd
Agnew Isaac Ltd Volkswagen
Agrihealth (Northern Ireland) Ltd
AI Services (Northern Ireland) Ltd
AIB Group (UK) PLC T/A First Trust Bank
AJ Plumbing Supplies Ltd

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AJ Power Ltd |  | 39 | 16 | 5 | 60 | [70.9\%] | [29.1\%] |
| Alexander Bain \& Murray Opticians |  | 18 | 10 | 1 | 29 | [64.3\%] | [35.7\%] |
| Alexander DE \& Sons Ltd | * | - | - | - | 38 |  |  |
| Alexander William \& Henry (Civil Engineering) Ltd |  | 14 | 17 | 0 | 31 | [45.2\%] | [54.8\%] |
| Alexanders of Markethill | * | - | - | - | 46 |  | - |
| Alexon International Ltd |  | 116 | 66 | 10 | 192 | [63.7\%] | [36.3\%] |
| Alliance \& Leicester PLC |  | 103 | 95 | 0 | 198 | [52.0\%] | [48.0\%] |
| Allianz (Northern Ireland) PLC |  | 51 | 45 | 9 | 105 | [53.1\%] | [46.9\%] |
| Allied Bakeries Ireland |  | 337 | 53 | 14 | 404 | [86.4\%] | [13.6\%] |
| Allingham Transport |  | 24 | 13 | 3 | 40 | [64.9\%] | [35.1\%] |
| Allpipe Engineering Ltd |  | 11 | 64 | 1 | 76 | [14.7\%] | [85.3\%] |
| Allstate Northern Ireland |  | 515 | 934 | 358 | 1,807 | [35.5\%] | [64.5\%] |
| All-tex Recyclers Ltd |  | 38 | 15 | 104 | 157 | [71.7\%] | [28.3\%] |
| Almac Clinical Services Ltd |  | 363 | 284 | 116 | 763 | [56.1\%] | [43.9\%] |
| Almac Clinical Technologies Ltd |  | 21 | 14 | 12 | 47 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Almac Diagnostics Ltd |  | 27 | 24 | 22 | 73 | [52.9\%] | [47.1\%] |
| Almac Discovery Ltd | */\# | - | - | - | 28 |  |  |
| Almac Group Ltd |  | 129 | 78 | 41 | 248 | [62.3\%] | [37.7\%] |
| Almac Pharma Services Ltd |  | 162 | 112 | 55 | 329 | [59.1\%] | [40.9\%] |
| Almac Sciences Ltd |  | 77 | 48 | 55 | 180 | [61.6\%] | [38.4\%] |
| Alpha Environmental Systems Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 |  |  |
| Alpha Flight Services |  | 15 | 13 | 1 | 29 | [53.6\%] | [46.4\%] |
| Alpha Housing Northern Ireland Ltd | * | - | - | - | 66 |  |  |
| Alpha Marketing PLC | * | - | - | - | 28 |  |  |
| Alpha Newspapers | * | - | - | - | 31 |  |  |
| Aluminium \& Plastics Systems Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 |  |  |
| Alzheimer's Society |  | 31 | 46 | 4 | 81 | [40.3\%] | [59.7\%] |
| AM/PM Services (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 12 | 17 | 1 | 30 | [41.4\%] | [58.6\%] |
| Amalgamated Environmental Services Ltd T/A Aes-marconi | * | - | - | - | 48 |  |  |
| Ambassador Private Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 33 |  | - |
| Amey Services Ltd |  | 90 | 55 | 39 | 184 | [62.1\%] | [37.9\%] |
| AMT Sybex (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 31 | 24 | 7 | 62 | [56.4\%] | [43.6\%] |
| Anderson Haulage Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 |  | - |
| Andor Technology PLC |  | 79 | 92 | 13 | 184 | [46.2\%] | [53.8\%] |
| Andras House Ltd |  | 89 | 77 | 104 | 270 | [53.6\%] | [46.4\%] |
| Andrews Holdings Ltd | * | - | - | - | 38 |  | - |
| Anfield Transport |  | 16 | 10 | 0 | 26 | [61.5\%] | [38.5\%] |
| Anglo Beef Processors Newry |  | 13 | 204 | 28 | 245 | [6.0\%] | [94.0\%] |
| Annadale Private Nursing Home |  | 19 | 19 | 4 | 42 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Annaghmore Agencies Ltd |  | 23 | 55 | 8 | 86 | [29.5\%] | [70.5\%] |
| Ann's Home Care Ltd |  | 86 | 91 | 6 | 183 | [48.6\%] | [51.4\%] |
| Antrim Construction Company Ltd |  | 68 | 15 | 0 | 83 | [81.9\%] | [18.1\%] |
| Antrim Electrical \& Mechanical Engineers Ltd |  | 45 | 47 | 2 | 94 | [48.9\%] | [51.1\%] |
| Antrim Filling Station | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Aon McMillen Ltd | * | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| Apex Housing Association |  | 172 | 334 | 27 | 533 | [34.0\%] | [66.0\%] |
| Apple Recruitment Services |  | 49 | 61 | 24 | 134 | [44.5\%] | [55.5\%] |
| Aquinas Diocesan Grammar School | \# | - | - | - | 47 | - | - |
| Arcadia Group Ltd T/A Burton Retail |  | 43 | 52 | 1 | 96 | [45.3\%] | [54.7\%] |

[^75]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arcadia Group Ltd T/A Dorothy Perkins |  | 88 | 159 | 5 | 252 | [35.6\%] | [64.4\%] |
| Arcadia Group Ltd T/A Evans |  | 58 | 62 | 1 | 121 | [48.3\%] | [51.7\%] |
| Arcadia Group Ltd T/A Top Shop Retail |  | 140 | 173 | 0 | 313 | [44.7\%] | [55.3\%] |
| Arcadia Group Ltd T/A Wallis |  | 76 | 79 | 1 | 156 | [49.0\%] | [51.0\%] |
| Arco Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 |  | - |
| Ardboe Manufacturing Company Ltd T/A Forbes Kitchens \& Forbes Furniture | \# | - | - | - | 36 |  | - |
| Ardmore Advertising | * | - | - | - | 30 |  | - |
| Argento Contemporary Jewellery Ltd |  | 69 | 55 | 8 | 132 | [55.6\%] | [44.4\%] |
| Argos Ltd |  | 628 | 622 | 56 | 1,306 | [50.2\%] | [49.8\%] |
| Arjohuntleigh (Ireland) Ltd |  | 43 | 12 | 3 | 58 | [78.2\%] | [21.8\%] |
| Ark Housing Association (Northern Ireland) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 31 |  | - |
| Arlington Private Nursing Home |  | 18 | 10 | 3 | 31 | [64.3\%] | [35.7\%] |
| Armagh Care Services |  | 50 | 14 | 5 | 69 | [78.1\%] | [21.9\%] |
| Armagh City Hotel |  | 31 | 106 | 23 | 160 | [22.6\%] | [77.4\%] |
| Armaghdown Creameries Ltd |  | 82 | 61 | 4 | 147 | [57.3\%] | [42.7\%] |
| Armatile Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 73 |  | - |
| Armstrong Care Services Ltd |  | 21 | 12 | 8 | 41 | [63.6\%] | [36.4\%] |
| Armstrong Medical Ltd |  | 49 | 25 | 9 | 83 | [66.2\%] | [33.8\%] |
| Arnotts (Fruit) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 33 |  | - |
| Arntz Belting Company Ltd |  | 17 | 94 | 1 | 112 | [15.3\%] | [84.7\%] |
| Aromet Group Limited |  | 34 | 22 | 0 | 56 | [60.7\%] | [39.3\%] |
| Arqiva | * | - | - | - | 30 |  |  |
| Arup |  | 23 | 11 | 3 | 37 | [67.6\%] | [32.4\%] |
| Asda Stores Ltd |  | 2,310 | 2,129 | 57 | 4,496 | [52.0\%] | [48.0\%] |
| Ashbourne Senior Living T/A Castle Lodge |  | 13 | 13 | 2 | 28 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Ashdale Engineering Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 |  |  |
| Ashdon Care Ltd T/A Beverly Lodge Private Nursing Home |  | 34 | 12 | 0 | 46 | [73.9\%] | [26.1\%] |
| Ashers Baking Company Ltd |  | 46 | 24 | 0 | 70 | [65.7\%] | [34.3\%] |
| Ashton Community Trust |  | 10 | 78 | 4 | 92 | [11.4\%] | [88.6\%] |
| Ashton Recruitment Ltd |  | 10 | 23 | 20 | 53 | [30.3\%] | [69.7\%] |
| Ashwood House Nursing Home |  | 32 | 25 | 0 | 57 | [56.1\%] | [43.9\%] |
| Asia Supermarket | \# | - | - | - | 62 |  | - |
| Asidua Ltd |  | 35 | 35 | 13 | 83 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| ASM (B) Ltd |  | 24 | 14 | 3 | 41 | [63.2\%] | [36.8\%] |
| ASM Horwath (S) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 30 |  | - |
| Associated Employers (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 18 | 17 | 1 | 36 | [51.4\%] | [48.6\%] |
| Assumption Grammar School |  | 12 | 31 | 0 | 43 | [27.9\%] | [72.1\%] |
| Astrazeneca UK Ltd |  | 12 | 14 | 2 | 28 | [46.2\%] | [53.8\%] |
| ATC Systems Ltd |  | 34 | 20 | 2 | 56 | [63.0\%] | [37.0\%] |
| ATG (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 27 | 32 | 6 | 65 | [45.8\%] | [54.2\%] |
| Atkins Ltd |  | 36 | 55 | 3 | 94 | [39.6\%] | [60.4\%] |
| Atlas Communications (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 34 |  | - |
| Audio Processing Technology Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 |  |  |
| Aurora Fashions |  | 55 | 73 | 19 | 147 | [43.0\%] | [57.0\%] |
| Aurum Holdings Ltd |  | 18 | 13 | 10 | 41 | [58.1\%] | [41.9\%] |
| Austins Department Store |  | 21 | 74 | 0 | 95 | [22.1\%] | [77.9\%] |
| Autism Initiatives |  | 87 | 150 | 26 | 263 | [36.7\%] | [63.3\%] |
| Autobar Vending |  | 16 | 13 | 0 | 29 | [55.2\%] | [44.8\%] |

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees


## Composition of Private Sector Concerns (26+ employees)

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Autoline Insurance Group |  | 38 | 71 | 6 | 115 | [34.9\%] | [65.1\%] |
| Ava Leisure Ltd |  | 35 | 16 | 2 | 53 | [68.6\%] | [31.4\%] |
| Aviva Employment Services |  | 71 | 49 | 6 | 126 | [59.2\%] | [40.8\%] |
| Avoca Handweaver Northern Ireland |  | 14 | 33 | 4 | 51 | [29.8\%] | [70.2\%] |
| Avondale Foods (Craigavon) Ltd |  | 105 | 208 | 44 | 357 | [33.5\%] | [66.5\%] |
| AVX Ltd |  | 224 | 71 | 12 | 307 | [75.9\%] | [24.1\%] |
| AXA Insurance |  | 107 | 179 | 6 | 292 | [37.4\%] | [62.6\%] |
| Axis Security Group Ltd |  | 33 | 30 | 0 | 63 | [52.4\%] | [47.6\%] |
| Axon Power \& Control Ltd | \# |  | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| B \& M Retail Ltd |  | 145 | 144 | 52 | 341 | [50.2\%] | [49.8\%] |
| B \& Q PLC |  | 436 | 337 | 353 | 1,126 | [56.4\%] | [43.6\%] |
| B \& T Inns Ltd | \# |  | - | - | 103 | - | - |
| B Fast Parcels | \# | - | - | - | 27 |  |  |
| B Mc Caffrey \& Sons Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 31 |  |  |
| B Mc Namee \& Company Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 30 |  | - |
| BA Kitchen Components Ltd |  | 18 | 69 | 6 | 93 | [20.7\%] | [79.3\%] |
| Babington \& Croasdaile |  | 16 | 10 | 0 | 26 | [61.5\%] | [38.5\%] |
| Bailie Hotels Ltd T/A Coast Road Hotel | * | - | - | - | 30 | - |  |
| Baird W \& G Ltd |  | 62 | 28 | 4 | 94 | [68.9\%] | [31.1\%] |
| Baker Tilly Mooney Moore |  | 15 | 15 | 0 | 30 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Balcas Timber Ltd |  | 126 | 122 | 10 | 258 | [50.8\%] | [49.2\%] |
| Balfour James \& Sons Ltd |  | 10 | 22 | 0 | 32 | [31.3\%] | [68.8\%] |
| Balloo Hire Centres Ltd |  | 38 | 21 | 0 | 59 | [64.4\%] | [35.6\%] |
| Ballydown Kids Academy Ltd |  | 22 | 11 | 1 | 34 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Ballymaconnell Private Nursing Home |  | 14 | 12 | 1 | 27 | [53.8\%] | [46.2\%] |
| Ballymagroarty \& Hazelbank Community Partnership | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - |  |
| Ballymartin Homes Ltd |  | - | - | - | 84 |  |  |
| Ballymena Academy, The | * | - | - | - | 52 | - | - |
| Ballymena Meats |  | 27 | 23 | 25 | 75 | [54.0\%] | [46.0\%] |
| Ballyrashane Co-op Agricultural \& Dairy Society (1990) Ltd |  | 111 | 29 | 3 | 143 | [79.3\%] | [20.7\%] |
| Ballyrobert Ltd |  | 25 | 13 | 1 | 39 | [65.8\%] | [34.2\%] |
| Ballyrobert Service Station Ltd | * | - | - | - | 55 | - |  |
| Balmoral Inns LLP | \# | - | - | - | 91 |  |  |
| Bangor Grammar School | * | - | - | - | 76 |  | - |
| Bank Bar \& Bistro, The | \# | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Bank of Ireland |  | 647 | 752 | 98 | 1,497 | [46.2\%] | [53.8\%] |
| Bank of Scotland (Ireland) Ltd |  | 22 | 20 | 1 | 43 | [52.4\%] | [47.6\%] |
| Bann Haulage Company Ltd T/A Eagle Overseas |  | 16 | 12 | 0 | 28 | [57.1\%] | [42.9\%] |
| Banner Business Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Bannons Ltd |  | 33 | 37 | 0 | 70 | [47.1\%] | [52.9\%] |
| Bannville House Hotel |  | 17 | 16 | 0 | 33 | [51.5\%] | [48.5\%] |
| Barahaven Construction Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Barbican Fresh Foods |  | 31 | 19 | 0 | 50 | [62.0\%] | [38.0\%] |
| Barbican Supervalu |  | 19 | 51 | 0 | 70 | [27.1\%] | [72.9\%] |
| Barclays Bank |  | 51 | 20 | 1 | 72 | [71.8\%] | [28.2\%] |
| Barkley R \& Sons Ltd | * | - | - | - | 35 | - |  |
| Barnardos |  | 253 | 230 | 39 | 522 | [52.4\%] | [47.6\%] |
| Barnett W \& R Ltd |  | 23 | 22 | 2 | 47 | [51.1\%] | [48.9\%] |
| Barratts Priceless Ltd |  | 177 | 105 | 63 | 345 | [62.8\%] | [37.2\%] |

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barrett Joseph \& Sons Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Bassett Philip M Ltd |  | 52 | 47 | 0 | 99 | [52.5\%] | [47.5\%] |
| Bavarian |  | 118 | 68 | 14 | 200 | [63.4\%] | [36.6\%] |
| Bay Design Ltd |  | 17 | 22 | 0 | 39 | [43.6\%] | [56.4\%] |
| BC Plant Ltd | * |  | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| BDG Group Ltd |  | 36 | 17 | 2 | 55 | [67.9\%] | [32.1\%] |
| BDO |  | 65 | 52 | 7 | 124 | [55.6\%] | [44.4\%] |
| BE Aerospace (UK) Ltd |  | 262 | 268 | 21 | 551 | [49.4\%] | [50.6\%] |
| Beam Vacuum Systems Ltd | \# |  | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Bean Machine Ltd, The T/A Clements |  | 55 | 69 | 24 | 148 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\%] |
| Beatty George T/A Beatty Fuels | * | - | - | - | 38 | - |  |
| Bedeck Ltd |  | 58 | 27 | 3 | 88 | [68.2\%] | [31.8\%] |
| Beech Hill Country House Hotel | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Beeches Professional \& Therapeutic Services Ltd, The |  | 69 | 110 | 2 | 181 | [38.5\%] | [61.5\%] |
| Beechlawn House Hotel |  | 18 | 54 | 6 | 78 | [25.0\%] | [75.0\%] |
| Beechvale Nursing Home | * |  | - | - | 45 | - | - |
| Beggs \& Partners |  | 56 | 19 | 0 | 75 | [74.7\%] | [25.3\%] |
| Bel-air Refrigeration Ltd | * | - | - | - | 67 | - | - |
| Belfast \& Lisburn Women's Aid |  | 30 | 30 | 10 | 70 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Belfast Bible College | * | - | - | - | 26 | - |  |
| Belfast Central Mission |  | 88 | 25 | 13 | 126 | [77.9\%] | [22.1\%] |
| Belfast Charitable Society |  | 40 | 58 | 5 | 103 | [40.8\%] | [59.2\%] |
| Belfast Contract Cleaners Ltd |  | 25 | 12 | 4 | 41 | [67.6\%] | [32.4\%] |
| Belfast Drains \& Cleaning Services T/A Dyno-rod \& Express Drains | * | - | - | - | 45 | - |  |
| Belfast High School | * | - | - | - | 52 | - |  |
| Belfast International Airport Ltd |  | 122 | 50 | 11 | 183 | [70.9\%] | [29.1\%] |
| Belfast Media Group | \# | - | - | - | 57 | - | - |
| Belfast Royal Academy The Governors |  | 39 | 11 | 1 | 51 | [78.0\%] | [22.0\%] |
| Belfast Visionplus Ltd |  | 18 | 20 | 2 | 40 | [47.4\%] | [52.6\%] |
| Belfast Visitor \& Convention Bureau |  | 21 | 21 | 15 | 57 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Bell Charles (1963) Ltd |  | 22 | 12 | 0 | 34 | [64.7\%] | [35.3\%] |
| Bell William (Tractors) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Belleek Pottery Ltd |  | 35 | 124 | 4 | 163 | [22.0\%] | [78.0\%] |
| Bells Motor Works Ltd |  | 19 | 19 | 0 | 38 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Belmont Hotel |  | 52 | 50 | 0 | 102 | [51.0\%] | [49.0\%] |
| Ben Madigan Nursing Home |  | 28 | 31 | 10 | 69 | [47.5\%] | [52.5\%] |
| Ben Sherman Group Ltd |  | 19 | 34 | 0 | 53 | [35.8\%] | [64.2\%] |
| Bettercare Keys Ltd |  | 37 | 27 | 3 | 67 | [57.8\%] | [42.2\%] |
| Beverage Plastics Ltd |  | 48 | 30 | 7 | 85 | [61.5\%] | [38.5\%] |
| BHS Ltd |  | 105 | 92 | 14 | 211 | [53.3\%] | [46.7\%] |
| BHT Group Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Biffa Waste Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Bingo Magic T/A Tophat Bingo |  | 39 | 74 | 0 | 113 | [34.5\%] | [65.5\%] |
| Bird's Groupage Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Birthdays Retail Ltd |  | 62 | 50 | 19 | 131 | [55.4\%] | [44.6\%] |
| Bishops Footwear Ltd |  | 45 | 11 | 4 | 60 | [80.4\%] | [19.6\%] |
| Bite Group | \# | - | - | - | 84 | - | - |
| Biznet IIS Ltd |  | 10 | 20 | 2 | 32 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |
| Biznet Solutions Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 40 | - |  |

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BI Refrigeration \& Air Conditioning Ltd | * | - | - | - | 47 | - |  |
| Blackbourne Integrated M \& E |  | 77 | 35 | 5 | 117 | [68.8\%] | [31.3\%] |
| Blackhill Enterprises |  | 27 | 13 | 0 | 40 | [67.5\%] | [32.5\%] |
| Blair International |  | 75 | 28 | 5 | 108 | [72.8\%] | [27.2\%] |
| Blair Neill Ltd | * | - | - | - | 63 | - | - |
| Bleeks James T/A J \& A Construction (Northern Ireland) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Bloomfield Collegiate School | * | - | - | - | 31 | - |  |
| Bloomfield Visionplus Ltd T/A Specsavers Opticians | * | - | - | - | 37 | - |  |
| Bloomfields Private Nursing Home | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| BMI |  | 52 | 36 | 8 | 96 | [59.1\%] | [40.9\%] |
| BMI Trailers Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| BOC Gases Ltd | * | - | - | - | 70 | - | - |
| Bolan Investment Ltd T/A Vogue |  | 59 | 38 | 2 | 99 | [60.8\%] | [39.2\%] |
| Boland Reilly Homes Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Bonar Alexander \& Company Ltd |  | 20 | 11 | 0 | 31 | [64.5\%] | [35.5\%] |
| Bondelivery |  | 84 | 55 | 10 | 149 | [60.4\%] | [39.6\%] |
| Bonmarche Ltd |  | 66 | 67 | 11 | 144 | [49.6\%] | [50.4\%] |
| Boomer Industries Ltd |  | 30 | 12 | 1 | 43 | [71.4\%] | [28.6\%] |
| Boots Retail Services |  | 37 | 14 | 1 | 52 | [72.5\%] | [27.5\%] |
| Boots UK Ltd |  | 1,164 | 838 | 141 | 2,143 | [58.1\%] | [41.9\%] |
| Boran Mopack Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 58 | - | - |
| Botanic Inns Ltd |  | 49 | 187 | 43 | 279 | [20.8\%] | [79.2\%] |
| Botanic Wine Company Ltd |  | 13 | 23 | 0 | 36 | [36.1\%] | [63.9\%] |
| Bowman (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 48 | 20 | 0 | 68 | [70.6\%] | [29.4\%] |
| Boxpak Ltd | * | - | - | - | 71 | - | - |
| Boyd Alexander Displays Ltd | * | - | - | - | 40 | - |  |
| Boyd James \& Sons (Carnmoney) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 50 | - | - |
| BP Mc Keefry Ltd |  | 11 | 24 | 2 | 37 | [31.4\%] | [68.6\%] |
| Bradbury Graphics Ltd |  | 26 | 13 | 0 | 39 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Bradfor Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 79 | - | - |
| Bradley Patrick Ltd |  | 19 | 37 | 2 | 58 | [33.9\%] | [66.1\%] |
| Braefield Private Nursing \& Residential Care Home |  | 35 | 12 | 10 | 57 | [74.5\%] | [25.5\%] |
| Braham Paul \& Sons Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 44 | - |  |
| Braid Electrical Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| Braidview Trading Ltd T/A Bellevue Arms, The |  | 10 | 41 | 0 | 51 | [19.6\%] | [80.4\%] |
| Braidview Trading Ltd T/A The Washington Bar | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Braidwater Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Brennans Service Station | \# | - | - | - | 44 | - | - |
| Brett Martin Ltd |  | 240 | 53 | 48 | 341 | [81.9\%] | [18.1\%] |
| Brickkiln Waste Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Bridgedale Outdoor Ltd | * | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| Brights Chips \& Things | \# | - | - | - | 49 | - | - |
| Brinks (Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 55 | - | - |
| Britannia Electrical Services | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| British Council, The |  | 22 | 10 | 9 | 41 | [68.8\%] | [31.3\%] |
| British Red Cross Society |  | 28 | 15 | 7 | 50 | [65.1\%] | [34.9\%] |
| British Telecom Northern Ireland |  | 1,149 | 1,064 | 93 | 2,306 | [51.9\%] | [48.1\%] |
| Britvic Northern Ireland |  | 104 | 23 | 11 | 138 | [81.9\%] | [18.1\%] |
| Broadways Private Nursing Home |  | 32 | 14 | 1 | 47 | [69.6\%] | [30.4\%] |

## Composition of Private Sector Concerns (26+ employees)

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brook Design Hardware Ltd |  | 16 | 13 | 0 | 29 | [55.2\%] | [44.8\%] |
| Brook Street (UK) Ltd |  | 57 | 69 | 12 | 138 | [45.2\%] | [54.8\%] |
| Brooklands Healthcare Ltd |  | 48 | 193 | 14 | 255 | [19.9\%] | [80.1\%] |
| Brow, John C Ltd T/A Brow Packaging | * | - | - | - | 52 | - | - |
| Browne AV Advertising Ltd |  | 28 | 21 | 4 | 53 | [57.1\%] | [42.9\%] |
| Browns Coachworks Ltd |  |  | - | - | 57 | - | - |
| Brunch Box Sandwich Company Ltd, The | * | - | - | - | 55 |  | - |
| Brunswick Superbowl Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 97 |  | - |
| Bryson Charitable Group |  | 244 | 230 | 61 | 535 | [51.5\%] | [48.5\%] |
| BSG Civil Engineering Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 42 |  | - |
| BTCV T/A Conservation Volunteers Northern Ireland |  | 17 | 13 | 12 | 42 | [56.7\%] | [43.3\%] |
| BTW Cairns | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| BTW Shiells Ltd |  | 42 | 23 | 8 | 73 | [64.6\%] | [35.4\%] |
| Building Design Partnership Ltd |  | 22 | 22 | 4 | 48 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Building Protection Systems (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 33 | 16 | 0 | 49 | [67.3\%] | [32.7\%] |
| Bulrush Horticulture Ltd |  | 14 | 40 | 14 | 68 | [25.9\%] | [74.1\%] |
| Bunzl Rafferty Hospitality Products | \# | - | - | - | 32 |  |  |
| Burkes of Cornascriebe Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 |  |  |
| Burnview Properties Ltd T/A Bryansburn Nursing Home |  | 19 | 23 | 2 | 44 | [45.2\%] | [54.8\%] |
| Burrendale Day Nursery |  | 14 | 14 | 0 | 28 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Burrendale Hotel Ltd |  | 18 | 109 | 16 | 143 | [14.2\%] | [85.8\%] |
| Bushmills Hotels Ltd | * | - | - | - | 67 | - | - |
| Business \& Scientific Services Ltd |  | 15 | 14 | 0 | 29 | [51.7\%] | [48.3\%] |
| Business in the Community Northern Ireland |  | 20 | 20 | 6 | 46 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Bytes Project, The | \# | - | - | - | 27 |  |  |
| C \& J Meats Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 43 |  |  |
| Cable \& Accessories (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 13 | 18 | 0 | 31 | [41.9\%] | [58.1\%] |
| Cable \& Wireless Worldwide |  | 19 | 10 | 3 | 32 | [65.5\%] | [34.5\%] |
| Caffe Nero |  | 13 | 18 | 4 | 35 | [41.9\%] | [58.1\%] |
| Cairn Hill Home Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 57 |  |  |
| Caldwell Consulting | * | - | - | - | 30 |  |  |
| Calor Gas Northern Ireland Ltd |  | 45 | 18 | 8 | 71 | [71.4\%] | [28.6\%] |
| Calvert Office Equipment Ltd | * | - | - | - | 45 |  | - |
| Camden Group Ltd |  | 123 | 194 | 118 | 435 | [38.8\%] | [61.2\%] |
| Cameron Landscapes Ltd |  | 30 | 12 | 0 | 42 | [71.4\%] | [28.6\%] |
| Camerons Retail Furnishings (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 58 | 24 | 0 | 82 | [70.7\%] | [29.3\%] |
| Campbell \& Slevin Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 39 |  | - |
| Campbell Catering (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 454 | 130 | 30 | 614 | [77.7\%] | [22.3\%] |
| Campbell College | * | - | - | - | 83 | - | - |
| Campbell Contracts Ltd |  | 11 | 42 | 0 | 53 | [20.8\%] | [79.2\%] |
| Campbell Fitzpatrick Solicitors |  | 12 | 29 | 0 | 41 | [29.3\%] | [70.7\%] |
| Campbell Gus Solicitors | \# | - | - | - | 26 |  | - |
| Campbell McCleave \& Company Ltd | * | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| Cancer Research (UK) |  | 32 | 13 | 22 | 67 | [71.1\%] | [28.9\%] |
| Canyon Europe Ltd |  | 58 | 58 | 6 | 122 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Capita Business Services Ltd |  | 185 | 180 | 43 | 408 | [50.7\%] | [49.3\%] |
| Capita Business Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 |  | - |
| Capita Life \& Pensions Regulated Services |  | 64 | 28 | 11 | 103 | [69.6\%] | [30.4\%] |
| Capper Trading Ltd |  | 68 | 23 | 0 | 91 | [74.7\%] | [25.3\%] |

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car Park Services Ltd |  | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Carabus Trading Ltd T/A Sugar Night Club/ Downeys Bar | \# | - | - | - | 54 | - |  |
| Caraher BJ (Distributors) Ltd |  | 16 | 15 | 0 | 31 | [51.6\%] | [48.4\%] |
| Cardona Ltd T/A Shelbourne, The | \# | - | - | - | 57 | - | - |
| Care Circle Ltd T/A Fairfields Care Centre |  | 21 | 36 | 3 | 60 | [36.8\%] | [63.2\%] |
| Care Circle Ltd T/A Kingsway Nursing Home |  | 48 | 30 | 14 | 92 | [61.5\%] | [38.5\%] |
| Care Plus |  | 85 | 61 | 0 | 146 | [58.2\%] | [41.8\%] |
| Carecall Ltd |  | 13 | 13 | 1 | 27 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Carella Laminate Systems Ltd |  | 15 | 31 | 0 | 46 | [32.6\%] | [67.4\%] |
| Cargo Forwarding Ltd | * | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| CaridianBCT Northern Ireland |  | 110 | 49 | 26 | 185 | [69.2\%] | [30.8\%] |
| Carillion PLC |  | 16 | 16 | 7 | 39 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Carlisle D \& W Ltd T/A Carlisle's Fresh Foods |  | 99 | 88 | 0 | 187 | [52.9\%] | [47.1\%] |
| Carmichaels (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 45 | 11 | 21 | 77 | [80.4\%] | [19.6\%] |
| Carpetright PLC |  | 30 | 19 | 2 | 51 | [61.2\%] | [38.8\%] |
| Carphone Warehouse Ltd, The |  | 83 | 81 | 31 | 195 | [50.6\%] | [49.4\%] |
| Carryduff Auctions (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Carryduff Forklift Ltd | * |  | - | - | 29 | - |  |
| Carson McDowell Solicitors |  | 49 | 36 | 4 | 89 | [57.6\%] | [42.4\%] |
| Carvill Group Ltd |  | 16 | 25 | 0 | 41 | [39.0\%] | [61.0\%] |
| Castle Catering Belfast Ltd |  | 16 | 44 | 0 | 60 | [26.7\%] | [73.3\%] |
| Castles Geoff Boiler Services (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - |  |
| Castleview Private Nursing Home Ltd | * | - | - | - | 38 | - |  |
| Caterpillar Logistics Services (UK) Ltd |  | 128 | 36 | 9 | 173 | [78.0\%] | [22.0\%] |
| Cathcart FR Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - |  |
| Cavanagh Kelly |  | 12 | 41 | 1 | 54 | [22.6\%] | [77.4\%] |
| CB Contracts |  | 33 | 30 | 3 | 66 | [52.4\%] | [47.6\%] |
| CB Packaging Ltd | * | - | - | - | 44 | - |  |
| CBC Distributors | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| CDE Ireland Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 46 | - |  |
| CE Property Services Group |  | 19 | 11 | 2 | 32 | [63.3\%] | [36.7\%] |
| Cedar Foundation, The |  | 117 | 93 | 10 | 220 | [55.7\%] | [44.3\%] |
| Celerion |  | 50 | 31 | 9 | 90 | [61.7\%] | [38.3\%] |
| Cemex (Northern Ireland) |  | 84 | 54 | 5 | 143 | [60.9\%] | [39.1\%] |
| Centra Quick Stop |  | 26 | 32 | 5 | 63 | [44.8\%] | [55.2\%] |
| Central Bookmakers | \# | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| Central Laundries Ltd |  | 44 | 37 | 0 | 81 | [54.3\%] | [45.7\%] |
| Central Welding Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Century Newspapers Ltd |  | 45 | 19 | 9 | 73 | [70.3\%] | [29.7\%] |
| CES Quarry Products Ltd | * | - | - | - | 44 | - |  |
| CFC Interiors Ltd |  | 27 | 26 | 0 | 53 | [50.9\%] | [49.1\%] |
| C-fish Selling Ltd | * | - | - | - | 51 | - |  |
| CFM Ltd |  | 24 | 39 | 3 | 66 | [38.1\%] | [61.9\%] |
| Chain Reaction Cycles |  | 229 | 31 | 14 | 274 | [88.1\%] | [11.9\%] |
| Chambers T \& Sons (Enniskillen) Ltd |  | 17 | 32 | 2 | 51 | [34.7\%] | [65.3\%] |
| Chambers W \& J Ltd |  | 40 | 10 | 0 | 50 | [80.0\%] | [20.0\%] |
| Charlemont Arms Hotel Armagh Ltd |  | 15 | 23 | 0 | 38 | [39.5\%] | [60.5\%] |
| Charles Hurst Ltd |  | 549 | 217 | 60 | 826 | [71.7\%] | [28.3\%] |
| Chartered Accountants Ireland | * | - | - | - | 27 | - |  |

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees


## Company Name

P. R.C. N.D. TOTAL [\%P] [\%RC]

| CHC Group Ltd |  | 40 | 10 | 0 | 50 | [80.0\%] | [20.0\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Check Mate Guarding \& Security |  | 96 | 46 | 14 | 156 | [67.6\%] | [32.4\%] |
| Cherry Tree House Private Nursing \& Residential Home |  | 47 | 13 | 1 | 61 | [78.3\%] | [21.7\%] |
| Cherrymount Health Care Ltd T/A McKeagney Chemists | \# | - | - | - | 41 | - | - |
| Chesapeake Belfast Ltd |  | 122 | 41 | 0 | 163 | [74.8\%] | [25.2\%] |
| Cheslock Ltd Deanfield Nursing Home |  | 29 | 19 | 1 | 49 | [60.4\%] | [39.6\%] |
| Chester Park Inns Ltd T/A Chester Park \& Maginty's Restaurant | \# | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| Chester Private Nursing Home Ltd |  | 76 | 42 | 11 | 129 | [64.4\%] | [35.6\%] |
| Chieftain Insulation (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * |  | - | - | 32 | - |  |
| Christian Brothers' Grammar School | \# | - | - | - | 67 | - | - |
| Chubb (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 61 | - | - |
| Cirrus Ltd T/A Cirrus Plastics |  | 19 | 16 | 0 | 35 | [54.3\%] | [45.7\%] |
| Citigroup |  | 279 | 356 | 136 | 771 | [43.9\%] | [56.1\%] |
| Citizens Advice Belfast | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - |  |
| City Electrical Factors Ltd Northern Ireland Division |  | 49 | 19 | 10 | 78 | [72.1\%] | [27.9\%] |
| City Facilities (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 181 | 144 | 22 | 347 | [55.7\%] | [44.3\%] |
| City Hotel |  | 12 | 121 | 16 | 149 | [9.0\%] | [91.0\%] |
| City Industrial Waste Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 78 | - | - |
| City Link |  | 18 | 21 | 0 | 39 | [46.2\%] | [53.8\%] |
| City of Belfast YMCA |  | 34 | 12 | 0 | 46 | [73.9\%] | [26.1\%] |
| City of Derry Airport Operations Ltd |  | 18 | 39 | 2 | 59 | [31.6\%] | [68.4\%] |
| Claire's Accessories (UK) Ltd |  | 47 | 58 | 25 | 130 | [44.8\%] | [55.2\%] |
| Clandeboye Golf Club | * | - | - | - | 30 | - |  |
| Clanmil Housing Association Ltd |  | 123 | 63 | 6 | 192 | [66.1\%] | [33.9\%] |
| Clarehill Plastics Ltd |  | 46 | 21 | 6 | 73 | [68.7\%] | [31.3\%] |
| Clarion Hotel Carrickfergus |  | 56 | 20 | 7 | 83 | [73.7\%] | [26.3\%] |
| Clark \& McConn |  | 33 | 31 | 0 | 64 | [51.6\%] | [48.4\%] |
| Clark William \& Sons Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - |  |
| Clarke Cunningham Tree Maintenance Ltd | * | - | - | - | 45 | - |  |
| Clarke Engineering \& Construction Company Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - |  |
| Clarke P \& Sons Ltd |  | 14 | 124 | 0 | 138 | [10.1\%] | [89.9\%] |
| Clarks International |  | 89 | 91 | 35 | 215 | [49.4\%] | [50.6\%] |
| Clear Day Nurseries |  | 118 | 11 | 6 | 135 | [91.5\%] | [8.5\%] |
| Clear Pharmacy |  | 126 | 46 | 20 | 192 | [73.3\%] | [26.7\%] |
| Clearway Disposals Ltd |  | 24 | 63 | 0 | 87 | [27.6\%] | [72.4\%] |
| Cleaver Fulton Rankin |  | 33 | 26 | 5 | 64 | [55.9\%] | [44.1\%] |
| Clinique Laboratories Ltd |  | 32 | 22 | 6 | 60 | [59.3\%] | [40.7\%] |
| Clinton Cards PLC |  | 111 | 121 | 52 | 284 | [47.8\%] | [52.2\%] |
| Clogher Care | * | - | - | - | 31 | - |  |
| Clogher Valley Care Ltd |  | 39 | 64 | 0 | 103 | [37.9\%] | [62.1\%] |
| Clonlee Private Nursing Home \& Masserene Manor Private Nursing Home |  | 66 | 44 | 16 | 126 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Clontara Ltd (incorporating Louisville \& Colinvale Court Nursing Homes) | \# | - | - | - | 99 | - |  |
| Cloughorr Investments Ltd T/A Golf Links Hotel \& Holiday Home Park |  | 96 | 46 | 15 | 157 | [67.6\%] | [32.4\%] |
| CMM Electrics Ltd |  | 10 | 42 | 0 | 52 | [19.2\%] | [80.8\%] |
| CMS Lift Trucks Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - |  |
| CNC Components (UK) Ltd |  | 41 | 10 | 2 | 53 | [80.4\%] | [19.6\%] |
| Coastal Container Line Ltd |  | 28 | 21 | 1 | 50 | [57.1\%] | [42.9\%] |


| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cobco 834 Ltd T/A Homebuy |  | 14 | 23 | 2 | 39 | [37.8\%] | [62.2\%] |
| Cobra Specialist Security Services Ltd |  | 54 | 26 | 9 | 89 | [67.5\%] | [32.5\%] |
| Coca- Cola HBC Northern Ireland |  | 415 | 250 | 50 | 715 | [62.4\%] | [37.6\%] |
| Cohannon Inn Ltd |  | 31 | 50 | 0 | 81 | [38.3\%] | [61.7\%] |
| Coleraine Academical Institution | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Coleraine Care Ltd T/A Cottage Private Nursing Home, The |  | 36 | 13 | 2 | 51 | [73.5\%] | [26.5\%] |
| College Freight Services (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 24 | 54 | 3 | 81 | [30.8\%] | [69.2\%] |
| Collegelands Private Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| Collen Bros (Quarries) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Colliers CRE (Belfast) Ltd |  | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Colorite Europe Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Commercial Graphics (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Communisis PLC |  | 32 | 13 | 1 | 46 | [71.1\%] | [28.9\%] |
| Community Foundation For Northern Ireland |  | 13 | 20 | 3 | 36 | [39.4\%] | [60.6\%] |
| Compass Group (UK) \& Ireland |  | 369 | 209 | 77 | 655 | [63.8\%] | [36.2\%] |
| Complete Beverage Services Ltd |  | 24 | 36 | 1 | 61 | [40.0\%] | [60.0\%] |
| Component Distributors Ltd |  | 54 | 15 | 0 | 69 | [78.3\%] | [21.7\%] |
| Conard Care Services Ltd |  | 82 | 16 | 1 | 99 | [83.7\%] | [16.3\%] |
| Concrete Systems Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 56 | - | - |
| Connan Paul Ltd |  | 63 | 195 | 47 | 305 | [24.4\%] | [75.6\%] |
| Connolly Liam Roadfreight Ltd |  | 22 | 24 | 0 | 46 | [47.8\%] | [52.2\%] |
| Consarc Design Group Ltd |  | 33 | 27 | 4 | 64 | [55.0\%] | [45.0\%] |
| Consilium Technologies Ltd |  | 55 | 19 | 2 | 76 | [74.3\%] | [25.7\%] |
| Construction Employers Federation Ltd |  | 17 | 19 | 2 | 38 | [47.2\%] | [52.8\%] |
| Contact Youth Counselling |  | 35 | 40 | 4 | 79 | [46.7\%] | [53.3\%] |
| Contract Ceilings Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Contract Services (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 63 | 40 | 6 | 109 | [61.2\%] | [38.8\%] |
| Controlled Electronic Management Systems Ltd |  | 87 | 69 | 24 | 180 | [55.8\%] | [44.2\%] |
| Conway PJ (contractors) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Cookery Nook, The |  | 26 | 18 | 3 | 47 | [59.1\%] | [40.9\%] |
| Cookstown \& Dungannon Women's Aid |  | 15 | 11 | 1 | 27 | [57.7\%] | [42.3\%] |
| Cookstown Panel Centre Ltd |  | 17 | 35 | 0 | 52 | [32.7\%] | [67.3\%] |
| Cookstown Textile Recyclers |  | 28 | 139 | 4 | 171 | [16.8\%] | [83.2\%] |
| Coolmar Ltd |  | 62 | 28 | 0 | 90 | [68.9\%] | [31.1\%] |
| Cooneen Textiles Ltd |  | 25 | 21 | 0 | 46 | [54.3\%] | [45.7\%] |
| Co-operative Group Limited | * | - | - | - | 63 | - | - |
| Co-operative Group, The |  | 664 | 272 | 75 | 1,011 | [70.9\%] | [29.1\%] |
| Co-operative Retail Logistics | * | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Cootes (Concrete Products) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Copeland Ltd |  | 71 | 103 | 22 | 196 | [40.8\%] | [59.2\%] |
| Cordant Group |  | 18 | 14 | 2 | 34 | [56.3\%] | [43.8\%] |
| Corkhill Lodge Ltd |  | 18 | 31 | 2 | 51 | [36.7\%] | [63.3\%] |
| Corlin Developments Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 54 | - | - |
| Corn Dolly Foods Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| Cornfield Farm Care Centre Ltd T/A Cornfield Care Centre |  | 74 | 53 | 4 | 131 | [58.3\%] | [41.7\%] |
| Corporate Catering (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 17 | 14 | 2 | 33 | [54.8\%] | [45.2\%] |
| Corps Security | * | - | - | - | 109 | - | - |
| Corramore Construction Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - |  |
| Corriewood Private Clinic | \# | - | - | - | 60 | - |  |

## Composition of Private Sector Concerns (26+ employees)

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corrs Corner Hotel Ltd |  | 36 | 21 | 5 | 62 | [63.2\%] | [36.8\%] |
| Corry, Harry Ltd |  | 136 | 99 | 33 | 268 | [57.9\%] | [42.1\%] |
| Corrymeela Community |  | 14 | 15 | 2 | 31 | [48.3\%] | [51.7\%] |
| Costcutter |  | 17 | 37 | 0 | 54 | [31.5\%] | [68.5\%] |
| Cotswold Outdoor Ltd | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Cottage Catering Ltd |  | 16 | 34 | 6 | 56 | [32.0\%] | [68.0\%] |
| Country Inns (Ulster) Ltd T/A The Clandeboye Lodge Hotel |  | 44 | 16 | 8 | 68 | [73.3\%] | [26.7\%] |
| Countrywide Freight Group Ltd |  | 57 | 34 | 16 | 107 | [62.6\%] | [37.4\%] |
| Court Care Home, The |  | 37 | 14 | 0 | 51 | [72.5\%] | [27.5\%] |
| Courtney \& Nelson Ltd | * | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Cove Manor Private Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Cox Foodmarkets |  | 19 | 88 | 0 | 107 | [17.8\%] | [82.2\%] |
| Cox, Arthur (Northern Ireland) |  | 34 | 39 | 3 | 76 | [46.6\%] | [53.4\%] |
| CP Hire Ltd | * | - | - | - | 58 | - |  |
| Craig, John Haulage | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Craigantlet Ltd T/A La Mon Hotel \& Country Club |  | 116 | 38 | 41 | 195 | [75.3\%] | [24.7\%] |
| Crane Stockham Valve Ltd |  | 64 | 14 | 11 | 89 | [82.1\%] | [17.9\%] |
| Crawford \& Company (UK) Ltd |  | 35 | 15 | 14 | 64 | [70.0\%] | [30.0\%] |
| Crawford Contracts Group Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - |  |
| Crawford M \& D T/A Spar Supermarket | \# | - | - | - | 31 | - |  |
| Crawford RTD Ltd | * | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| Crawford, R \& Company |  | 49 | 26 | 0 | 75 | [65.3\%] | [34.7\%] |
| Creagh Concrete Products Ltd |  | 59 | 232 | 55 | 346 | [20.3\%] | [79.7\%] |
| Creation Consumer Finance |  | 64 | 80 | 15 | 159 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\%] |
| Creations Interiors Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Creative Composites Ltd |  | 39 | 10 | 17 | 66 | [79.6\%] | [20.4\%] |
| Creighton Group Ltd |  | 70 | 26 | 9 | 105 | [72.9\%] | [27.1\%] |
| Croft Community, The |  | 76 | 10 | 3 | 89 | [88.4\%] | [11.6\%] |
| Cromer Enterprises Ltd T/A White Horse Hotel |  | 16 | 31 | 2 | 49 | [34.0\%] | [66.0\%] |
| Cross Refrigeration (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Crossbows Optical Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Crossgar Foodservice Ltd |  | 46 | 153 | 43 | 242 | [23.1\%] | [76.9\%] |
| Crossland Tankers Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Crossroads Caring for Carers (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 188 | 84 | 14 | 286 | [69.1\%] | [30.9\%] |
| Crown Paints |  | 28 | 10 | 0 | 38 | [73.7\%] | [26.3\%] |
| Crozier, WJ \& H | * | - | - | - | 45 | - | - |
| Crumlin Insurance Partnership | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Cuddy, R.A. | * | - | - | - | 57 | - | - |
| Culmore Stores Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Cult Retail LLP |  | 11 | 12 | 4 | 27 | [47.8\%] | [52.2\%] |
| Cunningham Brian T/A PC Plant \& Construction | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Cunningham Coates Ltd | * | - | - | - | 52 | - | - |
| Cunningham Covers Ltd | * | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| Cunningham Lindsey | * | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Curleys Supermarket Dungannon Ltd |  | 20 | 42 | 5 | 67 | [32.3\%] | [67.7\%] |
| Curleys Wine Cellars | \# | - | - | - | 49 | - | - |
| Curran Court Hotel Ltd |  | 36 | 28 | 0 | 64 | [56.3\%] | [43.8\%] |
| Curran, D \& Sons Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

| Curtis Developments Ltd T/A Curtis Toyota Curtis Peugeot \& Curtis Peugeot Newtownabbey |  | 49 | 12 | 1 | 62 | [80.3\%] | [19.7\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Customise Training Services Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Cybersource Northern Ireland Ltd |  | 12 | 19 | 8 | 39 | [38.7\%] | [61.3\%] |
| D \& M Cakes Ltd T/A Graham's Home Bakery |  | 29 | 13 | 0 | 42 | [69.0\%] | [31.0\%] |
| D \& S Contracts Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - |  |
| D Mc Granaghan Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 41 | - | - |
| Dairy Produce Packers Ltd |  | 134 | 58 | 4 | 196 | [69.8\%] | [30.2\%] |
| Dalkia Energy \& Facilities Ltd |  | 30 | 12 | 0 | 42 | [71.4\%] | [28.6\%] |
| Dalriada School | * | - | - | - | 52 | - | - |
| Dalriada Urgent Care |  | 162 | 80 | 3 | 245 | [66.9\%] | [33.1\%] |
| Daly Park \& Company Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Danske Bank A/S |  | 25 | 10 | 0 | 35 | [71.4\%] | [28.6\%] |
| Dask Timber Products Ltd |  | 13 | 10 | 3 | 26 | [56.5\%] | [43.5\%] |
| Davison AF Ltd | * | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Davison, Mel Construction |  | 52 | 20 | 4 | 76 | [72.2\%] | [27.8\%] |
| Dawson-wam Ltd |  | 56 | 47 | 4 | 107 | [54.4\%] | [45.6\%] |
| DCC Energy Ltd |  | 134 | 35 | 0 | 169 | [79.3\%] | [20.7\%] |
| Deane Public Works Ltd |  | 34 | 12 | 0 | 46 | [73.9\%] | [26.1\%] |
| Debenhams Retail |  | 215 | 435 | 42 | 692 | [33.1\%] | [66.9\%] |
| Decora Blind Systems Ltd |  | 137 | 79 | 20 | 236 | [63.4\%] | [36.6\%] |
| Dekko |  | 22 | 17 | 4 | 43 | [56.4\%] | [43.6\%] |
| Delap \& Waller Ltd |  | 17 | 26 | 3 | 46 | [39.5\%] | [60.5\%] |
| Deli Lites (Newry) | \# | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Deloitte LLP |  | 65 | 57 | 21 | 143 | [53.3\%] | [46.7\%] |
| Delta Print \& Packaging Ltd |  | 23 | 100 | 17 | 140 | [18.7\%] | [81.3\%] |
| Deluxe Art \& Theme FX Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Delwyn Enterprises Ltd T/A Yardmaster International |  | 19 | 43 | 0 | 62 | [30.6\%] | [69.4\%] |
| Denman International Ltd | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Dennison Commercials Ltd |  | 97 | 18 | 3 | 118 | [84.3\%] | [15.7\%] |
| Denny, Henry \& Sons (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 37 | 48 | 3 | 88 | [43.5\%] | [56.5\%] |
| Denroy Plastics Ltd |  | 102 | 14 | 5 | 121 | [87.9\%] | [12.1\%] |
| Dental World Ltd |  | 25 | 12 | 12 | 49 | [67.6\%] | [32.4\%] |
| Depaul Ireland |  | 16 | 29 | 0 | 45 | [35.6\%] | [64.4\%] |
| Derichebourg Multiservices Ltd | * | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| Derry Credit Union Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 51 | - | - |
| Derry Journal Newspapers Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 47 | - | - |
| Derry Youth \& Community Workshop Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Derry's Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| Desmond Motors Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 59 | - | - |
| Dessian Products Ltd |  | 26 | 44 | 3 | 73 | [37.1\%] | [62.9\%] |
| Devenish Nutrition Ltd |  | 45 | 31 | 5 | 81 | [59.2\%] | [40.8\%] |
| DFDS Logistics Ltd |  | 107 | 55 | 5 | 167 | [66.0\%] | [34.0\%] |
| DFDS Seaways |  | 101 | 22 | 0 | 123 | [82.1\%] | [17.9\%] |
| DFPF Ltd T/A Dairy Farm \& People 1st |  | 11 | 32 | 1 | 44 | [25.6\%] | [74.4\%] |
| DFS Trading Ltd |  | 20 | 21 | 0 | 41 | [48.8\%] | [51.2\%] |
| DHL Exel Supply Chain |  | 27 | 12 | 0 | 39 | [69.2\%] | [30.8\%] |
| DHL Express | * | - | - | - | 27 | - |  |
| DHL Express (UK) Ltd |  | 53 | 20 | 0 | 73 | [72.6\%] | [27.4\%] |

[^76]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DHL Supply Chain M \& S Division |  | 208 | 144 | 23 | 375 | [59.1\%] | [40.9\%] |
| Diageo (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 47 | 55 | 5 | 107 | [46.1\%] | [53.9\%] |
| Diageo Bailey's Global Supply (S \& B Production Ltd) |  | 27 | 11 | 4 | 42 | [71.1\%] | [28.9\%] |
| Diageo Global Supply LBC Ltd |  | 105 | 33 | 14 | 152 | [76.1\%] | [23.9\%] |
| Diamond Corrugated Cases Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 41 |  |  |
| Diamond Recruitment Group |  | 217 | 596 | 181 | 994 | [26.7\%] | [73.3\%] |
| Diamond, A \& Son (timber) Ltd |  | 34 | 19 | 1 | 54 | [64.2\%] | [35.8\%] |
| Dickey, HK | * | - | - | - | 69 |  | - |
| Dickson \& Company (Northern Ireland) Ltd T/A Dickson \& Company Insurances |  | 14 | 13 | 1 | 28 | [51.9\%] | [48.1\%] |
| Direct Contract Packing (Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Direct Medics Ltd |  | 11 | 11 | 48 | 70 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Disability Action |  | 39 | 58 | 1 | 98 | [40.2\%] | [59.8\%] |
| Dittys (Castledawson) Ltd |  | 32 | 19 | 0 | 51 | [62.7\%] | [37.3\%] |
| Dixons \& Company Ltd |  | 37 | 16 | 0 | 53 | [69.8\%] | [30.2\%] |
| Dixons Contractors |  | 11 | 34 | 2 | 47 | [24.4\%] | [75.6\%] |
| DK Leisure Ltd |  | 18 | 21 | 4 | 43 | [46.2\%] | [53.8\%] |
| DLRS (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 18 | 11 | 2 | 31 | [62.1\%] | [37.9\%] |
| Dobbies Garden Centres PLC |  | 67 | 16 | 62 | 145 | [80.7\%] | [19.3\%] |
| Doherty \& Gray Ltd |  | 17 | 15 | 37 | 69 | [53.1\%] | [46.9\%] |
| Doherty, James (Meats) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| Doherty, Patrick T/A Knockan Lodge |  | 20 | 11 | 0 | 31 | [64.5\%] | [35.5\%] |
| Doherty, Peter T/A Garage Door Systems Ltd |  | 14 | 14 | 4 | 32 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Domestic Care Ltd | * | - | - | - | 57 | - | - |
| Domestic Care Services Domiciliary |  | 153 | 21 | 18 | 192 | [87.9\%] | [12.1\%] |
| Dominican College |  | 22 | 35 | 0 | 57 | [38.6\%] | [61.4\%] |
| Donaghadee Garden Centre | * | - | - | - | 102 | - |  |
| Donaghy Bros |  | 11 | 16 | 0 | 27 | [40.7\%] | [59.3\%] |
| Donaghy, P \& E |  | 82 | 34 | 2 | 118 | [70.7\%] | [29.3\%] |
| Donaldson, A \& S (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Donnelly Group, The |  | 261 | 243 | 32 | 536 | [51.8\%] | [48.2\%] |
| Dontaur Engineering Ltd | * | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Door Store Ltd, The | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Doran Consulting |  | 45 | 16 | 5 | 66 | [73.8\%] | [26.2\%] |
| Doris \& MacMahon Solicitors | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Dougan Patrick Electrical |  | 13 | 23 | 0 | 36 | [36.1\%] | [63.9\%] |
| Douglas \& Grahame Ltd |  | 59 | 18 | 5 | 82 | [76.6\%] | [23.4\%] |
| Dove House Community Trust | \# | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| Dowds JF \& H Ltd |  | 20 | 39 | 1 | 60 | [33.9\%] | [66.1\%] |
| Dowler, F Ltd |  | 45 | 13 | 0 | 58 | [77.6\%] | [22.4\%] |
| Dowling, William Ltd |  | 47 | 18 | 4 | 69 | [72.3\%] | [27.7\%] |
| Down District Accessible Transport |  | 16 | 29 | 6 | 51 | [35.6\%] | [64.4\%] |
| Downe Residential Project |  | 19 | 100 | 1 | 120 | [16.0\%] | [84.0\%] |
| Downey Bros Good Food Shop Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 102 | - | - |
| Downey Investments T/A Friar Tucks | \# | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Downshire Arms Hotel Ltd | * | - | - | - | 50 | - | - |
| Downtown Radio Ltd |  | 36 | 27 | 2 | 65 | [57.1\%] | [42.9\%] |
| Dr McMaster \& Partners Mountsandel Surgery | * | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Drapersfield House Private Nursing Home |  | 27 | 42 | 0 | 69 | [39.1\%] | [60.9\%] |

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees


## Composition of Private Sector Concerns (26+ employees)

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Draynes Farms |  | 18 | 16 | 4 | 38 | [52.9\%] [47.1\%] |
| Drenagh Sawmills Ltd |  | 10 | 29 | 5 | 44 | [25.6\%] [74.4\%] |
| Dresswell (Newtownards) Ltd |  | 137 | 42 | 10 | 189 | [76.5\%] [23.5\%] |
| Drinks Inc Ltd |  | 16 | 39 | 3 | 58 | [29.1\%] [70.9\%] |
| Drombane Nursing Group T/A Templemoyle Care Home | \# | - | - | - | 34 | - - |
| Drombane Nursing Home | * |  | - | - | 28 | - - |
| Dromore Community Care Ltd |  | 12 | 29 | 0 | 41 | [29.3\%] [70.7\%] |
| Drumbo Park Greyhound Stadium |  | 36 | 19 | 2 | 57 | [65.5\%] [34.5\%] |
| Drumkeen Holdings Ltd T/A Burger King |  | 15 | 10 | 13 | 38 | [60.0\%] [40.0\%] |
| Drummaul House Ltd |  | 41 | 60 | 5 | 106 | [40.6\%] [59.4\%] |
| Drummond Hotel | \# |  | - | - | 51 | - - |
| Drumragh Integrated College |  | 20 | 36 | 0 | 56 | [35.7\%] [64.3\%] |
| DS Campbell Ltd T/A Venture |  | 26 | 17 | 3 | 46 | [60.5\%] [39.5\%] |
| DSG Retail Ltd |  | 147 | 71 | 222 | 440 | [67.4\%] [32.6\%] |
| DSV Road Ltd |  | 31 | 17 | 11 | 59 | [64.6\%] [35.4\%] |
| DU Pont (UK) Industrial Ltd |  | 59 | 110 | 0 | 169 | [34.9\%] [65.1\%] |
| Dunadry Inn Ltd |  | 98 | 42 | 3 | 143 | [70.0\%] [30.0\%] |
| Dunbia (Ballymena) Ltd |  | 89 | 62 | 19 | 170 | [58.9\%] [41.1\%] |
| Dunbia (Dungannon) |  | 210 | 336 | 149 | 695 | [38.5\%] [61.5\%] |
| Duncan \& Griffin Company Ltd T/A Silverbirch Hotel |  | 52 | 42 | 7 | 101 | [55.3\%] [44.7\%] |
| Dunelm (Soft Furnishings) Ltd |  | 68 | 150 | 24 | 242 | [31.2\%] [68.8\%] |
| Dungannon Window Company Ltd |  | 13 | 13 | 0 | 26 | [50.0\%] [50.0\%] |
| Dunlady House Ltd |  | 50 | 26 | 4 | 80 | [65.8\%] [34.2\%] |
| Dunluce Restaurants Ltd T/A Mc Donalds |  | 63 | 23 | 9 | 95 | [73.3\%] [26.7\%] |
| Dunnes Stores (Bangor) Ltd |  | 592 | 1,461 | 85 | 2,138 | [28.8\%] [71.2\%] |
| Durkan, Patrick T/A Allclear Environmental | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - - |
| DV8 |  | 102 | 111 | 10 | 223 | [47.9\%] [52.1\%] |
| DW Sports \& Fitness |  | 76 | 95 | 6 | 177 | [44.4\%] [55.6\%] |
| DX Network Services |  | 17 | 30 | 2 | 49 | [36.2\%] [63.8\%] |
| E Mc Intyre \& Sons Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - - |
| Eakin Brothers Ltd |  | 31 | 13 | 0 | 44 | [70.5\%] [29.5\%] |
| Eakin TG Ltd | * | - | - | - | 55 | - - |
| Early Years |  | 51 | 94 | 14 | 159 | [35.2\%] [64.8\%] |
| Eason \& Son (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 126 | 132 | 13 | 271 | [48.8\%] [51.2\%] |
| East Belfast Mission | * | - | - | - | 67 | - - |
| East Eden Ltd T/A Slieve Na Mon Nursing Home |  | 14 | 45 | 0 | 59 | [23.7\%] [76.3\%] |
| Echo (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 71 | 93 | 33 | 197 | [43.3\%] [56.7\%] |
| Edenkeel Ltd T/A Café Roc / Earth Night Club | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - - |
| Edenmore Golf \& Country Club |  | 25 | 16 | 0 | 41 | [61.0\%] [39.0\%] |
| Edgar Transport |  | 10 | 19 | 20 | 49 | [34.5\%] [65.5\%] |
| Edgewater Contracts |  | 10 | 18 | 0 | 28 | [35.7\%] [64.3\%] |
| Edgewater Private Nursing Home |  | 24 | 17 | 1 | 42 | [58.5\%] [41.5\%] |
| Edina Manufacturing Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - - |
| EDM Spamwall Facades Ltd |  | 29 | 13 | 2 | 44 | [69.0\%] [31.0\%] |
| Edmundson Electrical Ltd | * | - | - | - | 63 | - - |
| Edwards \& Company |  | 23 | 19 | 0 | 42 | [54.8\%] [45.2\%] |
| Edwards Enterprises (Northern Ireland) Ltd T/A Copperfields Private Nursing Home |  | 28 | 27 | 0 | 55 | [50.9\%] [49.1\%] |
| Eglinton (Timber Products) Ltd |  | 23 | 25 | 0 | 48 | [47.9\%] [52.1\%] |

[^77]
## Composition of Private Sector Concerns (26+ employees)

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eircom (UK) Ltd |  | 18 | 14 | 0 | 32 | [56.3\%] | [43.8\%] |
| Electronic \& Security Services Ltd |  | 28 | 15 | 1 | 44 | [65.1\%] | [34.9\%] |
| Elior UK Ltd |  | 55 | 44 | 0 | 99 | [55.6\%] | [44.4\%] |
| Elite Electronic Systems Ltd |  | 54 | 53 | 16 | 123 | [50.5\%] | [49.5\%] |
| Elliott Duffy Garrett Solicitors |  | 13 | 33 | 2 | 48 | [28.3\%] | [71.7\%] |
| Elliott, P \& Company Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Elmoreton Ltd T/A Benedicts Hotel Belfast |  | 30 | 54 | 5 | 89 | [35.7\%] | [64.3\%] |
| EM News Northern Ireland Ltd |  | 73 | 74 | 43 | 190 | [49.7\%] | [50.3\%] |
| Emerson HA \& Son |  | 30 | 62 | 4 | 96 | [32.6\%] | [67.4\%] |
| Emerson Norman Group Ltd |  | 65 | 39 | 2 | 106 | [62.5\%] | [37.5\%] |
| Emerson Stanley \& Sons Ltd | * |  | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Emtek Ltd | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Enigma Leisure Group | * | - | - | - | 49 | - | - |
| Enterprise Rent-a-car (UK) Ltd |  | 14 | 10 | 8 | 32 | [58.3\%] | [41.7\%] |
| Enterprise Stationery Ltd |  | 22 | 51 | 15 | 88 | [30.1\%] | [69.9\%] |
| ENVA (Northern Ireland) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 37 | - |  |
| Environmental Treatment Systems Ltd T/A Klargester Ireland | \# | - | - | - | 58 | - | - |
| EPS Environmental Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| Equiniti-ics Ltd |  | 154 | 72 | 22 | 248 | [68.1\%] | [31.9\%] |
| Ernst \& Young |  | 38 | 39 | 48 | 125 | [49.4\%] | [50.6\%] |
| Esporta Health \& Fitness |  | 25 | 13 | 0 | 38 | [65.8\%] | [34.2\%] |
| Estee Lauder Cosmetics Ltd |  | 34 | 44 | 9 | 87 | [43.6\%] | [56.4\%] |
| Etain Ltd |  | 19 | 12 | 8 | 39 | [61.3\%] | [38.7\%] |
| Europa General Underwriters (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 19 | 23 | 3 | 45 | [45.2\%] | [54.8\%] |
| Europcar National Car Rental |  | 37 | 15 | 0 | 52 | [71.2\%] | [28.8\%] |
| Evans VB \& Company | * | - | - | - | 27 | - |  |
| Eventsec Ltd |  | 286 | 262 | 0 | 548 | [52.2\%] | [47.8\%] |
| Evron Foods Ltd |  | 46 | 56 | 123 | 225 | [45.1\%] | [54.9\%] |
| Excel Glass Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Exchange Restaurants Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 41 | - | - |
| Executive Council of the Inn of Court of Northern Ireland, The |  | 16 | 14 | 3 | 33 | [53.3\%] | [46.7\%] |
| Exhibit Ltd |  | 72 | 82 | 0 | 154 | [46.8\%] | [53.2\%] |
| Experiences Connect Ltd |  | 17 | 22 | 1 | 40 | [43.6\%] | [56.4\%] |
| Express Distribution Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| Extern Organisation Ltd, The |  | 140 | 135 | 15 | 290 | [50.9\%] | [49.1\%] |
| Extra Care |  | 240 | 272 | 84 | 596 | [46.9\%] | [53.1\%] |
| F F Hospitality Portadown Ltd T/A Seagoe Hotel |  | 39 | 32 | 11 | 82 | [54.9\%] | [45.1\%] |
| Fabricat Ireland Contractors Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Fairlawns Care Home Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Fairways Residential Homes |  | 48 | 12 | 8 | 68 | [80.0\%] | [20.0\%] |
| Faith House | * | - | - | - | 85 | - | - |
| Falls Bowling \& Lawn Tennis Club Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Falls Community Council | \# | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Fane Valley Co-Op Society Ltd |  | 87 | 12 | 0 | 99 | [87.9\%] | [12.1\%] |
| Farmlea Foods Ltd |  | 34 | 17 | 0 | 51 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Farmview Dairies Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Fergus Investments Ltd T/A Supervalu (Carrickfergus) | * | - | - | - | 41 | - | - |
| Fermanagh Homecare Services |  | 26 | 40 | 0 | 66 | [39.4\%] | [60.6\%] |
| FF Food Engineering Ltd | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FGS McClure Watters |  | 46 | 36 | 2 | 84 | [56.1\%] | [43.9\%] |
| Fin Engineering Group Ltd |  | 45 | 11 | 0 | 56 | [80.4\%] | [19.6\%] |
| Fine Foods Lisburn Road Ltd T/A Shu Restaurant | \# |  | - | - | 44 | - | - |
| Finlay Communications Ltd |  | 74 | 29 | 14 | 117 | [71.8\%] | [28.2\%] |
| Finlay, James AS Ltd | * | - | - | - | 54 |  | - |
| Fir Trees Hotel (Strabane) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 41 |  |  |
| Fire Security Ltd T/A Gent (Northern Ireland) | * |  | - | - | 50 |  |  |
| Firmus Energy |  | 30 | 33 | 5 | 68 | [47.6\%] | [52.4\%] |
| First Choice Selection Services Ltd |  | 478 | 525 | 66 | 1,069 | [47.7\%] | [52.3\%] |
| First Derivatives PLC |  | 43 | 182 | 80 | 305 | [19.1\%] | [80.9\%] |
| First Housing Aid \& Support Services |  | 11 | 108 | 5 | 124 | [9.2\%] | [90.8\%] |
| First4skills |  | 14 | 23 | 10 | 47 | [37.8\%] | [62.2\%] |
| Firstsource Solutions Ltd |  | 259 | 1,196 | 257 | 1,712 | [17.8\%] | [82.2\%] |
| Fishbourne House Nursing Home |  | 15 | 10 | 1 | 26 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Fisher Engineering Ltd |  | 186 | 92 | 2 | 280 | [66.9\%] | [33.1\%] |
| Fitness First Clubs Ltd T/A Fitness First |  | 34 | 28 | 11 | 73 | [54.8\%] | [45.2\%] |
| Fitzwilliam Hotel (Belfast) |  | 21 | 28 | 15 | 64 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| Fivemiletown \& Brookborough Co-Op Agricultural \& Dairy Society Ltd |  | 42 | 20 | 0 | 62 | [67.7\%] | [32.3\%] |
| Fiveways Shop \& Service Station Ltd |  | 13 | 97 | 0 | 110 | [11.8\%] | [88.2\%] |
| Flagship Media Group Ltd |  | 34 | 26 | 8 | 68 | [56.7\%] | [43.3\%] |
| Flanagan, KJ \& Company Ltd |  | 23 | 28 | 1 | 52 | [45.1\%] | [54.9\%] |
| Fleck Imet Group, The | * | - | - | - | 38 |  | - |
| Fleming Agri-products Ltd |  | 56 | 10 | 2 | 68 | [84.8\%] | [15.2\%] |
| Flybe |  | 147 | 47 | 21 | 215 | [75.8\%] | [24.2\%] |
| Flynn, Maurice \& Sons Ltd |  | 37 | 52 | 3 | 92 | [41.6\%] | [58.4\%] |
| FM Environmental Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 45 | - |  |
| FM UK Ltd |  | 21 | 17 | 0 | 38 | [55.3\%] | [44.7\%] |
| Fois Ltd |  | 20 | 21 | 0 | 41 | [48.8\%] | [51.2\%] |
| Fold Housing Association |  | 369 | 289 | 41 | 699 | [56.1\%] | [43.9\%] |
| Fonacab (Belfast) Ltd |  | 30 | 30 | 5 | 65 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Fonezone Telecommunications Ltd T/A Barclays Communications |  | 64 | 22 | 6 | 92 | [74.4\%] | [25.6\%] |
| Footprints Women's Centre | \# | - | - | - | 38 |  |  |
| Fort Lodge Hotel | \# | - | - | - | 26 |  |  |
| Fortress Doors (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 33 |  |  |
| Forum for Action on Substance Abuse \& Suicide Awareness | * | - | - | - | 37 |  |  |
| Four Dee (Northern Ireland) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 35 |  |  |
| Four Seasons Health Care | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Abbeylands Care Home |  | 66 | 18 | 0 | 84 | [78.6\%] | [21.4\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Annahilt Care Home |  | 34 | 12 | 0 | 46 | [73.9\%] | [26.1\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Antrim Care Home |  | 24 | 23 | 1 | 48 | [51.1\%] | [48.9\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Arches Care Home | * | - | - | - | 38 | - |  |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Ardlough Care Home |  | 29 | 26 | 0 | 55 | [52.7\%] | [47.3\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Ashgrove Care Home | \# | - | - | - | 57 |  | - |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Bangor Care Home |  | 25 | 37 | 20 | 82 | [40.3\%] | [59.7\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Beechill Care Home | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Belmont Nursing Home |  | 27 | 20 | 0 | 47 | [57.4\%] | [42.6\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Bethany Care Home |  | 20 | 23 | 7 | 50 | [46.5\%] | [53.5\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Camphill Care Home |  | 42 | 20 | 5 | 67 | [67.7\%] | [32.3\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Carnalea Care Home |  | 24 | 35 | 2 | 61 | [40.7\%] | [59.3\%] |

[^78]Four Seasons Health Care T/A Cedarhurst Lodge Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Cherryvalley Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Chestnut Lodge Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Comber Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Coolaness Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A County Care Home, The Four Seasons Health Care T/A Craigdun Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Croaghpatrick Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Cromore House Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Donaghcloney Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Drumclay Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Drumragh Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Dungannon Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Edenmore Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Edgewater Lodge Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Galgorm Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Garvagh Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Greerville Manor Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Hamilton Court Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Hawthorn House Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Holywood Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Jordanstown Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Laganvale Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Landsdowne Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Lecale Lodge Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Limavady Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Lisburn Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Lisnisky Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Mahon Hall Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Manor Court Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Manor Lodge Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Meadowbank Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Moneymore Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Mount Lens Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Oakridge Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Parkview Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Rathmena Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Rosevale Lodge Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Saintfield Lodge Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Sandringham Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Seapatrick Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Stormont Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Strathearn Court Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Tennent Street Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Tudordale Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Victoria Park Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Whiteabbey Care Home Four Seasons Health Care T/A Woodgrove Care Home Fox Building \& Engineering Ltd

$P$.
$* \quad-$

*     - $\begin{array}{ccc}\text { \# } & - & - \\ & 53 & 16\end{array}$
 21 21 34
60
21
29
27
18
18
19
30
49
49
26
31

|  | 32 | 37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $*$ | 21 | 6 |
| $*$ | - | - |


|  | 24 | 10 | 1 | 35 | [70.6\%] [29.4\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30 | 30 | 0 | 60 | [50.0\%] [50.0\%] |
|  | 34 | 27 | 0 | 61 | [55.7\%] [44.3\%] |
|  | 41 | 41 | 1 | 83 | [50.0\%] [50.0\%] |
|  | 28 | 46 | 1 | 75 | [37.8\%] [62.2\%] |
| \# | - | - | - | 56 | - - |
|  | 15 | 30 | 0 | 45 | [33.3\%] [66.7\%] |
|  | 18 | 10 | 11 | 39 | [64.3\%] [35.7\%] |
|  | 54 | 28 | 2 | 84 | [65.9\%] [34.1\%] |
|  | 52 | 17 | 3 | 72 | [75.4\%] [24.6\%] |
| * | - | - | - | 31 | - - |
| \# | - | - | - | 39 | - - |
|  | 13 | 24 | 4 | 41 | [35.1\%] [64.9\%] |
|  | 29 | 25 | 2 | 56 | [53.7\%] [46.3\%] |
|  | 20 | 10 | 1 | 31 | [66.7\%] [33.3\%] |
|  | 27 | 33 | 1 | 61 | [45.0\%] [55.0\%] |
| * | - | - | - | 62 | - - |
| * | - | - | - | 38 | - |
|  | 28 | 24 | 7 | 59 | [53.8\%] [46.2\%] |
| \# | - | - | - | 42 | - - |
|  | 44 | 26 | 3 | 73 | [62.9\%] [37.1\%] |
|  | 38 | 34 | 4 | 76 | [52.8\%] [47.2\%] |
|  | 18 | 20 | 1 | 39 | [47.4\%] [52.6\%] |
|  | 32 | 15 | 12 | 59 | [68.1\%] [31.9\%] |
| * | - | - | - | 65 | - - |
|  | 16 | 26 | 0 | 42 | [38.1\%] [61.9\%] |
| * | - | - | - | 37 | - - |
|  | 37 | 11 | 0 | 48 | [77.1\%] [22.9\%] |
|  | 17 | 20 | 6 | 43 | [45.9\%] [54.1\%] |
| \# | - | - | - | 46 |  |

[^79]
## Composition of Private Sector Concerns (26+ employees)

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foyle \& Londonderry College |  | 28 | 23 | 3 | 54 | [54.9\%] | [45.1\%] |
| Foyle Day Care Ltd |  | 25 | 25 | 0 | 50 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Foyle Hospice |  | 11 | 65 | 1 | 77 | [14.5\%] | [85.5\%] |
| Foyle Meats |  | 94 | 248 | 9 | 351 | [27.5\%] | [72.5\%] |
| FP Mc Cann Ltd |  | 73 | 129 | 7 | 209 | [36.1\%] | [63.9\%] |
| FPM Accountants LLP | \# | - | - | - | 72 | - | - |
| Frackelton John \& Son Ltd | * | - | - | - | 34 |  |  |
| Franklins International Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Freeza Meats Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Friends School | * | - | - | - | 62 | - | - |
| Friendship \& Caring Trust (FACT) |  | 13 | 33 | 2 | 48 | [28.3\%] | [71.7\%] |
| Fruithill Private Nursing Home | \# |  | - | - | 57 | - | - |
| Frylite Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 84 | - | - |
| Fugro-BKS Ltd |  | 68 | 18 | 4 | 90 | [79.1\%] | [20.9\%] |
| Fujitsu Services |  | 324 | 269 | 86 | 679 | [54.6\%] | [45.4\%] |
| Fujitsu Telecommunications (Ireland) |  | 30 | 18 | 5 | 53 | [62.5\%] | [37.5\%] |
| Fultons Fine Furnishings | * | - | - | - | 46 | - |  |
| Fultons Fine Furnishings Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Funeral Services (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 119 | 10 | 6 | 135 | [92.2\%] | [7.8\%] |
| Fyfes Vehicles \& Engineering Supplies Ltd |  | 60 | 62 | 0 | 122 | [49.2\%] | [50.8\%] |
| G \& M Lodge Caring Ltd |  | 11 | 48 | 1 | 60 | [18.6\%] | [81.4\%] |
| G4S Cash Services (UK) Ltd |  | 272 | 68 | 5 | 345 | [80.0\%] | [20.0\%] |
| G4S Security Services UK |  | 391 | 208 | 106 | 705 | [65.3\%] | [34.7\%] |
| Galeton Ltd T/A Ailsa Lodge Nursing Home | * | - | - | - | 60 | - |  |
| Galfield Ltd T/A Kelly's Spar | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - |  |
| Galgorm Resort \& Spa |  | 110 | 133 | 4 | 247 | [45.3\%] | [54.7\%] |
| Gallagher \& Mc Kinney Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - |  |
| Gallaher Ltd |  | 698 | 175 | 37 | 910 | [80.0\%] | [20.0\%] |
| Gamble, JA \& Company Ltd |  | 11 | 16 | 0 | 27 | [40.7\%] | [59.3\%] |
| Gamestop (UK) Ltd |  | 10 | 18 | 5 | 33 | [35.7\%] | [64.3\%] |
| Gardner T/ADental Laboratory | * | - | - | - | 26 | - |  |
| GB Poultry | \# | - | - | - | 32 | - |  |
| Geda Construction Company Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 42 | - |  |
| George Best Belfast City Airport |  | 63 | 25 | 3 | 91 | [71.6\%] | [28.4\%] |
| Gibson Bros Ltd |  | 32 | 10 | 0 | 42 | [76.2\%] | [23.8\%] |
| Gilbert-Ash (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 48 | 79 | 8 | 135 | [37.8\%] | [62.2\%] |
| Gilfresh Produce |  | 40 | 81 | 31 | 152 | [33.1\%] | [66.9\%] |
| Gillaroo Lodge Nursing Home Ltd |  | 30 | 16 | 0 | 46 | [65.2\%] | [34.8\%] |
| Gillbrooke Private Nursing Home |  | 23 | 14 | 0 | 37 | [62.2\%] | [37.8\%] |
| Girvan Thomas T/A Karina Lodge Private Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Glanbia Cheese Ltd |  | 75 | 63 | 5 | 143 | [54.3\%] | [45.7\%] |
| Glasgiven Contracts Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Glas-seal (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 34 | 37 | 1 | 72 | [47.9\%] | [52.1\%] |
| Glaxosmithkline UK Pharmaceuticals | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Glen Dimplex (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Glen Electric Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 138 | - | - |
| Glenavon House Hotel (1982) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 54 | - | - |
| Glenbay Ltd (Peter Mark) |  | 70 | 70 | 9 | 149 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Glencarron Nursing Home | \# | - |  |  | 60 | - |  |

[^80]
## Composition of Private Sector Concerns (26+ employees)

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Glendale Stores Ltd |  | 32 | 48 | 0 | 80 | [40.0\%] | [60.0\%] |
| Glendermott Enterprises Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - |  |
| Glendun Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 57 |  |  |
| Glenhill Merchants Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - |  |
| Glenkrag Ltd | * | - | - | - | 78 |  |  |
| Glenmachan Tower House Private Nursing Home | * | - | - | - | 51 |  |  |
| Glenmona Resource Centre |  | 11 | 84 | 1 | 96 | [11.6\%] | [88.4\%] |
| Glens of Antrim Potatoes Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 40 | - |  |
| Glenview Private Nursing Home |  | 21 | 23 | 2 | 46 | [47.7\%] | [52.3\%] |
| Glenview Private Nursing/ Residential Home |  | 28 | 27 | 0 | 55 | [50.9\%] | [49.1\%] |
| Global Armour UK Ltd | * |  |  |  | 30 | - |  |
| Global Email Company, The |  | 160 | 163 | 184 | 507 | [49.5\%] | [50.5\%] |
| Glover Site Investigations Ltd |  | 56 | 17 | 1 | 74 | [76.7\%] | [23.3\%] |
| Goldblatt Mc Guigan |  | 31 | 31 | 4 | 66 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Golden Cow Dairies Ltd |  | 46 | 24 | 0 | 70 | [65.7\%] | [34.3\%] |
| Golden Crust Bakery | \# | - | - | - | 26 |  |  |
| Golden Glen Catering | * | - | - | - | 31 |  |  |
| Golf Holdings Ltd |  | 1,031 | 599 | 128 | 1,758 | [63.3\%] | [36.7\%] |
| Goodbody A \& L Solicitors (Northern Ireland) |  | 14 | 12 | 3 | 29 | [53.8\%] | [46.2\%] |
| Gordons Chemists |  | 131 | 91 | 8 | 230 | [59.0\%] | [41.0\%] |
| Gordons N \& R Ltd |  | 216 | 94 | 1 | 311 | [69.7\%] | [30.3\%] |
| Gormley Motors Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 |  |  |
| Gortacharn Private Nursing Home |  | 18 | 43 | 2 | 63 | [29.5\%] | [70.5\%] |
| GPS (GB) Ltd T/A The Gap |  | 30 | 16 | 6 | 52 | [65.2\%] | [34.8\%] |
| GPS Colour Graphics Ltd |  | 32 | 11 | 3 | 46 | [74.4\%] | [25.6\%] |
| Graan Abbey Private Nursing Home, The |  | 16 | 67 | 21 | 104 | [19.3\%] | [80.7\%] |
| Grafton Recruitment Ltd |  | 1,491 | 861 | 208 | 2,560 | [63.4\%] | [36.6\%] |
| Graham Engineering | * | - | - | - | 30 |  |  |
| Graham John (Dromore) Ltd |  | 396 | 328 | 114 | 838 | [54.7\%] | [45.3\%] |
| Graham SP Ltd |  | 12 | 108 | 0 | 120 | [10.0\%] | [90.0\%] |
| Grahams Ray Ltd |  | 14 | 10 | 3 | 27 | [58.3\%] | [41.7\%] |
| Grainger Building Services Ltd |  | 43 | 16 | 1 | 60 | [72.9\%] | [27.1\%] |
| Grand Opera House Trust |  | 45 | 72 | 22 | 139 | [38.5\%] | [61.5\%] |
| Grant Thornton UK LLP |  | 81 | 85 | 16 | 182 | [48.8\%] | [51.2\%] |
| Grant William \& Company Ltd |  | 18 | 40 | 0 | 58 | [31.0\%] | [69.0\%] |
| Grants Electrical Services (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 49 | 12 | 0 | 61 | [80.3\%] | [19.7\%] |
| Granville Food Care Ltd |  | 10 | 32 | 1 | 43 | [23.8\%] | [76.2\%] |
| Gray \& Adams (Ireland) Ltd |  | 36 | 12 | 1 | 49 | [75.0\%] | [25.0\%] |
| Gray Alison Recruitment |  | 31 | 31 | 4 | 66 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Greater Shankill Partnership | * | - | - | - | 58 | - |  |
| Green JT \& Sons Ltd T/A Greens Food Fare | * | - | - | - | 102 |  |  |
| Greene Liam Mr \& Mrs T/A Wheelers Fast Food | \# | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Greenpark Private Nursing Home Ltd |  | 15 | 88 | 0 | 103 | [14.6\%] | [85.4\%] |
| Gregg \& Patterson (Engineers) Ltd |  | 40 | 14 | 1 | 55 | [74.1\%] | [25.9\%] |
| Greiner Packaging Ltd |  | 148 | 31 | 7 | 186 | [82.7\%] | [17.3\%] |
| Ground Espresso Bars |  | 18 | 17 | 7 | 42 | [51.4\%] | [ $48.6 \%$ ] |
| Groundwork (Northern Ireland) |  | 10 | 11 | 7 | 28 | [47.6\%] | [52.4\%] |
| Group 4 Securicor |  | 13 | 24 | 0 | 37 | [35.1\%] | [64.9\%] |
| GSH Ireland |  | 34 | 17 | 2 | 53 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GT Exhausts (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 13 | 20 | 31 | 64 | [39.4\%] | [60.6\%] |
| Guardforce Ltd |  | 35 | 20 | 6 | 61 | [63.6\%] | [36.4\%] |
| H \& A Mechanical Services Ltd | \# |  | - | - | 94 | - | - |
| H \& T Mc Glone |  | 28 | 106 | 8 | 142 | [20.9\%] | [79.1\%] |
| Habinteg Housing Association (Ulster) Ltd |  | 37 | 38 | 7 | 82 | [49.3\%] | [50.7\%] |
| Hagan John P |  | 14 | 13 | 0 | 27 | [51.9\%] | [48.1\%] |
| Hair Traffic |  | 24 | 38 | 0 | 62 | [38.7\%] | [61.3\%] |
| Haldane Shiells Group |  | 233 | 125 | 2 | 360 | [65.1\%] | [34.9\%] |
| Halfords Ltd |  | 118 | 97 | 23 | 238 | [54.9\%] | [45.1\%] |
| Hamilton \& Kirk Ltd | \# |  | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Hamilton Alex M \& Company Ltd |  | 14 | 15 | 2 | 31 | [48.3\%] | [51.7\%] |
| Hamilton Architects |  | 12 | 20 | 5 | 37 | [37.5\%] | [62.5\%] |
| Hamilton James \& Company (Lurgan) Ltd | * |  | - | - | 72 |  |  |
| Hamilton Newsagency | * | - | - | - | 28 |  |  |
| Hamilton Private Nursing Home | * | - | - | - | 39 |  |  |
| Hamilton TJ \& Company Ltd |  | 15 | 12 | 1 | 28 | [55.6\%] | [44.4\%] |
| Hampton Conservatories Ltd | * | - | - | - | 40 | - |  |
| Handling \& Storage Equipment Company Ltd | * | - | - | - | 29 |  |  |
| Happy Days (1999) Ltd T/A Happy Days Day Nursery | * | - | - | - | 46 | - | - |
| Harbinson Mulholland |  | 20 | 19 | 1 | 40 | [51.3\%] | [48.7\%] |
| Harcourt Construction (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 28 | 32 | 3 | 63 | [46.7\%] | [53.3\%] |
| Harland \& Wolff Heavy Industries Ltd |  | 139 | 10 | 4 | 153 | [93.3\%] | [6.7\%] |
| Harpscreen International Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 30 |  |  |
| Harpurs Hill Children \& Family Centre Ltd | * | - | - | - | 29 |  |  |
| Harte \& Eakin (Contractors) (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 27 | 13 | 0 | 40 | [67.5\%] | [32.5\%] |
| Harvey Group PLC |  | 56 | 30 | 7 | 93 | [65.1\%] | [34.9\%] |
| Harvey Norman Trading Ltd |  | 46 | 17 | 9 | 72 | [73.0\%] | [27.0\%] |
| Harveys Human Resource Department |  | 22 | 14 | 4 | 40 | [61.1\%] | [38.9\%] |
| Hasson M \& Sons Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Hastings Hotel Group Ltd |  | 268 | 337 | 339 | 944 | [44.3\%] | [55.7\%] |
| Haulage Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 |  |  |
| Haven Private Nursing Home, The | \# | - | - | - | 30 |  |  |
| Hawthorne Restaurant |  | 11 | 14 | 1 | 26 | [44.0\%] | [56.0\%] |
| Hayburn Wood Products Ltd |  | 29 | 10 | 2 | 41 | [74.4\%] | [25.6\%] |
| Hayes Fuels |  | 36 | 31 | 6 | 73 | [53.7\%] | [46.3\%] |
| Hays Construction \& Property |  | 98 | 77 | 35 | 210 | [56.0\%] | [44.0\%] |
| Hays Specialist Recruitment Ltd |  | 140 | 130 | 45 | 315 | [51.9\%] | [48.1\%] |
| Hazelwood Integrated College |  | 33 | 29 | 4 | 66 | [53.2\%] | [46.8\%] |
| Hazelwood Integrated Primary School |  | 20 | 18 | 3 | 41 | [52.6\%] | [47.4\%] |
| HBOS PLC |  | 924 | 750 | 27 | 1,701 | [55.2\%] | [44.8\%] |
| HCL BPO Services (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 422 | 833 | 112 | 1,367 | [33.6\%] | [66.4\%] |
| HDN (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 74 | 30 | 8 | 112 | [71.2\%] | [28.8\%] |
| Healthy Buildings (Ireland) Ltd |  | 11 | 20 | 0 | 31 | [35.5\%] | [64.5\%] |
| Heartsine Technologies Ltd | * | - | - | - | 44 | - | - |
| Heat |  | 103 | 99 | 0 | 202 | [51.0\%] | [49.0\%] |
| Heathcotes Fine Foods Ltd |  | 51 | 121 | 27 | 199 | [29.7\%] | [70.3\%] |
| Heatherlea Bakery | * | - | - | - | 58 | - |  |
| Heatons (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 183 | 416 | 65 | 664 | [30.6\%] | [69.4\%] |
| Helm Housing |  | 63 | 61 | 13 | 137 | [50.8\%] | [49.2\%] |

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees


## Composition of Private Sector Concerns (26+ employees)

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hemel Ltd |  | 19 | 41 | 4 | 64 | [31.7\%] | [68.3\%] |
| Henderson John Holdings Ltd |  | 114 | 33 | 25 | 172 | [77.6\%] | [22.4\%] |
| Henderson Paul T/A Quality Care Services Ltd |  | 134 | 14 | 0 | 148 | [90.5\%] | [9.5\%] |
| Henderson Retail Ltd |  | 1,127 | 360 | 76 | 1,563 | [75.8\%] | [24.2\%] |
| Henderson Wholesale Ltd |  | 267 | 110 | 41 | 418 | [70.8\%] | [29.2\%] |
| Hennebry JJ \& Sons Ltd |  | 12 | 21 | 0 | 33 | [36.4\%] | [63.6\%] |
| Henry Bros (Magherafelt) Ltd |  | 184 | 22 | 3 | 209 | [89.3\%] | [10.7\%] |
| Herbel Restaurants Ltd |  | 195 | 148 | 301 | 644 | [56.9\%] | [43.1\%] |
| Heron Bros Ltd |  | 24 | 110 | 22 | 156 | [17.9\%] | [82.1\%] |
| Herron Trevor T/A Cookie Jar, The | * | - | - | - | 26 | - |  |
| Hewitt \& Gilpin Solicitors Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - |  |
| Hewitt Meats | */\# | - | - | - | 52 | - | - |
| Hewlett Packard Ltd |  | 22 | 25 | 34 | 81 | [46.8\%] | [53.2\%] |
| Heyn Group Ltd |  | 51 | 22 | 5 | 78 | [69.9\%] | [30.1\%] |
| Hill Engineering Ltd |  | 12 | 17 | 3 | 32 | [41.4\%] | [58.6\%] |
| Hill Vellacott |  | 21 | 15 | 0 | 36 | [58.3\%] | [41.7\%] |
| Hillcrest Centre Ltd T/A P \& G The Family Food Store | * | - | - | - | 114 | - | - |
| Hillen Bros T/A Ava Off Sales \& Bar | * | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Hillgrove Hotel Ltd T/A Magherabuoy House Hotel |  | 23 | 21 | 13 | 57 | [52.3\%] | [47.7\%] |
| Hillmount Nursery Centre | * | - | - | - | 40 | - |  |
| Hillside Nursery Centre | * | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Hillview Lodge Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Hilton Belfast |  | 61 | 92 | 33 | 186 | [39.9\%] | [60.1\%] |
| Hilton Group PLC T/A Hilton Templepatrick |  | 112 | 34 | 19 | 165 | [76.7\%] | [23.3\%] |
| Hilton Meat Products Ltd |  | 32 | 11 | 2 | 45 | [74.4\%] | [25.6\%] |
| Hilton Meats (Cookstown) Ltd |  | 10 | 49 | 1 | 60 | [16.9\%] | [83.1\%] |
| Hire Class Ltd |  | 70 | 42 | 12 | 124 | [62.5\%] | [37.5\%] |
| HML |  | 52 | 364 | 22 | 438 | [12.5\%] | [87.5\%] |
| Hockley Private Nursing Home |  | 97 | 17 | 0 | 114 | [85.1\%] | [14.9\%] |
| Hogg R \& Sons Ltd |  | 14 | 18 | 0 | 32 | [43.8\%] | [56.3\%] |
| Holiday Inn Belfast (Glandor Properties) |  | 28 | 39 | 27 | 94 | [41.8\%] | [58.2\%] |
| Hollygate Lodge Residential Home |  | 22 | 19 | 2 | 43 | [53.7\%] | [46.3\%] |
| Hollygate Nursing Home |  | 19 | 13 | 1 | 33 | [59.4\%] | [40.6\%] |
| Holy Trinity Centre | \# | - | - | - | 45 | - | - |
| Homebase Ltd |  | 226 | 182 | 16 | 424 | [55.4\%] | [44.6\%] |
| Homecare Independent Living |  | 176 | 303 | 147 | 626 | [36.7\%] | [63.3\%] |
| Homecare Services (Northern Ireland) Ltd T/A Domestic Care |  | 71 | 14 | 12 | 97 | [83.5\%] | [16.5\%] |
| House of Fraser |  | 103 | 121 | 16 | 240 | [46.0\%] | [54.0\%] |
| House of Vic-Ryn Ltd | * | - | - | - | 33 | - |  |
| Housing Rights Service |  | 11 | 21 | 4 | 36 | [34.4\%] | [65.6\%] |
| Houston Bros Ltd |  | 69 | 33 | 2 | 104 | [67.6\%] | [32.4\%] |
| Howden (UK) Ltd |  | 87 | 14 | 2 | 103 | [86.1\%] | [13.9\%] |
| Howell House Ltd |  | 22 | 11 | 2 | 35 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| HSBC Bank PLC |  | 59 | 38 | 15 | 112 | [60.8\%] | [39.2\%] |
| Huddleston John Engineering Ltd |  | 68 | 15 | 5 | 88 | [81.9\%] | [18.1\%] |
| Hughes \& Company |  | 168 | 41 | 2 | 211 | [80.4\%] | [19.6\%] |
| Hughes Christensen |  | 159 | 27 | 11 | 197 | [85.5\%] | [14.5\%] |
| Hughes Joseph Painting Contractor |  | 41 | 81 | 1 | 123 | [33.6\%] | [66.4\%] |
| Hughes K \& Company Ltd T/A Hughes Mushrooms | \# | - | - | - | 39 | - |  |

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

Company Name
P. R.C. N.D. TOTAL [\%P] [\%RC]

| Huhtamaki (Lurgan) Ltd |  | 95 | 84 | 25 | 204 | [53.1\%] | [46.9\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hunter Apparel Solutions Ltd |  | 16 | 25 | 1 | 42 | [39.0\%] | [61.0\%] |
| Hunter Brian Ltd |  | 27 | 27 | 2 | 56 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Hunter JD \& Company Ltd |  | 120 | 38 | 15 | 173 | [75.9\%] | [24.1\%] |
| Hunterhouse College | , | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Hutchison 3G UK Ltd |  | 37 | 28 | 6 | 71 | [56.9\%] | [43.1\%] |
| Hutton (M\&E) Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Hyndman D \& Son (Bakers) Ltd |  | 26 | 20 | 0 | 46 | [56.5\%] | [43.5\%] |
| Hynds Architectural Systems Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 |  | - |
| Hynds Bryan Services Ltd |  | 39 | 11 | 1 | 51 | [78.0\%] | [22.0\%] |
| IBM (UK) Ltd |  | 13 | 11 | 3 | 27 | [54.2\%] | [45.8\%] |
| ICB Emulsions Ltd |  | 15 | 20 | 0 | 35 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| Iceland Foods Ltd |  | 502 | 508 | 52 | 1,062 | [49.7\%] | [50.3\%] |
| Icemos Technology Ltd |  | 10 | 35 | 8 | 53 | [22.2\%] | [77.8\%] |
| Icon Restaurant \& Wine Bar |  | 10 | 16 | 2 | 28 | [38.5\%] | [61.5\%] |
| ICTS (UK) Ltd |  | 186 | 42 | 12 | 240 | [81.6\%] | [18.4\%] |
| IJK Timber Group Ltd | * | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| Ikea Belfast |  | 213 | 66 | 65 | 344 | [76.3\%] | [23.7\%] |
| Impact Training (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | - | - | - | 30 |  | - |
| Impression Print \& Design (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | - | - | - | 29 | - |  |
| Impro Printing | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Include Youth |  | 13 | 28 | 4 | 45 | [31.7\%] | [68.3\%] |
| Independent News \& Media (Northern Ireland) |  | 249 | 102 | 15 | 366 | [70.9\%] | [29.1\%] |
| Indicators International Ltd |  | 16 | 27 | 0 | 43 | [37.2\%] | [62.8\%] |
| In-doors Manufacturing Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 61 | - | - |
| Industrial Temps Ltd |  | 448 | 716 | 7 | 1,171 | [38.5\%] | [61.5\%] |
| Inislyn Ltd T/A Radisson Blu Hotel |  | 17 | 20 | 8 | 45 | [45.9\%] | [54.1\%] |
| Initial Textile Services |  | 32 | 37 | 0 | 69 | [46.4\%] | [53.6\%] |
| Inki Dinki Ltd T/A Denis Smyth Office Products \& Business Furniture | * | - | - | - | 26 |  | - |
| Inner City South Belfast Sure Start |  | 29 | 13 | 3 | 45 | [69.0\%] | [31.0\%] |
| Instore |  | 179 | 168 | 80 | 427 | [51.6\%] | [48.4\%] |
| Integrated College Dungannon |  | 20 | 21 | 0 | 41 | [48.8\%] | [51.2\%] |
| Intelesens Ltd |  | 15 | 10 | 1 | 26 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Interface Europe Ltd |  | 27 | 67 | 1 | 95 | [28.7\%] | [71.3\%] |
| Interfrigo Ltd |  | 10 | 11 | 18 | 39 | [47.6\%] | [52.4\%] |
| Internacionale Retail Ltd |  | 69 | 69 | 0 | 138 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Interserve (Facilities Management) Ltd |  | 13 | 55 | 5 | 73 | [19.1\%] | [80.9\%] |
| Intraining Group, The |  | 16 | 15 | 3 | 34 | [51.6\%] | [48.4\%] |
| Intune Networks Belfast Ltd |  | 23 | 19 | 4 | 46 | [54.8\%] | [45.2\%] |
| Invision Software Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Invista Textiles (UK) Ltd |  | 153 | 211 | 3 | 367 | [42.0\%] | [58.0\%] |
| Ireland Freight Services (UK) Ltd |  | 69 | 19 | 4 | 92 | [78.4\%] | [21.6\%] |
| Irish Autotrader Ltd |  | 40 | 23 | 1 | 64 | [63.5\%] | [36.5\%] |
| Irish Football Association, The |  | 70 | 33 | 0 | 103 | [68.0\%] | [32.0\%] |
| Irish Inns Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 49 | - | - |
| Irish News Ltd,the |  | 31 | 95 | 2 | 128 | [24.6\%] | [75.4\%] |
| Irish Rugby Football Union (Ulster Branch) | * | - | - | - | 53 | - |  |
| Irish Salt Mining \& Exploration Company Ltd |  | 36 | 14 | 2 | 52 | [72.0\%] | [28.0\%] |
| Irish Waste Services Ltd |  | 17 | 27 | 5 | 49 | [38.6\%] | [61.4\%] |

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

| Irwin AN \& Sons | * | - | - | - | 27 | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Irwin Electrical Services Limited | * | - | - | - | 53 | - | - |
| Irwin RA \& Company Ltd |  | 68 | 11 | 2 | 81 | [86.1\%] | [13.9\%] |
| Irwin WD \& Sons |  | 245 | 133 | 19 | 397 | [64.8\%] | [35.2\%] |
| Island Cleaning Services Ltd |  | 105 | 86 | 0 | 191 | [55.0\%] | [45.0\%] |
| Islandbawn Stores Ltd | * | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| ISS Facility Services |  | 37 | 15 | 0 | 52 | [71.2\%] | [28.8\%] |
| ISS Mediclean Ltd |  | 69 | 119 | 23 | 211 | [36.7\%] | [63.3\%] |
| Ivanhoe Inn \& Hotel |  | 24 | 22 | 1 | 47 | [52.2\%] | [47.8\%] |
| $J$ \& K Campbell |  | 34 | 17 | 6 | 57 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| J \& W Mc Call Supplies (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 29 | 19 | 1 | 49 | [60.4\%] | [39.6\%] |
| J Caulfield \& Company T/A Caulfield Insurance Brokers |  | 11 | 22 | 0 | 33 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |
| JA Mc Clelland \& Sons (Auctioneers) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 52 | - | - |
| Jacobs Engineering (UK) Ltd |  | 17 | 21 | 3 | 41 | [44.7\%] | [55.3\%] |
| Jacques Vert PLC |  | 20 | 11 | 0 | 31 | [64.5\%] | [35.5\%] |
| James F Mc Cue Ltd T/A Mc Cue Interior Fit Out Solutions |  | 71 | 16 | 2 | 89 | [81.6\%] | [18.4\%] |
| Jameson David Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 58 | - | - |
| Jark Healthcare |  | 69 | 99 | 11 | 179 | [41.1\%] | [58.9\%] |
| JB Enterprises (Derry) Ltd |  | 30 | 22 | 2 | 54 | [57.7\%] | [42.3\%] |
| JBE Building Services Ltd |  | 69 | 42 | 0 | 111 | [62.2\%] | [37.8\%] |
| JC Campbell (Northern Ireland) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| JCP Consulting Ltd |  | 30 | 10 | 0 | 40 | [75.0\%] | [25.0\%] |
| JD Mc Geown Ltd |  | 19 | 15 | 0 | 34 | [55.9\%] | [44.1\%] |
| JD Sports Fashion PLC |  | 120 | 124 | 0 | 244 | [49.2\%] | [50.8\%] |
| JE Coulter Ltd |  | 39 | 11 | 12 | 62 | [78.0\%] | [22.0\%] |
| Jeffers Home Bakery |  | 47 | 12 | 7 | 66 | [79.7\%] | [20.3\%] |
| Jefferson C \& H |  | 48 | 13 | 6 | 67 | [78.7\%] | [21.3\%] |
| Jenkins Shipping Company Ltd |  | 13 | 20 | 2 | 35 | [39.4\%] | [60.6\%] |
| Jesroe (Services) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - |  |
| Jet2.com Ltd |  | 33 | 10 | 14 | 57 | [76.7\%] | [23.3\%] |
| JF Mc Kenna Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 51 | - | - |
| JH Industrial Cleaning Services Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 65 | - | - |
| JHC Hardware Ltd |  | 34 | 20 | 0 | 54 | [63.0\%] | [37.0\%] |
| JJB Sports PLC |  | 261 | 245 | 6 | 512 | [51.6\%] | [48.4\%] |
| JKC Specialist Cars Ltd |  | 40 | 18 | 0 | 58 | [69.0\%] | [31.0\%] |
| JMC Mechanical \& Construction Ltd |  | 10 | 22 | 0 | 32 | [31.3\%] | [68.8\%] |
| JMC Restaurants Ltd T/A McDonald's Sprucefield |  | 42 | 32 | 8 | 82 | [56.8\%] | [43.2\%] |
| JMF Metal Fabrications Ltd |  | 54 | 28 | 3 | 85 | [65.9\%] | [34.1\%] |
| JMG Systems Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 68 | - | - |
| JMT Direct |  | 11 | 32 | 1 | 44 | [25.6\%] | [74.4\%] |
| JNK Components Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Job Directions Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| John Mc Loughlin \& Son (Shipping) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| John McErlean Ltd |  | 35 | 10 | 0 | 45 | [77.8\%] | [22.2\%] |
| Johnson Bros (Belfast) Ltd |  | 66 | 20 | 4 | 90 | [76.7\%] | [23.3\%] |
| Johnsons Solicitors |  | 26 | 15 | 0 | 41 | [63.4\%] | [36.6\%] |
| Johnston Campbell Ltd | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Johnston Cyril \& Company Ltd | * | - | - | - | 50 | - | - |
| Johnston Gilpin \& Company Ltd | * | - | - | - | 35 | - |  |

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Johnston Kennedy DFK Ltd | * | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Johnston Mr AF \& Mrs RJ T/A Pinkertons | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Johnston's Bakery Ltd |  | 23 | 12 | 0 | 35 | [65.7\%] | [34.3\%] |
| Jolly Tots Childcare Complex | * | - | - | - | 36 |  | - |
| Jollye Leonard F (BP) Ltd T/A Jollye's Petfood Superstores |  | 44 | 30 | 8 | 82 | [59.5\%] | [40.5\%] |
| Jollytots Northern Ireland Ltd | * | - | - | - | 32 |  | - |
| Jonathan Mc Keown Solicitors |  | 10 | 37 | 0 | 47 | [21.3\%] | [78.7\%] |
| Jones Frederick (Belfast) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 41 |  | - |
| Jones Peters |  | 19 | 13 | 0 | 32 | [59.4\%] | [40.6\%] |
| Jordan Concrete Ltd | * | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| Jordan Plastics Ltd | * |  | - | - | 29 |  | - |
| Joyland Amusement Centres Ltd T/A Oasis Retail Services Ltd |  | 43 | 31 | 25 | 99 | [58.1\%] | [41.9\%] |
| JP Corry (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 157 | 96 | 9 | 262 | [62.1\%] | [37.9\%] |
| JPM Trailers Ltd | */\# | - | - | - | 27 |  | - |
| JSD Recruitment Services Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 141 |  |  |
| Jurys Inn | \# | - | - | - | 77 |  |  |
| Kainos Software Ltd |  | 97 | 80 | 12 | 189 | [54.8\%] | [45.2\%] |
| Kane Helen Nursing Services | * | - | - | - | 55 |  |  |
| Kane JW Precision Engineering Ltd |  | 30 | 11 | 4 | 45 | [73.2\%] | [26.8\%] |
| Karine \& Co | * | - | - | - | 29 |  | - |
| Karkraft (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 13 | 18 | 5 | 36 | [41.9\%] | [58.1\%] |
| Karuna Home, The | * | - | - | - | 31 |  | - |
| Kavanagh Retailing |  | 10 | 77 | 0 | 87 | [11.5\%] | [88.5\%] |
| KBB Doors Ltd |  | 11 | 29 | 15 | 55 | [27.5\%] | [72.5\%] |
| KCC Door Hardware \& Security Solutions Ltd | * | - | - | - | 38 |  | - |
| KDM Hire Ltd |  | 43 | 34 | 1 | 78 | [55.8\%] | [44.2\%] |
| Kedington (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 20 | 30 | 0 | 50 | [40.0\%] | [60.0\%] |
| Keenan Patrick | \# | - | - | - | 69 |  |  |
| Kelda Water Service (Alpha) Ltd Western House |  | 11 | 13 | 2 | 26 | [45.8\%] | [54.2\%] |
| Kells SD Ltd |  | 106 | 61 | 0 | 167 | [63.5\%] | [36.5\%] |
| Kelly Bros | \# | - | - | - | 45 |  | - |
| Kelly Flowers Wholesale |  | 12 | 14 | 1 | 27 | [46.2\%] | [53.8\%] |
| Kelly John Fuels (Ireland) T/A Kelly Fuels |  | 70 | 28 | 2 | 100 | [71.4\%] | [28.6\%] |
| Kelly Mc Evoy \& Brown | \# | - | - | - | 30 |  | - |
| Kelly Patricia T/A Kelly's Inn | \# | - | - | - | 30 |  | - |
| Kelman Ltd |  | 46 | 36 | 3 | 85 | [56.1\%] | [43.9\%] |
| Kennedy \& Morrison Ltd | * | - | - | - | 43 |  | - |
| Kennedy Business Systems | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Kennedy Fitzgerald Architects LLP |  | 20 | 10 | 3 | 33 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Kennedy J \& Company (Contractors) Ltd |  | 37 | 10 | 1 | 48 | [78.7\%] | [21.3\%] |
| Kennedy R \& Company (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 36 |  |  |
| Kennedy Recruitment Ltd |  | 34 | 39 | 30 | 103 | [46.6\%] | [53.4\%] |
| Kernoghan T \& A (Group) Ltd |  | 30 | 25 | 5 | 60 | [54.5\%] | [45.5\%] |
| Kernohan lan A (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 32 | - |  |
| Kerr Henderson (Consultants \& Actuaries) Ltd |  | 13 | 17 | 0 | 30 | [43.3\%] | [56.7\%] |
| Kerr Henderson (Financial Services) Ltd |  | 33 | 14 | 0 | 47 | [70.2\%] | [29.8\%] |
| Kerr RJ (Ballymena) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 |  |  |
| Kerr William \& Company (Insurance Consultants) Ltd |  | 34 | 13 | 0 | 47 | [72.3\%] | [27.7\%] |
| Kestrel Foods Ltd |  | 18 | 36 | 9 | 63 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |

[^81]
## Composition of Private Sector Concerns (26+ employees)

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kettyle Irish Foods | \# | - | - | - | 33 |  |  |
| Keylite Roof Windows Ltd |  | 22 | 20 | 0 | 42 | [52.4\%] | [47.6\%] |
| Keys Robert \& Company Ltd |  | 22 | 32 | 0 | 54 | [40.7\%] | [59.3\%] |
| Keystone Lintels Ltd |  | 53 | 58 | 1 | 112 | [47.7\%] | [52.3\%] |
| Kiddiwinkles Daycare |  | 19 | 24 | 0 | 43 | [44.2\%] | [55.8\%] |
| Kieran Mc Ginn | \# | - | - | - | 28 |  | - |
| Killeen Hardware Inc Hillocks | * | - | - | - | 39 |  | - |
| Killyhevlin Hotel Ltd |  | 54 | 80 | 8 | 142 | [40.3\%] | [59.7\%] |
| Kilmorey Arms Hotel (Kilkeel) Ltd |  | 24 | 10 | 0 | 34 | [70.6\%] | [29.4\%] |
| Kilwaughter Chemical Company Ltd |  | 53 | 10 | 7 | 70 | [84.1\%] | [15.9\%] |
| Kingsberry James Ltd T/A Kingsberry Fuels |  | 39 | 21 | 0 | 60 | [65.0\%] | [35.0\%] |
| Kingsfield Enterprises Mrs Magowan \& Mrs Gilmore | * | - | - | - | 34 |  |  |
| Kingspan Environmental Ltd |  | 109 | 83 | 14 | 206 | [56.8\%] | [43.2\%] |
| Kingspan Renewables |  | 64 | 46 | 12 | 122 | [58.2\%] | [41.8\%] |
| Kirk Pat Ltd |  | 13 | 29 | 1 | 43 | [31.0\%] | [69.0\%] |
| Kitchen Bakes Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 |  | - |
| Kiverco Ltd |  | 10 | 29 | 2 | 41 | [25.6\% | [74.4\%] |
| KMC Engineering Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 67 |  |  |
| KMC Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 36 |  | - |
| Knockmoyle Lodge Private Nursing Home |  | 12 | 23 | 0 | 35 | [34.3\%] | [65.7\%] |
| Knotts of Newtownards |  | - | - | - | 41 |  | - |
| Knox James \& Sons Ltd | * | - | - | - | 42 |  |  |
| Kone (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 36 | 13 | 9 | 58 | [73.5\%] | [26.5\%] |
| KPL Contracts Ltd |  | 33 | 113 | 0 | 146 | [22.6\%] | [77.4\%] |
| KPMG |  | 73 | 86 | 2 | 161 | [45.9\%] | [54.1\%] |
| Kuehne \& Nagel Ltd |  | 59 | 12 | 4 | 75 | [83.1\%] | [16.9\%] |
| Kurkova Ltd |  | 67 | 175 | 53 | 295 | [27.7\%] | [72.3\%] |
| Kwik-fit (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 33 | 23 | 0 | 56 | [58.9\%] | [41.1\%] |
| LA Drinks Company Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 26 |  |  |
| LA Fitness |  | 38 | 25 | 28 | 91 | [60.3\%] | [39.7\%] |
| Label One Ltd | * | - | - | - | 33 |  |  |
| Ladbroke (Northern Ireland) Ltd (Northwest Bookmakers) |  | 113 | 316 | 25 | 454 | [26.3\%] | [73.7\%] |
| Ladbury Enterprises Ltd |  | 97 | 88 | 48 | 233 | [52.4\%] | [47.6\%] |
| Ladyhill Holdings Ltd T/A Prospect Private Nursing Home |  | 29 | 28 | 10 | 67 | [50.9\%] | [49.1\%] |
| Lafarge Cement Ireland |  | 67 | 11 | 1 | 79 | [85.9\%] | [14.1\%] |
| Lagan College |  | 31 | 10 | 0 | 41 | [75.6\%] | [24.4\%] |
| Lagan Construction Ltd |  | 90 | 129 | 9 | 228 | [41.1\%] | [58.9\%] |
| Lagan Homes Ltd |  | 11 | 23 | 0 | 34 | [32.4\%] | [67.6\%] |
| Lagan Technologies Ltd |  | 44 | 34 | 10 | 88 | [56.4\%] | [43.6\%] |
| Lakeland |  | 17 | 12 | 5 | 34 | [58.6\%] | [41.4\%] |
| Lakeland Community Care Ltd |  | 50 | 86 | 0 | 136 | [36.8\%] | [63.2\%] |
| Lamont Samuel \& Sons Ltd |  | 18 | 15 | 2 | 35 | [54.5\%] | [45.5\%] |
| Landscaping Centre Ltd |  | 99 | 16 | 7 | 122 | [86.1\%] | [13.9\%] |
| Langford Lodge Engineering Company Ltd |  | 148 | 95 | 17 | 260 | [60.9\%] | [39.1\%] |
| Larne Grammar School | * | - | - | - | 47 | - | - |
| Larne Harbour Ltd | * | - | - | - | 33 |  | - |
| Laser Electrical Ltd |  | 76 | 53 | 1 | 130 | [58.9\%] | [41.1\%] |
| Last Sure Start Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 31 |  | - |
| Latens Systems Ltd |  | 31 | 16 | 5 | 52 | [66.0\%] | [34.0\%] |


| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lavery Ltd |  | 63 | 70 | 0 | 133 | [47.4\%] | [52.6\%] |
| Lavery Transport Ltd |  | 19 | 17 | 15 | 51 | [52.8\%] | [47.2\%] |
| Law Centre (Northern Ireland) |  | 13 | 29 | 8 | 50 | [31.0\%] | [69.0\%] |
| Law Society of Northern Ireland, The |  | 10 | 17 | 0 | 27 | [37.0\%] | [63.0\%] |
| LBM |  | 257 | 379 | 44 | 680 | [40.4\%] | [59.6\%] |
| LCDI Ltd Initiative |  | 31 | 24 | 0 | 55 | [56.4\%] | [43.6\%] |
| Leabank Private Nursing Home |  | 14 | 40 | 12 | 66 | [25.9\%] | [74.1\%] |
| Learning Pool Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Leckey James Design Ltd |  | 32 | 49 | 3 | 84 | [39.5\%] | [60.5\%] |
| Leckey Trevor D T/A Stoneyford Building Supplies | * | - | - | - | 48 | - | - |
| Leckpatrick Foods Ltd |  | 47 | 45 | 2 | 94 | [51.1\%] | [48.9\%] |
| Leeway Maintain |  | 32 | 15 | 0 | 47 | [68.1\%] | [31.9\%] |
| Leisureworld Toys \& Hobbies Ltd T/A Craftworld | * |  | - | - | 27 | - |  |
| Leonard Cheshire Disability |  | 51 | 80 | 4 | 135 | [38.9\%] | [61.1\%] |
| Liam Bradley Ltd |  | 12 | 64 | 0 | 76 | [15.8\%] | [84.2\%] |
| Liberty Information Technology Ltd |  | 136 | 117 | 29 | 282 | [53.8\%] | [46.2\%] |
| Lidl (Northern Ireland) GMBH |  | 138 | 194 | 93 | 425 | [41.6\%] | [58.4\%] |
| Lifestyle Sports \& Leisure Ltd |  | 30 | 14 | 1 | 45 | [68.2\%] | [31.8\%] |
| Lilley's Life Settlement T/A Lilley's Centra \& Texaco Service Station | \# |  | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Lilliput (Dunmurry) Ltd |  | 54 | 107 | 23 | 184 | [33.5\%] | [66.5\%] |
| Limavady Building Suppliers Ltd |  | 12 | 14 | 1 | 27 | [46.2\%] | [53.8\%] |
| Limavady Printing Company Ltd |  | 38 | 28 | 1 | 67 | [57.6\%] | [42.4\%] |
| Limestone Youth Training Project T/A Academy Hair \& Beauty Training School |  | 14 | 20 | 3 | 37 | [41.2\%] | [58.8\%] |
| Linden Foods Ltd |  | 103 | 359 | 13 | 475 | [22.3\%] | [77.7\%] |
| Lindsay Cars Ltd |  | 310 | 88 | 6 | 404 | [77.9\%] | [22.1\%] |
| Linton \& Robinson Ltd |  | 26 | 18 | 0 | 44 | [59.1\%] | [40.9\%] |
| Lisadian House Private Nursing Home |  | 43 | 15 | 5 | 63 | [74.1\%] | [25.9\%] |
| Lisburn Glass Group Ltd | * | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Lisnasure Interiors | * | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Lisney LLP |  | 13 | 14 | 1 | 28 | [48.1\%] | [51.9\%] |
| Lissan Coal Company Ltd |  | 27 | 40 | 0 | 67 | [40.3\%] | [59.7\%] |
| Little Alan Ltd | * | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| Little Rays |  | 68 | 11 | 0 | 79 | [86.1\%] | [13.9\%] |
| Little Wing Pizzeria | * | - | - | - | 51 | - | - |
| Litton Group Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Lloyd David Leisure Ltd | * | - | - | - | 78 | - | - |
| Lloyds Pharmacy |  | 38 | 63 | 16 | 117 | [37.6\%] | [62.4\%] |
| Lloyds TSB Asset Finance Division Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| LMI Foods Ltd |  | 35 | 22 | 0 | 57 | [61.4\%] | [38.6\%] |
| Loane Transport Ltd |  | 14 | 12 | 0 | 26 | [53.8\%] | [46.2\%] |
| Lockton International Ltd |  | 31 | 10 | 2 | 43 | [75.6\%] | [24.4\%] |
| Lodge Hotel, The |  | 72 | 25 | 2 | 99 | [74.2\%] | [25.8\%] |
| Logan's Executive Travel |  | 11 | 19 | 1 | 31 | [36.7\%] | [63.3\%] |
| Logue Julie T/A Julie's Kitchen |  | 20 | 24 | 0 | 44 | [45.5\%] | [54.5\%] |
| Lomac Tiles Ltd |  | 36 | 48 | 1 | 85 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| Lombard \& Ulster Ltd |  | 26 | 10 | 0 | 36 | [72.2\%] | [27.8\%] |
| Londonderry Arms Hotel, The | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - |  |
| Long's Supermarket Ltd |  | 130 | 128 | 1 | 259 | [50.4\%] | [49.6\%] |

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees


## Composition of Private Sector Concerns (26+ employees)

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loreto College |  | 16 | 20 | 0 | 36 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\%] |
| Loreto Grammar School | \# | - | - | - | 53 | - | - |
| Louerne Construction Ltd |  | 16 | 19 | 0 | 35 | [45.7\%] | [54.3\%] |
| Lough Erne Golf Resort |  | 41 | 105 | 23 | 169 | [28.1\%] | [71.9\%] |
| Lough Neagh Private Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Loughran Rock Industries |  | 10 | 18 | 7 | 35 | [35.7\%] | [64.3\%] |
| Loughview Homes Ltd |  | 19 | 20 | 7 | 46 | [48.7\%] | [51.3\%] |
| Lowry Bros Ltd | * | - | - | - | 37 | - |  |
| Lowry FK Piling Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - |  |
| LSRS Ltd T/A Old Moat Inn, The | * | - | - | - | 35 | - |  |
| Lumen Christi College | \# | - | - | - | 51 | - | - |
| Lunn John H (Jewellers) Ltd |  | 61 | 28 | 4 | 93 | [68.5\%] | [31.5\%] |
| Lurgan Conference of St Vincent De Paul (Sponsors) | \# | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Lynas Foodservice Ltd |  | 156 | 35 | 6 | 197 | [81.7\%] | [18.3\%] |
| Lynas RFA Dr \& Mrs T/A Victoria Private Nursing Home |  | 16 | 20 | 0 | 36 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\%] |
| Lynn Maureen Recruitment Ltd |  | 113 | 138 | 68 | 319 | [45.0\%] | [55.0\%] |
| Lynn's Country Foods Ltd T/A Finnebrogue Venison Co |  | 16 | 20 | 28 | 64 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\%] |
| M \& S Supermarkets Ltd T/A Centra Supermarket |  | 13 | 67 | 1 | 81 | [16.3\%] | [83.8\%] |
| M Care Ltd |  | 156 | 35 | 25 | 216 | [81.7\%] | [18.3\%] |
| M/B Truck \& Van (Northern Ireland) Ltd T/A Rent-a-Merc |  | 17 | 11 | 2 | 30 | [60.7\%] | [39.3\%] |
| Mac Clean |  | 81 | 57 | 0 | 138 | [58.7\%] | [41.3\%] |
| Mac Mahon JJ (Building Contractor) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 26 | - |  |
| Mac Nabb Bros (Waste Disposal) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - |  |
| Mac Naughton Blair Ltd |  | 229 | 129 | 32 | 390 | [64.0\%] | [36.0\%] |
| Mackey Eyecare |  | 33 | 21 | 0 | 54 | [61.1\%] | [38.9\%] |
| Mackle John (Moy) Ltd |  | 11 | 39 | 4 | 54 | [22.0\%] | [78.0\%] |
| Macrete Ireland Ltd |  | 42 | 68 | 7 | 117 | [38.2\%] | [61.8\%] |
| Madden \& Finucane | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - |  |
| Maghera Joinery Works Ltd |  | 14 | 38 | 22 | 74 | [26.9\%] | [73.1\%] |
| Magir Ltd T/A Medicare Pharmacy Group |  | 342 | 304 | 25 | 671 | [52.9\%] | [47.1\%] |
| Magowan Tyres (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 54 | 21 | 2 | 77 | [72.0\%] | [28.0\%] |
| Mail Matters Direct Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Maine Soft Drinks Ltd |  | 66 | 27 | 3 | 96 | [71.0\%] | [29.0\%] |
| Makro Self Service Wholesalers |  | 36 | 150 | 12 | 198 | [19.4\%] | [80.6\%] |
| Mallaghan Engineering Ltd |  | 21 | 62 | 9 | 92 | [25.3\%] | [74.7\%] |
| Malmaison Hotels (Belfast) |  | 15 | 41 | 8 | 64 | [26.8\%] | [73.2\%] |
| Malone College |  | 17 | 36 | 3 | 56 | [32.1\%] | [67.9\%] |
| Malone Golf Club | * | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| Malone Lodge Hotel, The |  | 12 | 31 | 14 | 57 | [27.9\%] | [72.1\%] |
| Mango Direct Marketing |  | 35 | 12 | 0 | 47 | [74.5\%] | [25.5\%] |
| Manor Healthcare Ltd |  | 92 | 54 | 6 | 152 | [63.0\%] | [37.0\%] |
| Manor House Resort Hotel Ltd |  | 52 | 53 | 15 | 120 | [49.5\%] | [50.5\%] |
| Manpower (UK) Ltd |  | 55 | 116 | 9 | 180 | [32.2\%] | [67.8\%] |
| Marie Curie Cancer Care |  | 184 | 98 | 7 | 289 | [65.2\%] | [34.8\%] |
| Marine Court Hotel, The |  | 42 | 21 | 4 | 67 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Marks \& Spencer PLC |  | 1,345 | 1,027 | 134 | 2,506 | [56.7\%] | [43.3\%] |
| Marlborough Engineering Ltd | * | - | - | - | 38 | - |  |
| Marlin Retail Ltd |  | 55 | 13 | 7 | 75 | [80.9\%] | [19.1\%] |
| Marsh Ltd | * | - | - | - | 36 | - |  |

[^82]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Martin \& Hamilton Ltd |  | 31 | 14 | 19 | 64 | [68.9\%] | [31.1\%] |
| Martin H \& J Ltd |  | 131 | 57 | 3 | 191 | [69.7\%] | [30.3\%] |
| Martin Phillips Carpets Ltd | * | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Martin Residential Trust, The |  | 31 | 13 | 3 | 47 | [70.5\%] | [29.5\%] |
| Mar-train Heavy Haulage Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Mascott Construction Ltd |  | 25 | 60 | 2 | 87 | [29.4\%] | [70.6\%] |
| Mash Direct Ltd |  | 14 | 55 | 1 | 70 | [20.3\%] | [79.7\%] |
| Mastercraft Construction Associates Ltd |  | 17 | 52 | 0 | 69 | [24.6\%] | [75.4\%] |
| Matalan Retail Ltd |  | 130 | 199 | 1 | 330 | [39.5\%] | [60.5\%] |
| Maxol Direct (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 50 | 35 | 1 | 86 | [58.8\%] | [41.2\%] |
| Maxwell T \& Sons Ltd T/A Maxwell Freight Services | * | - | - | - | 51 | - | - |
| May Edwin Ltd | * | - | - | - | 54 |  | - |
| Maydown Precision Engineering Ltd |  | 20 | 87 | 0 | 107 | [18.7\%] | [81.3\%] |
| MB Freight Forwarding Ltd |  | 57 | 27 | 3 | 87 | [67.9\%] | [32.1\%] |
| MB Mc Grady \& Co |  | 12 | 17 | 0 | 29 | [41.4\%] | [58.6\%] |
| Mc Adam Design Ltd |  | 50 | 18 | 10 | 78 | [73.5\%] | [26.5\%] |
| Mc Aleer \& Rushe Ltd |  | 13 | 51 | 0 | 64 | [20.3\%] | [79.7\%] |
| Mc Aleer \& Teague (Building Contractors) | \# | - | - | - | 35 | - |  |
| Mc Aleer M | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Mc Alister Bros Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 68 | - | - |
| Mc Anerney Bros Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 128 |  | - |
| Mc Atamney's Butchers | \# | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Mc Auley SJ Engineering Ltd |  | 51 | 10 | 8 | 69 | [83.6\%] | [16.4\%] |
| Mc Avoy Construction LLP |  | 12 | 21 | 2 | 35 | [36.4\%] | [63.6\%] |
| Mc Avoy Group Ltd, The |  | 30 | 86 | 0 | 116 | [25.9\%] | [74.1\%] |
| Mc Burney Transport |  | 115 | 29 | 2 | 146 | [79.9\%] | [20.1\%] |
| Mc Cabe Deirdre |  | 14 | 11 | 1 | 26 | [56.0\%] | [44.0\%] |
| Mc Caig Collim | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Mc Call Robert W \& Sons | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Mc Callan Bros Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Mc Cambridge Duffy LLP | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Mc Cann Bros Ltd |  | 21 | 27 | 4 | 52 | [43.8\%] | [56.3\%] |
| Mc Cann Patsy \& Sons |  | 20 | 26 | 0 | 46 | [43.5\%] | [56.5\%] |
| Mc Cartan Turkington Breen |  | 25 | 21 | 2 | 48 | [54.3\%] | [45.7\%] |
| Mc Caughan Frank A | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Mc Cauleys Trailers Ltd |  | 11 | 23 | 1 | 35 | [32.4\%] | [67.6\%] |
| Mc Causland Airport Garage Ltd |  | 22 | 12 | 5 | 39 | [64.7\%] | [35.3\%] |
| Mc Closkey \& O'Kane Building Company Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Mc Colgans Quality Foods Ltd |  | 23 | 52 | 71 | 146 | [30.7\%] | [69.3\%] |
| Mc Combe Bros (Antrim) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| Mc Connell Chartered Surveyors | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Mc Cormick Mac Naughton (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 41 | 13 | 0 | 54 | [75.9\%] | [24.1\%] |
| Mc Crory Scaffolding (Northern Ireland) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 64 | - | - |
| Mc Culla (Ireland) Ltd |  | 81 | 47 | 0 | 128 | [63.3\%] | [36.7\%] |
| Mc Daid Mc Cullough Moore | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Mc Donalds Restaurants Ltd |  | 20 | 86 | 3 | 109 | [18.9\%] | [81.1\%] |
| Mc Donnell James \& Sons Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Mc Dowell \& Service Dental Laboratory Ltd | * | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Mc Elderry John (Motors \& Tractors) Ltd | * | - |  | - | 32 | - |  |

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mc Elwaine Group |  | 69 | 28 | 0 | 97 | [71.1\%] | [28.9\%] |
| Mc Erlains Bakery (Magherafelt) Ltd |  | 37 | 74 | 10 | 121 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |
| Mc Fadden Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Mc Gimpsey Brothers (Removals) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Mc Grane Nurseries Ltd | * | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| Mc Grath Engineering Ltd |  | 65 | 43 | 18 | 126 | [60.2\%] | [39.8\%] |
| Mc Grigors LLP |  | 57 | 25 | 2 | 84 | [69.5\%] | [30.5\%] |
| Mc Gurk \& Moore Electrical \& Plumbing Contractors | \# | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Mc Kay (Newtownards) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 55 | - | - |
| Mc Kee FB \& Company Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Mc Kee John \& Son |  | 21 | 19 | 0 | 40 | [52.5\%] | [47.5\%] |
| Mc Kee's |  | 33 | 25 | 0 | 58 | [56.9\%] | [43.1\%] |
| Mc Kendry Fabrications Ltd |  | 26 | 21 | 0 | 47 | [55.3\%] | [44.7\%] |
| Mc Keown Cleaning Services Ltd |  | 98 | 47 | 13 | 158 | [67.6\%] | [32.4\%] |
| Mc Killens (Ballymena) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 66 | - | - |
| Mc Kinty \& Wright |  | 23 | 17 | 0 | 40 | [57.5\%] | [42.5\%] |
| Mc Laughlin \& Harvey Ltd |  | 172 | 116 | 16 | 304 | [59.7\%] | [40.3\%] |
| Mc Laughlin Thomas Ltd |  | 26 | 81 | 0 | 107 | [24.3\%] | [75.7\%] |
| Mc Lean, Alfie |  | 167 | 85 | 1 | 253 | [66.3\%] | [33.7\%] |
| Mc Mullen Architectural Systems Ltd |  | 85 | 86 | 7 | 178 | [49.7\%] | [50.3\%] |
| Mc Neill Rigby Travel Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Mc Nicholas Construction |  | 76 | 158 | 1 | 235 | [32.5\%] | [67.5\%] |
| Mc Ninch J W \& Son | * | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Mc Ormond Ltd | * | - | - | - | 48 | - | - |
| Mc Parland Properties (Ireland) Ltd T/A Canal Court Hotel |  | 35 | 178 | 24 | 237 | [16.4\%] | [83.6\%] |
| Mc Quillan John (Contracts) Ltd |  | 22 | 55 | 1 | 78 | [28.6\%] | [71.4\%] |
| McGinnis Developments Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 32 | - |  |
| MCL Insurance Services Ltd |  | 27 | 15 | 2 | 44 | [64.3\%] | [35.7\%] |
| MD Healthcare Ltd |  | 32 | 80 | 7 | 119 | [28.6\%] | [71.4\%] |
| MDF Engineering Ltd |  | 25 | 34 | 3 | 62 | [42.4\%] | [57.6\%] |
| Mears Care |  | 81 | 164 | 19 | 264 | [33.1\%] | [66.9\%] |
| Mechanical Installation \& Maintenance (Northern Ireland) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - |  |
| Menarys Retail Ltd |  | 282 | 120 | 41 | 443 | [70.1\%] | [29.9\%] |
| Menzies Aviation UK \& Ireland Ground Handling |  | 92 | 34 | 0 | 126 | [73.0\%] | [27.0\%] |
| Mercedes-Benz Truck \& Van (Northern Ireland) |  | 65 | 26 | 3 | 94 | [71.4\%] | [28.6\%] |
| Mercer Ltd |  | 37 | 26 | 18 | 81 | [58.7\%] | [41.3\%] |
| Merchant Hotels Ltd, The |  | 110 | 131 | 66 | 307 | [45.6\%] | [54.4\%] |
| Mercury Security Management |  | 65 | 61 | 0 | 126 | [51.6\%] | [48.4\%] |
| Mercy Care Ltd |  | 29 | 200 | 7 | 236 | [12.7\%] | [87.3\%] |
| Meridio Ltd |  | 29 | 19 | 3 | 51 | [60.4\%] | [39.6\%] |
| Messanna Investments Ltd T/A King's Castle Private Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 44 | - | - |
| Met Steel Ltd | * | - | - | - | 39 | - |  |
| Metal Technology Ltd |  | 36 | 10 | 0 | 46 | [78.3\%] | [21.7\%] |
| Metalcraft Engineering Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - |  |
| Methodist City Mission |  | 10 | 25 | 1 | 36 | [28.6\%] | [71.4\%] |
| Methodist College |  | 77 | 21 | 10 | 108 | [78.6\%] | [21.4\%] |
| Metso Minerals Cappagh Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 36 | - |  |
| Mformation Technologies (DMS) Ltd |  | 15 | 13 | 11 | 39 | [53.6\%] | [46.4\%] |
| MGT Engineering | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - |  |

[^83]
## Composition of Private Sector Concerns (26+ employees)

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michelin Tyre PLC |  | 700 | 223 | 34 | 957 | [75.8\%] | [24.2\%] |
| Micro Focus | \# |  | - | - | 74 | - | - |
| Mid Ulster Granite \& Stone Company Ltd | * |  | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Millar Savoury Foods Ltd |  | 10 | 25 | 1 | 36 | [28.6\%] | [71.4\%] |
| Millbrook Lodge Hotel |  | 15 | 33 | 0 | 48 | [31.3\%] | [68.8\%] |
| Millcroft Private Nursing Home |  | 26 | 80 | 4 | 110 | [24.5\%] | [75.5\%] |
| Miller Stewart \& Sons Ltd | * | - | - | - | 63 | - | - |
| Milligan Bros Ltd |  | 18 | 13 | 0 | 31 | [58.1\%] | [41.9\%] |
| Milligan George \& Sons Fish Merchants Ltd | \# |  | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Mills Alexander | * |  |  | - | 31 | - | - |
| Mills Selig |  | 16 | 15 | 0 | 31 | [51.6\%] | [48.4\%] |
| Millverne Residental Home | \# |  | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Millward Brown Ulster |  | 110 | 100 | 11 | 221 | [52.4\%] | [47.6\%] |
| Mind Wise New Vision T/A Mindwise |  | 51 | 30 | 17 | 98 | [63.0\%] | [37.0\%] |
| Minprint Ltd | * | - | - | - | 40 | - |  |
| Minster Cleaning Services |  | 67 | 110 | 17 | 194 | [37.9\%] | [62.1\%] |
| Mirror Group Newspapers |  | 37 | 26 | 1 | 64 | [58.7\%] | [41.3\%] |
| Miskelly OF \& Sons |  | 40 | 14 | 0 | 54 | [74.1\%] | [25.9\%] |
| Mitchell Harold (Belfast) Ltd |  | 39 | 17 | 2 | 58 | [69.6\%] | [30.4\%] |
| Mitchells \& Butlers No2 Ltd |  | 16 | 17 | 2 | 35 | [48.5\%] | [51.5\%] |
| Mitie Cleaning \& Environmental Services Ltd |  | 43 | 30 | 12 | 85 | [58.9\%] | [41.1\%] |
| Mitie Security |  | 26 | 17 | 4 | 47 | [60.5\%] | [39.5\%] |
| Mitten RJ \& Sons |  | 24 | 14 | 0 | 38 | [63.2\%] | [36.8\%] |
| Mivan Ltd |  | 138 | 82 | 16 | 236 | [62.7\%] | [37.3\%] |
| MJM Group |  | 10 | 76 | 0 | 86 | [11.6\%] | [88.4\%] |
| MM Building Services Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Modern Tyre Service |  | 68 | 85 | 0 | 153 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\%] |
| Moffett \& Sons Ltd |  | 90 | 22 | 0 | 112 | [80.4\%] | [19.6\%] |
| Monaghan Brothers Ltd |  | 12 | 21 | 0 | 33 | [36.4\%] | [63.6\%] |
| Moneydarragh Flexicare Ltd |  | 22 | 40 | 0 | 62 | [35.5\%] | [64.5\%] |
| Moneymore Coaches Ltd |  | 64 | 55 | 8 | 127 | [53.8\%] | [46.2\%] |
| Monsoon Accessorize |  | 55 | 66 | 22 | 143 | [45.5\%] | [54.5\%] |
| Montgomery Distribution Ltd |  | 70 | 13 | 2 | 85 | [84.3\%] | [15.7\%] |
| Montgomery Refrigeration Ltd |  | 84 | 27 | 4 | 115 | [75.7\%] | [24.3\%] |
| Montgomery Transport Ltd |  | 118 | 26 | 6 | 150 | [81.9\%] | [18.1\%] |
| Montgomerys |  | 19 | 10 | 0 | 29 | [65.5\%] | [34.5\%] |
| Montracon Ltd |  | 40 | 70 | 0 | 110 | [36.4\%] | [63.6\%] |
| Montupet (UK) Ltd |  | 198 | 243 | 16 | 457 | [44.9\%] | [55.1\%] |
| Moore Concrete Products Ltd | * | - | - | - | 59 | - | - |
| Moore Stephens |  | 85 | 62 | 1 | 148 | [57.8\%] | [42.2\%] |
| Moran John T/A Breico Retail Group |  | 26 | 63 | 1 | 90 | [29.2\%] | [70.8\%] |
| Morgan Transport \& Distribution Ltd |  | 46 | 72 | 56 | 174 | [39.0\%] | [61.0\%] |
| Morning Star House | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Morning Star, The | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - |  |
| Morrow Contracts Ltd |  | 63 | 15 | 7 | 85 | [80.8\%] | [19.2\%] |
| Morrow CR Ltd |  | 20 | 10 | 1 | 31 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Morton Alex Contracts Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Morton Newspapers Ltd |  | 159 | 80 | 20 | 259 | [66.5\%] | [33.5\%] |
| Mothercare (UK) Ltd |  | 114 | 67 | 1 | 182 | [63.0\%] | [37.0\%] |

[^84]
## Composition of Private Sector Concerns (26+ employees)

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Motoglass Ltd |  | 15 | 14 | 0 | 29 | [51.7\%] | [48.3\%] |
| Mott MacDonald Ltd |  | 14 | 14 | 2 | 30 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Mouchel |  | 34 | 41 | 13 | 88 | [45.3\%] | [54.7\%] |
| Mount Charles Catering Ltd |  | 359 | 444 | 146 | 949 | [44.7\%] | [55.3\%] |
| Mount Lourdes Grammar School | \# | - | - | - | 50 | - | - |
| Mourne Country Meats Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 73 | - | - |
| Mourne Observer Ltd |  | 19 | 13 | 2 | 34 | [59.4\%] | [40.6\%] |
| Moutray WM \& Sons | * | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Movianto Northern Ireland |  | 23 | 14 | 0 | 37 | [62.2\%] | [37.8\%] |
| Movilla House Ltd | * | - | - | - | 76 |  |  |
| Moy Park Ltd |  | 809 | 1,769 | 632 | 3,210 | [31.4\%] | [68.6\%] |
| Moyfab Engineering Ltd |  | 12 | 19 | 0 | 31 | [38.7\%] | [61.3\%] |
| Moyola Precision Engineering Ltd |  | 32 | 31 | 4 | 67 | [50.8\%] | 9.2\%] |
| MPA Recruitment |  | 169 | 317 | 0 | 486 | [34.8\%] | [65.2\%] |
| MSCS (Northern Ireland) Ltd T/A Xperience |  | 18 | 15 | 3 | 36 | [54.5\%] | [45.5\%] |
| MSM Contracts Ltd |  | 45 | 19 | 0 | 64 | [70.3\%] | [29.7\%] |
| MSO Cleland Ltd |  | 153 | 35 | 11 | 199 | [81.4\%] | [18.6\%] |
| Muldoon Transport Systems Ltd |  | 16 | 27 | 0 | 43 | [37.2\%] | [62.8\%] |
| Mulgrew Haulage Ltd |  | 69 | 68 | 0 | 137 | [50.4\%] | [49.6\%] |
| Mulkerns Paul T/A Eurospar Supermarket | \# | - | - | - | 61 |  |  |
| Mullaghboy Private Nursing Home | * | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Mullan B \& Sons Ltd |  | 18 | 22 | 0 | 40 | [45.0\%] | [55.0\%] |
| Mullin Neil \& Sons Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Munster Simms Engineering Ltd |  | 94 | 19 | 1 | 114 | [83.2\%] | [16.8\%] |
| Murdock Builders Merchants Ltd |  | 44 | 118 | 4 | 166 | [27.2\%] | [72.8\%] |
| Murdock Group Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Murdock Hardwood Industries Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 45 | - | - |
| Murphy \& O'Rawe Solicitors |  | 12 | 24 | 0 | 36 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |
| Murphy PK Construction Ltd |  | 10 | 33 | 0 | 43 | [23.3\%] | 76.7\%] |
| Murray Henry \& Company Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Musgrave Retail Partners (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 343 | 259 | 55 | 657 | [57.0\%] | [43.0\%] |
| Musgrave Wholesale Partners |  | 169 | 131 | 25 | 325 | [56.3\%] | [43.7\%] |
| Nacco Materials Handling Ltd |  | 290 | 195 | 18 | 503 | [59.8\%] | [40.2\%] |
| Nandos Chickenland |  | 16 | 19 | 10 | 45 | [45.7\%] | [54.3\%] |
| Nath Brothers |  | 97 | 98 | 5 | 200 | [49.7\%] | [50.3\%] |
| National Air Traffic Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children (NSPCC) |  | 52 | 66 | 23 | 141 | [44.1\%] | [55.9\%] |
| National Trust, The |  | 55 | 30 | 98 | 183 | [64.7\%] | [35.3\%] |
| Nationwide Building Society |  | 102 | 59 | 27 | 188 | [63.4\%] | [36.6\%] |
| Natural World Products Ltd |  | 16 | 42 | 1 | 59 | [27.6\%] | [72.4\%] |
| Nazareth House (Londonderry) | \# | - | - | - | 119 | - | - |
| Nazareth House Care Village |  | 33 | 72 | 5 | 110 | [31.4\%] | [68.6\%] |
| NC Engineering (Hamiltonsbawn) Ltd |  | 45 | 40 | 12 | 97 | [52.9\%] | [47.1\%] |
| Needaco Ltd T/A Paddington Lodge |  | 20 | 14 | 0 | 34 | [58.8\%] | [41.2\%] |
| Neill James Flour Mill |  | 31 | 15 | 2 | 48 | [67.4\%] | [32.6\%] |
| Nerve Centre, The | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| New Life Counselling |  | 26 | 53 | 19 | 98 | [32.9\%] | [67.1\%] |
| New Look Retailers |  | 44 | 60 | 581 | 685 | [42.3\%] | [57.7\%] |
| New Quay Developments Ltd | * | - | - | - | 35 | - |  |

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

| New World Developments |  | 44 | 52 | 0 | 96 | [45.8\%] | [54.2\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Newell Stores Ltd T/A Newell Stores |  | 18 | 138 | 5 | 161 | [11.5\%] | [88.5\%] |
| Newry Credit Union Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Newry Visionplus Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 32 |  |  |
| Newspread Ltd |  | 19 | 32 | 0 | 51 | [37.3\%] | [62.7\%] |
| Newtownards Chronicle Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Next PLC |  | 678 | 541 | 195 | 1,414 | [55.6\%] | [44.4\%] |
| Nexus Institute (Northern Ireland), The |  | 19 | 20 | 6 | 45 | [48.7\%] | [51.3\%] |
| NFU Mutual Insurance Society Ltd |  | 80 | 30 | 1 | 111 | [72.7\%] | [27.3\%] |
| NIACRO |  | 44 | 58 | 0 | 102 | [43.1\%] | [56.9\%] |
| Niche Drinks Company Ltd |  | 37 | 18 | 0 | 55 | [67.3\%] | [32.7\%] |
| Nicholas Mc Kenna \& Co |  | 32 | 40 | 0 | 72 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\%] |
| Nicholl Fuel Oils Ltd |  | 39 | 63 | 5 | 107 | [38.2\%] | [61.8\%] |
| Nicholson \& Bass Ltd | * | - | - | - | 44 | - | - |
| Nicholson House Nursing Home |  | 31 | 10 | 0 | 41 | [75.6\%] | [24.4\%] |
| Nicks Warehouse Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| NIE Powerteam Ltd |  | 623 | 340 | 14 | 977 | [64.7\%] | [35.3\%] |
| Nightingale Care Centre |  | 12 | 22 | 7 | 41 | [35.3\%] | [64.7\%] |
| NIIB Group Ltd |  | 68 | 29 | 16 | 113 | [70.1\%] | [29.9\%] |
| Nitec Solutions Ltd |  | 18 | 13 | 0 | 31 | [58.1\%] | [41.9\%] |
| Nitronica Ltd |  | 40 | 27 | 7 | 74 | [59.7\%] | [40.3\%] |
| NK Coatings Ltd |  | 57 | 10 | 1 | 68 | [85.1\%] | [14.9\%] |
| NK Fencing Ltd |  | 43 | 20 | 1 | 64 | [68.3\%] | [31.7\%] |
| Noel \& Michael Mc Granaghan T/A Mountvale Private Nursing Home |  | 37 | 19 | 3 | 59 | [66.1\%] | [33.9\%] |
| Noonan Services Group Ltd |  | 253 | 79 | 21 | 353 | [76.2\%] | [23.8\%] |
| Norbev Ltd |  | 66 | 20 | 4 | 90 | [76.7\%] | [23.3\%] |
| Norbrook Laboratories Ltd |  | 169 | 811 | 124 | 1,104 | [17.2\%] | [82.8\%] |
| Norlect Engineering (UK) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Nortel |  | 119 | 55 | 16 | 190 | [68.4\%] | [31.6\%] |
| North City Training Ltd |  | 18 | 30 | 2 | 50 | [37.5\%] | [62.5\%] |
| North Coast Hotels Ltd |  | 12 | 15 | 9 | 36 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\%] |
| North Down Group |  | 32 | 33 | 2 | 67 | [49.2\%] | [50.8\%] |
| North Down Marquees Ltd | * | - | - | - | 40 | - |  |
| North Parade Medical Centre |  | 13 | 11 | 4 | 28 | [54.2\%] | [45.8\%] |
| North West of Ireland Printing \& Publishing Company Ltd |  | 15 | 61 | 0 | 76 | [19.7\%] | [80.3\%] |
| Northern Bank Ltd |  | 1,289 | 607 | 57 | 1,953 | [68.0\%] | [32.0\%] |
| Northern Hydraulics Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - |  |
| Northern Ireland Association for Mental Health |  | 121 | 133 | 10 | 264 | [47.6\%] | [52.4\%] |
| Northern Ireland Association of Citizens Advice Bureaux |  | 11 | 12 | 5 | 28 | [47.8\%] | [52.2\%] |
| Northern Ireland Cancer Fund For Children |  | 18 | 14 | 2 | 34 | [56.3\%] | [43.8\%] |
| Northern Ireland Chest Heart \& Stroke Association |  | 37 | 24 | 1 | 62 | [60.7\%] | [39.3\%] |
| Northern Ireland Childminding Association |  | 16 | 10 | 2 | 28 | [61.5\%] | [38.5\%] |
| Northern Ireland Co-ownership Housing Association Ltd |  | 30 | 17 | 0 | 47 | [63.8\%] | [36.2\%] |
| Northern Ireland Council for Voluntary Action |  | 15 | 20 | 0 | 35 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| Northern Ireland Electricity PLC |  | 357 | 155 | 18 | 530 | [69.7\%] | [30.3\%] |
| Northern Ireland Food Chain Certification |  | 20 | 10 | 2 | 32 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Northern Ireland Hospice |  | 159 | 102 | 17 | 278 | [60.9\%] | [39.1\%] |
| Northern Ireland Institute for The Disabled |  | 87 | 13 | 17 | 117 | [87.0\%] | [13.0\%] |
| Northern Ireland Plastics Ltd |  | 23 | 23 | 2 | 48 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |

[^85]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northern Ireland Public Service Alliance (NIPSA) |  | 32 | 30 | 2 | 64 | [51.6\%] | [48.4\%] |
| Northern Ireland Rural Development Council |  | 12 | 16 | 0 | 28 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| Northern Ireland Trucks Ltd |  | 55 | 17 | 3 | 75 | [76.4\%] | [23.6\%] |
| Northern Materials Handling (Ireland) Ltd T/A Northern Forklift |  | 14 | 15 | 0 | 29 | [48.3\%] | [51.7\%] |
| Northern Newspaper Group |  | 69 | 16 | 3 | 88 | [81.2\%] | [18.8\%] |
| Northern Whig Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 |  | - |
| Northface Access Services Northern Ireland Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 36 |  | - |
| Northgate Managed Services |  | 369 | 174 | 0 | 543 | [68.0\%] | [32.0\%] |
| Northstone (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 451 | 329 | 84 | 864 | [57.8\%] | [42.2\%] |
| Northwest Independent Hospital |  | 63 | 41 | 13 | 117 | [60.6\%] | [39.4\%] |
| Novosco Ltd |  | 27 | 17 | 1 | 45 | [61.4\%] | [38.6\%] |
| Now Project, The |  | 15 | 14 | 0 | 29 | [51.7\%] | [48.3\%] |
| NSL Services Group Ltd |  | 250 | 95 | 0 | 345 | [72.5\%] | [27.5\%] |
| Nugent \& Gibney Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 27 |  | - |
| Nugent P \& Sons Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 29 |  | - |
| Nursing \& Caring Direct Ltd |  | 67 | 28 | 0 | 95 | [70.5\%] | [29.5\%] |
| Nu-track Ltd | * | - | - | - | 61 | - | - |
| Nyse Technologies |  | 83 | 91 | 27 | 201 | [47.7\%] | [52.3\%] |
| O \& S Doors Ltd |  | 15 | 165 | 14 | 194 | [8.3\%] | [91.7\%] |
| Oakgrove Integrated College |  | 25 | 37 | 1 | 63 | [40.3\%] | [59.7\%] |
| Oaklee Care \& Support Services |  | 11 | 23 | 7 | 41 | [32.4\%] | [67.6\%] |
| Oaklee Homes Group |  | 109 | 89 | 17 | 215 | [55.1\%] | [44.9\%] |
| Oakwood Door Designs Ltd T/A Uform |  | 11 | 51 | 0 | 62 | [17.7\%] | [82.3\%] |
| Oasis Caring in Action Ltd | * | - | - | - | 45 |  | - |
| Oasis Travel (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 40 | - |  |
| O'Boyle Hugh J Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 54 |  |  |
| Observer Newspapers (Northern Ireland) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 34 | - |  |
| O'Connell T \& Sons | \# | - | - | - | 59 | - | - |
| OCS Group UK Ltd (Security Division) |  | 53 | 28 | 1 | 82 | [65.4\%] | [34.6\%] |
| OCS Group UK Ltd Northern Ireland Division |  | 222 | 181 | 21 | 424 | [55.1\%] | [44.9\%] |
| O'Doherty Garvan Group |  | 19 | 179 | 5 | 203 | [9.6\%] | [90.4\%] |
| Odyssey Bowl Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| O'Hanlon \& Farrell Contracts Ltd |  | 28 | 118 | 22 | 168 | [19.2\%] | [80.8\%] |
| O'Hare \& Mc Govern Ltd |  | 33 | 108 | 12 | 153 | [23.4\%] | [76.6\%] |
| O'Hare Felix \& Company Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 143 |  | - |
| O'Kane Food Service Ltd |  | 101 | 47 | 29 | 177 | [68.2\%] | [31.8\%] |
| O'Kane Plumbing \& Electrics Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| O'Kane Poultry Group |  | 519 | 516 | 409 | 1,444 | [50.1\%] | [49.9\%] |
| O'Kane Supermarkets Ltd T/A Supervalu |  | 96 | 215 | 4 | 315 | [30.9\%] | [69.1\%] |
| Old Bushmills Distillery Company Ltd, The |  | 88 | 18 | 2 | 108 | [83.0\%] | [17.0\%] |
| Old Inn, Crawfordsburn, The |  | 40 | 23 | 5 | 68 | [63.5\%] | [36.5\%] |
| Oliver Transport Services Ltd |  | 20 | 11 | 0 | 31 | [64.5\%] | [35.5\%] |
| Oliver W (Exorna) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 40 |  | - |
| Olympic Lifts Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Omac Engineering Company | \# | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Omagh Early Years Centre Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Omagh Meats |  | 31 | 204 | 3 | 238 | [13.2\%] | [86.8\%] |
| Omega Mechanical Services Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 69 | - | - |
| Omniplex Holdings (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 76 | 122 | 16 | 214 | [38.4\%] | [61.6\%] |

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O'Neill Brothers Building Contractors Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| O'Neill John T/A Costcutter Supermarket |  | 12 | 21 | 0 | 33 | [36.4\%] | [63.6\%] |
| O'Neill P Building Services Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| O'Neills Irish International Sports Company Ltd |  | 51 | 257 | 8 | 316 | [16.6\%] | [83.4\%] |
| Open Door Housing Association (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 10 | 19 | 0 | 29 | [34.5\%] | [65.5\%] |
| Open University in Ireland, The |  | 30 | 19 | 10 | 59 | [61.2\%] | [38.8\%] |
| Openwave Systems Ltd |  | 36 | 60 | 8 | 104 | [37.5\%] | [62.5\%] |
| Opportunity Youth |  | 41 | 49 | 0 | 90 | [45.6\%] | [54.4\%] |
| Opus Homewares Ltd |  | 95 | 174 | 18 | 287 | [35.3\%] | [64.7\%] |
| Orana Family Support Centre | \# |  | - | - | 95 | - | - |
| Orchard County Foods Ltd |  | 14 | 32 | 23 | 69 | [30.4\%] | [69.6\%] |
| Orchard House Private Nursing Home |  | 36 | 12 | 11 | 59 | [75.0\%] | [25.0\%] |
| Orchardville Society Ltd, The | * | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| O'Reilly Stewart Solicitors |  | 11 | 18 | 1 | 30 | [37.9\%] | [62.1\%] |
| O'Reilly Transport (Ireland) Ltd |  | 14 | 16 | 0 | 30 | [46.7\%] | [53.3\%] |
| O'Reillys "The Sweet People" | \# | - | - | - | 78 | - | - |
| Orion Project Services Ltd |  | 44 | 94 | 4 | 142 | [31.9\%] | [68.1\%] |
| Osborne King |  | 33 | 10 | 0 | 43 | [76.7\%] | [23.3\%] |
| Ostick \& Williams Ltd |  | 17 | 16 | 1 | 34 | [51.5\%] | [48.5\%] |
| Otis Ltd |  | 21 | 12 | 2 | 35 | [63.6\%] | [36.4\%] |
| Our Lady \& St Patricks College Knock |  | 35 | 14 | 0 | 49 | [71.4\%] | [28.6\%] |
| Our Lady's Home | \# | - | - | - | 97 |  |  |
| Oval Insurance Broking Ltd T/A Oval James |  | 43 | 12 | 0 | 55 | [78.2\%] | [21.8\%] |
| Over the Rainbow Day Nurseries |  | 20 | 15 | 0 | 35 | [57.1\%] | [42.9\%] |
| Ovolio T/A Park Inn Hotel |  | 11 | 15 | 6 | 32 | [42.3\%] | [57.7\%] |
| Oxfam Northern Ireland |  | 29 | 22 | 9 | 60 | [56.9\%] | [43.1\%] |
| P \& L Electrics Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| P \& O European Ferries (Irish Sea) Ltd |  | 96 | 22 | 5 | 123 | [81.4\%] | [18.6\%] |
| PA Mc Keevers Ltd T/A Mc Keevers Chemists |  | 15 | 76 | 1 | 92 | [16.5\%] | [83.5\%] |
| Pallet Centre Ltd, The | * | - | - | - | 54 | - | - |
| Pallet Recovery Services | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Palmer \& Harvey Mc Lane Ltd | * | - | - | - | 49 | - | - |
| Paragon Training (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 21 | 22 | 2 | 45 | [48.8\%] | [51.2\%] |
| Parents Advice Centre (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 14 | 20 | 2 | 36 | [41.2\%] | [58.8\%] |
| Parity Solutions Ltd |  | 23 | 25 | 7 | 55 | [47.9\%] | [52.1\%] |
| Park Avenue Hotel Ltd | * | - | - | - | 68 | - | - |
| Parkdean Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Parkelect Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Parkgate Foods Ltd T/A Euro Shellfish \& Castle Garden |  | 25 | 13 | 0 | 38 | [65.8\%] | [34.2\%] |
| Parkside Private Care Ltd T/A Parkside Private Nursing Home | * | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Patterson Family, The T/A Plough Inn, The | * | - | - | - | 76 | - | - |
| Patterson Tony Sportsgrounds Ltd |  | 16 | 13 | 0 | 29 | [55.2\%] | [44.8\%] |
| Patton David \& Sons (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 272 | 101 | 14 | 387 | [72.9\%] | [27.1\%] |
| PBN Wineworld Ltd |  | 30 | 10 | 0 | 40 | [75.0\%] | [25.0\%] |
| Peacehaven Care Services Ltd |  | 36 | 47 | 0 | 83 | [43.4\%] | [56.6\%] |
| Peacocks Stores Ltd |  | 161 | 168 | 27 | 356 | [48.9\%] | [51.1\%] |
| Peak Environmental (UK) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| Pencro Structural Engineering Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Pension \& Financial Consultants Ltd | * | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |

[^86]
## Composition of Private Sector Concerns (26+ employees)

Company Name
Penton Publications Ltd
People Power Contracts Ltd
Perfecseal Ltd
Perfume Shop Ltd, The
Petal Postforming Ltd
Pets at Home Ltd
Pfizer Ltd
PFS \& Partners Ltd
Philips Healthcare Informatics Ltd
Phillips Dr \& Mrs T/A Silverbirch Lodge Private Nursing Home
Phoenix Healthcare (Northern Ireland) Ltd
Phoenix Healthcare Distribution
Phoenix Natural Gas Ltd
Phoenix Nursing Agency
Phoenix Security Business Management Services Ltd
Phoenix, The (Ballymena) Ltd
Phones 4U Ltd
PHS Compliance Ltd
PHS Washrooms
Pier 36
Platinum Recruitment Northern Ireland Ltd
PM Engineering Ltd
PM Fireplaces Ltd
PMD (Northern Ireland) Ltd T/A McDonald's Connswater
PMD (Northern Ireland) Ltd T/A McDonald's Dundonald
Pneutrol Ireland Ltd
Podium 4 Sport Ltd
Police Rehabilitation \& Retraining Trust Ltd
Pollock Lifts Ltd
Polypipe (Ulster) Ltd
Pooles Supervalu (Moira)
Porter's Bodyshop Ltd
Portora Royal School
Portrush Sportsland Ltd
Portstewart Golf Club
Portview Fit-out Ltd
Positive Futures for People with a Learning Disability
Pound World Northern Ireland
Poundland
Poundworld Retail Ltd
PPG Architectural Coatings (UK) Ltd
Praxis Care Group
Precision Industrial Services Ltd
Premier Bakeries Ireland
Premier Electrics Ltd
Premier Employment Ltd T/A Premier People
Premier Power Ltd
Prentice David (Cars) Ltd
Presbyterian Church In Ireland
P. R.C. N.D. TOTAL [\%P] [\%RC]
11
175

| 15 | 1 | 27 | $[4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 219 | 29 | 423 | $[4$ |
| 183 | 17 | 237 |  |

[42.3\%] [57.7\%]
[44.4\%] [55.6\%]
[16.8\%] [83.2\%]
[43.4\%] [56.6\%]
\#
60
[67.6\%] [32.4\%]
[63.3\%] [36.7\%]
\#
[57.7\%] [42.3\%]

|  | 15 | 11 | 14 | 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | [57.7\%] [42.3\%]

*     -         - $\quad 35$

| 125 | 75 | 13 | 213 | [62.5\%] [37.5\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 50 | 3 | 66 | [20.6\%] [79.4\%] |
| * - | - | - | 33 | - - |
| * - | - | - | 32 | - - |
| 31 | 18 | 28 | 77 | [63.3\%] [36.7\%] |
| * - | - | - | 30 | - - |
| 17 | 11 | 3 | 31 | [60.7\%] [39.3\%] |

\# - $\quad-\quad-\quad 48$
\# - - - 33

| \# | - | - | - | 28 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 47 | 11 | 19 | 77 | [81.0\%] [19.0\%] |



|  | - | - | - | 83 | - - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 90 | 24 | 4 | 118 | [78.9\%] [21.1\%] |
|  | 70 | 18 | 7 | 95 | [79.5\%] [20.5\%] |
|  | 15 | 12 | 0 | 27 | [55.6\%] [44.4\%] |
|  | 21 | 11 | 1 | 33 | [65.6\%] [34.4\%] |
|  | 16 | 10 | 0 | 26 | [61.5\%] [38.5\%] |
| * | - | - | - | 32 | - - |
|  | 16 | 23 | 1 | 40 | [41.0\%] [59.0\%] |
|  | 201 | 139 | 68 | 408 | [59.1\%] [40.9\%] |
| \# | - | - | - | 49 | - - |
|  | 189 | 223 | 16 | 428 | [45.9\%] [54.1\%] |
|  | 43 | 33 | 0 | 76 | [56.6\%] [43.4\%] |
|  | 61 | 14 | 4 | 79 | [81.3\%] [18.7\%] |
|  | 399 | 367 | 81 | 847 | [52.1\%] [47.9\%] |
|  | 107 | 80 | 13 | 200 | [57.2\%] [42.8\%] |
|  | 255 | 95 | 22 | 372 | [72.9\%] [27.1\%] |
| \# | - | - | - | 46 | - - |
|  | 748 | 591 | 4 | 1,343 | [55.9\%] [44.1\%] |
|  | 141 | 24 | 7 | 172 | [85.5\%] [14.5\%] |
|  | 61 | 29 | 0 | 90 | [67.8\%] [32.2\%] |
|  | 267 | 43 | 34 | 344 | [86.1\%] [13.9\%] |

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees


| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rathmore Grammar School | \# | - | - | - | 49 | - | - |
| Rathowen Private Nursing Home | * | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| Ravenhill Private Nursing Home |  | 32 | 11 | 3 | 46 | [74.4\%] | [25.6\%] |
| Ready Egg Products Ltd |  | 27 | 28 | 13 | 68 | [49.1\%] | [50.9\%] |
| Recruit 4 Logistics (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 30 | 17 | 0 | 47 | [63.8\%] | [36.2\%] |
| Red Sky Group Limited |  | 281 | 127 | 26 | 434 | [68.9\%] | [31.1\%] |
| Reed Austin Group Ltd | * | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Reed Specialist Recruitment |  | 139 | 200 | 30 | 369 | [41.0\%] | [59.0\%] |
| Regency Carpet Manufacturing Ltd |  | 111 | 15 | 16 | 142 | [88.1\%] | [11.9\%] |
| Regus Business Services |  | 84 | 83 | 5 | 172 | [50.3\%] | [49.7\%] |
| Reids Shoes Ltd | * | - | - | - | 41 | - | - |
| Relate Northern Ireland |  | 34 | 18 | 0 | 52 | [65.4\%] | [34.6\%] |
| Relay Software Ltd |  | 22 | 16 | 0 | 38 | [57.9\%] | [42.1\%] |
| Reliance Security Services Ltd |  | 62 | 15 | 2 | 79 | [80.5\%] | [19.5\%] |
| Rentokil Initial Facility Services (UK) Ltd |  | 64 | 42 | 3 | 109 | [60.4\%] | [39.6\%] |
| Rentokil Pest Control |  | 17 | 12 | 0 | 29 | [58.6\%] | [41.4\%] |
| Republic (Retail) Ltd |  | 21 | 13 | 5 | 39 | [61.8\%] | [38.2\%] |
| Resource |  | 1,647 | 561 | 293 | 2,501 | [74.6\%] | [25.4\%] |
| Resource Centre Derry Ltd, The | \# | - | - | - | 58 |  |  |
| Retail Systems Technology Ltd |  | 10 | 17 | 0 | 27 | [37.0\%] | [63.0\%] |
| Rexel (UK) Ltd T/A Dunlop \& Hamilton |  | 36 | 10 | 0 | 46 | [78.3\%] | [21.7\%] |
| RFD Beaufort Ltd |  | 195 | 53 | 9 | 257 | [78.6\%] | [21.4\%] |
| Riada Recruitment |  | 257 | 138 | 16 | 411 | [65.1\%] | [34.9\%] |
| RIAS |  | 79 | 144 | 24 | 247 | [35.4\%] | [64.6\%] |
| Rich Sauces |  | 45 | 13 | 0 | 58 | [77.6\%] | [22.4\%] |
| Richardson Clive Ltd |  | 97 | 39 | 0 | 136 | [71.3\%] | [28.7\%] |
| Richmond Private Nursing Homes Ltd |  | 21 | 14 | 8 | 43 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Richmond Reproductions Manufacturing Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Riddel John \& Son Ltd |  | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Ridgeway Plant Company Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Risk Management Solution (RMS) | * | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| Ritchie H \& Sons (Electrical Wholesalers) Ltd |  | 26 | 26 | 0 | 52 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Rite Price | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| River Island Clothing Company Ltd |  | 92 | 161 | 212 | 465 | [36.4\%] | [63.6\%] |
| RK Trucks Centre Ltd |  | 16 | 20 | 1 | 37 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\%] |
| Road Safety Contracts Ltd |  | 20 | 42 | 15 | 77 | [32.3\%] | [67.7\%] |
| Road Trucks Ltd |  | 37 | 15 | 0 | 52 | [71.2\%] | [28.8\%] |
| Roadside Motors Ltd |  | 32 | 17 | 0 | 49 | [65.3\%] | [34.7\%] |
| Roberts Robt (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 17 | 16 | 0 | 33 | [51.5\%] | [48.5\%] |
| Robinson A \& Son | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Robinson Fred C Ltd | * | - | - | - | 50 | - | - |
| Robinson George T/A Robipak | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Robinson Matthew \& Son | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Robinson Quarry Masters Limited | * | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Robinson Services Ltd |  | 406 | 293 | 236 | 935 | [58.1\%] | [41.9\%] |
| Robinson's of Ballymena Ltd | * | - | - | - | 55 | - | - |
| Rockall Seafoods Ltd |  | 33 | 27 | 0 | 60 | [55.0\%] | [45.0\%] |
| Rocklyn Engineering Ltd | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Rocwell Natural Mineral Water Ltd | \# |  |  |  | 26 |  |  |

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodgers Contracts (Ballynahinch) Ltd |  | 25 | 29 | 0 | 54 | [46.3\%] | [53.7\%] |
| Rogers Fencing Systems Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Roll Formed Fabrications Ltd |  | 55 | 13 | 3 | 71 | [80.9\%] | [19.1\%] |
| Rolls-royce Goodrich Engine Control Systems Ltd |  | 19 | 12 | 3 | 34 | [61.3\%] | [38.7\%] |
| Romec Ltd |  | 36 | 43 | 5 | 84 | [45.6\%] | [54.4\%] |
| Rooney Fish | \# | - | - | - | 45 | - |  |
| Rose Lodge Care Homes Ltd |  | 58 | 27 | 8 | 93 | [68.2\%] | [31.8\%] |
| Roskyle Ltd |  | 18 | 12 | 0 | 30 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Rotary Services Ltd |  | 94 | 35 | 2 | 131 | [72.9\%] | [27.1\%] |
| Royal Belfast Academical Institution, The | * | - | - | - | 45 | - |  |
| Royal College of Nursing (Northern Ireland) |  | 10 | 17 | 2 | 29 | [37.0\%] | [63.0\%] |
| Royal Court Hotel |  | 31 | 21 | 3 | 55 | [59.6\%] | [40.4\%] |
| Royal Hotel (Bangor) |  | 15 | 14 | 0 | 29 | [51.7\%] | [48.3\%] |
| Royal Mencap Society |  | 60 | 82 | 22 | 164 | [42.3\%] | [57.7\%] |
| Royal National Institute for Deaf People, The |  | 21 | 28 | 9 | 58 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| Royal National Institute of the Blind |  | 23 | 34 | 4 | 61 | [40.4\%] | [59.6\%] |
| Royal Portrush Golf Club | * | - | - | - | 57 | - |  |
| Royal School Armagh, The |  | 49 | 14 | 3 | 66 | [77.8\%] | [22.2\%] |
| Royal School Dungannon | * | - | - | - | 48 | - |  |
| Royal Society for the Protection of Birds, The |  | 22 | 21 | 7 | 50 | [51.2\%] | [48.8\%] |
| RPP Architects Ltd |  | 13 | 15 | 0 | 28 | [46.4\%] | [53.6\%] |
| RPS Ireland Ltd Northern Region |  | 89 | 75 | 9 | 173 | [54.3\%] | [45.7\%] |
| RPS Water Ltd |  | 20 | 21 | 2 | 43 | [48.8\%] | [51.2\%] |
| RSA |  | 72 | 27 | 5 | 104 | [72.7\%] | [27.3\%] |
| RT Autoparts | * | - | - | - | 26 | - |  |
| Rubber \& Plastic Products (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - |  |
| RUC Athletic Association Ltd | * | - | - | - | 38 | - |  |
| Rural Community Network | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - |  |
| Rutledge Joblink Recruitment \& Training Ltd |  | 248 | 263 | 90 | 601 | [48.5\%] | [51.5\%] |
| RW Pierce Group Ltd | * | - | - | - | 34 | - |  |
| Rye Valley Foods Ltd |  | 67 | 108 | 26 | 201 | [38.3\%] | [61.7\%] |
| Rylands Private Nursing Home |  | 47 | 12 | 6 | 65 | [79.7\%] | [20.3\%] |
| Ryobi Aluminium Casting (UK) Ltd |  | 147 | 31 | 15 | 193 | [82.6\%] | [17.4\%] |
| S \& R Electric Ltd | * | - | - | - | 43 | - |  |
| S \& S Hygiene |  | 11 | 24 | 37 | 72 | [31.4\%] | [68.6\%] |
| S \& S Restaurants T/A McDonalds Restaurant |  | 10 | 56 | 11 | 77 | [15.2\%] | [84.8\%] |
| S Mc Connell \& Sons Ltd |  | 61 | 15 | 19 | 95 | [80.3\%] | [19.7\%] |
| S Mc Connell Ltd |  | 28 | 19 | 0 | 47 | [59.6\%] | [40.4\%] |
| Sacred Heart Grammar School | \# | - | - | - | 54 | - |  |
| Safecare Chrysalis Ltd T/A Castlehill Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 37 | - |  |
| Saica Pack UK |  | 38 | 98 | 0 | 136 | [27.9\%] | [72.1\%] |
| Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd |  | 1,098 | 1,157 | 70 | 2,325 | [48.7\%] | [51.3\%] |
| Saint Columb's College | \# | - | - | - | 72 | - | - |
| Saint-Gobain Building Distribution Ltd |  | 222 | 106 | 8 | 336 | [67.7\%] | [32.3\%] |
| Saint-Gobain Weber Ltd | * | - | - | - | 37 | - |  |
| Sales Placement Contract People Ltd |  | 33 | 32 | 5 | 70 | [50.8\%] | [49.2\%] |
| Sally Salon Services |  | 27 | 26 | 28 | 81 | [50.9\%] | [49.1\%] |
| Saltmarine | * | - | - | - | 41 | - |  |
| Salvation Army, The |  | 181 | 78 | 29 | 288 | [69.9\%] | [30.1\%] |

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
P. R.C. N.D. TOTAL [\%P] [\%RC]

| Sandcastles Day Nursery | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sandvik Mining \& Construction Mobile Crushers \& Screens Ltd |  | 23 | 56 | 4 | 83 | [29.1\%] | [70.9\%] |
| Sandwich Co, The | \# | - | - | - | 57 | - | - |
| Sangers (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 239 | 34 | 3 | 276 | [87.5\%] | [12.5\%] |
| Sanheath Ltd T/A Park Plaza Belfast |  | 26 | 16 | 9 | 51 | [61.9\%] | [38.1\%] |
| Santander UK PLC |  | 423 | 368 | 23 | 814 | [53.5\%] | [46.5\%] |
| SAP Research CEC | * | - | - | - | 30 |  |  |
| Savage \& Whitten Wholesale Ltd |  | 20 | 58 | 6 | 84 | [25.6\%] | [74.4\%] |
| Savile Row Company Ltd, The |  | 14 | 19 | 0 | 33 | [42.4\%] | [57.6\%] |
| Sawey's SP | \# | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| Sawyers Transport Ltd |  | 23 | 16 | 3 | 42 | [59.0\%] | [41.0\%] |
| Scan Alarms \& Security Systems (UK) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| Schlumberger Oilfield UK PLC |  | 144 | 51 | 12 | 207 | [73.8\%] | [26.2\%] |
| Schneider Electric Buildings UK Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 |  |  |
| School \& Office Supplies (SOS Group) |  | 16 | 10 | 2 | 28 | [61.5\%] | [38.5\%] |
| Schrader Electronics Ltd |  | 442 | 223 | 99 | 764 | [66.5\%] | [33.5\%] |
| Schuh Ltd |  | 19 | 43 | 6 | 68 | [30.6\%] | [69.4\%] |
| Scott Ferguson Building Co | \# | - | - | - | 55 | - |  |
| Scotts Bakery Ltd |  | 36 | 14 | 31 | 81 | [72.0\%] | [28.0\%] |
| Scotts Feeds Ltd |  | 37 | 11 | 3 | 51 | [77.1\%] | [22.9\%] |
| SDC Trailers Ltd |  | 30 | 153 | 7 | 190 | [16.4\%] | [83.6\%] |
| Seabank Private Residential Home | * | - | - | - | 38 | - |  |
| Seagate Technology (Ireland) |  | 249 | 1,011 | 118 | 1,378 | [19.8\%] | [80.2\%] |
| Seagoe Technologies Ltd |  | 152 | 64 | 0 | 216 | [70.4\%] | [29.6\%] |
| Season Harvest Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 36 |  |  |
| Seatem (UK) Ltd T/A Prowse Keith Attraction Tickets |  | 21 | 30 | 6 | 57 | [41.2\%] | [58.8\%] |
| Seatruck Ferries Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 44 |  |  |
| Securitas Security Services Ltd |  | 100 | 22 | 3 | 125 | [82.0\%] | [18.0\%] |
| Security24 Guarding Ltd |  | 28 | 14 | 0 | 42 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Select Management \& Security Ltd |  | 51 | 68 | 1 | 120 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| Select Service Partner (UK) Ltd |  | 19 | 22 | 28 | 69 | [46.3\%] | [53.7\%] |
| Selecta (UK) Ltd |  | 13 | 16 | 1 | 30 | [44.8\%] | [55.2\%] |
| Selkirk Investments Ltd | * | - | - | - | 61 | - | - |
| Semi-chem Ltd |  | 153 | 163 | 2 | 318 | [48.4\%] | [51.6\%] |
| Sense Northern Ireland |  | 50 | 12 | 14 | 76 | [80.6\%] | [19.4\%] |
| Serco Local Government \& Commercial |  | 69 | 34 | 10 | 113 | [67.0\%] | [33.0\%] |
| Sere Ltd |  | 81 | 28 | 0 | 109 | [74.3\%] | [25.7\%] |
| Servisair (UK) Ltd |  | 64 | 26 | 1 | 91 | [71.1\%] | [28.9\%] |
| Servisair UK Ltd |  | 108 | 28 | 12 | 148 | [79.4\%] | [20.6\%] |
| Shac Housing Association |  | 17 | 35 | 3 | 55 | [32.7\%] | [67.3\%] |
| Shalom Care Ltd |  | 16 | 14 | 2 | 32 | [53.3\%] | [46.7\%] |
| Shankill (Lurgan) Community Projects |  | 11 | 19 | 5 | 35 | [36.7\%] | [63.3\%] |
| Shankill Womens Centre | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Shannagh Private Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 42 | - |  |
| Sharcon Ltd T/A Supervalu |  | 64 | 36 | 1 | 101 | [64.0\%] | [36.0\%] |
| Share / Discovery '80' Ltd |  | 21 | 19 | 3 | 43 | [52.5\%] | [47.5\%] |
| Sharpe Mechanical Services | * | - | - | - | 38 | - |  |
| Shaw, MJ Ltd |  | 29 | 31 | 0 | 60 | [48.3\%] | [51.7\%] |
| Shelbourne Motors Ltd |  | 68 | 27 | 0 | 95 | [71.6\%] | [28.4\%] |

[^87]Company Name
Sheridan \& Hood Ltd
Shilliday A \& N \& Company Ltd
Shimna Integrated College
Shine Productions Ltd
Shoe Zone Ltd
Shopacheck Financial Services Ltd
Short Brothers PLC
SHS Group Ltd
SHS Sales \& Marketing Ltd
SIG Ireland
Signet Trading Ltd
Silverwood Enterprise Ltd
Simon Community Northern Ireland
Simpson Mc Learnon \& Ferguson Ltd
Simpson Russell Construction Company Ltd
Sinclair Robert G \& Co
Singularity Ltd
Sita (northern Ireland) Ltd
SJC Hutchinson Engineering Ltd
Skandia Restaurants Ltd
Skea Egg Farms Ltd
Skyline Superstore Ltd
Slemish College
Slemish Private Nursing Home
Slieve DHU Ltd
Smarts (Northern Ireland) Ltd
SMG (northern Ireland) Ltd
Smiley Monroe Ltd
Smith WH Retail Holdings Ltd
Smurfit UK Ltd T/A Smurfit Kappa Lurgan
Smyth \& Gibson Shirtmakers Ltd
Smyth Alistair T/A Maine Bakeries
Smyth David \& Margaret T/A Glebeside Spar
Smyth Patterson Ltd
Smyth RJ Engineering Ltd
Smyth Steel Ltd
Smyths Toys Ltd
Society of St Vincent De Paul (Belfast)
Sodexo Ltd
Somerton Homes Ltd
Somerton Private Nursing Home
Somme Nursing Home, The
Soni Ltd
Sonoco Alcore Ltd
Sopra Group Ltd
Southern Cross Health Care T/A Ardmaine Nursing Home
Southern Cross Healthcare T/A Bramblewood Care Centre
Southern Cross Healthcare T/A Culmore Manor Care Home
Southern Cross Healthcare T/A Dunanney Care Home
P. R.C. N.D. TOTAL [\%P] [\%RC]

-     - 41
*     -         -             - 28

| 15 | 28 | 2 | 45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 40 | 0 | 62 |
| 66 | 46 | 43 | 155 |
| 24 | 14 | 1 | 39 |
| 3,966 | 806 | 212 | 4,984 |
| - | - | - | 26 |

[34.9\%] [65.1\%]
[35.5\%] [64.5\%]
[58.9\%] [41.1\%]
[63.2\%] [36.8\%]
[83.1\%] [16.9\%]
[80.7\%] [19.3\%]
[66.0\%] [34.0\%]
[47.9\%] [52.1\%]
[37.5\%] [62.5\%]
[47.9\%] [52.1\%]
[64.6\%] [35.4\%]
[58.3\%] [41.7\%]
[25.7\%] [74.3\%]
[82.1\%] [17.9\%]
[72.7\%] [27.3\%]
[61.2\%] [38.8\%]
[61.8\%] [38.2\%]
[70.5\%] [29.5\%]
[61.1\%] [38.9\%]
[18.2\%] [81.8\%]
[62.8\%] [37.2\%]
[73.3\%] [26.7\%]
[49.5\%] [50.5\%]
[29.3\%] [70.7\%]
[47.6\%] [52.4\%]

| $*$ | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $*$ | - | - | - |

*     -         -             - 61
*     -         -             - 32


| 69 | 82 | 2 | 153 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[45.7\%] [54.3\%]
[77.1\%] [22.9\%]
[50.0\%] [50.0\%]
[41.7\%] [58.3\%]
[81.7\%] [18.3\%]
[61.6\%] [38.4\%]
[43.8\%] [56.3\%]
[64.7\%] [35.3\%]
[20.0\%] [80.0\%]
[70.0\%] [30.0\%]

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southern Cross Healthcare T/A Glebe Care Home |  | 24 | 24 | 4 | 52 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Southern Cross Healthcare T/A Greenhaw Lodge Care Home | \# | - | - | - | 55 | - | - |
| Southern Cross Healthcare T/A Kingsland Care Centre |  | - | - | - | 46 | - | - |
| Southern Cross Healthcare T/A Marina Care Home |  | 15 | 28 | 0 | 43 | [34.9\%] | [65.1\%] |
| Southern Cross Healthcare T/A Melmount Manor Care Home |  | 19 | 93 | 4 | 116 | [17.0\%] | [83.0\%] |
| Southern Cross Healthcare T/A Pond Park Care Centre |  | 27 | 25 | 12 | 64 | [51.9\%] | [48.1\%] |
| Southern Cross Healthcare T/A Rockfield Care Centre | \# | - | - | - | 48 | - | - |
| Southern Cross Healthcare T/A The Model Care Centre |  | 30 | 17 | 7 | 54 | [63.8\%] | [36.2\%] |
| Southern Cross Healthcare T/A The Montague Care Centre |  | 40 | 27 | 6 | 73 | [59.7\%] | [40.3\%] |
| Southern Cross Healthcare T/A The Retreat Care Home |  | 31 | 11 | 12 | 54 | [73.8\%] | [26.2\%] |
| Spa Nursing Homes Ltd |  | 67 | 96 | 25 | 188 | [41.1\%] | [58.9\%] |
| Sparky Pac Ltd |  | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Spec-drum Engineering | \# | - | - | - | 61 | - | - |
| Specialist Joinery Fittings Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 62 | - | - |
| Spendlove C Jebb |  | 46 | 35 | 4 | 85 | [56.8\%] | [43.2\%] |
| Sperrin Caring Services Agency Ltd |  | 29 | 74 | 1 | 104 | [28.2\%] | [71.8\%] |
| Sperrin Integrated College |  | 16 | 19 | 0 | 35 | [45.7\%] | [54.3\%] |
| Sperrin Metal Products Ltd |  | 16 | 71 | 3 | 90 | [18.4\%] | [81.6\%] |
| Sports Crest Ltd | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Sports Institute Northern Ireland |  | 15 | 13 | 2 | 30 | [53.6\%] | [46.4\%] |
| Sportsbowl Ltd |  | 36 | 19 | 2 | 57 | [65.5\%] | [34.5\%] |
| Spratt J \& Company Ltd | * | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Springfarm Architectural Mouldings Ltd |  | 54 | 16 | 7 | 77 | [77.1\%] | [22.9\%] |
| Springisland Supermarket Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 64 | - | - |
| Springlawn House Private Nursing Home Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| Springvale EPS Ltd | * | - | - | - | 46 | - | - |
| Springvale Training Ltd |  | 14 | 51 | 6 | 71 | [21.5\%] | [78.5\%] |
| Sprott William (Portadown) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| SPS Ireland Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| SRB Care Ltd |  | 24 | 32 | 0 | 56 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| St Colman's College | \# | - | - | - | 45 | - | - |
| St Dominic's High School | \# | - | - | - | 41 | - | - |
| St Francis Nursing Home |  | 13 | 16 | 1 | 30 | [44.8\%] | [55.2\%] |
| St John of God Association | \# | - | - | - | 89 | - | - |
| St John's House |  | 21 | 85 | 3 | 109 | [19.8\%] | [80.2\%] |
| St Joseph's Grammar School Donaghmore | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| St Louis Grammar School |  | 14 | 24 | 2 | 40 | [36.8\%] | [63.2\%] |
| St MacNissi's College | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| St Malachy's College |  | 20 | 64 | 1 | 85 | [23.8\%] | [76.2\%] |
| St Mary's CBGS | \# | - | - | - | 62 | - |  |
| St Mary's Grammar School |  | 15 | 51 | 0 | 66 | [22.7\%] | [77.3\%] |
| St Mary's University College |  | 11 | 149 | 9 | 169 | [6.9\%] | [93.1\%] |
| St Michael's College | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| St Michael's Grammar School | \# | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| St Patrick's Academy | \# | - | - | - | 68 | - | - |
| St Patricks Grammar School | \# | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| St Patrick's Grammar School | \# | - | - | - | 44 | - | - |
| Stanley Motor Works (1932) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 46 | - | - |
| Starbucks Coffee Company (UK) Ltd |  | 81 | 62 | 77 | 220 | [56.6\%] | [43.4\%] |

[^88]
## Composition of Private Sector Concerns (26+ employees)

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Starplan Furniture Ltd |  | 79 | 30 | 10 | 119 | [72.5\%] | [27.5\%] |
| Stena Line Ltd |  | 73 | 26 | 6 | 105 | [73.7\%] | [26.3\%] |
| Stephens Catering Equipment Company Ltd |  | 63 | 14 | 2 | 79 | [81.8\%] | [18.2\%] |
| Stephens, WH | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Stepping Stones Northern Ireland Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Steria Ltd |  | 24 | 14 | 7 | 45 | [63.2\%] | [36.8\%] |
| Stevenson \& Reid |  | 33 | 10 | 0 | 43 | [76.7\%] | [23.3\%] |
| Stevenson \& Wilson | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Stevenson Darrel T/A Causeway Hotel | * | - | - | - | 56 | - | - |
| Stevenson James (Quarries) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Stevenson Maurice Ltd |  | 27 | 13 | 1 | 41 | [67.5\%] | [32.5\%] |
| Stewart, JC Ltd |  | 53 | 36 | 4 | 93 | [59.6\%] | [40.4\%] |
| Stewart Mervyn Ltd |  | 37 | 10 | 3 | 50 | [78.7\%] | [21.3\%] |
| Stewarts Solicitors | * | - | - | - | 44 | - | - |
| Stothers (M \& E) Ltd |  | 38 | 27 | 0 | 65 | [58.5\%] | [41.5\%] |
| Strabane \& District Caring Services | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Strangford Arms Hotel | * | - | - | - | 49 | - | - |
| Strathearn School | * | - | - | - | 60 | - | - |
| Strathroy Dairy Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 135 | - | - |
| Stream Global Services | \# | - | - | - | 48 | - | - |
| Streat Franchising Ltd, The |  | 24 | 30 | 4 | 58 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\%] |
| Strong Inns Ltd T/A Chimney Corner Hotel |  | 16 | 15 | 1 | 32 | [51.6\%] | [48.4\%] |
| Sullivan Upper School | * | - | - | - | 62 |  | - |
| Sunnymead (Armagh) Ltd |  | 34 | 19 | 0 | 53 | [64.2\%] | [35.8\%] |
| Sunray Home Bakeries Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Superdrug Stores PLC |  | 141 | 205 | 179 | 525 | [40.8\%] | [59.2\%] |
| Supervalu Shantallow | \# | - | - | - | 63 |  | - |
| Surefreight Ltd |  | 62 | 92 | 0 | 154 | [40.3\%] | [59.7\%] |
| Surphlis, LW \& Son |  | 31 | 15 | 0 | 46 | [67.4\%] | [32.6\%] |
| Swinton Group Ltd T/A Open \& Direct Insurance |  | 170 | 88 | 8 | 266 | [65.9\%] | [34.1\%] |
| Sword Security (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 159 | 55 | 16 | 230 | [74.3\%] | [25.7\%] |
| T Mc Conaghy \& Sons Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 153 | - | - |
| Take 'n' Bake Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Tal Ltd |  | 29 | 32 | 0 | 61 | [47.5\%] | [52.5\%] |
| Tamlaght Private Nursing Home Ltd | * | - | - | - | 59 | - | - |
| Taranto Ltd |  | 24 | 30 | 0 | 54 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\%] |
| Target Recruitment Personnel Ltd |  | 56 | 94 | 0 | 150 | [37.3\%] | [62.7\%] |
| Tarmac Ltd |  | 23 | 11 | 0 | 34 | [67.6\%] | [32.4\%] |
| Task Recruitment Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Tata Steel UK Ltd |  | 63 | 25 | 1 | 89 | [71.6\%] | [28.4\%] |
| Taylor \& Boyd LLP |  | 14 | 12 | 0 | 26 | [53.8\%] | [46.2\%] |
| Tayto Group |  | 302 | 90 | 43 | 435 | [77.0\%] | [23.0\%] |
| TC Contractors |  | 109 | 96 | 115 | 320 | [53.2\%] | [46.8\%] |
| Tech Mahindra Ltd |  | 11 | 11 | 8 | 30 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Tech Trade Recruiting Ltd |  | 18 | 63 | 27 | 108 | [22.2\%] | [77.8\%] |
| Telco Trading Ltd |  | 17 | 13 | 0 | 30 | [56.7\%] | [43.3\%] |
| Telefónica UK Ltd |  | 51 | 57 | 16 | 124 | [47.2\%] | [52.8\%] |
| Teleperformance |  | 774 | 638 | 145 | 1,557 | [54.8\%] | [45.2\%] |
| Telestack International | \# | - | - | - | 58 | - |  |

[^89]
## Composition of Private Sector Concerns (26+ employees)

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [\%P] [\%RC] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teletech Holdings Inc |  | 114 | 147 | 244 | 505 |
| Templeton Hotel |  | 62 | 21 | 12 | 95 |
| [43.7\%] [56.3\%] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ten Square | $\#$ | - | - | - | 54 |
| Tenderlean Ltd |  | 11 | 39 | 1 | 51 |
| Tennant Charles \& Company (Northern Ireland) Ltd | $*$ | - | - | - | 39 |

[^90]
## Composition of Private Sector Concerns (26+ employees)

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Toys "R" Us Ltd |  | 60 | 46 | 9 | 115 | [56.6\%] | [43.4\%] |
| Tracey Brothers Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 58 | - | - |
| Tracey Concrete Ltd |  | 14 | 63 | 0 | 77 | [18.2\%] | [81.8\%] |
| Trackars |  | 28 | 33 | 22 | 83 | [45.9\%] | [54.1\%] |
| Trade Mouldings Ltd |  | 14 | 27 | 17 | 58 | [34.1\%] | [65.9\%] |
| Tradeteam Ltd (DHL) |  | 38 | 16 | 12 | 66 | [70.4\%] | [29.6\%] |
| Transport Supplies (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - |  |
| Traynors Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 62 |  |  |
| Triangle Engineering Company Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 |  | - |
| Triangle Housing Association Ltd |  | 131 | 76 | 25 | 232 | [63.3\%] | [36.7\%] |
| Tribal Helm Tribal Consulting |  | 13 | 28 | 4 | 45 | [31.7\%] | [68.3\%] |
| Trinity Housing Ltd |  | 28 | 13 | 0 | 41 | [68.3\%] | [31.7\%] |
| Trolan Charles T/A Trolan's Supervalu |  | 30 | 27 | 2 | 59 | [52.6\%] | [47.4\%] |
| Trouw Nutrition |  | 12 | 15 | 2 | 29 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\%] |
| Trust Caring \& Nursing Agency |  | 39 | 147 | 3 | 189 | [21.0\%] | [79.0\%] |
| TS Foods Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 53 | - | - |
| Tughans |  | 40 | 39 | 2 | 81 | [50.6\%] | [49.4\%] |
| TUI UK Ltd |  | 51 | 41 | 78 | 170 | [55.4\%] | [44.6\%] |
| Tullyglass House Hotel |  | 18 | 29 | 16 | 63 | [38.3\%] | [61.7\%] |
| Tullyraine Quarries Ltd |  | 16 | 17 | 0 | 33 | [48.5\%] | [51.5\%] |
| Turkington JH \& Sons Ltd |  | 124 | 13 | 6 | 143 | [90.5\%] | [9.5\%] |
| Turtles of Rathkenny | * | - | - | - | 32 | - |  |
| Tyrone Fabrication Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 66 |  |  |
| Ulster Anaesthetics Ltd | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Ulster Bank Ltd |  | 1,355 | 991 | 155 | 2,501 | [57.8\%] | [42.2\%] |
| Ulster Cancer Foundation |  | 37 | 26 | 6 | 69 | [58.7\%] | [41.3\%] |
| Ulster Carpets Ltd |  | 231 | 47 | 15 | 293 | [83.1\%] | [16.9\%] |
| Ulster Council GAA | \# | - | - | - | 82 | - | - |
| Ulster Farm By-products Ltd |  | 29 | 13 | 0 | 42 | [69.0\%] | [31.0\%] |
| Ulster Farmers Union | * | - | - | - | 78 | - | - |
| Ulster Independent Clinic Ltd, The |  | 197 | 66 | 9 | 272 | [74.9\%] | [25.1\%] |
| Ulster Journals Ltd |  | 12 | 18 | 0 | 30 | [40.0\%] | [60.0\%] |
| Ulster Orchestra Society Ltd |  | 22 | 15 | 40 | 77 | [59.5\%] | [40.5\%] |
| Ulster Stores Ltd |  | 118 | 43 | 12 | 173 | [73.3\%] | [26.7\%] |
| Ulster Weavers Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Ulster Wildlife Trust |  | 36 | 19 | 16 | 71 | [65.5\%] | [34.5\%] |
| Ultra Building Products Ltd |  | 14 | 45 | 0 | 59 | [23.7\%] | [76.3\%] |
| Unibase Cabinet Systems Ltd |  | 19 | 13 | 4 | 36 | [59.4\%] | [40.6\%] |
| Unicorn Containers Ltd | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Unicorn Mouldings Ltd |  | 24 | 19 | 12 | 55 | [55.8\%] | [44.2\%] |
| Unison |  | 10 | 13 | 3 | 26 | [43.5\%] | [56.5\%] |
| Unite Union |  | 21 | 15 | 1 | 37 | [58.3\%] | [41.7\%] |
| United Biscuits (UK) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| United Cleaning Services Ltd |  | 16 | 40 | 21 | 77 | [28.6\%] | [71.4\%] |
| United Dairy Farmers Ltd |  | 444 | 105 | 25 | 574 | [80.9\%] | [19.1\%] |
| United Feeds Ltd | * | - | - | - | 50 | - | - |
| United Optical Laboratories Ltd |  | 18 | 34 | 1 | 53 | [34.6\%] | [65.4\%] |
| United Wine Merchants Ltd |  | 15 | 38 | 0 | 53 | [28.3\%] | [71.7\%] |
| Uni-trunk Ltd |  | 36 | 10 | 5 | 51 | [78.3\%] | [21.7\%] |

[^91]
## Composition of Private Sector Concerns (26+ employees)

University of Ulster
University of Ulster Students Union
Upper Andersonstown Community Forum
UPU Industries Ltd
Urban Outfitters UK Ltd
URS Scott Wilson Ltd
UTV Media PLC
Valley Hotel, The
Valley Private Nursing Home, The
Valpar Industrial Ltd
Valuecabs Ltd
Vaughan Engineering Services Ltd
Vector Workplace \& Facility Management Ltd
Veolia Water Outsourcing Ltd
Versatile Butchers LLP
Versatile Foods Ltd
Veterinary Surgeons Supply Company Ltd
VH Mc Devitt \& Son Ltd
Victim Support Northern Ireland
Victoria College Belfast
Villa Italia
Vine Centre Ltd, The
Vion Food UK Ltd (Cookstown)
Virgin Media
VIS Security Solutions Ltd
Vodafone (Northern Ireland) Ltd
Voice of Young People in Care
Voluntary Service Lisburn Ltd
Volunteer Now
VSG
W Middleton \& Son (Northern Ireland) Ltd T/A Middleton Seafoods W5
Waddell Media Ltd
Wade Training Ltd
Walker RJ T/A The Country Garage
Walkers Snacks Ltd
Wallace Contracts (Northern Ireland) Ltd
Wallace High School, The
Wandsworth Pubs Ltd
Warden Bros (Newtownards) Ltd
Warehouse Fashion Ltd
Warmflow Engineering Company Ltd
Warner Chilcott (UK) Ltd
Warwick Cecil T/A Warwick Engineering
Wastebeater Recycling
Watson Walter Ltd
Waveney Laundry Ltd
WD Meats Ltd
WDL Developments Ltd
$\begin{array}{lllll}1,753 & 1,285 & 400 & 3,438 & \text { [57.7\%] [42.3\%] }\end{array}$

|  | 35 | 40 | 4 | 79 | [46.7\%] [53.3\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\#$ | - | - | - | 38 | - |

\#

| 43 | 12 | 8 | 63 | [78.2\%] [21.8\%] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 10 | 12 | 13 | 35 | [45.5\%] [54.5\%] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 102 | 74 | 10 | 186 | [58.0\%] [42.0\%] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{lllll}130 & 82 & 25 & 237 & \text { [61.3\%] [38.7\%] }\end{array}$
$213034 \begin{array}{llll} & 10 & 3 & 37.7 \%] \\ {[32.3 \%]}\end{array}$
$3241 \quad 27 \quad 100 \quad$ [43.8\%] [56.2\%]

| 37 | - | - | 47 | - |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | 26 | 9 | 72 | [58.7\%] [41.3\%] |


| 70 | 29 | 5 | 104 | [70.7\%] [29.3\%] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$18 \quad 12 \quad 3 \quad 33 \quad$ [60.0\%] [40.0\%]

| 31 | 13 | 14 | 58 | [70.5\%] [29.5\%] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$48 \quad 40 \quad 9 \quad 97 \quad$ [54.5\%] [45.5\%]
1332136 [28.9\%] [71.1\%]

| 22 | 10 | 0 | 32 | $[68.8 \%][31.3 \%]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 28 | 30 | 3 | 61 | $[48.3 \%][51.7 \%]$ |
| 33 | 12 | 4 | 49 | $[73.3 \%][26.7 \%]$ |
| 45 | 97 | 18 | 160 | $[31.7 \%][68.3 \%]$ |
| - | - | - | 29 | - |
| 197 | 212 | 278 | 687 | $[48.2 \%][51.8 \%]$ |

[65.4\%] [34.6\%]
[62.8\%] [37.2\%]
[32.3\%] [67.7\%]
[45.2\%] [54.8\%]
[67.0\%] [33.0\%]
[50.0\%] [50.0\%]
[80.9\%] [19.1\%]
[56.5\%] [43.5\%]
[66.7\%] [33.3\%]
[77.6\%] [22.4\%]
[42.5\%] [57.5\%]
[74.7\%] [25.3\%]
[72.0\%] [28.0\%]
[67.6\%] [32.4\%]

| 82 | 87 | 0 | 169 | $[48.5 \%]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| - | - | - | 38 | - |
|  | - |  |  |  |

1012041306 [33.1\%] [66.9\%]
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{lllll}23 & 11 & 0 & 34 & {[67.6 \%]}\end{array}\right] 32.4 \%\right]$

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees


## Composition of Private Sector Concerns (26+ employees)

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Webtech (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 31 | 30 | 8 | 69 | [50.8\%] | [49.2\%] |
| Wee Care Day Nursery |  | 62 | 37 | 3 | 102 | [62.6\%] | [37.4\%] |
| Weir \& Mc Quiston Ltd | * | - | - | - | 53 | - | - |
| Welcome Hotels Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Wellington Computer Systems Ltd |  | 11 | 18 | 3 | 32 | [37.9\%] | [62.1\%] |
| Wellington International Ltd |  | 16 | 14 | 1 | 31 | [53.3\%] | [46.7\%] |
| Wellington Park Hotel |  | 17 | 90 | 18 | 125 | [15.9\%] | [84.1\%] |
| Wesley Housing Association Ltd | * | - | - | - | 38 | - |  |
| West Coast Capital (USC) Ltd |  | 31 | 12 | 7 | 50 | [72.1\%] | [27.9\%] |
| Western Building Systems Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 59 | - | - |
| Western Urgent Care Ltd |  | 63 | 127 | 20 | 210 | [33.2\%] | [66.8\%] |
| Westland Horticulture Ltd |  | 76 | 93 | 9 | 178 | [45.0\%] | [55.0\%] |
| Wetherspoon JD PLC |  | 72 | 97 | 54 | 223 | [42.6\%] | [57.4\%] |
| Whistledown Inn Ltd, The | \# | - | - | - | 40 |  |  |
| Whitbread PLC Whitebread Court | * | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| White Philip Tyres Ltd |  | 16 | 34 | 7 | 57 | [32.0\%] | [68.0\%] |
| White Young Green |  | 91 | 54 | 31 | 176 | [62.8\%] | [37.2\%] |
| Whitehead Private Nursing Home Ltd | * | - | - | - | 36 | - |  |
| Whitehouse Retail Group | \# | - | - | - | 64 | - |  |
| Whitemountain Quarries Ltd |  | 72 | 118 | 11 | 201 | [37.9\%] | [62.1\%] |
| Whiterock Creche Association Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 31 | - |  |
| Wholesale Beds \& Furniture Ltd |  | 23 | 42 | 1 | 66 | [35.4\%] | [64.6\%] |
| Williams Industrial Services Ltd |  | 128 | 45 | 0 | 173 | [74.0\%] | [26.0\%] |
| Willis \& Company (Insurance Brokers) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 29 | - |  |
| Willis Ltd |  | 53 | 19 | 3 | 75 | [73.6\%] | [26.4\%] |
| Willowbrook Foods |  | 34 | 10 | 61 | 105 | [77.3\%] | [22.7\%] |
| Willstan Ltd T/A William Hill |  | 138 | 65 | 7 | 210 | [68.0\%] | [32.0\%] |
| Wilson FG (Engineering) Ltd |  | 1,410 | 603 | 137 | 2,150 | [70.0\%] | [30.0\%] |
| Wilson Nesbitt Solicitors |  | 40 | 15 | 2 | 57 | [72.7\%] | [27.3\%] |
| Wilsons Auctions Ltd |  | 44 | 16 | 0 | 60 | [73.3\%] | [26.7\%] |
| Wilson's Country Ltd |  | 67 | 29 | 23 | 119 | [69.8\%] | [30.2\%] |
| Wilsons of Rathkenny Group Ltd |  | 47 | 17 | 0 | 64 | [73.4\%] | [26.6\%] |
| Wincanton |  | 272 | 162 | 70 | 504 | [62.7\%] | [37.3\%] |
| Windell Ltd |  | 44 | 10 | 2 | 56 | [81.5\%] | [18.5\%] |
| Windmill Restaurants Ltd |  | 122 | 123 | 33 | 278 | [49.8\%] | [50.2\%] |
| Windsor Home Bakery |  | 70 | 37 | 0 | 107 | [65.4\%] | [34.6\%] |
| Windsor Womens Centre | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Wine Company, The T/A Little Rock |  | 20 | 34 | 3 | 57 | [37.0\%] | [63.0\%] |
| Wineflair (Belfast) Ltd |  | 121 | 145 | 65 | 331 | [45.5\%] | [54.5\%] |
| WJ Mc Cormick \& Sons Ltd | * | - | - | - | 47 | - |  |
| WJM Building Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 71 | - |  |
| Wolseley Centers Ltd T/A Plumb Center |  | 49 | 18 | 3 | 70 | [73.1\%] | [26.9\%] |
| Women's Aid in Antrim, Ballymena, Carrickfergus, Larne \& Newtownabbey |  | 16 | 10 | 2 | 28 | [61.5\%] | [38.5\%] |
| Woodburn Engineering Ltd |  | 10 | 16 | 0 | 26 | [38.5\%] | [61.5\%] |
| Woods John (Lisglyn) Ltd |  | 61 | 190 | 8 | 259 | [24.3\%] | [75.7\%] |
| Woods L \& J T/A Woodmount Nursing Home |  | 14 | 29 | 0 | 43 | [32.6\%] | [67.4\%] |
| Woodside Haulage Holdings Ltd | * | - | - | - | 233 | - |  |
| Woodside TF \& Company Ltd |  | 85 | 20 | 5 | 110 | [81.0\%] | [19.0\%] |

[^92]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Woodsides (Ballyclare) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 97 | - |  |
| Woodwin Catering Ltd T/A Hungry House Fine Sandwiches |  | 29 | 13 | 2 | 44 | [69.0\%] | [31.0\% |
| Workers Educational Association (Northern Ireland) |  | 19 | 27 | 4 | 50 | [41.3\%] | [58.7\% |
| Workforce Training Services Ltd | \# |  | - | - | 36 | - |  |
| Workspace (Draperstown) Ltd |  | 19 | 85 | 12 | 116 | [18.3\%] | [81.7\% |
| Worthingtons |  | 18 | 13 | 0 | 31 | [58.1\%] | [41.9\% |
| WDR \& RT Taggart |  | 58 | 16 | 3 | 77 | [78.4\%] | [21.6\% |
| Wright Accident Repair Ltd |  | 145 | 24 | 6 | 175 | [85.8\%] | [14.2\% |
| Wright Composites Ltd |  | 76 | 42 | 4 | 122 | [64.4\%] | [35.6\% |
| Wright Leslie \& Son |  | 38 | 20 | 0 | 58 | [65.5\%] | [34.5\% |
| Wrightbus Ltd |  | 775 | 73 | 54 | 902 | [91.4\%] | [8.6\% |
| Wyse Byse | * | - | - | - | 102 |  |  |
| Xerox (UK) Ltd |  | 25 | 18 | 2 | 45 | [58.1\%] | [41.9\% |
| Xtra-vision |  | 157 | 128 | 0 | 285 | [55.1\%] | [44.9\% |
| Yell |  | 55 | 65 | 30 | 150 | [45.8\%] | [54.2\% |
| York Inn Leisure \& Retail Ltd |  | 24 | 15 | 8 | 47 | [61.5\%] | [38.5\% |
| Yorkgate Bingo Company Ltd (Galaxy) |  | 12 | 15 | 0 | 27 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\% |
| Young Enterprise Northern Ireland |  | 24 | 21 | 1 | 46 | [53.3\%] | [46.7\% |
| Younger Homes Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 36 | - |  |
| Youth Action (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 19 | 61 | 0 | 80 | [23.8\%] | [76.3\% |
| Youth Hostel Association of Northern Ireland | * | - | - | - | 33 | - |  |
| Zara (UK) Ltd |  | 10 | 24 | 4 | 38 | [29.4\%] | [70.6\% |
| Zenith Hygiene Systems |  | 17 | 17 | 19 | 53 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\% |
| Zeus Packaging (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - |  |
| Zion's Den Childcare Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 32 | - |  |
| Zurich Insurance Company |  | 24 | 14 | 0 | 38 | [63.2\%] | [36.8\% |
| Zwecker Noel International Transport Ltd |  | 37 | 16 | 0 | 53 | [69.8\%] | [30.2\% |
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 Section 3
## Composition of Appointees to Individual Specified Authorities (26+ employees)

## Composition of Appointees to Individual Specified Authorities

| Specified Authority | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agri-food \& Biosciences Institute | 57 | 40 | 21 | 118 | [58.8\%] | [41.2\%] |
| Antrim Borough Council | 12 | 3 | 0 | 15 | [80.0\%] | [20.0\%] |
| Ards Borough Council | 27 | 6 | 2 | 35 | [81.8\%] | [18.2\%] |
| Armagh City \& District Council | 17 | 19 | 1 | 37 | [47.2\%] | [52.8\%] |
| Arts Council of Northern Ireland | 7 | 10 | 1 | 18 | [41.2\%] | [58.8\%] |
| Ballymena Borough Council | 11 | 7 | 2 | 20 | [61.1\%] | [38.9\%] |
| Ballymoney Borough Council | - | - | - | 1 |  | - |
| Banbridge District Council | 18 | 9 | 5 | 32 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Belfast City Council | 138 | 146 | 17 | 301 | [48.6\%] | [51.4\%] |
| Belfast Education \& Library Board Equal Opportunities Unit | 62 | 60 | 6 | 128 | [50.8\%] | [49.2\%] |
| Belfast Harbour Commissioners | 5 | 4 | 1 | 10 | [55.6\%] | [44.4\%] |
| Belfast Health \& Social Care Trust | 860 | 1,012 | 229 | 2101 | [45.9\%] | [54.1\%] |
| Big Lottery Fund, The | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | [33.3\%] | [66.6\%] |
| Board of Trustees of The National Museums \& Galleries of Northern Ireland | 38 | 35 | 28 | 101 | [52.1\%] | [47.9\%] |
| British Broadcasting Corporation | 24 | 38 | 15 | 77 | [38.7\%] | [61.3\%] |
| Carrickfergus Borough Council | 28 | 3 | 5 | 36 | [90.3\%] | [9.7\%] |
| Castlereagh Borough Council | 30 | 10 | 4 | 44 | [75.0\%] | [25.0\%] |
| Chief Constable Of The Police Service Of Northern Ireland | 198 | 190 | 7 | 395 | [51.0\%] | [49.0\%] |
| Chief Electoral Officer For Northern Ireland, The | 3 | 7 | 0 | 10 | [30.0\%] | [70.0\%] |
| Citybus Ltd | 5 | 8 | 2 | 15 | [38.5\%] | [61.5\%] |
| Coleraine Borough Council | 17 | 11 | 6 | 34 | [60.7\%] | [39.3\%] |
| Commissioner for Children \& Young People for Northern Ireland | 3 | 3 | 2 | 8 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Comptroller \& Auditor General for Northern Ireland | 4 | 3 | 0 | 7 | [57.1\%] | [42.9\%] |
| Construction Industry Training Board | - | - | - | 1 |  | - |
| Cookstown District Council | 13 | 17 | 0 | 30 | [43.3\%] | [56.7\%] |
| Council for Catholic Maintained Schools | - | - | - | 3 |  | - |
| Craigavon Borough Council | 81 | 97 | 8 | 186 | [45.5\%] | [54.5\%] |
| Derry City Council | 15 | 45 | 4 | 64 | [25.0\%] | [75.0\%] |
| Down District Council | 10 | 18 | 0 | 28 | [35.7\%] | [64.3\%] |
| Dungannon \& South Tyrone Borough Council | 12 | 25 | 1 | 38 | [32.4\%] | [67.6\%] |
| Equality Commission for Northern Ireland | 3 | 6 | 1 | 10 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |
| Fermanagh District Council | 10 | 19 | 2 | 31 | [34.5\%] | [65.5\%] |
| Food Standards Agency Northern Ireland | - | - | - | 2 |  | - |
| Foyle Carlingford \& Irish Lights Commission | - | - | - | 9 |  | - |
| General Consumer Council for Northern Ireland | 6 | 9 | 0 | 15 | [40.0\%] | [60.0\%] |
| Governing Body of Belfast Metropolitan College, The | 21 | 24 | 8 | 53 | [46.7\%] | [53.3\%] |
| Governing Body of North West Regional College, The | 21 | 89 | 14 | 124 | [19.1\%] | [80.9\%] |
| Governing Body of Northern Regional College, The | 54 | 31 | 2 | 87 | [63.5\%] | [36.5\%] |
| Governing Body of South Eastern Regional College, The | 52 | 43 | 15 | 110 | [54.7\%] | [45.3\%] |
| Governing Body of South West College, The | 54 | 84 | 15 | 153 | [39.1\%] | [60.9\%] |
| Governing Body of Southern Regional College, The | 50 | 53 | 4 | 107 | [48.5\%] | [51.5\%] |
| Governing Body of Stranmillis University College, Belfast | 5 | 7 | 5 | 17 | [41.7\%] | [58.3\%] |
| Governors of The Armagh Observatory And Planetarium | - | - | - | 1 |  | - |
| Head of Department of Finance \& Personnel | 805 | 734 | 107 | 1646 | [52.3\%] | [47.7\%] |
| Health \& Social Care Regulation \& Quality Improvement Authority | 21 | 18 | 4 | 43 | [53.8\%] | [46.2\%] |
| Invest Northern Ireland | 33 | 39 | 0 | 72 | [45.8\%] | [54.2\%] |
| Labour Relations Agency | - | - | - | 2 |  | - |
| Larne Borough Council | 9 | 4 | 1 | 14 | [69.2\%] | [30.8\%] |
| Limavady Borough Council | 8 | 10 | 3 | 21 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\%] |
| Lisburn City Council | 40 | 24 | 5 | 69 | [62.5\%] | [37.5\%] |
| Livestock \& Meat Commission for Northern Ireland | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 | [40.0\%] | [60.0\%] |
| Londonderry Port \& Harbour Commissioners | - | - | - | 4 |  | - |
| Magherafelt District Council | 10 | 24 | 0 | 34 | [29.4\%] | [70.6\%] |
| Minister for the Civil Service, The | 127 | 76 | 78 | 281 | [62.6\%] | [37.4\%] |
| Moyle District Council | 5 | 3 | 1 | 9 | [62.5\%] | [37.5\%] |

Note: The breakdown of appointees by community background is redacted where the publication of this information would make it possible to identify the community background of an individual.

# Composition of Appointees to Individual Specified Authorities 

| Specified Authority | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Newry \& Mourne District Council | 8 | 23 | 5 | 36 | [25.8\%] | [74.2\%] |
| Newtownabbey Borough Council | 61 | 15 | 8 | 84 | [80.3\%] | [19.7\%] |
| North Down Borough Council | 39 | 9 | 6 | 54 | [81.3\%] | [18.8\%] |
| North Eastern Education \& Library Board | 199 | 85 | 23 | 307 | [70.1\%] | [29.9\%] |
| Northern Health \& Social Care Trust | 629 | 462 | 146 | 1237 | [57.7\%] | [42.3\%] |
| Northern Ireland Ambulance Service Health \& Social Services Trust | 14 | 8 | 11 | 33 | [63.6\%] | [36.4\%] |
| Northern Ireland Assembly Commission | 95 | 65 | 9 | 169 | [59.4\%] | [40.6\%] |
| Northern Ireland Blood Transfusion Service Agency | 8 | 7 | 0 | 15 | [53.3\%] | [46.7\%] |
| Northern Ireland Community Relations Council | 7 | 12 | 0 | 19 | [36.8\%] | [63.2\%] |
| Northern Ireland Council for the Curriculum Examinations \& Assessment | 15 | 15 | 13 | 43 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Northern Ireland Fire and Rescue Service Board | 66 | 76 | 12 | 154 | [46.5\%] | [53.5\%] |
| Northern Ireland Guardian Ad Litem Agency | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | [66.6\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Northern Ireland Housing Executive | 51 | 79 | 16 | 146 | [39.2\%] | [60.8\%] |
| Northern Ireland Legal Services Commission | 14 | 13 | 0 | 27 | [51.9\%] | [48.1\%] |
| Northern Ireland Local Government Officers' Superannuation Committee | 5 | 6 | 1 | 12 | [45.5\%] | [54.5\%] |
| Northern Ireland Medical and Dental Training Agency | 14 | 12 | 2 | 28 | [53.8\%] | [46.2\%] |
| Northern Ireland Policing Board, The ${ }^{1}$ | 34 | 14 | 2 | 50 | [70.8\%] | [29.2\%] |
| Northern Ireland Railways Company Ltd | 3 | 3 | 1 | 7 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Northern Ireland Screen Commission | 6 | 18 | 2 | 26 | [25.0\%] | [75.0\%] |
| Northern Ireland Social Care Council | 7 | 11 | 3 | 21 | [38.9\%] | [61.1\%] |
| Northern Ireland Tourist Board | 12 | 15 | 5 | 32 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\%] |
| Northern Ireland Transport Holding Company | - | - | - | 5 |  | - |
| Northern Ireland Water Ltd | 27 | 29 | 23 | 79 | [48.2\%] | [51.8\%] |
| Omagh District Council | 7 | 22 | 2 | 31 | [24.1\%] | [75.9\%] |
| Police Ombudsman for Northern Ireland, The | 8 | 4 | 1 | 13 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Probation Board for Northern Ireland | 18 | 26 | 1 | 45 | [40.9\%] | [59.1\%] |
| Royal Mail Group PLC | 95 | 94 | 0 | 189 | [50.3\%] | [49.7\%] |
| Secretary of State for Defence | - | - | - | 6 |  | - |
| South Eastern Education \& Library Board | 99 | 121 | 52 | 272 | [45.0\%] | [55.0\%] |
| South Eastern Health \& Social Care Trust | 858 | 595 | 267 | 1720 | [59.1\%] | [40.9\%] |
| Southern Education \& Library Board | 204 | 229 | 14 | 447 | [47.1\%] | [52.9\%] |
| Southern Health \& Social Care Trust | 577 | 817 | 122 | 1516 | [41.4\%] | [58.6\%] |
| Special EU Programmes Body, The | 6 | 5 | 0 | 11 | [54.5\%] | [45.5\%] |
| Sports Council for Northern Ireland | 8 | 7 | 1 | 16 | [53.3\%] | [46.7\%] |
| Strabane District Council | 3 | 12 | 0 | 15 | [20.0\%] | [80.0\%] |
| Strategic Investment Board Ltd | 2 | 3 | 3 | 8 | [40.0\%] | [60.0\%] |
| Trade \& Business Development Body, The | 2 | 6 | 1 | 9 | [25.0\%] | [75.0\%] |
| Ulster Supported Employment Ltd | 5 | 6 | 2 | 13 | [45.5\%] | [54.5\%] |
| Ulsterbus Ltd | 21 | 11 | 2 | 34 | [65.6\%] | [34.4\%] |
| Warrenpoint Harbour Authority | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | - |
| Waterways Ireland | 2 | 9 | 0 | 11 | [18.2\%] | [81.8\%] |
| Western Education \& Library Board | 84 | 167 | 5 | 256 | [33.5\%] | [66.5\%] |
| Western Health \& Social Care Trust | 296 | 735 | 64 | 1095 | [28.7\%] | [71.3\%] |
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Section 4

## Composition of Appointees to Private Sector Concerns (251+ employees only)

## Composition of Appointees to Private Sector Concerns (251+ employees)

| Company Name | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acheson \& Glover Ltd | 28 | 34 | 7 | 69 | [45.2\%] | [54.8\%] |
| AIB Group (UK) PLC T/A First Trust Bank | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | - |  |
| Allied Bakeries Ireland | 26 | 8 | 3 | 37 | [76.5\%] | [23.5\%] |
| Allstate Northern Ireland | 70 | 174 | 99 | 343 | [28.7\%] | [71.3\%] |
| Almac Clinical Services Ltd | 56 | 62 | 26 | 144 | [47.5\%] | [52.5\%] |
| Almac Pharma Services Ltd | 24 | 24 | 13 | 61 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Andras House Ltd | 24 | 26 | 45 | 95 | [48.0\%] | [52.0\%] |
| Apex Housing Association | 24 | 48 | 8 | 80 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |
| Arcadia Group Ltd Dorothy Perkins | 46 | 61 | 1 | 108 | [43.0\%] | [57.0\%] |
| Arcadia Group Ltd T/A Top Shop Retail | 32 | 45 | 0 | 77 | [41.6\%] | [58.4\%] |
| Argos Ltd | 92 | 101 | 6 | 199 | [47.7\%] | [52.3\%] |
| Asda Stores Ltd | 571 | 482 | 13 | 1066 | [54.2\%] | [45.8\%] |
| Autism Initiatives | 32 | 49 | 5 | 86 | [39.5\%] | [60.5\%] |
| Avondale Foods (Craigavon) Ltd | 5 | 6 | 12 | 23 | [45.5\%] | [54.5\%] |
| AVX Ltd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |  |
| AXA Insurance | 8 | 15 | 1 | 24 | [34.8\%] | [65.2\%] |
| B \& Q PLC | 47 | 27 | 19 | 93 | [63.5\%] | [36.5\%] |
| Balcas Timber Ltd | 7 | 24 | 3 | 34 | [22.6\%] | [77.4\%] |
| Bank of Ireland | 8 | 6 | 2 | 16 | [57.1\%] | [42.9\%] |
| Barnardos | 33 | 39 | 13 | 85 | [45.8\%] | [54.2\%] |
| Barratts Priceless Ltd | 13 | 17 | 4 | 34 | [43.3\%] | [56.7\%] |
| BE Aerospace (UK) Ltd | 1 | 4 | 2 | 7 | [20.0\%] | [80.0\%] |
| Boots UK Ltd | 104 | 102 | 61 | 267 | [50.5\%] | [49.5\%] |
| Botanic Inns Ltd | 4 | 19 | 6 | 29 | [17.4\%] | [82.6\%] |
| Brett Martin Ltd | 30 | 6 | 18 | 54 | [83.3\%] | [16.7\%] |
| British Telecom Northern Ireland | 5 | 3 | 1 | 9 | [62.5\%] | [37.5\%] |
| Brooklands Healthcare Ltd | 10 | 93 | 5 | 108 | [9.7\%] | [90.3\%] |
| Bryson Charitable Group | 45 | 59 | 9 | 113 | [43.3\%] | [56.7\%] |
| Camden Group Ltd | 11 | 20 | 19 | 50 | [35.5\%] | [64.5\%] |
| Campbell Catering (Northern Ireland) Ltd | 71 | 33 | 9 | 113 | [68.3\%] | [31.7\%] |
| Capita Business Services Ltd | 15 | 14 | 8 | 37 | [51.7\%] | [48.3\%] |
| Chain Reaction Cycles | 81 | 14 | 9 | 104 | [85.3\%] | [14.7\%] |
| Charles Hurst Ltd | 59 | 29 | 5 | 93 | [67.0\%] | [33.0\%] |
| Citigroup | 59 | 90 | 37 | 186 | [39.6\%] | [60.4\%] |
| City Facilities (Northern Ireland) Ltd | 123 | 62 | 14 | 199 | [66.5\%] | [33.5\%] |
| Clinton Cards PLC | 31 | 44 | 32 | 107 | [41.3\%] | [58.7\%] |
| Coca- Cola HBC Northern Ireland | 18 | 11 | 4 | 33 | [62.1\%] | [37.9\%] |
| Compass Group (UK) \& Ireland | 47 | 32 | 14 | 93 | [59.5\%] | [40.5\%] |
| Connan Paul Ltd | 13 | 95 | 18 | 126 | [12.0\%] | [88.0\%] |
| Co-operative Group, The | 106 | 48 | 15 | 169 | [68.8\%] | [31.2\%] |
| Corry Harry Ltd | 7 | 14 | 2 | 23 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |
| Corry JP (Northern Ireland) Ltd | - | - | - | 11 | - | - |
| Creagh Concrete Products Ltd | 15 | 61 | 31 | 107 | [19.7\%] | [80.3\%] |
| Crossroads Caring for Carers (Northern Ireland) Ltd | 28 | 19 | 8 | 55 | [59.6\%] | [40.4\%] |
| Debenhams Retail | 47 | 92 | 19 | 158 | [33.8\%] | [66.2\%] |
| DHL Supply Chain M \& S Division | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Diamond Recruitment Group | 87 | 192 | 65 | 344 | [31.2\%] | [68.8\%] |
| Donnelly Group, The | 60 | 50 | 18 | 128 | [54.5\%] | [45.5\%] |
| DSG Retail Ltd | 26 | 21 | 65 | 112 | [55.3\%] | [44.7\%] |
| Dunbia (Dungannon) | 30 | 69 | 36 | 135 | [30.3\%] | [69.7\%] |

Note: The breakdown of appointees by community background is redacted where the publication of this information would make it possible to identify the community background of an individual.

| Company Name | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dunnes Stores (Bangor) Ltd | 88 | 242 | 22 | 352 | [26.7\%] | [73.3\%] |
| Eason \& Son (Northern Ireland) Ltd | 21 | 32 | 4 | 57 | [39.6\%] | [60.4\%] |
| Eventsec Ltd | 150 | 141 | 0 | 291 | [51.5\%] | [48.5\%] |
| Extern Organisation Ltd, The | 16 | 22 | 2 | 40 | [42.1\%] | [57.9\%] |
| Extra Care for Elderly People Ltd | 36 | 32 | 21 | 89 | [52.9\%] | [47.1\%] |
| First Choice Selection Services Ltd | 360 | 398 | 43 | 801 | [47.5\%] | [52.5\%] |
| First Derivatives PLC | 11 | 79 | 64 | 154 | [12.2\%] | [87.8\%] |
| Firstsource Solutions Ltd | 228 | 1015 | 173 | 1416 | [18.3\%] | [81.7\%] |
| Fisher Engineering Ltd | 5 | 4 | 2 | 11 | [55.6\%] | [44.4\%] |
| Fold Housing Association | 32 | 31 | 10 | 73 | [50.8\%] | [49.2\%] |
| Foyle Meats | 17 | 26 | 3 | 46 | [39.5\%] | [60.5\%] |
| Fujitsu Services | 8 | 11 | 6 | 25 | [42.1\%] | [57.9\%] |
| G4s Cash Services (UK) Ltd | 12 | 8 | 0 | 20 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| G4s Security Services UK | 13 | 12 | 51 | 76 | [52.0\%] | [48.0\%] |
| Gallaher Ltd | 49 | 27 | 9 | 85 | [64.5\%] | [35.5\%] |
| Global Email Company, The | 35 | 48 | 108 | 191 | [42.2\%] | [57.8\%] |
| Golf Holdings Ltd | 296 | 206 | 48 | 550 | [59.0\%] | [41.0\%] |
| Grafton Recruitment Ltd | 1112 | 631 | 135 | 1878 | [63.8\%] | [36.2\%] |
| Graham John (Dromore) Ltd | 57 | 64 | 3 | 124 | [47.1\%] | [52.9\%] |
| Haldane Shiells Group | 11 | 9 | 0 | 20 | [55.0\%] | [45.0\%] |
| Hastings Hotel Group Ltd | 41 | 93 | 113 | 247 | [30.6\%] | [69.4\%] |
| Hays Specialist Recruitment Ltd | 101 | 89 | 24 | 214 | [53.2\%] | [46.8\%] |
| HBOS PLC | 41 | 42 | 13 | 96 | [49.4\%] | [50.6\%] |
| HCL BPO Services (Northern Ireland) Ltd | 95 | 204 | 60 | 359 | [31.8\%] | [68.2\%] |
| Heatons (Northern Ireland) Ltd | 44 | 138 | 13 | 195 | [24.2\%] | [75.8\%] |
| Henderson Retail Ltd | 161 | 72 | 16 | 249 | [69.1\%] | [30.9\%] |
| Henderson Wholesale Ltd | 11 | 11 | 1 | 23 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Herbel Restaurants Ltd | 83 | 44 | 140 | 267 | [65.4\%] | [34.6\%] |
| HML | 8 | 54 | 3 | 65 | [12.9\%] | [87.1\%] |
| Homebase Ltd | 26 | 31 | 3 | 60 | [45.6\%] | [54.4\%] |
| Homecare Independent Living | 71 | 127 | 52 | 250 | [35.9\%] | [64.1\%] |
| Iceland Foods Ltd | 114 | 121 | 20 | 255 | [48.5\%] | [51.5\%] |
| Ikea Belfast | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Independent News \& Media (Northern Ireland) | 10 | 2 | 3 | 15 | [83.3\%] | [16.7\%] |
| Industrial Temps Ltd | 305 | 453 | 3 | 761 | [40.2\%] | [59.8\%] |
| Instore | 87 | 64 | 62 | 213 | [57.6\%] | [42.4\%] |
| Invista Textiles (UK) Ltd | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | [40.0\%] | [60.0\%] |
| Irwin WD \& Sons | 20 | 22 | 3 | 45 | [47.6\%] | [52.4\%] |
| JJB Sports PLC | 131 | 139 | 1 | 271 | [48.5\%] | [51.5\%] |
| Ladbroke (Northern Ireland) Ltd (Northwest Bookmakers) | 10 | 20 | 16 | 46 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |
| Langford Lodge Engineering Company Ltd | 10 | 8 | 6 | 24 | [55.6\%] | [44.4\%] |
| LBM | 195 | 237 | 33 | 465 | [45.1\%] | [54.9\%] |
| Liberty Information Technology Ltd | 23 | 22 | 11 | 56 | [51.1\%] | [48.9\%] |
| Lidl (Northern Ireland) GMBH | 2 | 11 | 12 | 25 | [15.4\%] | [84.6\%] |
| Linden Foods Ltd | 30 | 217 | 4 | 251 | [12.1\%] | [87.9\%] |
| Lindsay Cars Ltd | 30 | 8 | 2 | 40 | [78.9\%] | [21.1\%] |
| Long's Supermarket Ltd | 16 | 17 | 0 | 33 | [48.5\%] | [51.5\%] |
| Lynn Maureen Recruitment Ltd | 69 | 88 | 48 | 205 | [43.9\%] | [56.1\%] |
| Mac Naughton Blair Ltd | 23 | 12 | 3 | 38 | [65.7\%] | [34.3\%] |
| Magir Ltd T/A Medicare Pharmacy Group | 56 | 61 | 9 | 126 | [47.9\%] | [52.1\%] |
| Marie Curie Cancer Care | 6 | 3 | 2 | 11 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Marks \& Spencer PLC | 93 | 75 | 16 | 184 | [55.4\%] | [44.6\%] |

[^95]Company Name
Matalan Retail Ltd
Mc Laughlin \& Harvey Ltd
Mc Lean Alfie
Mears Care
Menarys Retail Ltd
Merchant Hotels Ltd, The
Michelin Tyre PLC
Montupet (UK) Ltd
Morton Newspapers Ltd
Mount Charles Catering Ltd
Moy Park Ltd
MPA Recruitment
Musgrave Retail Partners (Northern Ireland) Ltd
Musgrave Wholesale Partners
Nacco Materials Handling Ltd
New Look Retailers
Next PLC
NIE Powerteam Ltd
Noonan Services Group Ltd
Norbrook Laboratories Ltd
Northern Bank Ltd
Northern Ireland Association for Mental Health
Northern Ireland Electricity PLC
Northern Ireland Hospice
Northgate Managed Services
Northstone (Northern Ireland) Ltd
NSL Services Group Ltd
OCS Group UK Ltd (Northern Ireland Division)
O'Kane Poultry Group
O'Kane Supermarkets Ltd T/A Supervalu
O'Neills Irish International Sports Company Ltd
Patton David \& Sons (Northern Ireland ) Ltd
Peacocks Stores Ltd
People Power Contracts Ltd
Positive Futures for People with a Learning Disability
Praxis Care Group
Premier Bakeries Ireland
Premier Employment Ltd T/A Premier People
Presbyterian Church In Ireland
PriceWaterhouseCoopers
Primark Stores Ltd
Provident Personal Credit Ltd
QCS Contract Cleaning Ltd
Queen's University of Belfast, The
Quinn Building Products Ltd
Quinn-direct Insurance Ltd
Rahon Enterprises Ltd T/A McDonalds Drive-thru
Randox Laboratories Ltd
Red Sky Group Limited
Reed Specialist Recruitment
Resource
RFD Beaufort Ltd
P. R.C. N.D. TOTAL [\%P] [\%RC]

| 36 | 56 | 0 | 92 | [39.1\%] [60.9\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | 25 | 3 | 62 | [57.6\%] [42.4\%] |
| 26 | 2 | 0 | 28 | [92.9\%] [7.1\%] |
| 9 | 39 | 10 | 58 | [18.8\%] [81.3\%] |
| 30 | 15 | 5 | 50 | [66.7\%] [33.3\%] |
| 48 | 33 | 35 | 116 | [59.3\%] [40.7\%] |
| 10 | 4 | 1 | 15 | [71.4\%] [28.6\%] |
| 16 | 22 | 3 | 41 | [42.1\%] [57.9\%] |
| 22 | 9 | 1 | 32 | [71.0\%] [29.0\%] |
| 83 | 111 | 49 | 243 | [42.8\%] [57.2\%] |
| 105 | 481 | 126 | 712 | [17.9\%] [82.1\%] |
| 78 | 159 | 0 | 237 | [32.9\%] [67.1\%] |
| 29 | 26 | 12 | 67 | [52.7\%] [47.3\%] |
| 7 | 10 | 2 | 19 | [41.2\%] [58.8\%] |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - - |
| 0 | 0 | 241 | 241 | - - |
| 247 | 188 | 103 | 538 | [56.8\%] [43.2\%] |
| 10 | 8 | 3 | 21 | [55.6\%] [44.4\%] |
| 82 | 19 | 5 | 106 | [81.2\%] [18.8\%] |
| 35 | 138 | 22 | 195 | [20.2\%] [79.8\%] |
| 53 | 37 | 3 | 93 | [58.9\%] [41.1\%] |
| 12 | 15 | 4 | 31 | [44.4\%] [55.6\%] |
| 15 | 21 | 8 | 44 | [41.7\%] [58.3\%] |
| 24 | 15 | 2 | 41 | [61.5\%] [38.5\%] |
| 84 | 44 | 0 | 128 | [65.6\%] [34.4\%] |
| 18 | 23 | 9 | 50 | [43.9\%] [56.1\%] |
| 35 | 12 | 0 | 47 | [74.5\%] [25.5\%] |
| 9 | 6 | 13 | 28 | [60.0\%] [40.0\%] |
| 87 | 121 | 84 | 292 | [41.8\%] [58.2\%] |
| 6 | 25 | 0 | 31 | [19.4\%] [80.6\%] |
| 24 | 15 | 4 | 43 | [61.5\%] [38.5\%] |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | [60.0\%] [40.0\%] |
| 57 | 54 | 19 | 130 | [51.4\%] [48.6\%] |
| 105 | 130 | 21 | 256 | [44.7\%] [55.3\%] |
| 64 | 49 | 12 | 125 | [56.6\%] [43.4\%] |
| 59 | 77 | 15 | 151 | [43.4\%] [56.6\%] |
| 8 | 11 | 2 | 21 | [42.1\%] [57.9\%] |
| 571 | 466 | 4 | 1041 | [55.1\%] [44.9\%] |
| 59 | 13 | 4 | 76 | [81.9\%] [18.1\%] |
| 35 | 22 | 9 | 66 | [61.4\%] [38.6\%] |
| 41 | 127 | 4 | 172 | [24.4\%] [75.6\%] |
| 41 | 43 | 5 | 89 | [48.8\%] [51.2\%] |
| 43 | 49 | 13 | 105 | [46.7\%] [53.3\%] |
| 217 | 409 | 221 | 847 | [34.7\%] [65.3\%] |
| 8 | 43 | 3 | 54 | [15.7\%] [84.3\%] |
| 9 | 72 | 4 | 85 | [11.1\%] [88.9\%] |
| 114 | 102 | 3 | 219 | [52.8\%] [47.2\%] |
| 35 | 23 | 121 | 179 | [60.3\%] [39.7\%] |
| 72 | 49 | 11 | 132 | [59.5\%] [40.5\%] |
| 51 | 60 | 15 | 126 | [45.9\%] [54.1\%] |
| 289 | 156 | 36 | 481 | [64.9\%] [35.1\%] |
| 16 | 9 | 1 | 26 | [64.0\%] [36.0\%] |

[^96]
## Composition of Appointees to Private Sector Concerns (251+ employees)

| Company Name | P. | R.C. | N.D. | TOTAL | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Riada Recruitment | 86 | 26 | 9 | 121 | [76.8\%] | [23.2\%] |
| River Island Clothing Company Ltd | 22 | 23 | 146 | 191 | [48.9\%] | [51.1\%] |
| Robinson Services Ltd | 207 | 181 | 159 | 547 | [53.4\%] | [46.6\%] |
| Rutledge Joblink Recruitment \& Training Ltd | 144 | 159 | 70 | 373 | [47.5\%] | [52.5\%] |
| Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd | 114 | 115 | 8 | 237 | [49.8\%] | [50.2\%] |
| Salvation Army, The | 32 | 10 | 15 | 57 | [76.2\%] | [23.8\%] |
| Sangers (Northern Ireland) Ltd | 21 | 2 | 0 | 23 | [91.3\%] | [8.7\%] |
| Santander UK PLC | 65 | 78 | 17 | 160 | [45.5\%] | [54.5\%] |
| Schrader Electronics Ltd | 65 | 22 | 21 | 108 | [74.7\%] | [25.3\%] |
| Seagate Technology (Ireland) | 13 | 62 | 16 | 91 | [17.3\%] | [82.7\%] |
| Semi-chem Ltd | 58 | 37 | 1 | 96 | [61.1\%] | [38.9\%] |
| Short Brothers PLC | 39 | 17 | 9 | 65 | [69.6\%] | [30.4\%] |
| Sodexo Ltd | 29 | 9 | 10 | 48 | [76.3\%] | [23.7\%] |
| Superdrug Stores PLC | 24 | 39 | 81 | 144 | [38.1\%] | [61.9\%] |
| Swinton Group Ltd T/A Open \& Direct Insurance | 28 | 13 | 3 | 44 | [68.3\%] | [31.7\%] |
| Tayto Group | 8 | 7 | 5 | 20 | [53.3\%] | [46.7\%] |
| Teleperformance | 173 | 263 | 4 | 440 | [39.7\%] | [60.3\%] |
| Teletech Holdings Inc | 34 | 37 | 141 | 212 | [47.9\%] | [52.1\%] |
| Terex GB Ltd | 5 | 33 | 5 | 43 | [13.2\%] | [86.8\%] |
| Tesco PLC | 498 | 271 | 18 | 787 | [64.8\%] | [35.2\%] |
| Thales Air Defence Ltd | 28 | 7 | 20 | 55 | [80.0\%] | [20.0\%] |
| TK Maxx | 47 | 43 | 9 | 99 | [52.2\%] | [47.8\%] |
| Ulster Bank Ltd | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | [66.6\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Ulster Carpets Ltd | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Ulster Independent Clinic Ltd, The | 9 | 3 | 2 | 14 | [75.0\%] | [25.0\%] |
| United Dairy Farmers Ltd | 38 | 10 | 6 | 54 | [79.2\%] | [20.8\%] |
| University of Ulster | 229 | 189 | 108 | 526 | [54.8\%] | [45.2\%] |
| Vion Food UK Ltd (Cookstown) | 34 | 67 | 59 | 160 | [33.7\%] | [66.3\%] |
| WD Meats Ltd | 12 | 40 | 1 | 53 | [23.1\%] | [76.9\%] |
| Wilson FG (Engineering) Ltd | 3 | 4 | 3 | 10 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| Wincanton | 28 | 15 | 12 | 55 | [65.1\%] | [34.9\%] |
| Windmill Restaurants Ltd | 44 | 63 | 9 | 116 | [41.1\%] | [58.9\%] |
| Wineflair (Belfast) Ltd | 28 | 49 | 23 | 100 | [36.4\%] | [63.6\%] |
| Woods John (Lisglyn) Ltd | 3 | 15 | 1 | 19 | [16.7\%] | [83.3\%] |
| Wrightbus Ltd | 38 | 11 | 9 | 58 | [77.6\%] | [22.4\%] |
| Xtra-vision | 50 | 43 | 0 | 93 | [53.8\%] | [46.2\%] |

[^97]
## Equality Commission

```
FOR NORTHERN IRELAND
```

Equality Commission for Northern Ireland
Equality House
7-9 Shaftesbury Square
Belfast BT2 7DP

| Tel: | (Enquiry Line) | 02890890890 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tel: | (Text Phone) | 02890500589 |
| Tel: | (Reception) | 02890500600 |
| Fax: |  | 02890331544 |
| Website: | www.equalityni.org |  |
| ISBN: |  | $978-1-906414-29-0$ |


[^0]:    ${ }^{5}$ The latest estimate (2010) for the Roman Catholic share of the economically active (working age) is that it lies somewhere in the range [43.3\%] to [46.5\%]. In the 2010 LFS Religion Report, the variable 'religious composition of the economically active (working age) has a confidence interval of $+/-1.6$ around the estimated value of [44.9\%] for Roman Catholics and [55.1\%] for Protestants. Thus, for example, this means there is a $95 \%$ certainty that the true figure for the Roman Catholic share of the economically active population (of working age) lies somewhere between $43.3 \%$ and $46.5 \%$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{7}$ Further details regarding the range of Fair Employment provisions and duties are available via the Commissions website, which also includes links to the following publications: Equality Commission (2009); A Unified Guide To Promoting Equal Opportunities In Employment; Equality Commission (2009); A Unified Guide To Promoting Equal Opportunities In Employment; Equality Commission for Northern Ireland (1989) Fair Employment in Northern Ireland Code of Practice, as amended; Equality Commission for Northern Ireland (1989) A Step by Step Guide to Monitoring: Monitoring your workforce and applicants in line with Fair Employment regulations; Fair Employment (Monitoring) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1999, as amended.

[^2]:    ${ }^{8}$ While 'fair participation' is not defined in the legislation, it is important to note that in broad terms fair participation is NOT about equalising the numbers of Protestants and Roman Catholics in any given employment, but rather it is about seeking to ensure that the community composition (the proportion of Protestants and/or Roman Catholics) in any given employment is broadly in line with what might reasonably be expected based on available labour supply. See Appendix 2: 'Wider Concepts in Fair Employment' for further information on the meaning of fair participation and how it differs from a simple assessment of the composition of a workplace.

[^3]:    ${ }^{9}$ Please note that the reference period for the compilation of stock information (employee counts) is the anniversary date of registration. For flow data (applicants, appointee, promotees and leavers), it is the twelve month period preceding the anniversary date of registration. Public authorities are deemed to be registered on 1st January, while private sector concerns are registered on a monthly basis (6th of each month) throughout the year. To take 2010 as an example, stock information for public authorities is compiled on 1st January 2010, while flow data covers the period 2nd January 2009 - 1st January 2010. As private concerns, however, are registered throughout the year, different monitoring periods will apply to individual concerns. For example, for a concern which was originally registered in March, stock information relates to 6th March 2010, while flow data will cover the period 7th March 2009 - 6th March 2010. In brief, while stock data relates to a specific date in 2010, flow data may range between 2009 and 2010. ${ }^{10}$ Estimate derived by comparison with the Northern Ireland Labour Force Survey (July - Sep 2010, DETINI). The following are not monitored: the self-employed, those on government training schemes, school teachers and those working in private sector concerns with 10 or less employees.

[^4]:    ${ }^{11}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^5]:    ${ }^{12}$ Differences greater than 1.5 percentage points.

[^6]:    ${ }^{13}$ See webtables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^7]:    ${ }^{14}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.
    ${ }^{15}$ Differences greater than 1.5 percentage points.

[^8]:    ${ }^{16}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.
    ${ }^{17}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^9]:    ${ }^{18}$ SOC 9 = Elementary Occupations.
    ${ }^{19}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represents $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment.

[^10]:    ${ }^{20}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^11]:    ${ }^{21}$ Includes Non-Determined employees
    ${ }^{22}$ Note: Includes 1,528 workers employed in SIC 1 (Agriculture, Forestry \& Fishing) and SIC 10 (Energy \& Water Supply Industries). Thus, the 'All' column sums to greater than the numbers presented in the 3 main components. SICs 1 and 10 are not classified as being 'main components' of the private sector and are thus not presented in the Protestant / Roman Catholic breakdown. However, these have been incorporated into the 'All' total in order to present an accurate picture of the percentages of the workforce employed in the 3 main components.

[^12]:    ${ }^{23}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^13]:    ${ }^{24}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^14]:    ${ }^{25}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^15]:    ${ }^{26}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.
    ${ }^{27}$ SOC 9 = Elementary Occupations
    ${ }^{28}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represents $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment.

[^16]:    ${ }^{29}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^17]:    ${ }^{30}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represents $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment.
    ${ }^{31}$ Please note that the six public sector components sum to more than the total number of public sector employees, as 1,901 Northern Ireland Prison Service employees are included in both the Civil Service and Security-related sectors.

[^18]:    ${ }^{32}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^19]:    ${ }^{33}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^20]:    ${ }^{34}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represents $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment.

[^21]:    ${ }^{35}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^22]:    ${ }^{36}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^23]:    ${ }^{37}$ SOC 3 = Associated Professional \& Technical Occupations and SOC 6 = Personal Services Occupations.

[^24]:    ${ }^{39}$ The numerical difference is not displayed, as it increased by 5,565 (from 37,803 in 2001 to 43,459 ). This can be attributed to the overall increase in the number of Health Sector employees between 2001 and 2010.

[^25]:    ${ }^{40}$ See web*tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.
    ${ }^{41}$ SOC 6 = Personal Service Occupations and SOC 9 = Elementary Occupations.

[^26]:    42 * indicates where a number < 10, \# indicates where a number has been removed to disguise a number < 10 .
    ${ }^{43}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represents $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment.

[^27]:    ${ }^{44}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^28]:    ${ }^{45}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^29]:    ${ }^{46}$ SOC $6=$ Personal Service Occupations
    47 * indicates were a number is < 10, \# indicates were a number has been removed to disguise a number < 10 .
    ${ }^{48}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represents $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment.

[^30]:    ${ }^{49}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^31]:    ${ }^{51 *}$ indicates were a number is < 10, \# indicates were a number has been removed to disguise a number < 10 .
    ${ }^{52}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represent $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment.

[^32]:    ${ }^{53 *}$ indicates were a number is < 10, \# indicates were a number has been removed to disguise a number < 10 .

[^33]:    ${ }^{54}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.
    ${ }^{55}$ SOC 6 = Personal Service Occupations
    ${ }^{56}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represent $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment.

[^34]:    ${ }^{57}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^35]:    ${ }^{58}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.
    ${ }^{59}$ Numbers not disclosed for anonymity reasons.
    ${ }^{60}$ SOC 4 = Administrative \& Secretarial Occupations and SOC 9 = Elementary Occupations
    61 * indicates were a number is < 10, \# indicates were a number has been removed to disguise a number < 10 .
    ${ }^{62}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represent $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment.

[^36]:    ${ }^{63}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^37]:    ${ }^{64}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.
    ${ }^{65}$ Number not disclosed for anonymity reasons.
    66 * indicates were a number is < 10, \# indicates were a number has been removed to disguise a number < 10 .
    ${ }^{67}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represent $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment.

[^38]:    68 * indicates were a number is < 10, \# indicates were a number has been removed to disguise a number < 10 .

[^39]:    ${ }^{69} 26$ district councils constitute this sector.

[^40]:    ${ }^{70}$ The numerical difference is not displayed as it increased by 16 (from 1,295 in 2001 to 1,311 in 2010 ). This can be attributed to the overall increase in the number of District Council employees between 2001 and 2010.
    ${ }^{71}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^41]:    ${ }^{72}$ SOC 4 = Administrative \& Secretarial Occupations
    ${ }^{73}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represent $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment.

[^42]:    ${ }^{74}$ The numerical difference is not displayed as it increased by 90 (from 2,145 in 2001 to 2,235 in 2010). This can be attributed to the overall increase in the number of District Council employees between 2001 and 2010.
    ${ }^{75}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^43]:    ${ }^{76}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.
    ${ }^{77}$ SOC 4 = Administrative \& Secretarial Occupations

[^44]:    ${ }^{78}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represent $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment.

[^45]:    ${ }^{79}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^46]:    ${ }^{80}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.
    ${ }^{81}$ SOC 3 = Associate Professional \& Technical Occupations, SOC 4 = Administrative \& Secretarial Occupations.

[^47]:    82 * indicates where a number is less than 10 , \# indicates where a number has been removed to disguise a number less than 10. ${ }^{83}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represent $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment.

[^48]:    84 * indicates were a number is < 10, \# indicates were a number has been removed to disguise a number < 10 .

[^49]:    ${ }^{85}$ Data relating to civil servants in Northern Ireland is contained in the monitoring returns completed by the Head of the Department of Finance and Personnel for those civil servants employed in the Northern Ireland Civil Service, and by the Minister for the Civil Service for those civil servants employed by the Home Civil Service.

[^50]:    ${ }^{86}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.
    ${ }^{87}$ SOC 3 = Associate Professional \& Technical Occupations

[^51]:    ${ }^{88 *}$ indicates were a number is < 10 , \# indicates were a number has been removed to disguise a number < 10 .
    ${ }^{89}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represent $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment.

[^52]:    ${ }^{92}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.
    ${ }^{93}$ SOC 3 = Associate Professional \& Technical Occupations

[^53]:    ${ }^{94 *}$ indicates were a number is < 10, \# indicates were a number has been removed to disguise a number < 10 .
    ${ }^{95}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represent $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment.
    96 * indicates were a number is < 10, \# indicates were a number has been removed to disguise a number < 10 .

[^54]:    ${ }^{97}$ The mean numerical difference ( $\mathrm{n}=116$ ) is not displayed as it greater than initial 2001 difference ( $\mathrm{n}=77$ ). This can be attributed to the overall increase in the number of part-time Civil Service employees between 2001 and 2010.
    ${ }^{98}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.
    ${ }^{99}$ The mean numerical difference ( $\mathrm{n}=215$ ) is not displayed as it greater than the 2001 difference ( $\mathrm{n}=148$ ). This can be attributed to the overall increase in the number of part-time Civil Service employees between 2001 and 2010

[^55]:    ${ }^{100}$ The small numbers involved in the 2001-2010 changes in male Protestant and male Roman Catholic part-time employment data mean that no proportional analyses have been performed.
    ${ }^{101}$ The mean numerical difference $(n=90)$ is not displayed as it greater than initial 2001 difference ( $n=69$ ). This can be attributed to the overall increase in the number of part-time Civil Service employees between 2001 and 2009.
    ${ }^{102}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^56]:    ${ }^{103}$ SOC 3 = Associate Professional \& Technical Occupations
    104 * indicates were a number is < 10, \# indicates were a number has been removed to disguise a number < 10.
    ${ }^{105}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represent $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment.

[^57]:    106 * indicates where a number is less than $10, \#$ indicates where a number has been removed to disguise a number less than 10 .

[^58]:    ${ }^{107}$ This figure includes 1,901 Northern Ireland Prison Service employees who are included within the Civil Service monitoring return. They are also represented in the Security-related sector for illustrative purposes, to provide an accurate picture of security-related employment.

[^59]:    ${ }^{108}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^60]:    109 * Indicates were a number is < 10, \# indicates were a number has been removed to disguise a number < 10 .
    ${ }^{110}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represent $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment.

[^61]:    ${ }^{111}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^62]:    ${ }^{112}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.
    ${ }^{113 *}$ Indicates were a number is < 10, \# indicates were a number has been removed to disguise a number < 10 .
    ${ }^{114}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represent $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment.

[^63]:    115 * Indicates were a number is < 10, \# indicates were a number has been removed to disguise a number < 10 .

[^64]:    ${ }^{116}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.

[^65]:    ${ }^{117}$ See web-tables at www.equalityni.org/research for full numerical breakdowns.
    ${ }^{118}$ SOC 4 = Administrative and Secretarial Occupations and SOC 9 = Elementary Occupations.
    119 * indicates were a number is < 10 , \# indicates were a number has bee removed to disguise a number < 10.
    ${ }^{120}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represent $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment.

[^66]:    ${ }^{121}$ * indicates where a number is < 10, \# indicates where a number has been removed to disguise a number < 10 .

[^67]:    ${ }^{122 *}$ indicates were a number is $<10$, \# indicates were a number has bee removed to disguise a number $<10$.

[^68]:    ${ }^{123}$ Data analyses on 'Other' public authorities began only in 2008: hence there is no historical data to draw comparisons with.

[^69]:    ${ }^{124}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represent $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment

[^70]:    ${ }^{125}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represent $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment.

[^71]:    ${ }^{126}$ SOC 9 = Elementary Occupations.
    127 * indicates were a number is < 10, \# indicates were a number has bee removed to disguise a number < 10 .
    ${ }^{128}$ This SOC category is excluded from any trend analysis, as it represent $\leq 2 \%$ of sectoral employment.

[^72]:    ${ }^{129}$ The interested reader may wish to refer to the Commission's website which includes links to the following publications:
    Equality Commission (2003). Fair Employment in Northern Ireland Code of Practice, as amended.
    Equality Commission (2009). A Unified Guide to Promoting Equal Opportunities In Employment.
    Fair Employment Commission (1989). A Step by Step Guide to Monitoring: Monitoring your Workforce and Applicants in line with Fair Employment regulations.
    Fair Employment (Monitoring) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1999, as amended.
    ${ }^{130}$ On the date of first registration / specification, an employer is only required to provide information on employees. Data on applicants, appointees, promotees and leavers (as appropriate) is not provided until the first anniversary. The monitoring database may contain a small number of registered private sector concerns which have temporarily fallen below the 11 employee threshold. Their status is reviewed on a regular basis.
    ${ }^{131}$ The monitoring database may contain a small number of registered private concerns which have temporarily fallen below the 11 employee threshold. Their status is reviewed on a regular basis.
    ${ }^{132}$ Between 1991 and 2001, all specified public authorities, and those private sector concerns with 251 or more employees, were required to include the community composition of applicants and appointees on their Monitoring Return. Since 2001, all registered private sector employers, irrespective of size, must now provide such information to the Commission.

[^73]:    ${ }^{133}$ Since 2001, all specified public authorities, and those private sector employers with 251 or more employees, have been required to include the composition of promotees on their Monitoring Return.
    ${ }^{134}$ While all public sector leavers are monitored, only those leavers working in private sector concerns with 251 or more employees are monitored.
    ${ }^{135}$ Since the introduction of statutory monitoring in 1990, the proportion of employees for whom it has not been possible to determine a community background has fluctuated year-on-year. However, overall the proportion of Non-determined employees has increased from ( $5.6 \%$ ) in 1990 to ( $7.0 \%$ ) in 2010. The Non-determined proportion is higher in some occupational groups, such as SOC2 'Professional Occupations', and in some sectors, such as the Private Sector.

[^74]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

[^75]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

[^76]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

[^77]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

[^78]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

[^79]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

[^80]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

[^81]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

[^82]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

[^83]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

[^84]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

[^85]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

[^86]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

[^87]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

[^88]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

[^89]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

[^90]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

[^91]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

[^92]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

[^93]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

[^94]:    ${ }^{1} 99 \%$ of NIPB employees are appointed and managed by the Chief Constable on behalf of the Board
    Note: The breakdown of appointees by community background is redacted where the publication of this information would make it possible to idenfiy the community background of an individual.

[^95]:    Note: The breakdown of appointees by community background is redacted where the publication of this information would make it possible to identify the community background of an individual.

[^96]:    Note: The breakdown of appointees by community background is redacted where the publication of this information would make it possible to identify the community background of an individual.

[^97]:    Note: The breakdown of appointees by community background is redacted where the publication of this information would make it possible to identify the community background of an individual.

