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## FOREWORD

This is the first time that, as Chief Commissioner, I have presented the Annual Fair Employment Monitoring Report, which this time paints a picture of the monitored workforce in Northern Ireland in 2011.

I do this with a high degree of satisfaction, as the report has proved to be a mine of valuable information on our workforce composition over the last two decades.

This summary of monitoring returns across all registered employers helps us understand the overall balance in the composition of employment. This year the collation of returns from just over 3,800 employers shows that, amidst a further overall contraction in the monitored workforce, the aggregated Roman Catholic share of all monitored employment now stands at [46.3\%] ([57.3\%] Protestant). This reflects a consistent and gradual change, year-onyear, contributing to a rise of six percentage points in the Catholic share over the last decade.

Using other data sources we can see that the composition of the monitored workforce is, as in recent years, broadly in line with the latest estimate for the community shares of those available for work - for example, the 2010 LFS Religion Report indicates that the Catholic share of the economically active (working age) likely falls somewhere in the range [43.3\%] to [46.5\%].

The aggregated information presented in this report also allows us to consider broad trends in the public and private sectors, as well as in different types of employment - including full and part-time work. The overviews presented in this annual summary of monitoring returns are therefore not just of interest to us in the Commission in our statutory role, but rather are intended to help inform employers and other interested parties about wider compositional patterns that, alongside information on labour availability, may provide additional insights to better inform endeavours to achieve and maintain fair participation in individual workforces.

To further enhance understanding and knowledge generally, the Commission undertook four additional discrete exercises in the last calendar year. These publications included a summary of the monitoring reports to consider longer-term trends over time; a review of the proportions of applicants and appointees to the public and private sectors since 1991 and 2001; a review of employers' Article 55 Reviews submitted to us over a 3 year period; and a summary analysis of the occupational compositions and employment practices within the five Health Trusts. These support the view that the composition of the monitored workforce at a Northern Ireland level has shifted over the last 22 years to more closely mirror labour availability, but also indicate the importance of ongoing monitoring; triennial employer reviews and affirmative action in specific areas.

The Commission continues to work with employers, to ensure that they are provided with the necessary guidance to enable them to comply with their responsibilities under fair employment legislation. We also look forward to the publication of the 2011 Census findings which will provide both us and employers with updated information on the community shares of the population and those available for work in various occupations and geographical areas. I would like to take this opportunity to express thanks to those employers who have carefully compiled and submitted their returns, not just in this year but over the last 22 years. I acknowledge that the continuing process of monitoring and reviewing their workforce composition and employment practices is a considerable but very important commitment by employers and I commend their diligence and dedication.


Michael Wardlow
Chief Commissioner
December 2012

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## 1. Introduction

The $22^{\text {nd }}$ Annual Monitoring Report (2011) presents an aggregate summary of the 3,808 valid monitoring returns received by the Commission between 1 January and 31 December 2011. Monitoring covers an estimated $64 \%-67 \%$ of those in employmenti.

Although not required by statute, the Commission publishes these returns in aggregate to inform employers and other interested parties about wider patterns that, alongside information on labour availability, might better inform considerations of fair participation within specific employments.

## 2. Overall Summary

The 2011 Monitoring Report reveals the following broad trends from the aggregated data:

- In 2011, the total number of monitored employees contracted for the third consecutive year (by $0.6 \%,-2,884)$, although at a lesser rate than that for $2010(0.9 \%,-4,546)$.
- Between 2010 and 2011, Roman Catholic representation in the monitored workforce increased by [0.4] percentage pointsii to [46.3\%]. This represents a continuation of the trendiii of gradually increasing Roman Catholic representation observed since 2001.
- Females continue to account for more than half (52.7\%) of all monitored employees in Northern Ireland, mirroring the share observed in 2010.
- In 2011, the number of applicants to the monitored workforce increased from 2010 by $2.5 \%$ $(16,119)$ to 652,696 , which marks the highest recorded number of applicants since 2006. For a third consecutive year there were more Roman Catholic [51.6\%] than Protestant [48.4\%] applicants overall, with the Roman Catholic share increasing from 2010 by [0.9 pp].
- In 2011, the number of appointees declined for the fourth consecutive year (by $6 \%,-4,235$ ) to 66,184 , marking the lowest number recorded for the period 2001-2011. The Roman Catholic share [52.1\%] was broadly similar to the 2010 figure and continued for the sixth consecutive year to exceed that of their Protestant counterparts [47.9\%].
- In 2011, the number of leavers from the monitored workforce contracted for the second consecutive year ( $10.4 \%,-6,861$ ) to 58,859, the lowest number recorded for the period 20012011. In 2011, as with every year across the period from 2001, Protestants comprised a greater proportion of leavers [50.5\%] than did Roman Catholics [49.5\%].

[^0]
## 3. The Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce

For the third consecutive year, the total number of monitored employees fell. Monitored employment peaked in 2008, but since then has decreased year-on-year. In 2011, total employment stood at 509,842 , representing a drop of $0.6 \%(-2,884)$ from 2010.
-When examined by sector, this decline has been driven by a decrease of $1.8 \%(-3,506)$ in public sector employment. This indicates a continuation of the broad trendiv of decreasing public sector employment, first observed in 2005.

- In terms of types of employment, the drop in employment can be attributed to a decrease of $1.9 \%(-3,000)$ in full-time employees in the public sector, coupled with a decrease in part-time employees in both the private $(0.6 \%,-334)$ and public $(1.7 \%,-506)$ sectors. In 2011 , the number of full-time employees in the private sector increased for the first time since $2008(0.4 \%, 956)$.
- The Roman Catholic share of the monitored workforce was [46.3\%] in 2011, an increase of [ 0.4 pp ] from [45.9\%] in 2010, and [6.0 pp] from [40.3\%] in 2001. This indicates a continuation of the trend of increased Roman Catholic representation observed during the last eleven years. Furthermore, the upward trend in female Roman Catholics share of employment continued in 2011.
- For the first time in the period 2001-2011, the decrease in the number of female monitored employees was greater than that for male employees. However, proportionally male/female employees' shares of the monitored workforce remained unchanged from 2010. Consequently, in 2011 women still accounted for more than half ( $52.7 \%$ ) of monitored employees in Northern Ireland.
- Overall, in 2011 the number of applicants to the monitored workforce increased by $2.5 \%$ $(16,119)$ to 652,696 , the highest recorded number since 2006. Within the monitored components, this growth was limited to the private sector, which increased in applicant numbers by $9.2 \%(43,848)$. In comparison, the number of applicants to the public sector decreased by $17.1 \%(-27,729)$ to 133,976 , its lowest level in the period 2001-2011 vi. Within the monitored workforce, male Protestants and female Protestants were the only groups to decrease in numbers of applicants, by 853 and 2,643 respectively.
- For a third consecutive year, Roman Catholics comprised a greater proportion of applicants [51.6\%] than did Protestants [48.4\%], with the Roman Catholic share increasing from 2010 by [ 0.9 pp ]. Over the period 2001-2011, the Roman Catholic share of monitored applicants has gradually increased.
- Overall, in 2011 the number of appointees to the monitored workforce decreased by $6 \%$, $(-4,235)$ to 66,184 , the lowest number recorded for the period 2001-2011. Within the monitored components, this decline was limited to the public sector, which decreased in appointee numbers. In contrast the number of appointees to the private sector increased. Within the monitored workforce, a decline in appointees was recorded for all groups. However, the observed drop in appointee numbers was steepest for female Protestants $(-1,306)$ and female Roman Catholics ( $-1,383$ ).
- For a sixth consecutive year, Roman Catholics comprised a greater proportion of appointees [52.1\%] than did Protestants [47.9\%], with the Roman Catholic share increasing from 2010 by [ 0.1 pp ]. Over the period 2001-2011, the Roman Catholic share of appointees has gradually increased.

[^1]- For a second consecutive year, the number of leavers from the monitored workforce contracted $(10.4 \%,-6,861)$ to 58,859 , the lowest number recorded for the period 2001-2011. A decrease in leaver numbers was observed within both the private $(-4,796)$ and public $(-2,065)$ sectors and across each group. Overall, the observed drop in leaver numbers was steepest for male Protestants $(-1,971)$ and female Protestants $(-1,943)$.
- In 2011, Protestants comprised a greater proportion of leavers [50.5\%] than did Roman Catholics [49.5\%], a continuation of the trend observed over the period since 2001.


## 4. The Private Sector

The total private sector workforce now stands at 323,576 employees, an increase of 622 employees $(0.2 \%)$ compared with 2010. 2011 marks the first period of growth in the sector, which had been declining since 2008. This increase has been driven by an overall rise in full-time employment of $0.4 \%$ (956). Between 2010 and 2011, the greatest increase in numbers in private sector employment occurred among full-time Roman Catholic male employees (1,204), followed by full-time Roman Catholic female employees (732). Amongst the part-time workforce Protestant females saw the largest increase in numbers employed (170).

- Total Roman Catholic employment levels increased by $1.4 \%$ during the year, while Protestant employment levels fell by $0.4 \%$. Overall, during the period 2001-2011, the Roman Catholic private sector count rose by $16.3 \%(19,146)$, while the total number of Protestant employees fell by $7.5 \%(-12,979)$.
- Due to the decline in Protestant employment levels, the Roman Catholic share of the total private sector workforce increased from 2010 by [ 0.4 pp ] to [46.0\%], and [5.6 pp] from [40.4\%] in 2001.
- The number of male employees in the private sector increased for the first time since 2008, whilst the number of female employees has decreased every year since it peaked in 2008. In terms of gender, females accounted for $46.2 \%$ of the private sector workforce in 2011, a decrease of $0.1 \% ~(-161)$ from 2010 levels. The female share of private sector employees was $45.5 \%$ in 2001 and has fluctuated over the period 2001-2011.
- Services account for the vast majority of private sector employment (72.9\%), followed by Manufacturing (22.0\%) and Construction (4.7\%) vii.
- In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of the private sector components varied. Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of employees in Manufacturing ( $56.1 \%, 36,405$ ) and Services ( $53.8 \%, 116,820$ ), while Roman Catholics accounted for a larger proportion of employees in Construction (52.5\%, 7,519).
- Between 2010 and 2011, the total number of private sector applicants increased by $9.2 \%$ $(43,848)$ to 518,720 , the highest recorded number in the period 2001-2011. An increase in applicant numbers was recorded for all groups, with female Roman Catholic applicants seeing the largest increase $(11,241)$.
- For a fifth consecutive year, Roman Catholics comprised a greater proportion of private sector applicants [52.2\%] than did Protestants [47.8\%], with the Roman Catholic share increasing by [1.0 pp] since 2010. Over the period 2001-2011, the Roman Catholic share of private sector applicants has gradually increased.
- Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of male and female applicants increased for the first time since 2008. During the period 2001-2011, males have consistently comprised a larger share of applicants to the private sector.
- The number of private sector appointees grew by $0.8 \%$ (455) during the year to 55,731. After 2010, this was second lowest number of appointees recorded in the period 2001-2010. The increase in applicants was confined to male Protestants (635) and male Roman Catholics (515), with decreases recorded for every other group.
- For a sixth consecutive year, Roman Catholics comprised a greater proportion of private sector appointees [52.2\%] than did Protestants [47.8\%], with the Protestant share increasing from 2010 by [ 0.1 pp ]. Over the period 2001-2011, the Roman Catholic share of private sector applicants has gradually increased. However, 2011 is the first year in which the proportion of appointees accounted for by Roman Catholics decreased.
- Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of male appointees increased for the first time since 2008, whilst the number of female appointees continued to decrease. Since 2005, males have consistently comprised a larger share of appointees to the private sector.
- The number of private sector leavers decreased by $11.6 \%(-4,796)$ during the year to 36,697 . The greatest decrease in private sector leavers occurred amongst male Protestants $(-1,804)$, followed by male Roman Catholics ( $-1,491$ ). In 2011, the composition of private sector leavers was [47.4\%] Protestant and [52.6\%] Roman Catholic. Since 2008, Roman Catholics have comprised a greater proportion of private sector leavers than Protestants.


## 5. The Public Sector

The total public sector workforce now stands at 186,266 employees, a decrease of $1.8 \%(-3,506)$ compared with 2010. Each group in the public sector workforce decreased in 2011, with the greatest decrease in employment among full-time Protestant male employees ( $-1,259$ ), followed by full-time Protestant female employees (-830). Among the part-time workforce, Roman Catholic females saw the greatest decrease in numbers employed (-189).

- Total Protestant employment decreased by $2.4 \%(-2,343)$, the largest since 2007, while the Roman Catholic count decreased by 0.9\% (-719), the largest during the period 2001-2011. During the period 2001-2011, the number of Roman Catholic public sector employees rose by $25.1 \%(16,631)$ overall, whilst the number of Protestant employees fell by $4.4 \%(-4,383)$.
- Due to the steeper decline in Protestant employment levels, the Roman Catholic share of the total public sector workforce was [46.8\%] in 2011, an increase of [0.4 pp] from [46.4\%] in 2010, and [6.6 pp] from [40.2\%] in 2001.
- Total male employment decreased by $2.9 \%(-2,011)$ in 2011, a continuation of the trend observed every year since 2005. The total number of female employees also decreased in 2011, by $1.2 \%(-1,495)$, a smaller rate than that for male employees. Thus, in 2011, the trend of an increasing proportion of female employees in the public sector continued, with an increase from 2010 of ( 0.4 pp ) in their share of the workforce, to $64.1 \%$.
- The number of applicants to the public sector decreased by $17.1 \%(-27,729)$ to 133,976 , the lowest number recorded during the period 2001-2011vii. A decrease in applicant numbers was recorded for all groups, with female Roman Catholic applicants seeing the largest decrease $(-8,798)$.
- In 2011, Protestants comprised a greater proportion of public sector applicants [50.6\%] than did Roman Catholics [49.4\%], with the Protestant share increasing from 2010 by [ 0.1 pp ]. Over the period 2001-2011, with the exception of 2009, year-on-year, Protestants have accounted for a greater proportion of applicants than have Roman Catholics.
- Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of female applicants to the public sector was greater than that for male applicants. During the period 2001-2011, females consistently comprised a larger proportion of applicants to the public sectorix.
- The total number of public sector appointees decreased by $31.0 \%(-4,690)$ to 10,453 during the year, the lowest number recorded in the period 2001-2011x. A decrease in appointees numbers was recorded for all groups, with female Protestants $(-1,194)$ and female Roman Catholics $(-1,372)$ seeing the largest decreases.
- In 2011, Roman Catholics comprised a greater proportion of public sector appointees [51.3\%] than did Protestants [48.7\%]. Between 2010 and 2011, the number of Protestant and Roman Catholic appointees decreased by similar amounts, thus their shares remained unchanged from 2010 figures. Over the period 2001-2011, the Roman Catholic and Protestant shares of private sector applicants have fluctuated.
- In 2011, the majority of appointees to the public sector were female ( $64.8 \%$ ), while $35.2 \%$ were male. Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of both male and female appointees decreased. 2011 represents the lowest numbers of both male and female appointees to the public sector. ${ }^{\times}$ However during the period 2001-2011, overall male public sector appointments have increased by ( 4.7 pp ), from $30.5 \%$ in 2001.
- The number of public sector leavers decreased by $8.5 \%(-2,065)$ during the year to 22,162 . The greatest decline in public sector leavers occurred amongst female Protestants $(-1,439)$, followed by female Roman Catholics (-341). In 2011, the composition of public sector leavers was [55.5\%] Protestant and [44.5\%] Roman Catholic. During the period 2001-2011, Protestants have comprised a greater proportion of public sector leavers than Roman Catholics.
- The six components that comprise the public sector each contracted during the year. Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of Protestant employees decreased in all six components, whilst the security-related sector was the only component in which Roman Catholic employees increased.
- The Roman Catholic composition of each sector is: Health [50.4\%]; Education [49.2\%]; District Councils [41.6\%]; Civil Service [45.7\%]; Security-related [23.6\%]; and 'Other Public Authorities' [47.1\%].


## 6. The Public Sector: Sub-sectoral Analysis

- The Health Sector accounts for more than a third (36.9\%, $\mathrm{n}=68,740$ ) of all public sector employment. Overall, the health sector decreased by $1.1 \%$ ( -783 ), mostly accounted for by full-time Protestant females (-445) and full-time Protestant male employees (-112). Among the part-time workforce, Roman Catholic females saw the greatest decrease (-96). Overall, the number of Protestant employees decreased by a greater amount than their Roman Catholic counterparts, resulting in the Roman Catholic share of the health sector workforce increasing from 2010 by [ 0.4 pp ] to [50.4\%]. Females continue to dominate the health sector, accounting for four-fifths ( $81.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=55,879$ ) of all employees.
- The Education Sector accounts for a fifth $(20.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=37,474)$ of all public sector employment. In 2011, the sector decreased in size $(1.3 \%,-503)$ for the first time since 2006. This decrease was mainly as a result of the declines in full-time and part-time male Protestant employment (-115 and -82 respectively) and in part-time female Protestant employment (-128). In contrast, full-time female Protestant and female Roman Catholic employment increased slightly (by 10 and 27 respectively). Overall, Protestant employment fell by a greater amount than did Roman Catholic employment. Thus, the Roman Catholic share increased from 2010 by [ 0.3 pp ] to [49.2\%]. Between 2010 and 2011, male representation in the sector decreased by ( 0.5 pp ) to 20.8\%, the lowest recorded for the period 2001-2011.
- The District Councils account for $6.3 \%(n=11,650)$ of all public sector employment. In 2011, the sector decreased in size $(2.2 \%,-267)$ for the first time since 2007. This decline was mostly driven by a fall in full-time male Protestant (-71) and part-time female Protestant (-60) employees. Overall, the changes in Protestant/Roman Catholic district council employment were very similar, and as a result there was no change from 2010 in the proportions of the workforce accounted for by either group. In 2011, the composition of the district council workforce was [58.4\%] Protestant and [41.6\%] Roman Catholic. In 2011, the majority of district council employees were male ( $58.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=6,798$ ). Total female representation in the sector increased by ( 0.2 pp ) to $41.6 \%$. During the period 2001-2011 female representation in district council full-time employment has gradually increased, by ( 2.6 pp ) overall. However, the decline in female representation in the part-time workforce, first observed in 2006, continued in 2011, with a decrease of ( 0.3 pp ) to $55.3 \%$.
- The Civil Service accounts for $18.2 \%(n=33,975)$ of all public sector employment. In 2011, the sector decreased by $3.2 \%(-1,126)$. This decline was driven solely by the full-time workforce, where male and female Protestant employment levels decreased by the greatest amounts (by 475 and -413 respectively). In comparison, the part-time workforce expanded, with male and female Protestant employees increasing by the greatest amounts (by 96 and 125 respectively). Overall, Protestant employment fell by a greater amount than did Roman Catholic employment. Thus, the Roman Catholic share increased from 2010 by [ 0.3 pp] to [45.7\%]. In 2011, males accounted for slightly more civil service employees (50.5\%) than did females (49.5\%).
- The Security-related Sector accounts for $6.8 \%(n=12,674)$ of all public sector employment. In 2011, the sector decreased by $3.2 \%$ (-418). Between 2010 and 2011, Roman Catholic employment in the security-related sector increased by $3.6 \%$ (99), whilst Protestant employment decreased by $4.9 \%$ ( -481 ). During the period 2001-2011 the sector has gradually contracted, by ( $40.6 \%$ ) from 21,329 employees in 2001 to 12,674 employees in 2011. In terms of composition, the Protestant share of the security-related sector was [76.4\%] in 2011, a decrease of [1.5 pp] from [77.9\%] in 2010, and [15.2 pp] from [91.6\%] in 2001.
- 'Other Public Authorities’ account for $12.6 \%$ ( $n=23,554$ ) of all public sector employment. Between 2010 and 2011 'Other Public Authority' employees decreased by $2.1 \%$ ( -509 ), mainly driven by a decline in full-time male Protestant (-140) and male Roman Catholic (-72) employment.
- Due to the greater overall decline in Protestant employment, the Roman Catholic share increased from 2010 by [ 0.2 pp ] to [ $47.1 \%$ ]. Males continue to account for the majority of 'Other Public Authority' employees (64.7\%), while the female share has gradually been increasing year-on-year.


## Chapter 1

## INTRODUCTION

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Employer Monitoring Duties and the Annual Monitoring Report

The Fair Employment and Treatment (Northern Ireland) Order 1998 (hereafter 'FETO') requires registered and specified employers, amongst other duties ${ }^{1}$, to:

- monitor the composition of their workforce and of those applying, appointed, leaving or being promoted (Article 52);
- submit an annual monitoring return to the Commission (Article 52); and
- review their workforce composition and employment practices at least once every three years, "for the purposes of determining whether members of each community are enjoying... fair participation" and the "affirmative action (if any) which would be reasonable and appropriate" (Article 55).

With regard to the six duties, the 'Unified Guide to Promoting Equal Opportunities In Employment' (hereafter the "Unified Guide") notes that:
"The [employer] duties are primarily concerned with promoting and securing equality of opportunity and fair participation in employment for members of the Protestant community in and members of the Roman Catholic community in."

Employer monitoring refers to collecting information and establishing workforce composition. The collected data is ultimately used to inform the employer's own periodic reviews and consideration of fair participation in their workforce. The information is also used to compile and submit an annual monitoring return to the Commission.

The following paragraphs set out some relevant details to assist the reader in understanding the scope of these duties - including the specific focus of annual monitoring returns and how they differ from the separate considerations of fair participation.

### 1.2 Employee Monitoring

The Fair Employment code of practice sets out the rationale for monitoring as follows:
"Monitoring - the provision and analysis of information on community background - is not merely a statistical exercise, nor is it an end in itself. It is the beginning of a process, the starting point for further action. It means establishing the community background of your existing workforce (that is, how many belong to the Protestant community and how many belong to the Roman Catholic community)..."

Although the specific scope and coverage of monitoring has changed over time (see Appendix 2), all registered and specified employers are currently required to monitor:

- employees and apprentices;
- job applicants;
- appointees;

[^2]In addition, registered employers with more than 250 employees, and all specified public authorities, are required to monitor:

- promotees;
- leavers.

The following are not monitored: those working in private concerns with 10 or less employees; school teachers; the self-employed; the non-employed; those on government training schemes.

### 1.3 The Annual Monitoring Return

All registered employers (including public authority employers) are required to not only monitor their workforce but are also under a duty to prepare and provide a return each year to the Commission containing prescribed information. A failure to submit a return is a criminal offence, as is a failure to submit a return within the prescribed period.

In practice, the requirement to submit prescribed information means that employers submit summary data in key areas rather than all information, for example, relating to specific recruitment competitions. The raw data is however available to employers for use in their own triennial (Article 55) reviews.

### 1.4 Triennial (Article 55) Reviews and the Consideration of Fair Participation

The primary purpose of an Article 55 review is to enable the employer to determine whether they are providing, or are likely to continue to provide, fair participation ${ }^{2}$ in employment to members of the Protestant and Roman Catholic communities.

Unlike the annual monitoring returns there is no requirement on employers to submit their Article 55 review report to the Commission by a specific date. The Equality Commission has a duty to ensure that employers comply with their legal obligation to carry out Article 55 reviews and therefore requests employers to periodically submit their reviews to the Commission.

In summary, Employee Monitoring is thus about recording the composition of employment (stocks) or of applicants, appointees, promotees or leavers (flows).

Annual Monitoring Returns, present an annual summary of the collated data in a prescribed format. It is these returns that form the basis for this report.

Triennial (Article 55) reviews are about considering 'fair participation' and any affirmative action that might be required. An employer will use the raw information collected by rolling fair employment monitoring as the basis for their triennial reviews.

[^3]
### 1.5 The Annual Monitoring Report (Summary of Monitoring Returns)

Although not required by statute, the annual monitoring returns received by the Commission are used to compile the Monitoring Report. Thus, the Report presents an aggregate summary of the composition of employment in registered employers or specified public bodies.

Given the legislative focus of monitoring returns on recording composition, this report by necessity mirrors that approach - describing aggregate composition in employment stocks and flows.

For monitored employers, the report presents information on the community composition of:

- total employees,
- full-time and part-time ${ }^{3}$ employees,
- applicants and appointees within the monitored workforce,
- promotees and leavers within the public sector, and within those private sector concerns with 251+ employees

The Monitoring Report presents a summary picture with regard to the composition of employment in registered concerns. It does not seek to consider 'fair participation' which instead is the focus of employer's own triennial reviews and related work by the Commission. Rather, its purpose is to help inform employers and other interested parties about wider patterns that, alongside information on labour availability, may suggest a dynamic or pattern that might better inform a consideration of fair participation within specific employments.

### 1.6 The 2011 ( $\left.\mathbf{2 2}^{\text {nd }}\right)$ Annual Monitoring Report

This, the 22nd Annual Monitoring Report, presents an aggregated summary of the 3,808 valid monitoring returns received during 2011 from 122 public authorities and 3,686 private sector concerns. These returns were received ${ }^{4}$ between 1st January and 31st December 2011. Monitoring covers an estimated $64 \%-67 \%$ of those in employment. ${ }^{5}$

Readers should note that there are a number of key points which may impact on the interpretation of data in the 2011 report. Details are contained in "Appendix 1: Definitions, Technical Considerations and Wider Concepts in Fair Employment".

We would however draw the reader's attention to one particular point. Any comparisons with 2008 data relating to applicants, appointees, promotees and leavers within the monitored workforce, the public sector, and the health and education sub-sectors, should be interpreted with some caution. This is because twelve public sector bodies in the Health and Education sectors were reconstituted as part of the Review of Public Administration. The Review impacted on 2008 figures as, under the Monitoring Regulations, a newly registered employer is not required to submit 'flow' data (on applicants etc) during their first year of operations. There was thus a sharp fall in the 2008 figures, with a commensurate rise again in the 2009 figures as the reconstituted public authorities 'resumed' reporting.

[^4]
### 1.7 Additional Tables

A number of additional tables have been produced including tables on the composition of stocks and flows by Standard Occupational Classification () and sex, and tables on the compositional trends within employment over the 2011-2011 period. The additional tables, which are available for each chapter of the report, can be accessed on the Commission's website at www.equalityni.org/research.

Of note, for tables in the report:

* indicates were a number has been removed to disguise a number less than 10, and, \# indicates were a number has been removed to disguise a number less than 10.
Chart 1: Profile of the Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce, 2011

$\mathbf{P}=$ Protestant; RC = Roman Catholic; $\mathbf{M}=$ Male; F = Female.
The 'Total' is always greater than the sum of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees as it includes the Non-Determined. Gender data includes Protestant, Roman Catholic and the Non-Determined.


## Chapter 2

## NORTHERN IRELAND MONITORED WORKFORCE

## 2. Northern Ireland Monitored Workforce Key Details

## ALL EMPLOYEES

- The composition of the total monitored workforce was 255,056 [53.7\%] Protestant and 219,867 [46.3\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, total Protestant employment decreased by 1.1\% (-2,909), while total Roman Catholic employment increased by $0.5 \%(1,162)$. Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share increased by [0.4 pp] from [45.9\%] to [46.3\%].
- In 2011, more than half $\mathbf{( 5 2 . 7 \%} \mathbf{~} \mathrm{n}=\mathbf{2 6 8 , 7 1 4})$ of monitored employees were female.


## FULL-TIME WORKFORCE

- The composition of the total monitored workforce was 215,961 [54.1\%] Protestant and 183,074 [45.9\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, full-time Protestant employment decreased by $1.3 \%(-2,840)$, while full-time Roman Catholic employment increased by $0.8 \%(1,432)$. Overall, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time total monitored workforce increased by [0.5 pp] from [45.4\%] to [45.9\%].
- The full-time workforce accounted for $83.9 \%$ of the total monitored workforce, and comprised 427,675 employees. This represents a decrease of $0.5 \%(-2,044)$ from 2010.


## PART-TIME WORKFORCE

- The composition of the part-time workforce was 39,095 [51.5\%] Protestant and 36,793 [48.5\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, part-time Roman Catholic employment decreased by 0.7\% (-270), while part-time Protestant employment decreased by $0.2 \%$ (-69). Overall, the Protestant share of part-time employment increased by [0.1 pp] from [51.4\%] to [51.5\%].
- In 2011, $70.2 \%(57,722)$ of the part-time workforce were female.


## FLOWS IN EMPLOYMENT

- The number of applicants to the total monitored workforce $(652,696)$ was at its highest since 2006. The composition was [48.4\%] Protestant and [51.6\%] Roman Catholic.
- In 2011, the number of appointees to the monitored workforce $(66,184)$ was the lowest recorded during the period 2001-2011. The composition was [47.9\%] Protestant and [52.1\%] Roman Catholic.
- In 2011, the number of leavers from the monitored workforce $(58,859)$ was the lowest recorded during the period 2001-2011. The composition was [50.5\%] Protestant and [49.5\%] Roman Catholic.


### 2.1 The Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce: Introduction

The purpose of this chapter is to help inform employers and other interested parties about wider compositional trends within the monitored Northern Ireland workforce that, alongside information on labour availability, may better inform their own considerations of fair participation in specific employment(s).

The monitored workforce is comprised of full-time and part-time employees in the specified public authorities ("the public sector") and registered private sector concerns ("the private sector"). Thus, the monitored workforce contains data from the combined public and private sectors in Northern Ireland. In 2011, a total of 122 public bodies and 3,686 private concerns submitted valid monitoring returns to the Commission.

In 2011, there were 509,842 employees in the monitored workforce, of which the private sector comprised $63.5 \%$, ( $n=323,576$ ), while the public sector comprised $36.5 \%(n=186,266)$. For the third consecutive year, the monitored workforce contracted (by $0.6 \%, n=2,884$ ), although at a lesser rate than that for $2010(0.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=4,546)$. When broken down by sector, the overall drop in monitored employment can be attributed to the public sector, as total private sector employment increased between 2010 and 2011. However, when examined by types of employment within the sectors, the drop can be mainly attributed to decreases in both full-time $(-3,000)$ and part-time $(-506)$ employees in the public sector, with a small decrease in private sector part-time employees (-334) also evident.

### 2.1.1 Dynamic of Change: Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce 2010-2011

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant full-time employees decreased, by 1601 and 1,239 respectively. Conversely, the numbers of their male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic counterparts increased, by 858 and 574 respectively. There was no change in the numbers of female Protestant employed part-time in the monitored workforce, whilst the numbers of part-time employees in each of the other three groups decreased. Consequently, overall Roman Catholic representation in the monitored workforce increased by [0.4] percentage points from [45.9\%] in 2010.

### 2.2 The Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce: All Employees

In 2011, there were 509,842 employees in the monitored Northern Ireland workforce (Table 2.1), a decrease of $0.6 \%(-2,884)$ from 2010.

Table 2.1 Monitored Northern lreland (All) Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 123,673 | $51.3 \%$ | 99,037 | $41.1 \%$ <br> $[20.9 \%]$ | 18,418 | $7.6 \%$ | 241,128 | $47.3 \%$ |
|  |  | $[26.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 2.2.1 Community Background

## In 2011, [53.7\%] of the total monitored workforce were Protestant; while [46.3\%] were Roman

 Catholic (Chart 2.1).Between 2010 and 2011, the number of Protestant employees decreased, whilst the number of Roman Catholic employees increased (Chart 2.2). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the total monitored workforce increased by [0.4 pp] from [45.9\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the number of Roman Catholic employees in the total monitored workforce increased overall, whilst the number of Protestant employees decreased (Chart 2.2). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the total monitored workforce increased by [6 pp] overall from [40.3\%] to [46.3\%]. The Roman Catholic share of employees has increased year-on-year during this period (Chart 2.3).

Chart 2.1: Monitored Northern Ireland (All) Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 2.2: Changes in Monitored Northern Ireland (AII) Employees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 2.3: Monitored Northern Ireland (All) Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 2.2.2 Sex

In 2011, more than half of the total monitored workforce were female, while $47.3 \%$ were male (Chart 2.4).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of male and female employees in the total monitored workforce decreased by similar amounts (Chart 2.5). Thus, the male/female share of the total monitored workforce remained relatively unchanged ( $<0.1 \mathrm{pp}$ ) from 2010 figures.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of female employees in the total monitored workforce increased by a greater amount than did male employees (Chart 2.5). Thus, the female share of the total monitored workforce increased by ( 2.3 pp ) from $50.4 \%$ to $52.7 \%$.

Chart 2.4: Monitored Northern Ireland (AII) Employees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 2.5: Changes in Monitored Northern Ireland (AlI) Employees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 2.2.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, female Protestants and female Roman Catholics comprised more than half of the monitored workforce (Chart 2.6). One-quarter of employees were male Protestants, while one-fifth were male Roman Catholic employees.

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant employees decreased. Conversely, the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employees increased (Chart 2.7). Consequently, male Roman Catholics' share of the total monitored workforce increased by [0.3 pp] from [20.6\%] in 2010, whilst female Roman Catholics' share increased by [0.1 pp] from [25.3\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant employees decreased overall. In contrast, the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employees increased overall (Chart 2.7). Consequently, the male Roman Catholic share of the total monitored workforce increased by [2.2 pp] from [18.7\%] to [20.9\%], while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [3.8 pp] from [21.6\%] to [25.4\%].

Chart 2.6: Monitored Northern Ireland (All) Employees [\%] by
Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 2.7: Changes in Monitored Northern Ireland (All) Employees ( n ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 2.2.4 Community Background and SOC

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of employees in SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 2.8). In each SOC category, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of employees than did Roman Catholics. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs 1-9 [53.7\% v. $46.3 \%$ ], Protestants representation in Skilled Trade Occupations (SOC 5) was ( 5.7 pp ) above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) was [3.5 pp] above average, whilst in Process, Plant and Machine Operative Occupations (SOC 8) it was [3.3 pp] above average.

Chart 2.8: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce, 2011


In 2011, the greatest proportion ${ }^{6}$ of Protestant monitored workers were employed in Secretarial and Administration Occupations (SOC 4), while the greatest proportion of Roman Catholic employees were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Skilled Trade Occupations (SOC 5) (Charts 2.9 \& 2.10). Despite differences in the religious composition of the SOC categories, Protestant and Roman Catholic full-time employees were fairly evenly and similarly distributed across the SOC categories. Hence, no notable differences ${ }^{7}$ in distribution are evident between the two groups (Charts 2.9 \& 2.10). For further details on the composition of the total monitored workforce by SOC, see Table 2.9 at the end of the chapter.

Chart 2.9: Distribution of Monitored Northern Ireland Protestant Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


Chart 2.10: Distribution of Monitored Northern Ireland Catholic Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 2.2.5 Sectoral Components of the Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce

The monitored Northern Ireland workforce is comprised of the private and public sectors, and their subcomponents:

- The Public Sector is composed of 122 public bodies.
- The Private Sector is composed of 3,686 concerns with more than 10 employees.


### 2.2.5.1 Community Composition of the Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce Components

 In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of the monitored workforce components was very similar (Chart 2.11). In both the private and public sector, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of employees than did Roman Catholics (Chart 2.11). However, the difference in community composition in the private sector [ 8.0 pp ] was slightly greater than the difference in the public sector [ 6.4 pp ]. Please refer to chapters 3 and 4 for a more detailed examination of the community composition of the monitored workforce components.[^5]Chart 2.11: Religious Composition of the Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce Components, 2011


Chart 2.12: Distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic Employees across the Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce Components, 2011

2.2.5.2 Distribution of Employees in the Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce Components In 2011, the private sector accounted for the majority of both Protestant $(63.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=160,875)$ and Roman Catholic $(62.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=136,948)$ monitored employees (Chart 2.12). The public sector accounted for less than two-fifths of both Protestant (36.9\%, $\mathrm{n}=94,181$ ) and Roman Catholic $(37.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=82,919)$ employees. When the monitored workforce is examined by type of employment, it is evident that the majority of both Protestant $(53.3 \%, n=135,971)$ and Roman Catholic $(51.3 \%, n=112,835)$ workers were employed full-time in the private sector, while the smallest proportion of both Protestants (5.6\%, $n=14,191)$ and Roman Catholic $(5.8 \%, n=12,680)$ workers were employed part-time in the public sector.

Between 2010 and 2011, overall public sector employment contracted, while overall private sector employment expanded (Table 2.2). Within the private sector, Protestant employment contracted (0.4\%) while Roman Catholic employment expanded (1.4\%). Additionally, within the public sector, Protestant employment contracted ( $2.4 \%$ ) by a greater amount than Roman Catholic employment ( $0.9 \%$ ). When the changes in the monitored workforce are examined by type of employment, it is apparent that the overall increase in private sector employment was mainly driven by an increase in Roman Catholic fulltime employment, whilst the overall decrease in public sector employment was mainly driven by a decrease in Protestant full-time employment (Table 2.2).

Table 2.2: Changes in Monitored Workforce Components by Community Background, 2010-2011

| 2011 | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total NI Workforce | $-2,909$ | $(-1.1 \%)$ | 1,162 | $(0.5 \%)$ | $-2,884$ | $(-0.6 \%)$ |
| Full-time | $-2,840$ | $(-1.3 \%)$ | 1,432 | $(0.8 \%)$ | $-2,044$ | $(-0.5 \%)$ |
| Part-time | -69 | $(-0.2 \%)$ | -270 | $(-0.7 \%)$ | -840 | $(-1.0 \%)$ |
| Private | -566 | $(-0.4 \%)$ | 1,881 | $(1.4 \%)$ | 622 | $(0.2 \%)$ |
| Full-time | -751 | $(-0.5 \%)$ | 1,936 | $(1.7 \%)$ | 956 | $(0.4 \%)$ |
| Part-time | 185 | $(0.7 \%)$ | -55 | $(-0.2 \%)$ | -334 | $(-0.6 \%)$ |
| Public | $-2,343$ | $(-2.4 \%)$ | -719 | $(-0.9 \%)$ | $-3,506$ | $(-1.8 \%)$ |
| Full-time | $-2,089$ | $(-2.5 \%)$ | -504 | $(-0.7 \%)$ | $-3,000$ | $(-1.9 \%)$ |
| Part-time | -254 | $(-1.8 \%)$ | -215 | $(-1.7 \%)$ | -506 | $(-1.7 \%)$ |

### 2.3 The Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce: Full-time Employees

There were 427,675 full-time employees in the total monitored workforce in 2011 (Table 2.3), a decrease of $0.5 \%(-2,044)$ from 2010.

Table 2.3 Monitored Northern Ireland Full-time Employees by Community Background and Sex 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 112,340 | $51.8 \%$ | 88,128 | $40.7 \%$ | 16,215 | $7.5 \%$ | 216,683 | $50.7 \%$ |
|  |  | $[28.2 \%]$ |  | $[22.1 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 103,621 | $49.1 \%$ | 94,946 | $45.0 \%$ | 12,425 | $5.9 \%$ | 210,992 | $49.3 \%$ |
|  |  | $[26.0 \%]$ |  | $[23.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 215,961 | $50.5 \%$ | 183,074 | $42.8 \%$ | 28,640 | $6.7 \%$ | 427,675 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  | $[54.1 \%]$ |  | $[45.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

### 2.3.1 Community Background

## In 2011, [54.1\%] of full-time monitored employees were Protestant; while [45.9\%] were Roman Catholic (Chart 2.13).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of Protestant full-time monitored employees decreased, while the number of Roman Catholic employees increased (Chart 2.14). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time total monitored workforce increased by [0.5 pp] from [45.4\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of Roman Catholic full-time monitored employees increased. In contrast, the number of Protestant full-time employees decreased (Chart 2.14). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time monitored workforce increased by [6.4 pp] overall, from [39.5\%] to [45.9\%]. The Roman Catholic share of full-time employees has increased year-on year during this period (Chart 2.15).

Chart 2.13: Monitored Northern Ireland Full-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 2.14: Changes in Monitored Northern Ireland Full-time Employees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 2.15: Monitored Northern Ireland Full-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 2.3.2 Sex

In 2011, males and females accounted for similar proportions of the full-time monitored workforce (Chart 2.16).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of female full-time monitored employees was greater than that for male employees (Chart 2.17). Consequently, the male share of the full-time monitored workforce increased by (0.1 pp) from $50.6 \%$ in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of female full-time monitored employees increased overall, while the number of male employees decreased slightly (Chart 2.17). Thus, the female share of the full-time monitored workforce increased overall by ( 3.2 pp ) from $46.1 \%$ to $49.3 \%$.

Chart 2.16: Monitored Northern Ireland Full-time Employees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 2.17: Changes in Monitored Northern Ireland Full-time Employees ( n ) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 2.3.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants [28.2\%] and female Protestants [26.0\%] accounted for similar proportions of the full-time monitored workforce, as did male Roman Catholic [22.1\%] and female Roman Catholic [23.8\%] employees (Chart 2.18).

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant full-time monitored employees decreased, while the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employees increased (Chart 2.19). Consequently, the male Roman Catholic share of the full-time monitored workforce increased by [0.3 pp] from [21.8\%] in 2010, while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [0.2 pp] from [23.6\%].

During the period 2001-2011, male Protestants were the only group to see an overall decrease in fulltime monitored employment, while female Protestants saw the smallest overall increase (Chart 2.19). Consequently, male Roman Catholics share of the full-time workforce increased by [2.1 pp] overall from [20.0\%] in 2001 to [22.1\%] in 2011, whilst female Roman Catholics' share increased by [4.3 pp] overall, from [19.5\%] to [23.8\%].



### 2.3.4 Community Background and SOC

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of full-time monitored employees in SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 2.20). In each SOC category, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of full-time employees than did Roman Catholics. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs 1-9 [54.1\% v. 45.9\%], Protestants representation in Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) was ( 5.6 pp ) above average, whilst in Elementary Occupations (SOC 9) it was ( 2.5 pp ) above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) was [3.9 pp] above average, whilst in Process, Plant and Machine Operative Occupations (SOC 8) it was [3.7 pp] above average.

Chart 2.20: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the Monitored Northern Ireland Full-time Workforce, 2011


In 2011, the greatest proportions of both Protestant and Roman Catholic full-time monitored workers were employed in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4). The smallest proportion of Protestants were employed in Professional Occupations (SOC 2), while the smallest proportion of Roman Catholics were employed in Skilled Trade Occupations (SOC 5) (Charts 2.21 \& 2.22). Despite some differences in the religious composition of the SOC categories (Chart 2.20), Protestant and Roman Catholic full-time employees were fairly evenly and similarly distributed across the SOC categories. However, some small differences in distribution are evident (Charts 2.21 \& 2.22). For example, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in SOC 5 ( $8.3 \% \mathrm{v} .6 .6 \%$ ). For further details on the composition of the full-time monitored workforce by SOC, see Table 2.10 at the end of the chapter.

Chart 2.21: Distribution of Monitored Northern Ireland Protestant Full-time Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


Chart 2.22: Distribution of Monitored Northern Ireland Catholic Full-time Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 2.4 The Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce: Part-time Employees

There were 82,167 employees in the part-time monitored workforce in 2011 (Table 2.4), a decrease of 1.0\% (-840) from 2010.

## Table 2.4 Monitored Northern Ireland Part-time Employees by Community Background and Sex, 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 11,333 | $46.4 \%$ | 10,909 | $\begin{array}{c}44.6 \% \\ {[14.4 \%]}\end{array}$ | 2,203 | $9.0 \%$ | 24,445 | $29.8 \%$ |
|  |  | $[14.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |$)$

### 2.4.1 Community Background

In 2011, there were [51.5\%] Protestant and [48.5\%] Roman Catholic employees in the part-time monitored workforce (Chart 2.23).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of Roman Catholic part-time employees decreased by a greater amount than did Protestant part-time employees (Chart 2.24). Thus, the Protestant share of the parttime monitored workforce increased by [0.1 pp] from [51.4\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of Roman Catholic part-time monitored employees increased by a greater amount than did Protestant employees (Chart 2.24). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of the part-time monitored workforce increased by [3.8 pp] overall, from [44.7\%] to [48.5\%]. Over this period, the proportions of the part-time workforce accounted for by Protestants and Roman Catholics have fluctuated. However, an upward trend in Roman Catholic representation is evident (Chart 2.25).

Chart 2.23: Monitored Northern Ireland Part-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 2.24: Changes in Monitored Northern Ireland Part-time Employees ( n ) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 2.25: Monitored Northern Ireland Part-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 2.4.2 Sex

In 2011, more than two-thirds of the part-time monitored workforce were female, whilst less than one-third were male (Chart 2.26).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of female part-time monitored employees was greater than that for male part-time employees (Chart 2.27). However, proportionally, the decreases in both groups were similar. Thus, the male / female share of the part-time monitored workforce remained relatively unchanged ( $<0.1 \mathrm{pp}$ ) from 2010 figures.

During the period 2001-2011, the increase in male monitored part-time employment was greater than that for female part-time employment (Chart 2.27). Thus, the male share of part-time monitored employment increased by ( 4 pp ) from $25.8 \%$ to $29.8 \%$.

Chart 2.26: Monitored Northern Ireland Part-time Employees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 2.27: Changes in Monitored Northern Ireland Part-time Employees ( n ) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 2.4.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, part-time monitored employment was notably divided among gender lines, with female Protestants [36.6\%] and female Roman Catholics [34.1\%] comprising the majority of employees (Chart 2.28). Male Protestant [14.9\%] and male Roman Catholic [14.4\%] employees comprised similarly small proportions of the part-time monitored workforce.

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of female Protestant part-time employees remained unchanged, whilst the numbers of each of the other groups decreased (Chart 2.29). Consequently, female Protestants were the only group to increase their share of the part-time workforce, by [ 0.2 pp$]$ from [36.4\%].

During the period 2001-2011, female Protestants were the only group to see an overall decrease in parttime employment, whilst male Roman Catholics saw the greatest overall increase (Chart 2.29). Thus, female Protestants were the only group to decrease their share of the part-time workforce, by [4.8 pp] overall from [41.4\%] to [36.6\%].

Chart 2.28: Monitored Northern Ireland Part-time Employees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 2.29: Changes in Monitored Northern Ireland Part-time Employees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 2.4.4 Community Background and SOC

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of part-time monitored employees in SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 2.30). With the exception of SOCs 5 and $7^{8}$, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of each of the part-time SOC categories than did Roman Catholics. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs $1-9[51.5 \%$ v. $48.5 \%]$, Protestant representation in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) was [7.4 pp] above average, while in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3) it was ( 3.1 pp ) above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) was ( 3.2 pp ) above average, whilst in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) it was [2.4 pp] above average.

In 2011, the greatest proportions of both Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time monitored workers were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (Charts 2.31 \& Chart 2.32). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Manager and Senior Official Occupations (SOC 1)
(Charts 2.31 \& Chart 2.32). In 2011, the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time employees was relatively similar across the SOC categories, although notably, both were quite concentrated in SOC 7 and in Elementary Occupations (SOC 9). However, some differences in distribution are evident (Charts 2.31 \& Chart 2.32). For example, greater proportions of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in SOC 4 ( $7.3 \%$ v. $5.5 \%$ ). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 7 (33.4\% v. $30.3 \%)$. For further details on the composition of the part-time monitored workforce by SOC, see Table 2.11 at the end of the chapter.

Chart 2.30: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the Monitored Northern Ireland Part-time Workforce, 2011


Chart 2.31: Distribution of Monitored Northern Ireland
Protestant Part-time Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


Chart 2.32: Distribution of Monitored Northern Ireland Catholic Part-time Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 2.5 The Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce: Applicants, Appointees, Promotees \& Leavers.

2.5.1 The Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce: Applicants

In 2011, there were 652,696 applicants to the monitored workforce (Table 2.5), an increase of 2.5\% $(16,119)$ from 2010.

Table 2.5 Monitored Northern Ireland Applicants by Community Background and Sex, 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 142,215 | $41.1 \%$ | 145,208 | $42.0 \%$ | 58,260 | $16.9 \%$ | 345,683 | $53.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[25.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 2.5.1.1 Community Background

## In 2011, there were [48.4\%] Protestant and [51.6\%] Roman Catholic applicants to the monitored workforce (Chart 2.33).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of Protestant applicants decreased, while the number of Roman Catholic applicants increased (Chart 2.34). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of applicants to the monitored workforce increased by [0.9 pp] from [50.7\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the number of Protestant applicants decreased overall, whilst the number of Roman Catholic applicants increased (Chart 2.34). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of applicants to the monitored workforce increased by [6.8 pp] overall, from [44.8\%] to [51.6\%]. Over this period, year-on-year the proportions of applicants accounted for by Roman Catholics has fluctuated, but gradually increased (Chart 2.35).

Chart 2.33: Monitored Northern Ireland Applicants [\%] by
Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 2.34: Changes in Monitored Northern Ireland Applicants (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 2.35: Monitored Northern Ireland Applicants [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 2.5.1.2 Sex

In 2011, $53.0 \%$ of applicants to the monitored workforce were male, whilst $47.0 \%$ were female (Chart 2.36).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of male applicants increased by a greater amount than did female applicants (Chart 2.37). Thus, the male share of applicants to the monitored workforce increased by (0.3 pp) from 52.7\%.

During the period 2001-2011, the increase in the number of male applicants was far greater than that for female applicants (Chart 2.37). Thus, the male share of applicants to the monitored workforce increased by ( 3.5 pp ) from $49.5 \%$ to $53.0 \%$.

## Chart 2.36: Monitored Northern Ireland Applicants \% by Sex, 2010-2011



Chart 2.37: Changes in Monitored Northern Ireland Applicants (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 2.5.1.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011 male Protestants, female Protestants, male Roman Catholics and female Roman Catholics each accounted for around one-quarter of applicants to the monitored workforce (Chart 2.38).

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant applicants decreased. In contrast, the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic applicants increased (Chart 2.39). Consequently, the male Roman Catholic share of applicants increased by [0.6 pp] from [25.9\%] in 2010, while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [0.3pp] from [24.8\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant applicants to the monitored workforce decreased overall (Chart 2.39). In contrast, the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic applicants increased overall. Thus, the male Roman Catholic share of applicants to the monitored workforce increased by [5.7 pp] from [20.8\%] to [26.5\%], while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [1.0 pp] from [24.1\%] to [25.1\%].

Chart 2.38: Monitored Northern Ireland Applicants [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 2.39: Changes in Monitored Northern Ireland Applicants ( n ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 2.5.2 The Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce: Appointees

There were 66,184 appointees to the monitored Northern Ireland workforce in 2011, a decrease of 6.0\% $(-4,235)$ from 2010.

Table 2.6 Monitored Northern Ireland Appointees by Community Background and Sex, 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 14,177 | $42.4 \%$ | 15,052 | $45.0 \%$ | 4,242 | $12.7 \%$ | 33,471 | $50.6 \%$ |
|  |  | $[24.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 2.5.2.1 Community Background

In 2011, [47.9\%] of appointees to the monitored Northern Ireland workforce were Protestant; whilst [52.1\%] were Roman Catholic (Chart 2.40).

Between 2010 and 2011, there were similar decreases in the numbers of Protestant and Roman Catholic appointees to the monitored workforce (Chart 2.41). Nevertheless, the Roman Catholic share of appointees increased by [0.1 pp] from [52.0\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the number of Protestant appointees was greater than that for Roman Catholic appointees (Chart 2.41). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of appointees to the monitored workforce increased by [7.3 pp] overall from [44.8\%] to [52.1\%]. Over this period, year-onyear, the proportions of appointees accounted for by Roman Catholics has fluctuated, but gradually increased (Chart 2.42).

Chart 2.40: Monitored Northern Ireland Appointees [\%] by Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 2.41: Changes in Monitored Northern Ireland Appointees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 2.42: Monitored Northern Ireland Appointees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 2.5.2.2 Sex

In 2011, males and females accounted for similar proportions of appointees to the monitored workforce (Chart 2.43).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in female appointees was greater than that for male appointees (Chart 2.44). Thus, the male share of appointees increased by ( 2.0 pp ) from $48.6 \%$.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the number of female appointees was greater than that for male appointees (Chart 2.44). Consequently, the male share of appointees to monitored workforce increased by ( 4.9 pp ) from $45.7 \%$ to $50.6 \%$.


Chart 2.44: Changes in Monitored Northern Ireland Appointees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 2.5.2.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants, female Protestants, male Roman Catholics and female Roman Catholics each accounted for around one-quarter of appointees to the monitored workforce (Chart 2.45).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decreases in the numbers of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic appointees were greater than those for male Protestant and male Roman Catholic appointees (Chart 2.46). Consequently, the male Protestant and male Roman Catholic shares both increased by [0.9pp], from [23.4\%] and [24.9\%] respectively in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decreases in the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant appointees were greater than those for male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic appointees (Chart 2.46). Consequently, male Roman Catholics' share of appointees increased by [6.3 pp] overall from [19.5\%] to [25.8\%], whilst the female Roman Catholic share of appointees increased by [0.9 pp] overall from [25.3] to [26.2\%].

Chart 2.45: Monitored Northern Ireland Appointees [\%] by
Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 2.46: Changes in Monitored Northern Ireland Appointees ( n ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 2.5.3 The Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce: Promotees

There were 6,121 promotees in the monitored Northern Ireland workforce in 2011 (Table 2.7), a decrease of $16.4 \%(-1,198)$ from 2010.

Table 2.7 Monitored Northern Ireland Promotees by Community Background and Sex, 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 1,614 | $51.4 \%$ | 1,239 | $\begin{array}{c}39.4 \% \\ {[28.1 \%]}\end{array}$ | 288 | $9.2 \%$ | 3,141 | $51.3 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $[28.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |$)$

### 2.5.3.1 Community Background

In 2011, [53.6\%] of promotees in the monitored workforce were Protestant, while [46.4\%] were Roman Catholic (Chart 2.47).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Roman Catholic promotees was greater than that for Protestant promotees (Chart 2.48). Thus, the Protestant share of promotees in the monitored workforce increased by [1.7 pp] from [51.9\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the number of Protestant promotees in the monitored workforce was greater than that for Roman Catholic promotees (Chart 2.48). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of promotees in the monitored workforce increase by [ 6.6 pp ] overall, from [39.8\%] to [46.4\%].

Chart 2.47: Monitored Northern Ireland Promotees [\%] by
Community Background, 2010-2011
Chart 2.48: Changes in Monitored Northern Ireland Promotees

(n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 2.5.3.2 Sex

In 2011, males and females accounted for similar proportions of promotees in the monitored workforce (Chart 2.49).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of female promotees decreased by a greater amount than male promotees (Chart 2.50). Thus, the male share of promotees in the monitored workforce increased by ( 5.5 pp ) from $45.8 \%$.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of male promotees decreased by a greater overall amount than female promotees (Chart 2.50). Thus, the female share of promotees in the monitored workforce increased by ( 1.7 pp ) overall from $47.0 \%$ to $48.7 \%$.

Chart 2.49: Monitored Northern Ireland Promotees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 2.50: Changes in Monitored Northern Ireland Promotees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 2.5.3.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants comprised the greatest proportion of promotees [28.8\%] in the monitored workforce, whilst female Protestants, female Roman Catholics and male Roman Catholics each comprised similar proportions of promotees (Chart 2.51).

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic promotees in the monitored workforce decreased by greater amounts than did male Protestant and male Roman Catholic promotees (Chart 2.52). Consequently, male Protestants' share of promotees increased by [3.9 pp] from [24.9\%], whilst male Roman Catholics' share increased by [1.5 pp] from [20.6\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant promotees in the monitored workforce decreased by greater amounts than did male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic promotees (Chart 2.52). Consequently, the male Roman Catholic share of promotees increased by [3.8 pp], from [18.3\%] to [22.1\%], whilst female Roman Catholic promotees increased by [2.8 pp], from [21.5\%] to [24.3\%].

Chart 2.51: Monitored Northern Ireland Promotees [\%] by
Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 2.52: Changes in Monitored Northern Ireland Promotees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 2.5.4 The Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce: Leavers

There were 58,859 leavers from the monitored workforce in 2011 (Table 2.8), a decrease of 10.4\% $(-6,861)$ from 2010.

Table 2.8 Monitored Northern Ireland Leavers by Community Background and Sex 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 11,441 | $43.3 \%$ | 11,111 | $42.1 \%$ | 3,866 | $14.6 \%$ | 26,418 | $44.9 \%$ |
|  |  | $[22.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 2.5.4.1 Community Background

In 2011, Protestants and Roman Catholics accounted for similar proportions of leavers from the monitored workforce (Chart 2.53).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of Protestant leavers decreased by a greater amount than did Roman Catholic leavers (Chart 2.54). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of leavers from the monitored workforce increased by [1.3 pp] from [48.2\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the number of Protestant leavers was greater than that for Roman Catholic leavers (Chart 2.54). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of leavers from the monitored workforce increased by [5.3 pp] from [44.2\%] to [49.5\%].

Chart 2.53: Monitored Northern Ireland Leavers [\%] by Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 2.54: Changes in Monitored Northern Ireland Leavers (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 2.5.4.2 Sex

## In 2011, 44.9\% of leavers from the monitored workforce were male, whilst $55.1 \%$ were female

 (Chart 2.55).Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of male and female leavers decreased by similar amounts (Chart 2.56). However, proportionally, the decrease in male leavers was greater than that for female leavers. Thus, the female share of leavers from the monitored workforce increased by (1.1 pp) from $54.0 \%$ in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the number of female leavers was greater than that for male leavers (Chart 2.56). Thus, the male share of leavers from the monitored workforce increased by (0.1 pp) overall from $44.8 \%$ in 2001.

Chart 2.55: Monitored Northern Ireland Leavers \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 2.56: Changes in Monitored Northern Ireland Leavers ( n ) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 2.5.4.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, female Protestants and female Roman Catholics each comprised more than one-quarter of leavers from the monitored workforce, whilst male Protestants and male Roman Catholics each comprised more than one-fifth of leavers (Chart 2.57).

Between 2010 and 2011, each of the four groups decreased in numbers leaving the monitored workforce (Chart 2.58). The decrease in the number of female Roman Catholic leavers was much smaller than that for any other group. Consequently, female Roman Catholics' were the only group to increase their share of leavers from the monitored workforce, by [1.7 pp] from [26.0\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, male Roman Catholics were the only group to increase overall In numbers of leavers from the monitored workforce, whilst female Roman Catholics decreased by the smallest overall amount (Chart 2.58). Thus, male Roman Catholics' share of leavers increased by [3.3 pp] overall from [18.5\%] in 2001 to [21.8\%] in 2011, while the female Roman Catholic share of leavers increased by [1.9 pp] from [25.8\%] to [27.7\%].

Chart 2.57: Monitored Northern Ireland Leavers [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 2.58: Changes in Monitored Northern Ireland Leavers (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 2.6 Additional Tables for Chapter 2

| Table 2.9: Monitored Northern Ireland (All) Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 20,350 | 8.0 | 55.1 | 16,564 | 7.5 | 44.9 | 36,914 | 7.8 |
| SOC 2 | 18,616 | 7.3 | 50.2 | 18,492 | 8.4 | 49.8 | 37,108 | 7.8 |
| SOC 3 | 38,322 | 15.0 | 54.6 | 31,864 | 14.5 | 45.4 | 70,186 | 14.8 |
| SOC 4 | 39,016 | 15.3 | 54.8 | 32,213 | 14.7 | 45.2 | 71,229 | 15.0 |
| SOC 5 | 18,423 | 7.2 | 59.4 | 12,577 | 5.7 | 40.6 | 31,000 | 6.5 |
| SOC 6 | 24,326 | 9.5 | 52.5 | 21,991 | 10.0 | 47.5 | 46,317 | 9.8 |
| SOC 7 | 36,430 | 14.3 | 52.2 | 33,316 | 15.2 | 47.8 | 69,746 | 14.7 |
| SOC 8 | 23,104 | 9.1 | 50.4 | 22,765 | 10.4 | 49.6 | 45,869 | 9.7 |
| SOC 9 | 36,469 | 14.3 | 54.8 | 30,085 | 13.7 | 45.2 | 66,554 | 14.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 5 , 0 5 6}$ | 100.0 | 53.7 | $\mathbf{2 1 9 , 8 6 7}$ | 100.0 | 46.3 | $\mathbf{4 7 4 , 9 2 3}$ | 100.0 |

## Table 2.10: Monitored Northern Ireland Full-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 20,009 | 9.3 | 55.2 | 16,272 | 8.9 | 44.8 | 36,281 | 9.1 |
| SOC 2 | 17,006 | 7.9 | 50.2 | 16,892 | 9.2 | 49.8 | 33,898 | 8.5 |
| SOC 3 | 33,846 | 15.7 | 54.6 | 28,140 | 15.4 | 45.4 | 61,986 | 15.5 |
| SOC 4 | 36,159 | 16.7 | 54.5 | 30,191 | 16.5 | 45.5 | 66,350 | 16.6 |
| SOC 5 | 18,019 | 8.3 | 59.7 | 12,145 | 6.6 | 40.3 | 30,164 | 7.6 |
| SOC 6 | 18,694 | 8.7 | 52.5 | 16,923 | 9.2 | 47.5 | 35,617 | 8.9 |
| SOC 7 | 24,602 | 11.4 | 53.9 | 21,045 | 11.5 | 46.1 | 45,647 | 11.4 |
| SOC 8 | 22,462 | 10.4 | 50.4 | 22,140 | 12.1 | 49.6 | 44,602 | 11.2 |
| SOC 9 | 25,164 | 11.7 | 56.6 | 19,326 | 10.6 | 43.4 | 44,490 | 11.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 1 5 , 9 6 1}$ | 100.0 | 54.1 | 183,074 | 100.0 | 45.9 | $\mathbf{3 9 9 , 0 3 5}$ | 100.0 |


| Table 2.11: Monitored Northern Ireland Part-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Protestant |  |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
|  | $\mathrm{N} O C$ | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |  |
| SOC 1 | 341 | 0.9 | 53.9 | 292 | 0.8 | 46.1 | 633 | 0.8 |  |
| SOC 2 | 1,610 | 4.1 | 50.2 | 1,600 | 4.3 | 49.8 | 3,210 | 4.2 |  |
| SOC 3 | 4,476 | 11.4 | 54.6 | 3,724 | 10.1 | 45.4 | 8,200 | 10.8 |  |
| SOC 4 | 2,857 | 7.3 | 58.6 | 2,022 | 5.5 | 41.4 | 4,879 | 6.4 |  |
| SOC 5 | 404 | 1.0 | 48.3 | 432 | 1.2 | 51.7 | 836 | 1.1 |  |
| SOC 6 | 5,632 | 14.4 | 52.6 | 5,068 | 13.8 | 47.4 | 10,700 | 14.1 |  |
| SOC 7 | 11,828 | 30.3 | 49.1 | 12,271 | 33.4 | 50.9 | 24,099 | 31.8 |  |
| SOC 8 | 642 | 1.6 | 50.7 | 625 | 1.7 | 49.3 | 1,267 | 1.7 |  |
| SOC 9 | 11,305 | 28.9 | 51.2 | 10,759 | 29.2 | 48.8 | 22,064 | 29.1 |  |
| Total | 39,095 | 100.0 | 51.5 | 36,793 | 100.0 | 48.5 | 75,888 | 100.0 |  |

## Chapter 3

## THE PRIVATE SECTOR

## 3. The Private Sector

## Key Details

## ALL EMPLOYEES

- The composition of the private sector was 160,875 [54.0\%] Protestant and 136,948 [46.0\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, total Protestant employment decreased by $0.4 \%$ (-566) whilst total Roman Catholic employment increased by $1.4 \%(1,881)$. Overall, the Roman Catholic share increased by [ 0.4 pp ] from [45.6\%] to [46.0\%].
- The private sector accounted for $63.5 \%$ of the total monitored Northern Ireland workforce, and comprised 323,576 employees. This represents an increase in employees of $0.2 \%$ (622) from 2010, the first in private sector employment since 2008.
- More than half $(53.8 \%, \mathrm{n}=174,220)$ of private sector employees were male.


## FULL-TIME WORKFORCE

- The composition of the full-time workforce was 135,971 [54.6\%] Protestant and 112,835 [45.4\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, full-time Protestant employment decreased by $0.5 \%$ (-751), whilst full-time Roman Catholic employment increased by $1.7 \%(1,936)$.
- Overall, the Roman Catholic share increased by [0.6 pp] from [44.8\%] to [45.4\%].
- The full-time workforce accounted for $83.5 \%$ of the private sector workforce, and comprised 270,055 employees. This represents an increase of $0.4 \%$ (956) from 2010, the first increase in private sector full-time employment since 2008.


## PART-TIME WORKFORCE

- The composition of the part-time private sector workforce was 24,904 [50.8\%] Protestant and 24,113 [49.2\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, part-time Protestant employment increased by $0.7 \%$ (185), whilst part-time Roman Catholic employment decreased by $0.2 \% ~(-55)$. Overall, the Protestant share increased by [ 0.2 pp ] from [50.6\%] to [50.8\%].
- The part-time workforce accounted for $16.5 \%$ of the private sector workforce, and comprised 53,521 employees. This represents a decrease of $0.6 \%$ (-334) from 2010.
- More than two-thirds $(66.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=35,699)$ of part-time employees were female.


## FLOWS IN EMPLOYMENT

- 2011 saw the first increase in numbers of applicants to the private sector (by $9.2 \%, 43,848$ ) since 2008. The composition was [47.8\%] Protestant and [52.2\%] Roman Catholic.
- 2011 saw the first increase in numbers of appointees to the private sector (by $0.8 \%, 455$ ) since 2008. The composition was [47.8\%] Protestant and [52.2\%] Roman Catholic.
- In 2011, the number of leavers from the monitored workforce $(36,697)$ was the lowest recorded during the period 2001-2011. The composition was [47.4\%] Protestant and [52.6\%] Roman Catholic.


### 3.1 The Private Sector Workforce: Introduction

The purpose of this chapter is to help inform employers and other interested parties about wider compositional trends within the private sector that, alongside information on labour availability, may better inform their own considerations of fair participation in specific employment(s).

In 2011, a total of 3,686 private sector concerns submitted monitoring returns to the Commission, accounting for more than 323,000 employees and $63.5 \%$ of the total monitored workforce. The private sector enjoyed steady growth between $2002(n=304,034)$ and $2008(n=339,904)$, but decreased between 2009 and 2010. In 2011, the sector increased for the first time since 2008, and now stands at 323,576 employees.

### 3.1.1 Dynamic of Change: Private Sector Workforce 2010-2011

Between 2010 and 2011, the private sector workforce increased in size, as a result of the larger overall increase in full-time employment when compared to the overall decrease in part-time employment. Overall, the sector grew by 622 employees. During this period, the greatest increase in numbers in employment occurred among full-time Roman Catholic male employees ( 1,204 ), followed by full-time Roman Catholic female employees (732). Among the part-time workforce Protestant female employment saw the largest increase in numbers in employment (170). Thus, overall, the number of Roman Catholic employees increased by more than their Protestant counterparts, and as a result, the Roman Catholic share of the total private sector workforce increased by [0.4 pp] from [45.6\%] in 2010.

### 3.2 The Private Sector Workforce: All Employees

In 2011, there were 323,576 employees in the private sector (Table 3.1), an increase of 0.2\% (622) from 2010.

Table 3.1 Private Sector (All) Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 88,322 | $50.7 \%$ | 71,316 | $40.9 \%$ | 14,582 | $8.4 \%$ | 174,220 | $53.8 \%$ |
|  |  | $[29.7 \%]$ |  | $[23.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | $\mathbf{7 2 , 5 5 3}$ | $48.6 \%$ | 65,632 | $43.9 \%$ | 11,171 | $7.5 \%$ | 149,356 | $46.2 \%$ |
|  |  | $[24.4 \%]$ |  | $[22.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 160,875 | $49.7 \%$ | 136,948 | $42.3 \%$ | 25,753 | $8.0 \%$ | 323,576 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  | $[54.0 \%]$ |  | $[46.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

### 3.2.1 Community Background

## In 2011, [54.0\%] of private sector employees were Protestant; while [46.0\%] were Roman Catholic

 (Chart 3.1).Between 2010 and 2011, the number of Protestant employees decreased, whilst the number of Roman Catholic employees increased (Chart 3.2). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the public sector workforce increased slightly by [ 0.4 pp ] from [45.6\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the number of Roman Catholic private sector employees increased overall, whilst the number of Protestant employees decreased (Chart 3.2).

Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the private sector workforce increased by [ 5.6 pp ] overall from [40.4\%] to [46.0\%]. The Roman Catholic share of employees has gradually increased during this period (Chart 3.3).



Chart 3.3: Private Sector (All) Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 3.2.2 Sex

In 2011, more than half of private sector employees were male, whilst less than half were female (Chart 3.4).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of male private sector employees increased, whilst the number of female employees decreased (Chart 3.5). Thus, the male share of the private sector workforce increased slightly by ( 0.1 pp ) from $53.7 \%$.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of female employees increased by a greater overall amount than male employees (Chart 3.5). Thus, the female share of the private sector workforce increased by ( 0.7 pp ) overall from $45.5 \%$ to $46.2 \%$.

Chart 3.4: Private Sector (All) Employees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 3.5: Changes in Private Sector (All) Employees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 3.2.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants and male Roman Catholics comprised more than half of the private sector workforce (Chart 3.6). Nearly a quarter of employees were female Protestants, while more than a fifth were female Roman Catholic employees.

Between 2010 and 2011, male Protestant and female Protestant employment decreased, while male and female Roman Catholic employment increased (Chart 3.7). Consequently, male Roman Catholic employees' share of the private sector workforce increased by [0.2 pp] from [23.7\%], while female Roman Catholic employees' share increased by [0.1 pp] from [21.9\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant employees in the private sector decreased overall (Chart 3.7). In contrast, the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employees increased overall. Consequently, male Roman Catholics' share of the private sector workforce increased by [2.7 pp] from [21.2\%] in 2001 to [23.9\%] in 2011, whilst female Roman Catholics' share increased by [2.8pp] from [19.2\%] to [22.0\%].

Chart 3.6: Private Sector (All) Employees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 3.7: Changes in Private Sector (AII) Employees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 3.2.4 Community Background and SOC

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 3.8). In all SOC categories aside from SOCs 2 and $8^{9}$, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of employees than did Roman Catholic employees. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs 1-9 [54.0\% v. 46.0\%], Protestants representation in Skilled Trade Occupations (SOC 5) was [4.9 pp] above average, whilst in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) it was [4.1 pp] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Plant and Machine Operative Occupations (SOC 8) was [4.5 pp] above average, whilst in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) it was [ 4.0 pp ] above average.

Chart 3.8: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the Private Sector, 2011


In 2011, the greatest proportions of both Protestant and Roman Catholic private sector workers were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) (Charts 3.9 \& 3.10). Despite differences in the religious composition of the SOC categories, the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees across the SOC categories were broadly similar. However, some small differences in distribution are evident (Charts 3.9 \& 3.10). For example, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in SOC 4 (12.0\% v. 10.2\%). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 8 (14.8\% v. $12.4 \%)$. For further details on the composition of the private sector workforce by SOC, see Table 3.9 at the end of the chapter.


Chart 3.10: Distribution of Private Sector Catholic Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 3.2.5 Sectoral Components of Private Sector

The private sector is comprised of three main sectors ${ }^{10}$, namely:

- Manufacturing
- Construction
- Services


### 3.2.5.1 Community Composition of the Main Private Sector Components

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of the main private sector components varied (Chart 3.11). Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of employees in Manufacturing and Services, whilst Roman Catholics accounted for a larger proportion of employees in Construction. However, with reference to the overall composition of the private sector [ $54.0 \%$ v. $46.0 \%$ ], Protestants representation in the Manufacturing component was ( 2.1 pp ) greater than the private sector composition. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in the Construction sector was ( 6.5 pp ) greater than the private sector composition.

Chart 3.11: Religious Composition of the Main Private Sector
Components, 2011



### 3.2.5.2 Distribution of Employees in the Main Private Sector Components

In 2011, the services sector accounted for the majority of both Protestant and Roman Catholic private sector employees (Charts 3.12). Within the main components, construction accounted for the smallest proportion of both Protestant and Roman Catholic employees. With regards to differences in the distribution of employees, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in the Manufacturing sector ( $22.8 \%$ v. $20.9 \%$ ), while a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in the Construction sector (5.5\% v. 4.2\%).

Between 2010 and 2011, both the Manufacturing and Construction sectors saw a decrease in Protestant employment, while Construction was the only sector in which Roman Catholics employment decreased (Table 3.2). The greatest proportional decreases for both Protestants and Roman Catholic employees were in the Construction sector (10.4\% and 8.9\% respectively).

Table 3.2: Changes in the Main Private Sector Components by Community Background, 2010-2011

| $2011-2010$ | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |
| Manufacturing | -245 | -0.7 | 558 | 2.0 | 650 | 0.9 |
| Construction | -788 | -10.4 | -735 | -8.9 | $-1,549$ | -9.3 |
| Services | 597 | 0.5 | 2,050 | 2.1 | 1,633 | 0.7 |
| All Main Components | -436 | -0.3 | 1,873 | 1.4 | 734 | 0.2 |

### 3.3 The Private Sector Workforce: Full-time Employees

There were 270,055 full-time employees in the private sector in 2011 (Table 3.3), an increase of 0.4\% (956) from 2010.

Table 3.3: Private Sector Full-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 80,257 | $\begin{gathered} 51.3 \% \\ {[32.3 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 63,213 | $\begin{gathered} 40.4 \% \\ {[25.4 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 12,928 | 8.3\% | 156,398 | 57.9\% |
| Female | 55,714 | $\begin{gathered} 49.0 \% \\ {[22.4 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 49,622 | $\begin{gathered} 43.7 \% \\ {[19.9 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 8,321 | 7.3\% | 113,657 | 42.1\% |
| Total | 135,971 | $\begin{gathered} 50.3 \% \\ {[54.6 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 112,835 | $\begin{gathered} 41.8 \% \\ {[45.4 \%]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 21,249 | 7.9\% | 270,055 | 100\% |

### 3.3.1 Community Background

## In 2011, [54.6\%] of full-time private sector employees were Protestant; whilst [45.4\%] were Roman Catholic (Chart 3.13).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of Protestant full-time employees decreased, whilst the number of Roman Catholic employees increased (Chart 3.14). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time private sector workforce increased by [0.6 pp] from [44.8\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of Roman Catholic full-time employees increased overall, whilst the number of Protestant employees decreased (Chart 3.14). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time private sector workforce increased by [6.1 pp] overall, from [39.3\%] to [45.4\%]. The Roman Catholic share of full-time employees has gradually increased during this period (Chart 3.15).


Chart 3.14: Changes in Private Sector Full-time Employees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 3.15: Private Sector Full-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 3.3.2 Sex

In 2011, nearly three-fifths of full-time private sector employees were male, whilst more than twofifths were female (Chart 3.16).

Between 2010 and 2011, the increase in the number of male full-time employees was greater than that for female full-time employees (Chart 3.17). Thus, the male share of the full-time private sector workforce increased by (0.1pp) from 57.8\% in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of female full-time employees increased by a greater overall amount than did male employees (Chart 3.17). Consequently, the female share of the full-time private sector workforce increased by (1.1 pp) overall, from $41.0 \%$ to $42.1 \%$.

Chart 3.16: Private Sector Full-time Employees \% by Sex,
2010-2011


Chart 3.17: Changes in Private Sector Full-time Employees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 3.3.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, nearly one-third of full-time employees were male Protestants, whilst more than onequarter were male Roman Catholics (Chart 3.18). Female Protestants and female Roman Catholics each comprised around one-fifth of the full-time private sector workforce.

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant full-time private sector employees decreased. In contrast, the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employees increased (Chart 3.19). Consequently, the male Roman Catholic share of the full-time private sector workforce increased by [0.4 pp] from [25.0\%], whilst the female Roman Catholic share increased by [0.2 pp] from [19.7\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant full-time employees decreased overall. Conversely, the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic fulltime employees increased overall (Chart 3.19). Thus, male Roman Catholic' share of the full-time private sector workforce increased by [3 pp] from [22.4\%] to [25.4\%], while female Roman Catholics' share increased by [3 pp] from [16.9\%] to [19.9\%].

Chart 3.18: Private Sector Full-time Employees [\%] by
Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 3.19: Changes in Private Sector Full-time Employees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 3.3.4 Community Background and SOC

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of full-time employees in SOC categories $1-9$ varied (Chart 3.20). In all of the SOC categories aside from SOCs 2 and $8^{11}$, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of full-time employees than did Roman Catholics. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs $1-9$ [ $54.6 \%$ v. $45.4 \%$ ], Protestants representation in Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) was [4.6 pp] above average, whilst in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) it was [ 3.5 pp ] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Plant and Machine Operative Occupations (SOC 8) was [5.1 pp] above average, whilst in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) it was [4.6 pp] above average.

Chart 3.20: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the Full-time Private Sector, 2011


In 2011, the greatest proportions of both Protestant and Roman Catholic private sector workers were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Personal and Protective Service Occupations (SOC 6) (Charts 3.21 \& 3.22). Despite difference in the religious composition of the SOC categories, the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees across the SOC categories were broadly similar. However, some small differences in distribution are evident (Charts $3.21 \& 3.22$ ). For example, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in SOC 4 ( $13.0 \%$ v. 11.3\%). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 8 ( $17.5 \%$ v. $14.3 \%$ ). For further details on the composition of the private sector full-time workforce by SOC, see Table 3.10 at the end of the chapter.

Chart 3.21: Distribution of Private Sector Protestant Full-time employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


Chart 3.22: Distribution of Private Sector Catholic Full-time Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 3.4 The Private Sector Workforce: Part-time Employees

There were 53,521 part-time employees in the private sector in 2011 (Table 3.3), a decrease of 0.6\% (-334) from 2010.

Table 3.4: Private Sector Full-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 8,065 | $45.3 \%$ | 8,103 | $45.5 \%$ | 1,654 | $9.3 \%$ | 17,822 | $33.3 \%$ |
|  |  | $[16.5 \%]$ |  | $[16.5 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |$)$

### 3.4.1 Community Background

## In 2011, there were similar proportions of Protestants and Roman Catholics employed part-time

 in the private sector (Chart 3.25).Between 2010 and 2011, the number of Protestant part-time employees increased, whilst the number of Roman Catholic part-time employees decreased (Chart 3.24). Consequently, the Protestant share of the part-time private sector workforce increased by [0.2 pp] from [50.6\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall increase in the number of Roman Catholic part-time employees was greater than that for Protestant part-time employees (Chart 3.24). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of the private sector part-time workforce increased by [ 2.4 pp ] overall from [46.8\%] to [49.2\%]. Over this period, year-on-year, the proportions of the part-time workforce accounted for by Protestant and Roman Catholic employees has fluctuated. However, an upward trend in the proportion of the part-time workforce accounted for by Roman Catholics is evident (Chart 3.25).

Chart 3.23: Private Sector Part-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 3.24: Changes in Private Sector Part-time Employees ( n ) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 3.25: Private Sector Part-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


Chart 3.26: Private Sector Part-time Employees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 3.27: Changes in Private Sector Part-time Employees ( n ) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 3.4.2 Sex

In 2011, two-thirds of part-time private sector employees were female, whilst one-third were male (Chart 3.26).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of female part-time employees was greater than that for male part-time employees. However, proportionally, the decreases in both groups were similar (Chart 3.27). Thus, the male/female shares of the part-time private sector workforce remained relatively unchanged (<0.1 pp) from 2010 figures.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall increase in the number of male part-time employees was far greater than that for female part-time employees (Chart 3.27). Consequently, the male share of the parttime private sector workforce increased by [5.1\%] overall from $28.2 \%$ to $33.3 \%$.

### 3.4.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, part-time private sector employment was noticeably divided along gender lines, with female Protestants [34.4\%] and female Roman Catholics [32.7\%] comprising more than two-thirds of part-time employees (Chart 3.28). Male Protestant and male Roman Catholic employees each comprised one-sixth of the part-time workforce.

Between 2010 and 2011, male Roman Catholics were the only group to decrease in numbers of parttime employees, while female Protestant part-time employees increased by the greatest amount (Chart 3.29). Consequently, male Roman Catholics were the only group to decrease their share of the part-time private sector workforce, by [0.3 pp] from [16.8\%]. Conversely, female Protestants were the only group to increase their share of the workforce, by [0.3 pp] from [34.1\%]. Male Protestant and female Roman Catholic shares remained relatively unchanged [<0.1 pp] from their 2010 figures.

During the period 2001-2011, each of the four groups increased overall in numbers in part-time employment (Chart 3.29). Female Protestants experienced the smallest overall increase in numbers in part-time private employment, whilst male Protestants, male Roman Catholics and female Roman Catholics witnessed similar increases in numbers. However, proportionally, the increase in female Roman Catholic part-time employment was much smaller that for either male Protestant or male Roman Catholic employment. Consequently, male Protestants' share of the part-time workforce increased by [2.6 pp] from [13.9\%] in 2001 to [16.5\%] in 2011, whilst male Roman Catholics' share increased by [2.5 pp] from [14.0\%] to [16.5\%].

Chart 3.28: Private Sector Part-time Employees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 3.29: Changes in Private Sector Part-time Employees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 3.4.4 Community Background and SOC

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of part-time employees in SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 3.30). With reference to the average composition of SOCs 1-9 [50.8\% v. 49.2\%], Protestants' representation in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) was [7.2 pp] above average, whilst in Manager and Senior Official Occupations (SOC 1) it was [3.8 pp] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) was [ 3.4 pp ] above average.

Chart 3.30: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the Part-time Private Sector, 2011


In 2011, the greatest proportions of both Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time private sector workers were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in SOC 1 (Charts 3.31 \& 3.32). Despite differences in the religious composition of the SOC categories, the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees across the SOC categories were broadly similar. Notably, both groups were concentrated in SOCs 7 and $9^{12}$. With regards to differences in sectoral distribution, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) (6.9\% v.5.2\%). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC $7(50.7 \%$ v. $47.3 \%)$. For further details on the composition of the private sector part-time workforce by SOC, see Table 3.11 at the end of the chapter.



### 3.5 The Private Sector Workforce: Applicants, Appointees Promotees \& Leavers

### 3.5.1 The Private Sector Workforce: Applicants

There were 518,720 applicants to the private sector in 2011 (Table 3.5), an increase of $9.2 \%(43,848)$ from 2010.

Table 3.5: Private Sector Applicants by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 111,835 | $39.7 \%$ | 117,508 | $41.7 \%$ | 52,618 | $18.7 \%$ | 281,961 | $54.4 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $[26.2 \%]$ |  | $[27.6 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 91,768 | $38.8 \%$ | 105,007 | $44.4 \%$ | 39,984 | $16.9 \%$ | 236,759 | $45.6 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $[21.5 \%]$ |  | $[24.6 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 203,603 | $39.3 \%$ | 222,515 | $42.9 \%$ | 92,602 | $17.9 \%$ | 518,720 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $[47.8 \%]$ | $[52.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 3.5.1.1 Community Background

In 2011, [47.8\%] of private sector applicants were Protestant; whilst [52.2\%] were Roman Catholic (Chart 3.33).

Between 2010 and 2011, the increase in the number of Roman Catholic applicants was greater than that for Protestant applicants (Chart 3.34). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of private sector applicants increased by [1.0 pp] from [51.2\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the number of Protestant private sector applicants decreased overall, whilst the number of Roman Catholic applicants increased (Chart 3.34). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of private sector applicants increased by [8.4 pp] overall from [43.8\%] to [52.2\%]. The Roman Catholic share of applicants has gradually increased during this period (Chart 3.35).

Chart 3.33: Private Sector Applicants [\%] by Community
Background, 2010-2011


Chart 3.34: Changes in Private Sector Applicants (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 3.35: Private Sector Applicants [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 3.5.1.2 Sex

In 2011, $54.4 \%$ of applicants to the private sector were male, whilst $45.6 \%$ were female (Chart 3.36).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of female private sector applicants increased by a greater amount than did male applicants (Chart 3.37). Thus, the female share of private sector applicants increased by (0.9 pp) from $44.8 \%$ in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of male applicants to the private sector increased by a greater overall amount than did female applicants (Chart 3.37). Thus, the male share of private sector applicants increased by ( 0.5 pp ) overall from $53.9 \%$ to $54.4 \%$.

Chart 3.36: Private Sector Applicants \% by Sex, 2010-2011
Chart 3.37: Changes in Private Sector Applicants ( n ) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011



### 3.5.1.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants and male Roman Catholics each comprised more than one-quarter of applicants to the private sector (Chart 3.38). Female Roman Catholics comprised less than onequarter of applicants, whilst female Protestants comprised more than one-fifth of applicants.

Between 2010 and 2011, each of the four groups increased in numbers of applicants to the private sector. However, the increase in the number of female Roman Catholic applicants was far greater than that for any other group. (Chart 3.39). Consequently, female Roman Catholics' were the only group to see an increase in their share of applicants to the private sector, by [1.1 pp] from [23.5\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant applicants decreased overall. Conversely, the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic applicants increased overall (Chart 3.39). Consequently, male Roman Catholics' share of private sector applicants increased by [5.4 pp] overall, from [22.2\%] to [27.6\%], whilst female Roman Catholics' share of applicants increased by [3.0 pp] overall, from [21.6\%] to [24.6\%].

Chart 3.38: Private Sector Applicants [\%] by Community
Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 3.39: Changes in Private Sector Applicants (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 3.5.2 The Private Sector Workforce: Appointees

There were 55,731 appointees to the private sector in 2011 (Table 3.6), an increase of $0.8 \%$ (455) from 2010.

## Table 3.6: Private Sector Appointees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 12,570 | $42.2 \%$ | 13,369 | $44.9 \%$ | 3,849 | $12.9 \%$ | 29,788 | $53.4 \%$ |
|  |  | $[25.8 \%]$ |  | $[27.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 10,716 | $41.3 \%$ | 12,054 | $46.5 \%$ | 3,173 | $12.2 \%$ | 25,943 | $46.6 \%$ |
|  |  | $[22.0 \%]$ |  | $[24.7 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 23,286 | $41.8 \%$ | 25,423 | $45.6 \%$ | 7,022 | $12.6 \%$ | 55,731 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[47.8 \%]$ |  | $[52.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

### 3.5.2.1 Community Background

## In 2011, [47.8\%] of private sector appointees were Protestant; while [52.2\%] were Roman

 Catholic (Chart 3.40).Between 2010 and 2011, the increase in the number of Protestant appointees to the private sector was slightly greater than that for Roman Catholic appointees (Chart 3.41). Thus, the Protestant shares of private sector appointees increased slightly by [0.1 pp] from [47.7\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the number of Protestant appointees was greater than that for Roman Catholic appointees (Chart 3.41). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of private sector appointees increased by [8.2 pp] overall from [44.0\%] to [52.2\%]. Over this period, the proportion of appointees accounted for by Roman Catholics has gradually increased. However, 2011 is the first year in which the proportion of appointees accounted for by Roman Catholic decreased (Chart 3.42).



### 3.5.2.2 Sex

In 2011, $53.4 \%$ of appointees to the private sector were male, whilst $46.6 \%$ were female (Chart 3.43).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of male private sector appointees increased, whilst the number of female appointees decreased (Chart 3.44). Consequently, the male share of private sector appointees increased by (1.4 pp) from 52.0\%.

During the period 2001-2011, the decrease in the number of female appointees to the private sector was greater than that for male appointees (Chart 3.44). Consequently, the male share of private sector appointees increased by (3.4 pp) overall from 50.0\% to 53.4\%.

Chart 3.43: Private Sector Appointees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 3.44: Changes in Private Sector Appointees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 3.5.2.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants and male Roman Catholics each comprised more than one-quarter of appointees to the private sector (Chart 3.45). Female Roman Catholics comprised less than onequarter of appointees, whilst female Protestants comprised more than one-fifth of appointees.

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of male Protestant and male Roman Catholic appointees increased, whilst the numbers of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic appointees decreased (Chart 3.46). Consequently, male Protestants' share of private sector appointees increased by [0.8 pp] from [25.0\%], while male Roman Catholic appointees share increased by [0.4 pp] from [27.0\%].

During the period 2001-2011, each of the four groups decreased overall in numbers of appointees to the public sector. The overall decreases in the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant appointees were greater than those for male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic appointees (Chart 3.46). Thus, the male Roman Catholic share of appointees increased by [6.4 pp] overall, from [21.1\%] to [27.4\%], while the female Roman Catholic share of appointees increased by [1.8 pp] overall, from [22.9\%] to [24.7\%].

Chart 3.45: Private Sector Appointees [\%] by Community
Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 3.46: Changes in Private Sector Appointees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 3.5.3 The Private Sector Workforce: Promotees

There were 3,667 promotees in the private sector in 2011 (Table 3.7), an increase of 15.3\% (486) from 2010.

Table 3.7: Private Sector Promotees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 1,083 | $50.9 \%$ | 803 | $37.7 \%$ <br> $[24.7 \%]$ | 242 | $11.4 \%$ | 2,128 | $58.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[33.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 3.5.3.1 Community Background

## In 2011, [55.3\%] of private sector promotees were Protestant; whilst [44.7\%] were Roman Catholic

 (Chart 3.47).Between 2010 and 2011, the increase in the number of Protestant promotees in the private sector was greater than that for Roman Catholic promotees (Chart 3.48). Thus, the Protestant shares of private sector promotees increased by [2.4 pp] from [52.9\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of Protestant promotees in the private sector decreased overall, whilst the overall number of Roman Catholic promotees remained unchanged (Chart 3.48). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of private sector promotees increased by [7.2 pp] overall from [37.5\%] to [44.7\%].

Chart 3.47: Private Sector Promotees [\%] by Community
Background, 2010-2011


Chart 3.48: Changes in Private Sector Promotees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 3.5.3.2 Sex

In 2011, the majority (58.0\%) of promotees in the private sector were male, whilst more than two-fifths were female (Chart 3.49).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of male private sector promotees increased by a greater amount than did female promotees (Chart 3.50). Thus, the male share of private sector promotees increased by (3.3 pp) from (54.7\%).

During the period 2001-2011, the decrease in the number of male promotees in the private sector was greater than that for female promotees (Chart 3.50). Consequently, the female share of private sector promotees increased by (3.4 pp) overall from 38.6\% to 42.0\%.


Chart 3.50: Changes in Private Sector Promotees ( n ) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 3.5.3.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, one-third of promotees in the private sector were male Protestants, whilst one-quarter were male Roman Catholics (Chart 3.51). Female Protestants and female Roman Catholics each comprised around one-fifth of promotees.

Between 2010 and 2011, each of the four groups increased in numbers of promotees in the private sector. However, the increase in the number of male Protestant promotees was far greater than that for any other group (Chart 3.52). Consequently, male Protestants' were the only group to see an increase in their share of promotees in the private sector, by [3.7 pp] from [29.6\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, male Roman Catholics were the only group to see an overall increase in number of promotees in the private sector, while female Roman Catholic promotees decreased by the smallest overall amount (Chart 3.52). Thus, male Roman Catholics' share of promotees increased by [4.7 pp] overall from [20.0\%] to [24.7\%], while female Roman Catholics' share increased by [2.5 pp] overall from [17.5\%] to [20.0\%].

Chart 3.51: Private Sector Promotees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 3.52: Changes in Private Sector Promotees ( n ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 3.5.4 The Private Sector Workforce: Leavers

There were 36,697 leavers from the private sector in 2011 (Table 3.8), a decrease of $11.6 \%(-4,796)$ from 2010.

Table 3.8: Private Sector Leavers by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 7,309 | $\begin{gathered} 40.0 \% \\ {[23.4 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 8,133 | $\begin{gathered} 44.5 \% \\ {[26.0 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 2,845 | 15.6\% | 18,287 | 49.8\% |
| Female | 7,512 | $\begin{gathered} 40.8 \% \\ {[24.0 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 8,298 | $\begin{gathered} 45.1 \% \\ {[26.6 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 2,600 | 14.1\% | 18,410 | 50.2\% |
| Total | 14,821 | $\begin{gathered} 40.4 \% \\ \text { [47.4\%] } \end{gathered}$ | 16,431 | $\begin{aligned} & 44.8 \% \\ & {[52.6 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 5,445 | 14.8\% | 36,697 | 100.0\% |

### 3.5.4.1 Community Background

## In 2011, [47.4\%] of private sector leavers were Protestant; while [52.6\%] were Roman Catholic

 (Chart 3.53).Between 2010 and 2011, the number of Protestant leavers from the private sector decreased by a greater amount than did Roman Catholic leavers (Chart 3.54). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of private sector leavers increased by [0.8 pp] from [51.8\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the number of Protestant leavers from the private sector was greater than that for Roman Catholic leavers (Chart 3.54). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of private sector leavers increased by [8.4 pp] overall from [44.2\%] to [52.6\%].

Chart 3.53: Private Sector Leavers [\%] by Community
Background, 2010-2011


Chart 3.54: Changes in Private Sector Leavers ( n ) by
Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 3.5.4.2 Sex

## In 2011, males and females accounted for similar proportions of leavers from the private sector

 (Chart 3.55).Between 2010 and 2011, the number of male private sector leavers decreased by a greater amount than did female leavers (Chart 3.56). Thus, the female share of private sector leavers increased by ( 2.8 pp ) from (47.4\%).

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the number of female private sector leavers was greater than that for male leavers (Chart 3.56). Thus, the male share of private sector leavers increased by ( 0.1 pp ) overall from $49.7 \%$ to $49.8 \%$.



### 3.5.4.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Roman Catholics and female Roman Catholics each comprised more than onequarter of leavers from the private sector (Chart 3.57). Male Protestants and female Protestants each comprised less than one-quarter of leavers.

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of male Protestant and male Roman Catholic leavers decreased by greater amounts than did female Protestant or female Roman Catholic leavers (Chart 3.58). Thus, the female Protestant share of leavers increased by [1.4 pp] from [22.6\%], while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [1.9 pp] from [24.7\%].

During the period 2001-2011, male Roman Catholics were the only group to increase overall in numbers of leavers from the private sector. Female Roman Catholic leavers decreased by the smallest overall amount (Chart 3.58). Consequently, male Roman Catholics' share of leavers increased by [4.7 pp] overall, from [21.3\%] to [26.0\%], while female Roman Catholics' share increased by [3.7 pp] overall, from [22.9\%] to [26.6\%].


Chart 3.58: Changes in Private Sector Leavers ( n ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 3.6 Additional Tables for Chapter 3

Table 3.9: Private Sector (All) Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 15,749 | 9.8 | 55.6 | 12,587 | 9.2 | 44.4 | 28,336 | 9.5 |
| SOC 2 | 9,136 | 5.7 | 50.0 | 9,142 | 6.7 | 50.0 | 18,278 | 6.1 |
| SOC 3 | 11,915 | 7.4 | 54.3 | 10,048 | 7.3 | 45.7 | 21,963 | 7.4 |
| SOC 4 | 19,366 | 12.0 | 58.1 | 13,958 | 10.2 | 41.9 | 33,324 | 11.2 |
| SOC 5 | 15,959 | 9.9 | 58.9 | 11,144 | 8.1 | 41.1 | 27,103 | 9.1 |
| SOC 6 | 11,869 | 7.4 | 54.0 | 10,098 | 7.4 | 46.0 | 21,967 | 7.4 |
| SOC 7 | 36,185 | 22.5 | 52.2 | 33,160 | 24.2 | 47.8 | 69,345 | 23.3 |
| SOC 8 | 19,944 | 12.4 | 49.5 | 20,331 | 14.8 | 50.5 | 40,275 | 13.5 |
| SOC 9 | 20,752 | 12.9 | 55.7 | 16,480 | 12.0 | 44.3 | 37,232 | 12.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6 0 , 8 7 5}$ | 100.0 | 54.0 | $\mathbf{1 3 6 , 9 4 8}$ | 100.0 | 46.0 | $\mathbf{2 9 7}, 823$ | 100.0 |

Table 3.10: Private Sector Full-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 15,438 | 11.4 | 55.6 | 12,328 | 10.9 | 44.4 | 27,766 | 11.2 |
| SOC 2 | 8,801 | 6.5 | 50.0 | 8,799 | 7.8 | 50.0 | 17,600 | 7.1 |
| SOC 3 | 11,013 | 8.1 | 54.4 | 9,229 | 8.2 | 45.6 | 20,242 | 8.1 |
| SOC 4 | 17,646 | 13.0 | 58.1 | 12,714 | 11.3 | 41.9 | 30,360 | 12.2 |
| SOC 5 | 15,599 | 11.5 | 59.2 | 10,744 | 9.5 | 40.8 | 26,343 | 10.6 |
| SOC 6 | 8,798 | 6.5 | 54.5 | 7,349 | 6.5 | 45.5 | 16,147 | 6.5 |
| SOC 7 | 24,403 | 17.9 | 53.8 | 20,923 | 18.5 | 46.2 | 45,326 | 18.2 |
| SOC 8 | 19,394 | 14.3 | 49.5 | 19,778 | 17.5 | 50.5 | 39,172 | 15.7 |
| SOC 9 | 14,879 | 10.9 | 57.6 | 10,971 | 9.7 | 42.4 | 25,850 | 10.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 5 , 9 7 1}$ | 100.0 | 54.6 | $\mathbf{1 1 2 , 8 3 5}$ | 100.0 | 45.4 | $\mathbf{2 4 8 , 8 0 6}$ | 100.0 |


| Table 3.11: Private Sector Part-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
| SOC | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 311 | 1.2 | 54.6 | 259 | 1.1 | 45.4 | 570 | 1.2 |
| SOC 2 | 335 | 1.3 | 49.4 | 343 | 1.4 | 50.6 | 678 | 1.4 |
| SOC 3 | 902 | 3.6 | 52.4 | 819 | 3.4 | 47.6 | 1,721 | 3.5 |
| SOC 4 | 1,720 | 6.9 | 58.0 | 1,244 | 5.2 | 42.0 | 2,964 | 6.0 |
| SOC 5 | 360 | 1.4 | 47.4 | 400 | 1.7 | 52.6 | 760 | 1.6 |
| SOC 6 | 3,071 | 12.3 | 52.8 | 2,749 | 11.4 | 47.2 | 5,820 | 11.9 |
| SOC 7 | 11,782 | 47.3 | 49.1 | 12,237 | 50.7 | 50.9 | 24,019 | 49.0 |
| SOC 8 | 550 | 2.2 | 49.9 | 553 | 2.3 | 50.1 | 1,103 | 2.3 |
| SOC 9 | 5,873 | 23.6 | 51.6 | 5,509 | 22.8 | 48.4 | 11,382 | 23.2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 4 , 9 0 4}$ | 100.0 | 50.8 | $\mathbf{2 4 , 1 1 3}$ | 100.0 | 49.2 | $\mathbf{4 9 , 0 1 7}$ | 100.0 |

## Chapter 4

## THE PUBLIC

 SECTOR
## 4. The Public Sector

## Key Details

## ALL EMPLOYEES

- The composition of the public sector workforce was $\mathbf{9 4 , 1 8 1}$ [53.2\%] Protestant and 82,919 [46.8\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, total Protestant employment decreased by 2.4\% (-2,343), the largest since 2007, while total Roman Catholic employment decreased by 0.9\% (-719), the largest during the period 2001-2010. Thus, overall, the Roman Catholic share increased by [ 0.4 pp ] from [46.4\%] to [46.8\%].
- The public sector accounted for $36.5 \%$ of the total, monitored Northern Ireland workforce, and comprised 186,266 employees. This represents a decrease in employees of $1.8 \%$ (3,506) from 2010, the largest during the period 2001-2010.
- Nearly two-thirds $(64.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=119,358)$ of public sector employees were female.


## FULL-TIME WORKFORCE

- The composition of the full-time workforce was 79,990 [53.2\%] Protestant and 70,239 [46.8\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, full-time Protestant employment decreased by $2.5 \%(-2,089)$, the largest since 2008, while full-time Roman Catholic employment decreased by $0.7 \%$ (504), the largest year-on-year decline for the period 2001-2011. Overall, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time public sector workforce increased by [0.5 pp] from [46.3\%] to [46.8\%].
- The full-time workforce accounted for $84.6 \%$ of the public sector workforce, and comprised 157,620 employees. This represents a decrease of 1.9\% ( $-3,000$ ) from 2010, the largest year-on-year decline during the period 2001-2011.


## PART-TIME WORKFORCE

- The composition of the part-time workforce was 14,191 [52.8\%] Protestant and 12,680 [47.2\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, part-time Protestant employment decreased by $1.8 \%$ (-254), while part-time Roman Catholic employment decreased by $1.7 \%$ (-215). As the Protestant and Roman Catholic changes in employment were similar, their shares of the part-time workforce remained the same as in 2010.
- The part-time workforce accounted for $15.4 \%$ of the public sector workforce, and comprised 28,646 employees. This represents a decrease of $1.7 \%(-506)$ in employees from 2010, the majority of whom were either female Roman Catholic (-189) or female Protestant (-170) employees.


## FLOWS IN EMPLOYMENT

- The number of applicants to the public sector $(133,976)$ was at its lowest for the period 2001-2011 (excluding RPA-affected returns in 2008). The composition was [50.6\%] Protestant and [49.4\%] Roman Catholic.
- The number of appointees to the public sector $(10,453)$ was at its lowest for the period 2001-2011 (excluding RPA-affected returns in 2008). The composition was [48.7\%] Protestant and [51.3\%] Roman Catholic.
- The number of leavers from the public sector $(22,162)$ was at its lowest for the period 2001-2011 (excluding RPA-affected returns in 2008). The composition was [55.5\%] Protestant and [44.5\%] Roman Catholic.


### 4.1 The Public Sector Workforce: Introduction

The purpose of this chapter is to help inform employers and other interested parties about wider compositional trends within the public sector that, alongside information on labour availability, may better inform their own considerations of fair participation in specific employment(s).

In 2011, a total of 122 public bodies submitted monitoring returns to the Commission, accounting for more than 186,000 employees and $36.5 \%$ of the total monitored workforce. The public sector enjoyed steady growth between 2001 ( $n=175,083$ ) and 2005 ( $n=194,077$ ), but in 2011 the public sector workforce was at its lowest level since 2003, when it comprised 181,499 employees.

### 4.1.1 Dynamic of Change: Public Sector Workforce 2010-2011

Between 2010 and 2011, a decrease in employee numbers was recorded for all groups in the public sector workforce. Overall, the sector contracted by 3,506 employees. During this period, the greatest decrease in numbers in employment occurred among full-time Protestant male employees ( $-1,259$ ), followed by full-time Protestant female employees (-830). Among the part-time workforce, Roman Catholic females saw the greatest decrease in numbers in employment (-189). Thus, overall the number of Protestant employees decreased by more than their Roman Catholic counterparts, and as a result, the Roman Catholic share of the total public sector workforce increased by [0.4 pp] from [46.4\%] in 2010.

### 4.2 The Public Sector Workforce: All Employees

There were 186,266 employees in the public sector in 2011 (Table 4.1), a decrease of $1.8 \%(-3,506)$ from 2010.

Table 4.1: Public Sector (All) Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 35,351 | $52.8 \%$ | 27,721 | $41.4 \%$ | 3,836 | $5.7 \%$ | 66,908 | $35.9 \%$ |
|  |  | $[20.0 \%]$ |  | $[15.7 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 58,830 | $49.3 \%$ | 55,198 | $46.2 \%$ | 5,330 | $4.5 \%$ | 119,358 | $64.1 \%$ |
|  |  | $[33.2 \%]$ |  | $[31.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 94,181 | $50.6 \%$ | 82,919 | $44.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 6 6}$ | $4.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 8 6 , 2 6 6}$ | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[53.2 \%]$ |  | $[46.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

### 4.2.1 Community Background

## In 2011, [53.2\%] of public sector employees were Protestant; while [46.8\%] were Roman Catholic

 (Chart 4.1).Between 2010 and 2011, the number of Protestant employees decreased by a greater amount than Roman Catholic employees (Chart 4.2). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the public sector workforce increased by [0.4 pp] from [46.4\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the number of Roman Catholic public sector employees increased overall, whilst the number of Protestant employees decreased (Chart 4.2). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the public sector workforce increased by [6.6 pp] overall from [40.2\%] to [ $46.8 \%$ ]. The Roman Catholic share of employees has gradually increased year-on-year during this period (Chart 4.3).

Chart 4.1: Public Sector (All) Employees [\%] by Community
Background, 2010-2011


Chart 4.2: Changes in Public Sector (All) Employees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 4.3: Public Sector (All) Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 4.2.2 Sex

In 2011, nearly two-thirds of public sector employees were female, while more than one-third were male (Chart 4.4).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of male public sector employees decreased by a greater amount than female employees (Chart 4.5). Thus, the female share of the public sector workforce increased slightly by ( 0.4 pp ) from 63.7\%.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of male employees decreased overall, whilst the number of female employees increased (Chart 4.5). Thus, the female share of the public sector workforce increased by ( 5 pp ) overall from $59.1 \%$ to $64.1 \%$.

Chart 4.4: Public Sector (All) Employees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 4.5: Changes in Public Sector (All) Employees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 4.2.3 Community Background and Sex

## In 2011, female Protestants and female Roman Catholics comprised nearly two-thirds of the

 public sector workforce (Chart 4.6). One-fifth of employees were Male Protestants, while less than one-sixth were male Roman Catholic employees.Between 2010 and 2011, male Protestant and female Protestant employment decreased by greater amounts than did male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employment (Chart 4.7).
Consequently, male Roman Catholic employees' share of the public sector workforce increased by [0.1 pp] from [15.6\%], while female Roman Catholic employees' share increased by [0.4 pp] from [30.8\%].

During the period 2001-2011, male Protestants were the only group to decrease overall in employment, while female Protestant employees increased by the smallest overall amount (Chart 4.7). Consequently, the male Roman Catholic share of the public sector workforce increased by [1.4 pp] overall from [14.3\%] to [15.7\%], while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [5.2 pp] overall from [26.0\%] to [31.2\%].

Chart 4.6: Public Sector (All) Employees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011

- Male [\%] $\quad \square$ Female [\%]


Chart 4.7: Changes in Public Sector (All) Employees ( n ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 4.2.4 Community Background and SOC

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 4.8). In each SOC category, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of employees than did Roman Catholics. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs 1-9 [53.2\% v. 46.8\%], Protestants representation in Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) was [10 pp] above average, while Protestant representation in Sales and Customer Services Occupations (SOC 7) was [7.9 pp] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) was [2.9 pp] above average.

Chart 4.8: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the Public Sector, 2011


In 2011, the greatest proportions of both Protestant and Roman public sector workers were employed in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (Charts 4.9 \& 4.10). Despite differences in the religious composition of the SOC categories, the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees across the SOC categories were broadly similar. However, some small differences in distribution are evident (Charts 4.9 \& 4.10). For example, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in $3(28.0 \%$ v. $26.3 \%)$. Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 4 ( $22.0 \% \mathrm{v} .20 .9 \%$ ). For further details on the composition of the public sector workforce by SOC, see Table 4.9 at the end of the chapter.



It is notable that the SOC categories with the greatest divergence in community representation (Chart 4.8-SOCs $5 \& 7$ ) are also those in which the smallest proportions of workers were employed (Charts $4.9 \& 4.10$ and Table 4.9).

### 4.2.5 Sectoral Components of Public Sector

The public sector is categorised into six components/sectors, namely:

- Health
- Education
- District councils
- Civil service
- Security-related
- 'Other' public authorities

Chart 4.11: Religious Composition of the Public Sector Components, 2011


Chart 4.12: Distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic Employees across the Public Sector Components, 2011


### 4.2.5.1 Community Composition of the Public Sector Components

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of the public sector components varied (Chart 4.11). In each component aside from Health, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of employees than did Roman Catholics. However, with reference to the overall composition of the public sector [53.2\% v. 46.8\%], Protestants representation in the Security-related sub-sector was [23.2 pp] greater than the public sector composition, while Protestant representation in District Councils was [5.2 pp] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in the Health sub-sector was [3.6 pp] greater than the public sector composition. Please refer to chapters 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 for a more detailed examination of the community composition of the public sector components.

### 4.2.5.2 Distribution of Employees in the Public Sector Components

In 2011, the health sector accounted for the majority of both Protestant and Roman Catholic public sector employees (Chart 4.12). The District Councils accounted for the smallest proportion of Protestant employees while the Security-related sub-sector accounted for the smallest proportion of Roman Catholic employees. With regards to differences in the distribution of employees, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in the Security-related sub-sector ( $9.9 \%$ v. $3.5 \%$ ), while a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in the Health sub-sector ( $39.3 \%$ v. $34.0 \%$ ).

Between 2010 and 2011, each of the sub-sectors saw a decrease in Protestant employment, while the Security-related sub-sector was the only component in which Roman Catholic employment increased (Table 4.2). Among those sub-sectors showing a decrease, the proportional decreases in the numbers of Protestant employees were either greater than, or equal to those for Roman Catholic employees. The greatest proportional decrease in Protestant employment was in the Security-related sub-sector (-4.9\%), while the greatest proportional decrease in Roman Catholic employment was in the Civil Service (-2.6\%).

| Public Sector Components | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Health | -652 | -2.0 | -116 | -0.4 | -783 | -1.1 |
| Education | -315 | -1.7 | -124 | -0.7 | -503 | -1.3 |
| District Councils | -151 | -2.3 | -107 | -2.3 | -267 | -2.2 |
| Civil Service | -667 | -3.6 | -390 | -2.6 | -1,126 | -3.2 |
| Security | -481 | -4.9 | 99 | 3.6 | -418 | -3.2 |
| Other Public Authorities | -155 | -1.3 | -91 | -0.9 | -509 | -2.1 |
| Public Sector | -2,343 | -2.4 | -719 | -0.9 | -2,857 | -1.5 |

### 4.3 The Public Sector Workforce: Full-time Employees

There were 157,620 full-time employees in the public sector in 2011 (Table 4.3), a decrease of 1.9\% $(-3,000)$ from 2010.

## Table 4.3: Public Sector Full-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 32,083 | $53.2 \%$ | 24,915 | $41.3 \%$ | 3,287 | $5.5 \%$ | 60,285 | $38.2 \%$ |
|  |  | $[21.4 \%]$ |  | $[16.6 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 47,907 | $49.2 \%$ | 45,324 | $46.6 \%$ | 4,104 | $4.2 \%$ | 97,335 | $61.8 \%$ |
|  |  | $[31.9 \%]$ |  | $[30.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 79,990 | $50.7 \%$ | 70,239 | $44.6 \%$ | 7,391 | $4.7 \%$ | 157,620 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[53.2 \%]$ |  | $[46.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

### 4.3.1 Community Background

In 2011, [53.2\%] of full-time public sector employees were Protestant; whilst [46.8\%] were Roman
Catholic (Chart 4.13). Between 2010 and 2011, the number of Protestant full-time employees decreased by a greater amount than Roman Catholic employees (Chart 4.14). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time public sector workforce increased by [0.5 pp] from [46.3\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of Roman Catholic full-time employees increased overall, whilst the number of Protestant employees decreased slightly (Chart 4.14). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time public sector workforce increased by [ 6.9 pp ] overall, from [39.9\%] to [46.8\%]. The Roman Catholic share of employees has gradually increased year-on-year during this period (Chart 4.15).

Chart 4.13: Public Sector Full-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 4.14: Changes in Public Sector Full-time Employees ( $n$ ) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 4.15: Public Sector Full-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 4.3.2 Sex

In 2011, the majority of full-time public sector employees were female, whilst almost two-fifths were male (Chart 4.16).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of male full-time employees was greater than that for their female counterparts (Chart 4.17). Consequently, the female share of the full-time public sector workforce increased by ( 0.5 pp ) from $61.3 \%$ in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of male full-time employees decreased overall, whilst the number of female employees increased (Chart 4.17). Thus, the female share of the full-time public sector workforce increased by ( 6.6 pp ) from $55.2 \%$ to $61.8 \%$.



### 4.3.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, female Protestants and female Roman Catholics comprised nearly two-thirds of the fulltime public sector workforce (Chart 4.18). More than one-fifth of employees were Male Protestants, while less than one-sixth were male Roman Catholic employees.

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant full-time public sector employees decreased by greater amounts than did male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employees (Chart 4.19). Consequently, the male Roman Catholic share of the full-time public sector workforce increased by [0.1 pp] from [16.5\%], whilst the female Roman Catholic share increased by [0.4 pp] from [29.8\%].

During the period 2001-2011, male Protestants were the only group to show an overall decrease in fulltime employment, while female Roman Catholics showed the greatest overall increase (Chart 4.19). Consequently, male Protestant employees were the only group to decrease their share of the full-time public sector workforce, by [7.6 pp] overall from [29.0\%] to [21.4\%], whilst female Roman Catholics' share increased by the greatest amount overall [5.8 pp], from [24.4\%] to [30.2\%].

Chart 4.18: Public Sector Full-time Employees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 4.19: Changes in Public Sector Full-time Employees ( n ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 4.3.4 Community Background and SOC

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of full-time employees in SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 4.20). In each SOC category, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of fulltime employees than did Roman Catholics. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs 1-9 [53.2\% v. 46.8\%], Protestants representation in Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) was [10.1 pp] above average, while Protestant representation in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) was [8.8 pp] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) was [2.9 pp] above average.

Chart 4.20: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the Full-time Public Sector, 2011


In 2011, the greatest proportions of both Protestant and Roman public sector workers were employed in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (Charts 4.21 \& 4.22). Despite differences in the religious composition of the SOC categories, the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees across the SOC categories were broadly similar. However, some small differences in distribution are evident (Charts $4.21 \& 4.22$ ). For example, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in 3 ( $28.5 \%$ v. 26.9\%). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 4 ( $24.9 \%$ v. $23.1 \%$ ). For further details on the composition of the full-time workforce by SOC, see Table 4.10 at the end of the chapter.

It is notable that the SOC categories with the greatest divergence in community representation (Chart 4.20 - SOCs $5 \& 7$ ) are also those in which the smallest proportions of workers were employed (Charts 4.21 \& 4.22 and Table 4.10).


Chart 4.22: Distribution of Public Sector Catholic Full-time Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 4.4 The Public Sector Workforce: Part-time Employees

There were 28,646 part-time employees in the public sector in 2011 (Table 4.4), a decrease of $1.7 \%$ (-506) from 2010.

Table 4.4: Public Sector Part-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 3,268 | $49.3 \%$ | 2,806 | $42.4 \%$ | 549 | $8.3 \%$ | 6,623 | $23.1 \%$ |
|  |  | $[12.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.4.1 Community Background

In 2011, there were [52.8\%] Protestant and [47.2\%] Roman Catholic employees in the part-time public sector workforce (Chart 4.23).

Between 2010 and 2011, there were similar decreases in the number of Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time employees (Chart 4.24). Thus, the Protestant / Roman Catholic shares of the part-time public sector workforce remained relatively unchanged [<0.1 pp] from 2010 figures.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of Protestant part-time employees decreased overall, whilst the number of Roman Catholic employees increased (Chart 4.24). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of the public sector workforce increased by [5.7 pp] overall from [41.5\%] to [47.2\%]. The Roman Catholic share of part-time employment has gradually increased over this period (Chart 4.25).


Chart 4.24: Changes in Public Sector Part-time Employees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 4.25: Public Sector Part-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 4.4.2 Sex

In 2011, more than three-quarters of part-time public sector employees were female, while less than one-quarter were male (Chart 4.26).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of male part-time employees was similar to that for female employees (Chart 4.27). Thus, the male / female shares of the part-time public sector workforce remained relatively unchanged (<0.1 pp) from 2010 figures.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of female part-time employees decreased, whilst the number of male part-time employees increased slightly (Chart 4.27). Thus, the male share of the part-time public sector workforce increased by ( 0.9 pp ) overall from $22.2 \%$ to $23.1 \%$.

Chart 4.26: Public Sector Part-time Employees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 4.27: Changes in Public Sector Part-time Employees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 4.4.3 Community Background and Sex

## In 2011, part-time public sector employment was noticeably divided along gender lines, with female Protestants and female Roman Catholics comprising the majority of employees (Chart 4.28). Male Protestant and male Roman Catholic employees compromised similarly small proportions of the part-time workforce.

Between 2010 and 2011, female Roman Catholic part-time employees saw the greatest numerical decrease in employment, while male Protestant part-time employees saw the greatest proportional decrease in employment (Chart 4.29). Consequently, male Protestant and female Roman Catholic employees' shares of the part-time workforce both decreased by [ 0.1 pp ], from [12.3\%] and [36.8\%] respectively to [12.2\%] and [36.7\%]. Male Roman Catholic and female Protestant employees' shares remained relatively unchanged $[<0.1 \mathrm{pp}]$ from their 2010 figures.

During the period 2001-2011, the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant part-time public sector employees decreased overall (Chart 4.29). In contrast, the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employees increased overall. Thus, the male Roman Catholic share of the parttime public sector workforce increased by [2.4 pp] from [8.0\%] to [10.4\%], while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [3.2 pp] from [33.5\%] to [36.7\%].

Chart 4.28: Public Sector Part-time Employees [\%] by
Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 4.29: Changes in Public Sector Part-time Employees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 4.4.4 Community Background and SOC

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of part-time employees in SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 4.30). In each SOC category aside from SOC $1^{13}$, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of part-time employees than did Roman Catholics. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs 1-9 [52.8\% v. 47.2\%], Protestants representation in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) was [ 6.6 pp ] above average, while in Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) it was [ 5.1 pp ] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Manager and Senior Official Occupations (SOC 1) was [5.2 pp] above average, while in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) it was [2.4 $\mathrm{pp}]$ above average.

Chart 4.30: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the Part-time Public Sector, 2011


In 2011, the greatest proportions of both Protestant and Roman Catholic public sector part-time workers were employed in Elementary Occupations (SOC 9) (Charts 4.31 \& 4.32). The smallest proportion of Protestant part-time workers were employed in (SOC 1), while the smallest proportion of Roman Catholic workers were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 5). Despite differences in the religious composition of the SOC categories (Chart 4.30), the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees across the SOC categories were broadly similar. However, some small differences in distribution are evident (Charts 4.31 \& 4.32). For example, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in SOC 3 ( $25.2 \%$ v. $22.9 \%$ ). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in 9 (41.4\% v. 38.3\%). For further details on the composition of the part-time workforce by SOC, see Table 4.11 at the end of the chapter.

It is notable that the SOC categories with the greatest divergence in community representation (Chart 4.30 - SOCs $4,5 \& 7$ ) are also those in which the smallest proportions of workers were employed (Charts 4.31 \& 4.32 and Table 4.11).

Chart 4.31: Distribution of Public Sector Protestant Part-time Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


Chart 4.32: Distribution of Public Sector Catholic Part-time Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 4.5 Public Sector Workforce: Applicants, Appointees, Promotees \& Leavers

### 4.5.1 The Public Sector Workforce: Applicants

There were 133,976 applicants to the public sector in 2011 (Table 4.5), a decrease of $17.1 \%(-27,729)$ from 2010.

Table 4.5: Public Sector Applicants by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 30,380 | $47.7 \%$ | 27,700 | $43.5 \%$ | 5,642 | $8.9 \%$ | 63,722 | $47.6 \%$ |
|  |  | $[24.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.5.1.1 Community Background

In 2011, [50.6\%] of public sector applicants were Protestant; while [49.4\%] were Roman Catholic (Chart 4.33). Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Roman Catholic applicants to the public sector was greater than that for Protestant applicants. Consequently, the Protestant share of public sector applicants increased by [0.1 pp] from [50.5\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of Protestant public sector applicants decreased by a greater amount than Roman Catholic applicants (Chart 4.34). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of public sector applicants increased by [1.6 pp] overall from [47.8\%] to [49.4\%]. The proportions of applications accounted for by Protestants and Roman Catholics have fluctuated over this period (Chart 4.35).

Chart 4.33: Public Sector Applicants [\%] by Community
Background, 2010-2011


Chart 4.34: Changes in Public Sector Applicants (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 4.35: Public Sector Applicants [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 4.5.1.2 Sex

In 2011, $\mathbf{5 2 . 4 \%}$ of applicants to the private sector were female, while $47.6 \%$ were male (Chart 4.36). Between 2010 and 2011, the number of female private sector applicants decreased by a greater amount than male applicants (Chart 4.37). Thus, the male share of private sector applicants increased by (2.3 pp) from (45.3\%).

During the period 2001-2011, the number of male applicants to the public sector increased, while the number female applicants decreased (Chart 4.37). Thus, the male share of public sector applicants increased by ( 10.9 pp ) overall from $36.7 \%$ to $47.6 \%$.

Chart 4.36: Public Sector Applicants \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 4.37: Changes in Public Sector Applicants ( n ) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 4.5.1.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, female Protestants and female Roman Catholics comprised more than half of applicants to the public sector workforce (Chart 4.38). One-quarter of applicants were male Protestants, while more than one-fifth were male Roman Catholics.

Between 2010 and 2011, female Protestant and female Roman Catholic applicants decreased by greater amounts than did male Protestant and male Roman Catholic applicants (Chart 4.39). Consequently, male Protestants' share of applicants increased by [1.3 pp] from [23.5\%], while male Roman Catholics' share increased by [1.2 pp] from [21.4\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the number of male Protestant and male Roman Catholic applicants increased overall, while female Protestant and female Roman Catholic applicants decreased overall (Chart 4.39). Thus, the male Protestant share of applicants to the public sector workforce increased by [5.7 pp] from [19.1\%] to [24.8\%], while the male Roman Catholic share of applicants increased by [6.0 pp] from [16.6\%] to [22.6\%].

Chart 4.38: Public Sector Applicants [\%] by Community
Background and Sex, 2010-2011
Chart 4.39: Changes in Public Sector Applicants (n) by


Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 4.5.2 The Public Sector Workforce: Appointees

There were 10,453 appointees to the public sector in 2011 (Table 4.6), a decrease of $31.0 \%(-4,690)$ from 2010.

Table 4.6: Public Sector Appointees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 1,607 | $43.6 \%$ | 1,683 | $45.7 \%$ | 393 | $10.7 \%$ | 3,683 | $35.2 \%$ |
|  |  | $[16.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.5.2.1 Community Background

## In 2011, [48.7\%] of public sector appointees were Protestant; while [51.3\%] were Roman Catholic

 (Chart 4.40).Between 2010 and 2011, Protestant and Roman Catholic appointees to the public sector decreased by similar amounts (Chart 4.41). Thus, the Protestant/Roman Catholic shares of public sector appointees remained relatively unchanged [<0.1 pp] from 2010 figures.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of Protestant public sector appointees decreased by a greater amount than did Roman Catholic appointees (Chart 4.41). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of public sector appointees increased by [3.4 pp] overall from [47.9\%] to [51.3\%]. The proportions of appointees accounted for by Protestants and Roman Catholics fluctuated over this period (Chart 4.42).

Chart 4.40: Public Sector Appointees [\%] by Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 4.41: Changes in Public Sector Appointees ( n ) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 4.42: Public Sector Appointees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011

4.5.2.2 Sex

In 2011, nearly two-thirds of appointees to the public sector were female, while more than onethird were male (Chart 4.43).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of female public sector appointees decreased by a greater amount than male appointees (Chart 4.44). However, proportionally male appointees decreased by more than females. Thus, the female share of public sector appointees increased by (0.9 pp) from (63.9\%).

During the period 2001-2011, the number of female appointees to the public sector decreased by a greater amount than male appointees (Chart 4.44). Thus, the male share of public sector appointees increased by (4.7 pp) overall from $30.5 \%$ to $35.2 \%$.

Chart 4.43: Public Sector Appointees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 4.44: Changes in Public Sector Appointees ( n ) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 4.5.2.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, female Protestants and female Roman Catholics comprised nearly two-thirds of appointees to the public sector workforce (Chart 4.45). Male Protestants and male Roman Catholics each comprised more than one-sixth of appointees.

Between 2010 and 2011, the decreases in the numbers of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic appointees were greater than those for male Protestant and male Roman Catholic appointees (Chart 4.46). However, in proportional terms, the opposite is true. Thus, the female Protestant share of appointees increased by [0.9 pp] from [30.9\%], while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [0.2 pp] from [33.5\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the numbers of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic appointees to the public sector workforce decreased by greater overall amounts than did male Protestant and male Roman Catholic appointees (Chart 4.46). Consequently, male Protestant appointees' share increased by [1.4 pp] from [15.5\%] to [16.9\%], while male Roman Catholic appointees share increased by [3.7 pp] from [14.0\%] to [17.7\%].

Chart 4.45: Public Sector Appointees [\%] by Community
Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 4.46: Changes in Public Sector Appointees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011

4.5.3 The Public Sector Workforce: Promotees

There were 2,454 promotees in the public sector in 2011 (Table 4.7), a decrease of $40.7 \%(-1,684)$ from 2010.

Table 4.7: Public Sector Promotees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 531 | $52.4 \%$ | 436 | $\begin{array}{c}43.0 \% \\ {[18.5 \%]}\end{array}$ | 46 | $4.5 \%$ | 1,013 | $41.3 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $[22.6 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |$)$

### 4.5.3.1 Community Background

In 2011, [51.4\%] of public sector promotees were Protestant, while [48.6\%] were Roman Catholic (Chart 4.47).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of Protestant promotees in the public sector decreased by a greater amount than Roman Catholic promotees (Chart 4.48). However, proportionally the opposite is true. Thus, the Protestant share of public sector promotees increased by [0.2 pp] from [51.2\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the number of Protestant promotees in the public sector decreased by a greater overall amount than Roman Catholic promotees (Chart 4.48). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of public sector promotees increased by [7.1 pp] overall from [41.5\%] to [48.6\%].



### 4.5.3.2 Sex

In 2011, the majority of promotees in the public sector were female, while slightly more than twofifths were male (Chart 4.49).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of female public sector promotees decreased by a greater amount than male promotees (Chart 4.50). Thus, the male share of public sector promotees increased by ( 2.3 pp) from $39.0 \%$.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of male promotees in the public sector decreased by a greater amount than female promotees (Chart 4.50). Thus, the female share of private sector promotees increased by ( 5.3 pp ) overall from $53.4 \%$ to $58.7 \%$.

Chart 4.49: Public Sector Promotees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 4.50: Changes in Public Sector Promotees ( n ) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 4.5.3.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, female Protestants and female Roman Catholics comprised nearly three-fifths of promotees in the public sector workforce (Chart 4.51). Male Protestants and male Roman Catholics comprised more than two-fifths of promotees.

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic promotees decreased by greater amounts than did male Protestant and male Roman Catholic promotees (Chart 4.52). Thus, the male Protestant share of promotees increased by [1.0 pp] from [21.6\%], while the male Roman Catholic share increased by [1.2 pp] from [17.3\%].

During the period 2001-2011, male Protestant promotees and female Protestant promotees decreased by greater amounts than did male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic promotees (Chart 4.52). Consequently, male Roman Catholics' share of promotees in the public sector workforce increased by [1.6 pp] from [16.9\%] to [18.5\%], while female Roman Catholics' share increased by [5.5 pp] from [24.6\%] to [30.1\%].

Chart 4.51: Public Sector Promotees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 4.52: Changes in Public Sector Promotees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 4.5.4 The Public Sector Workforce: Leavers

There were 22,162 leavers from the public sector in 2011 (Table 4.8), a decrease of 8.5\% ( $-2,065$ ) from 2010.

Table 4.8: Public Sector Leavers by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 4,132 | $\begin{gathered} 50.8 \% \\ {[20.9 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 2,978 | $\begin{gathered} 36.6 \% \\ {[15.1 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 1,021 | 12.6\% | 8,131 | 36.7\% |
| Female | 6,821 | $\begin{aligned} & 48.6 \% \\ & {[34.5 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 5,816 | $\begin{gathered} 41.5 \% \\ {[29.5 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 1,394 | 9.9\% | 14,031 | 63.3\% |
| Total | 10,953 | $\begin{aligned} & 49.4 \% \\ & {[55.5 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 8,794 | $\begin{gathered} 39.7 \% \\ {[44.5 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 2,415 | 10.9\% | 22,162 | 100.0\% |

### 4.5.4.1 Community Background

## In 2011, [55.5\%] of public sector leavers were Protestant; while [44.5\%] were Roman Catholic

 (Chart 4.53).Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Protestant leavers from the public sector was greater than that for Roman Catholic leavers (Chart 4.54). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of private sector leavers increased by [2.2 pp] from [42.3\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the number of Protestant leavers from the public sector was greater than that for Roman Catholic leavers (Chart 4.54). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of private sector leavers increased by [0.1 pp] overall from [44.4\%] to [44.5\%].



### 4.5.4.2 Sex

In 2011, nearly two-thirds of leavers from the public sector were female, while slightly more than one-third were male (Chart 4.55).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of female public sector leavers decreased by a greater amount than male leavers (Chart 4.56). Thus, the male share of private sector leavers increased by (2.1 pp) from 34.6\%.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of female public sector leavers decreased by a greater amount than male leavers (Chart 4.56). Thus, the male share of private sector leavers increased by (0.1 pp) overall from $36.6 \%$ in 2001 to $36.7 \%$ in 2011.

Chart 4.55: Public Sector Leavers \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 4.56: Changes in Public Sector Leavers (n) by Sex, 20102011 and 2001-2011


### 4.5.4.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, female Protestants and female Roman Catholics comprised almost two-thirds of leavers from the public sector workforce, whilst male Protestants and male Roman Catholics comprised more than one-third of leavers (Chart 4.57).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of female Protestant leavers decreased by the greatest amount, while the number of male Roman Catholic leavers decreased by the smallest amount (Chart 4.58). Thus, female Protestants were the only group to decrease their share of leavers, by [3.4 pp] from [37.9\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, each of the four groups decreased overall in numbers of leavers from the public sector. The overall decreases in male Protestant and female Roman leavers were greater than those for female Protestant and male Roman Catholic leavers (Chart 4.58). Consequently, female Protestants' share of public sector leavers share increased by [0.6 pp] overall from [33.9\%] to [34.5\%], whilst male Roman Catholics' share increased by [1.4 pp] from [13.7\%] to [15.1\%].

Chart 4.57: Public Sector Leavers [\%] by Community
Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 4.58: Changes in Public Sector Leavers ( n ) by
Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 4.6 Additional Tables for Chapter 4

Table 4.9: Public Sector (AII) Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011

|  | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOC | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 4,601 | 4.9 | 53.6 | 3,977 | 4.8 | 46.4 | 8,578 | 4.8 |
| SOC 2 | 9,480 | 10.1 | 50.3 | 9,350 | 11.3 | 49.7 | 18,830 | 10.6 |
| SOC 3 | 26,407 | 28.0 | 54.8 | 21,816 | 26.3 | 45.2 | 48,223 | 27.2 |
| SOC 4 | 19,650 | 20.9 | 51.8 | 18,255 | 22.0 | 48.2 | 37,905 | 21.4 |
| SOC 5 | 2,464 | 2.6 | 63.2 | 1,433 | 1.7 | 36.8 | 3,897 | 2.2 |
| SOC 6 | 12,457 | 13.2 | 51.2 | 11,893 | 14.3 | 48.8 | 24,350 | 13.7 |
| SOC 7 | 245 | 0.3 | 61.1 | 156 | 0.2 | 38.9 | 401 | 0.2 |
| SOC 8 | 3,160 | 3.4 | 56.5 | 2,434 | 2.9 | 43.5 | 5,594 | 3.2 |
| SOC 9 | 15,717 | 16.7 | 53.6 | 13,605 | 16.4 | 46.4 | 29,322 | 16.6 |
| Total | 94,181 | 100.0 | 53.2 | 82,919 | 100.0 | 46.8 | 177,100 | 100.0 |

Table 4.10: Public Sector Full-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 4,571 | 5.7 | 53.7 | 3,944 | 5.6 | 46.3 | 8,515 | 5.7 |
| SOC 2 | 8,205 | 10.3 | 50.3 | 8,093 | 11.5 | 49.7 | 16,298 | 10.8 |
| SOC 3 | 22,833 | 28.5 | 54.7 | 18,911 | 26.9 | 45.3 | 41,744 | 27.8 |
| SOC 4 | 18,513 | 23.1 | 51.4 | 17,477 | 24.9 | 48.6 | 35,990 | 24.0 |
| SOC 5 | 2,420 | 3.0 | 63.3 | 1,401 | 2.0 | 36.7 | 3,821 | 2.5 |
| SOC 6 | 9,896 | 12.4 | 50.8 | 9,574 | 13.6 | 49.2 | 19,470 | 13.0 |
| SOC 7 | 199 | 0.2 | 62.0 | 122 | 0.2 | 38.0 | 321 | 0.2 |
| SOC 8 | 3,068 | 3.8 | 56.5 | 2,362 | 3.4 | 43.5 | 5,430 | 3.6 |
| SOC 9 | 10,285 | 12.9 | 55.2 | 8,355 | 11.9 | 44.8 | 18,640 | 12.4 |
| Total | 79,990 | 100.0 | 53.2 | $\mathbf{7 0 , 2 3 9}$ | 100.0 | 46.8 | $\mathbf{1 5 0 , 2 2 9}$ | 100.0 |

Table 4.11: Public Sector Part-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 30 | 0.2 | 47.6 | 33 | 0.3 | 52.4 | 63 | 0.2 |
| SOC 2 | 1,275 | 9.0 | 50.4 | 1,257 | 9.9 | 49.6 | 2,532 | 9.4 |
| SOC 3 | 3,574 | 25.2 | 55.2 | 2,905 | 22.9 | 44.8 | 6,479 | 24.1 |
| SOC 4 | 1,137 | 8.0 | 59.4 | 778 | 6.1 | 40.6 | 1,915 | 7.1 |
| SOC 5 | 44 | 0.3 | 57.9 | 32 | 0.3 | 42.1 | 76 | 0.3 |
| SOC 6 | 2,561 | 18.0 | 52.5 | 2,319 | 18.3 | 47.5 | 4,880 | 18.2 |
| SOC 7 | 46 | 0.3 | 57.5 | 34 | 0.3 | 42.5 | 80 | 0.3 |
| SOC 8 | 92 | 0.6 | 56.1 | 72 | 0.6 | 43.9 | 164 | 0.6 |
| SOC 9 | 5,432 | 38.3 | 50.9 | 5,250 | 41.4 | 49.1 | 10,682 | 39.8 |
| Total | 14,191 | 100.0 | 52.8 | 12,680 | 100.0 | 47.2 | $\mathbf{2 6 , 8 7 1}$ | 100.0 |

## Chapter 5

## PUBLIC SECTOR -

## HEALTH

## 5. Public Sector - Health

## Key Details

## ALL EMPLOYEES

- The composition of the health sector workforce was 32,007 [49.6\%] Protestant and 32,548 [50.4\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, total Protestant employment decreased by 2.0\% (-652), while total Roman Catholic employment decreased by $0.4 \%(-116)$. Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share increased by [0.4 pp] from [50.0\%] to [50.4\%].
- The health sector accounted for $36.9 \%$ of all public sector employment and comprised 68,740 employees. This represents a decrease in employees of $1.1 \%(-783)$ from 2010.
- More than four-fifths $(81.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=55,879)$ of health sector employees were female.


## FULL-TIME WORKFORCE

- The composition of the full-time workforce was 27,334 [49.4\%] Protestant and 28,023 [50.6\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, full-time Protestant employment decreased by $2.0 \%$ (-557), while full-time Roman Catholic employment decreased by $0.1 \%(-40)$. Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the full-time health sector workforce increased by $[0.4 \mathrm{pp}]$ from [50.2\%] to [50.6\%].
- The full-time workforce accounted for $85.6 \%$ of the health sector workforce, and comprised 58,856 employees. This represents a decrease of $1.0 \%(-613)$ compared with 2010.


## PART-TIME WORKFORCE

- The composition of the part-time workforce was 4,673 [50.8\%] Protestant and 4,525 [49.2\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, part-time Protestant employment decreased by $2.0 \%$ (-95), while part-time Roman Catholic employment decreased by $1.7 \%(-76)$. Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the part-time workforce increased by [0.1 pp] from [49.1\%] to [49.2\%].
- The part-time workforce accounted for $14.4 \%$ of the health sector workforce, and comprised 9,884 employees. This represents a decrease of $1.7 \%(-170)$ in employees from 2010, the majority of whom were either female Protestant (-69) or female Roman Catholic (-96) employees.


## FLOWS IN EMPLOYMENT

- The number of applicants to the health sector $(33,420)$ was at its lowest for the period 2001-2011 (excluding RPA-affected returns in 2008). The composition was [45.0\%] Protestant and [55.0\%] Roman Catholic.
- The number of appointees to the health sector $(5,090)$ was at its lowest for the period 2001-2011 (excluding RPA-affected returns in 2008). The composition was [45.5\%] Protestant and [54.5\%] Roman Catholic.
- The number of leavers from the health sector $(6,541)$ represents a decrease of $20.8 \%$ from 2010. The composition was [49.1\%] Protestant and [50.9\%] Roman Catholic.


### 5.1 The Health Sector Workforce: Introduction

The purpose of this chapter is to help inform employers and other interested parties about wider compositional trends within the health sector that, alongside information on labour availability, may better inform their own considerations of fair participation in specific employment(s).

In 2011, a total of 7 health care bodies submitted monitoring returns to the Commission, accounting for more than 68,000 health sector employees and $36.9 \%$ of all public sector employment. The health sector enjoyed steady growth between 2001 ( $n=58,857$ ) and $2009(n=72,122)$, but in 2011 the health sector workforce was at its lowest level since 2006, when it comprised 68,593 employees.

### 5.1.1 Dynamic of Change: Health Sector Workforce 2010-2011

Between 2010 and 2011, a decrease in employee numbers was recorded for Protestant, Roman Catholic, male and female health sector employees. Overall, the sector contracted by 783 employees. During this period, male Roman Catholics were the only main group to increase in numbers in full-time employment and part-time employment (by 9 and 20 respectively). The greatest decrease in numbers in employment occurred among full-time female Protestant employees (-445), followed by full-time male Protestant employees (-112). Among the part-time workforce, female Roman Catholics saw the greatest decrease in numbers in employment (-96). Overall the number of Protestant employees decreased by more than their Roman Catholic counterparts, and as a result, the Roman Catholic share of the total health sector workforce increased by [0.4 pp] from [50.0\%] in 2010.

### 5.2 The Health Sector Workforce: All Employees

There were 68,740 employees in the health sector in 2011 (Table 5.1), a decrease of 1.1\% (-783) from 2010.

Table 5.1: Health Sector (All) Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 5,378 | $41.8 \%$ | 6,164 | $47.9 \%$ | 1,319 | $10.3 \%$ | 12,861 | $18.7 \%$ |
|  |  | $[8.3 \%]$ |  | $[9.5 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 26,629 | $47.7 \%$ | 26,384 | $47.2 \%$ | 2,866 | $5.1 \%$ | 55,879 | $81.3 \%$ |
|  |  | $[41.3 \%]$ |  | $[40.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 32,007 | $46.6 \%$ | 32,548 | $47.3 \%$ | 4,185 | $6.1 \%$ | 68,740 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[49.6 \%]$ |  | $[50.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

### 5.2.1 Community Background

In 2011, [49.6\%] of health sector employees were Protestant; while [50.4\%] were Roman Catholic (Chart 5.1).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of Protestant employees decreased by a greater amount than Roman Catholic employees (Chart 5.2). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the health sector workforce increased slightly by [0.4 pp] from [50.0\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the overall increase in the number of Roman Catholic health sector employees was greater than the increase in Protestant employees (Chart 5.2). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the health sector workforce increased by [4.1 pp] overall from [46.3\%] to [50.4\%]. The Roman Catholic share of employees has gradually increased during this period (Chart 5.3).

Chart 5.1: Health Sector (All) Employees [\%] by Community
Background, 2010-2011


Chart 5.2: Changes in Health Sector (All) Employees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011



Chart 5.3: Health Sector (All) Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 5.2.2 Sex

In 2011, more than four-fifths of health sector employees were female while less than one-fifth were male (Chart 5.4).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of female health sector employees decreased by a greater amount than did male employees. However, proportionally, the decrease in male employees was slightly greater than that for female employees (Chart 5.5). As a result of the similarities in the proportional changes in male and female employment, the proportions of the workforce accounted for by male/female employees remained at 2010 levels.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall increase in the number of female health sector employees was greater than the increase in male employees. However, proportionally, the overall increase in male employees was greater than that for female employees (Chart 5.5). Thus, the male share of the health sector workforce increased by ( 0.8 pp ) from 17.9\% in 2001 to 18.7\% in 2011.

Chart 5.4: Health Sector (All) Employees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 5.5: Changes in Health Sector (All) Employees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 5.2.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, health sector employment was noticeably divided along gender lines, with female Protestants [41.3\%] and female Roman Catholics [40.9\%] comprising the majority of employees (Chart 5.6). Male Protestant [8.3\%] and male Roman Catholic [9.5\%] employees comprised similarly small proportions of the health sector workforce.

Between 2010 and 2011, male Roman Catholics were the only group to show an increase in employment. The greatest decrease in numbers in employment occurred among female Protestant employees. However, proportionally, the greatest decrease in employment occurred among male Protestant employees (Chart 5.7). Consequently, male Roman Catholic employees' share of the health sector workforce increased by [0.1 pp] from [9.4\%], while female Roman Catholic employees' share increased by [0.3 pp] from [40.6\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the increases in the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employees were, proportionally, greater than those for male Protestant and female Protestant employees (Chart 5.7). Consequently, the male Roman Catholic share of the health sector workforce increased by [1.0 pp] overall from [8.5\%] to [9.5\%], while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [3.1 pp] overall from [37.8\%] to [40.9\%].


Chart 5.7: Changes in Health Sector (All) Employees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 5.2.4 Community Background and SOC

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 5.8). In SOC categories 1-3 and SOC 6, Roman Catholics accounted for a greater proportion of employees than did Protestant, while in SOCs 4-5 and 8-9 the opposite was true. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs 1-9 [49.6\% v. 50.4\%], Protestants representation in Plant and Machine Operative Occupations (SOC 8) was ( 10.5 pp ) above average, while Protestant representation in Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) was [ 6.8 pp ] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3) was [2.3 pp] above average.

Chart 5.8: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the Health Sector, 2011


In 2011, the greatest proportions of both Protestant and Roman Catholic health sector workers were employed in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (Charts 5.9 \& 5.10). Despite difference in the religious composition of the SOC categories (Chart 5.8), the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees across the SOC categories were broadly similar. However, some small differences in distribution are evident (Charts 5.9 \& 5.10). For example, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 3 ( $35.5 \%$ v. 32.4). For further details on the composition of the health sector workforce by SOC, see Table 5.8 at the end of the chapter.



### 5.3 The Health Sector Workforce: Full-time Employees

There were 58,856 full-time employees in the health sector in 2011 (Table 5.2), a decrease of 1.0\% (-613) from 2010.

## Table 5.2 Health Sector Full-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 4,747 | $41.7 \%$ | 5,511 | $48.4 \%$ | 1,130 | $9.9 \%$ | 11,388 | $19.3 \%$ |
|  |  | $[8.6 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |$)$

### 5.3.1 Community Background

## In 2011, there were [49.4\%] Protestant and [50.6\%] Roman Catholic full-time employees in the health sector (Chart 5.11).

Between 2010 and 2011 the decrease in the number of Protestant full-time employees was greater than that for their Roman Catholic counterparts (Chart 5.12). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time health sector workforce increased by [0.4 pp] from [50.2\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall increase in the number of Roman Catholic full-time health sector employees was greater than that for Protestant employees (Chart 5.12). Thus, the Roman Catholics share of the health sector workforce increased by [3.5 pp] from [47.1\%] in 2001 to [50.6\%] in 2011. Over this period, the proportion of the full-time workforce accounted for by Roman Catholics increased (Chart 5.13).


Chart 5.12: Changes in Health Sector Full-time Employees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


## Chart 5.13: Health Sector Full-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011



### 5.3.2 Sex

In 2011, more than four-fifths of full-time health sector employees were female, while less than one-fifth were male (Chart 5.14).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of female full-time employees decreased by a greater amount than male employees (Chart 5.15). However, proportionally, the decrease in the number of male full-time employees was greater than that for female employees. Thus, the female share of the full-time health sector workforce increased by ( 0.1 pp ) from $80.6 \%$ in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall increase in the number of female full-time employees was greater than that for male employees (Chart 5.15). Consequently, the female share of the full-time health sector workforce increased by ( 0.4 pp ) from $80.3 \%$ in 2001.

Chart 5.14: Health Sector Full-time Employees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 5.15: Changes in Health Sector Full-time Employees ( n ) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 5.3.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, female Protestants [40.8\%] and female Roman Catholics [40.7\%] comprised more than 80 percent of full-time health sector employees (Chart 5.16). Male Protestant [8.6\%] and male Roman Catholic [10.0\%] employees comprised similarly small proportions of the workforce.

Between 2010 and 2011, male Roman Catholics were the only group to increase in full-time employment (Chart 5.17). The greatest decrease in numbers in full-time employment occurred among female Protestant employees. However, proportionally, the greatest decrease in full-time employment occurred among male Protestant employees (Chart 5.17). Consequently, the male Roman Catholic share of the full-time workforce increased by [ 0.2 pp$]$ from [ $9.8 \%$ ] in 2010, while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [0.4 pp] from [40.3\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall increases in the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic full-time employees were, proportionally, greater than those for either male Protestant or female Protestant employees (Chart 5.17). Thus, male Roman Catholics' share of the full-time workforce increased by [ 0.5 pp ] from [9.5\%] in 2001 to [10.0\%] in 2011, whilst female Roman Catholics' share increased by [ 3.1 pp ] from [37.6\%] to [40.7\%].

Chart 5.16: Health Sector Full-time Employees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 5.17: Changes in Health Sector Full-time Employees ( $n$ ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 5.3.4 Community Background and SOC

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 5.18). In SOC categories 1-3 Roman Catholics accounted for a greater proportion of employees than did Protestant, while in SOCs 4-6 and 8-9 the opposite was true. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs 1-9 [49.4\% v. 50.6\%], Protestant representation in Plant and Machine Operative Occupations (SOC 8) was [10.6 pp] above average, while Protestant representation in Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) was [7.2 pp] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3) was [2.5 pp] above average.

Chart 5.18: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the Full-time Health Sector, 2011


In 2011, the greatest proportions of both Protestant and Roman Catholic full-time health sector workers were employed in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (Charts 5.19 \& 5.20 ). Despite difference in the religious composition of the SOC categories (Chart 5.18), the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees across the SOC categories were broadly similar. However, some small differences in distribution are evident (Charts 5.19 \& 5.20). For example, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 3 ( $36.5 \% \mathrm{v} .33 .0 \%$ ). For further details on the composition of the full-time health sector workforce by SOC, see Table 5.9 at the end of the chapter.

Chart 5.19: Distribution of Protestant Full-time Health Sector Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


Chart 5.20: Distribution of Catholic Full-time Health Sector Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 5.4 The Health Sector Workforce: Part-time Employees

There were 9,884 part-time employees in the health sector in 2011 (Table 5.3), a decrease of 1.7\% (-170) from 2010.

Table 5.3: Health Sector Part-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 631 | $42.8 \%$ | 653 | $44.3 \%$ | 189 | $12.8 \%$ | 1,473 | $14.9 \%$ |
|  |  | $[6.9 \%]$ |  | $[7.1 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

### 5.4.1 Community Background

In 2011, Protestant and Roman Catholics employees comprised similar proportions of the parttime health sector workforce [50.8\% v. 49.2\%] (Chart 5.21).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of part-time Protestant employees was greater than that for Roman Catholic employees (Chart 5.22). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the part-time health sector workforce increased by [0.1 pp] from [49.1\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of part-time Protestant employees decreased overall (Chart 5.22). In contrast, the number of part-time Roman Catholic employees increased overall. Consequently, the Roman Catholics' share of the part-time health sector workforce increased by [6.4 pp] from [42.8\%] in 2001 to [49.2\%] in 2011. Year-on year, the proportions of the part-time workforce accounted for by Protestant and Roman Catholic employees have fluctuated (Chart 5.23).

Chart 5.21: Health Sector Part-time Employees [\%] by
Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 5.22: Changes in Health Sector Part-time Employees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 5.23: Health Sector Part-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 5.4.2 Sex

In 2011, more than 85 percent of part-time health sector employees were female, while 14.9\% were male (Chart 5.24).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of female part-time employees was greater than that for their male counterparts (Chart 5.25). Thus, the male share of the part-time health sector workforce increased slightly by ( 0.1 pp ) from $14.8 \%$ in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of male part-time employees increased overall, whilst the number of female part-time employees decreased overall (Chart 5.25). Consequently, the male share of the part-time health sector workforce increased by ( 5.2 pp) overall, from $9.7 \%$ in 2001 to $14.9 \%$ in 2011.

Chart 5.24: Health Sector Part-time Employees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 5.25: Changes in Health Sector Part-time Employees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011

### 5.4.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, female Protestants [43.9\%] and female Roman Catholics [42.1\%] comprised 86 percent of part-time health sector employees (Chart 5.26). Male Protestant [6.9\%] and male Roman Catholic [7.1\%] employees comprised similarly small proportions of the workforce.

Between 2010 and 2011, male Roman Catholics were the only group to see an increase in part-time employment. The greatest decrease in numbers in part-time employment occurred among female Roman Catholic employees. However, proportionally, the greatest decrease in part-time employment occurred among male Protestant employees (Chart 5.27). Consequently, male Roman Catholic employees' were the only group to increase their share of the part-time workforce, by [ 0.3 pp ] from [6.8\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall numbers of male Protestant and male Roman Catholic parttime employees increased, whilst the numbers of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic employees decreased (Chart 5.27). Consequently, male Protestant employees' share of the part-time workforce increased by [2.0 pp] from [4.9\%] in 2001 to [6.9\%] in 2011, whilst male Roman Catholic employees' share increased by [2.9 pp] from [4.2\%] to [7.1\%] in 2011.

Chart 5.26: Health Sector Part-time Employees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 5.27: Changes in Health Sector Part-time Employees ( n ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 5.4.4 Community Background and Sex

## In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart

 5.28). In the majority of SOC categories, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of employees than did Roman Catholics. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs 1-9 [50.8\% v. 49.2\%], Protestants representation in Plant and Machine Operative Occupations (SOC 8) was [9.9 pp] above average, while Protestant representation in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) was [8 pp] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Personal Service Occupations (SOC 6) was [ 3.8 pp ] above average.Chart 5.28: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the Part-time Health Sector, 2011


In 2011, the greatest proportions of both Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time health sector workers were employed in Elementary Occupations (SOC 9). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (Charts 5.29 \& 5.30). Despite difference in the religious composition of the SOC categories (Chart 5.28), the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time employees across the SOC categories were broadly similar, although notably, both were very concentrated in SOCs 3 and 9 . However, some small differences in distribution are evident (Charts 5.29 \& 5.30). For example, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) (8.9\% v. 6.9\%). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 6 (20.6\% v. $17.6 \%)$. For further details on the composition of the full-time health sector workforce by SOC, see Table 5.10 at the end of the chapter.


Chart 5.30: Distribution of Catholic Part-time Health Sector Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 5.5 The Health Sector Workforce: Applicants, Appointees, Promotees \& Leavers

### 5.5.1 The Health Sector Workforce: Applicants

There were 33,420 applicants to the health sector in 2011 (Table 5.4), a decrease of 25.5\% (-11,415) from 2010.

Table 5.4: Health Sector Applicants by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 3,635 | $36.7 \%$ | 4,707 | $47.5 \%$ <br> $[15.8 \%]$ | 1,564 | $15.8 \%$ | 9,906 | $29.6 \%$ |
|  |  | $[12.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 5.5.1.1 Community Background

## In 2011, there were [45.0\%] Protestant and [55.0\%] Roman Catholic applicants to the health sector

 (Chart 5.31).Between 2010 and 2011, the number of Roman Catholic applicants to the health sector decreased by a greater amount than did Protestant applicants (Chart 5.32). However, proportionally, the decrease in Protestant applicants was slightly greater than that for Roman Catholic applicants. Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of health sector applicants increased by [0.2 pp] from [54.8\%] in 2010.

Between 2001 and 2011, the overall decrease in the number of Protestant health sector applicants was greater than that for Roman Catholic applicants (Chart 5.32). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of health sector applicants increased by [4.1 pp] from [50.9\%] in 2001 to [55.0\%] in 2011. Over this period, Roman Catholics have, in general, comprised a greater proportion of health sector applicants when compared to Protestants, with an overall upwards trend in the proportion of Roman Catholic applicants evident (Chart 5.33).



Chart 5.33: Health Sector Applicants [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 5.5.1.2 Sex

In 2011, 70.4\% of applicants to the health sector were female, while $29.6 \%$ were male (Chart 5.34 ).
Between 2010 and 2011, the number of female applicants to the health sector decreased by a greater amount than did male applicants (Chart 5.35). However, proportionally, the decrease in male applicants was greater than that for female applicants. Consequently, the female share of health sector applicants increased by (1.2 pp) from 69.2\% in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the number of female health sector applicants was greater than that for male applicants (Chart 5.35). Consequently, the male share of health sector applicants increased by ( 4.9 pp ) from $24.7 \%$ in 2001 to $29.6 \%$ in 2011.

Chart 5.34: Health Sector Applicants \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 5.35: Changes in Health Sector Applicants (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 5.5.1.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, more than 70 percent of health sector applicants were either female Protestants [32.7\%] or female Roman Catholics [39.2\%] (Chart 5.36). Male Protestants [12.2\%] and male Roman Catholics [15.8\%] comprised similarly small proportions of applicants.

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic applicants decreased by greater amounts than did male Protestant or male Roman Catholic applicants (Chart 5.37). However, proportionally, the decreases in male Protestant and male Roman Catholic applicants were greater than those for female Protestant and female Roman Catholic applicants. Consequently, female Protestants' share of health sector applicants increased by [1.0 pp] from [31.7\%], while female Roman Catholics' share increased by [0.5 pp] from [38.7\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decreases in the numbers of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic applicants were greater than those for male Protestant and male Roman Catholic applicants (Chart 5.37). However, due to the much larger decrease in female Protestant applicants when compared to the other groups, they were the only group to decrease their overall share of health sector applicants, by [6.4 pp] from [39.1\%] to [32.7\%].

Chart 5.36: Health Sector Applicants [\%] by Community
Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 5.37: Changes in Health Sector Applicants (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 5.5.2 The Health Sector Workforce: Appointees

There were 5,090 appointees to the health sector in 2011 (Table 5.5), a decrease of 34.0\% (-2,627) from 2010.

Table 5.5: Health Sector Appointees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 459 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 35.7 \% \\ {[10.0 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 621 | $\begin{gathered} 48.3 \% \\ {[13.6 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 207 | 16.1\% | 1,287 | 25.3\% |
| Female | 1,625 | $\begin{aligned} & 42.7 \% \\ & {[35.5 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 1,874 | $\begin{gathered} 49.3 \% \\ {[40.9 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 304 | 8.0\% | 3,803 | 74.7\% |
| Total | 2,084 | $\begin{gathered} 40.9 \% \\ {[45.5 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 2,495 | $\begin{aligned} & 49.0 \% \\ & {[54.5 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 511 | 10.0\% | 5,090 | 100.0\% |

### 5.5.2.1 Community Background

## In 2011, there were [45.5\%] Protestant and [54.5\%] Roman Catholic health sector appointees

 (Chart 5.38).Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Protestant appointees was slightly greater than that for Roman Catholic appointees (Chart 5.39). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of health sector appointees increased by [1.6 pp] from [52.9\%] in 2010.

Between 2001 and 2011, the overall decrease in the number of Protestant health sector appointees was greater than that for Roman Catholic appointees (Chart 5.39). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of health sector appointees increased by [4.3 pp] from [50.2\%] in 2001 to [ $54.5 \%$ ] in 2011. Over this period, Roman Catholics have consistently comprised a greater proportion of health sector appointees when compared to Protestants, with an overall upward trend in the proportion of Roman Catholic appointees evident (Chart 5.40).


Chart 5.39: Changes in Health Sector Appointees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 5.40: Health Sector Appointees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 5.5.2.2 Sex

In 2011, nearly three-quarters of appointees to the health sector were female while more than one-quarter were male (Chart 5.41).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of female appointees to the health sector decreased by a greater amount than did male appointees (Chart 5.42). However, proportionally, the decrease in male appointees was greater than that for female appointees. Consequently, the female share of health sector appointees increased by (0.7 pp) from 74.0\% in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the number of female health sector appointees was greater than that for male appointees (Chart 5.42). Consequently, the male share of health sector appointees increased by (6.2 pp) from 19.1\% in 2001 to $25.3 \%$ in 2011.

Chart 5.41: Health Sector Appointees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 5.42: Changes in Health Sector Appointees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 5.5.2.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, female Protestants [35.5\%] and female Roman Catholics [40.9\%] comprised more than 75 percent of health sector appointees (Chart 5.43). Male Protestants [10.0\%] and male Roman Catholics [13.6\%] comprised similarly small proportions of appointees.

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic appointees decreased by greater amounts than did male Protestant or male Roman Catholic appointees. However, proportionally, male Protestants saw the greatest decrease in appointees (Chart 5.44). Consequently, male Protestants' were the only group to decrease their share of health sector appointees, by [2.1 pp] from [12.1\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the number of female Protestant appointees was greater than that for any of group (Chart 5.44). Consequently, female Protestants were the only group to decrease their overall share of health sector appointees, by [6.3 pp] from [41.8\%] to [35.5\%].

Chart 5.43: Health Sector Appointees [\%] by Community
Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 5.44: Changes in Health Sector Appointees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 5.5.3 The Health Sector Workforce: Promotees

There were 611 promotees in the health sector in 2011 (Table 5.6), a decrease of $30.3 \%(-266)$ from 2010.

Table 5.6: Health Sector Promotees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 35 | $39.8 \%$ | 43 | $48.9 \%$ | 10 | $11.4 \%$ | 88 | $14.4 \%$ |
|  |  | $[6.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 5.5.3.1 Community Background

## In 2011, there were [47.9\%] Protestant and [52.1\%] Roman Catholic health sector promotees

 (Chart 5.45).Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Roman Catholic promotees was greater than that for their Protestant counterparts (Chart 5.46). Consequently, the Protestant share of health sector promotees increased by [0.6 pp] from [47.3\%] in 2010.

Chart 5.45: Health Sector Promotees [\%] by Community
Background, 2010-2011


Chart 5.46: Changes in Health Sector Promotees (n) by
Community Background, 2010-2011


### 5.5.3.2 Sex

In 2011, 85 percent of promotees in the health sector were female, while less than one-sixth were male (Chart 5.47).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of female promotees in the health sector decreased by a greater amount than male promotees (Chart 5.48). However, proportionally, the decrease in male promotees was greater than that for female promotees. Consequently, the female share of health sector promotees increased by (4.3 pp) from 81.3\% in 2010.



### 5.5.3.3. Community Background and Sex

In 2011, female Protestants and female Roman Catholics comprised more than 85 percent of health sector promotees. Male Protestant and male Roman Catholic employees comprised similarly small proportions of promotees (Chart 5.49).

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic promotees decreased by greater amounts than did male Protestant or male Roman Catholic promotees. However, proportionally, male Protestant and male Roman Catholic promotees decreased by more than their female counterparts (Chart 5.50). Consequently, female Protestants' share of health sector promotees increased by [3.8 pp] from [38.1\%], while female Roman Catholics' share increased by [1.3 pp] from [43.4\%].



### 5.5.4 The Health Sector Workforce: Leavers

There were 6,541 leavers from the health sector in 2011 (Table 5.7), a decrease of 20.8\% (-1,715) from 2010.

Table 5.7: Health Sector Leavers by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 692 | $37.2 \%$ | 751 | $40.4 \%$ | 417 | $22.4 \%$ | 1,860 | $28.4 \%$ |
|  |  | $[12.2 \%]$ |  | $[13.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 2,093 | $44.7 \%$ | 2,136 | $45.6 \%$ | 452 | $9.7 \%$ | 4,681 | $71.6 \%$ |
|  |  | $[36.9 \%]$ |  | $[37.7 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2,785 | $42.6 \%$ | 2,887 | $44.1 \%$ | 869 | $13.3 \%$ | 6,541 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[49.1 \%]$ |  | $[50.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

### 5.5.4.1 Community Background

In 2011, Protestant and Roman Catholics comprised similar proportions of leavers from the health sector (Chart 5.51).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Protestant health sector leavers was greater than that for Roman Catholic leavers (Chart 5.52). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of health sector leavers increased by [6.9 pp] from [44.0\%] in 2010.

Chart 5.51: Health Sector Leavers [\%] by Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 5.52: Changes in Health Sector Leavers (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011


### 5.5.4.2 Sex

In 2010, $\mathbf{7 1 . 6 \%}$ of leavers from the health sector were female, whilst $\mathbf{2 8 . 4 \%}$ were male (Chart 5.53 ).
Between 2010 and 2011, the number of female leavers decreased by a greater amount than male leavers (Chart 5.54). However, proportionally, the decrease in male leavers was slightly greater than that for female leavers. Consequently, the female share of health leavers increased by ( 0.1 pp ) from 71.5\% in 2010.

Chart 5.53: Health Sector Leavers \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 5.54: Changes in Health Sector Leavers (n) by Sex, 2010-2011


### 5.5.4.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, nearly 75 percent of health sector leavers were either female Protestants [36.9\%] or female Roman Catholics [37.7\%]. Male Protestant [12.2\%] and male Roman Catholic [13.2\%] employees comprised similarly small proportions of leavers from the health sector (Chart 5.55).

Between 2010 and 2011, the greatest decrease in numbers of leavers occurred among female Protestants, followed by male Protestants (Chart 5.56). Consequently, male Roman Catholics' share of health sector leavers increased by [1.1 pp] from [12.1\%], while female Roman Catholics' share increased by [5.8 pp] from [31.9\%].


Chart 5.56: Changes in Health Sector Leavers (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


### 5.6 Additional Table for Chapter 5

Table 5.8: Health Sector (All) Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 1,222 | 3.8 | 48.5 | 1,300 | 4.0 | 51.5 | 2,522 | 3.9 |
| SOC 2 | 4,002 | 12.5 | 48.8 | 4,195 | 12.9 | 51.2 | 8,197 | 12.7 |
| SOC 3 | 10,356 | 32.4 | 47.3 | 11,556 | 35.5 | 52.7 | 21,912 | 33.9 |
| SOC 4 | 4,497 | 14.1 | 52.3 | 4,109 | 12.6 | 47.7 | 8,606 | 13.3 |
| SOC 5 | 449 | 1.4 | 56.4 | 347 | 1.1 | 43.6 | 7906 | 1.2 |
| SOC 6 | 5,701 | 17.8 | 49.8 | 5,746 | 17.7 | 50.2 | 11,447 | 17.7 |
| SOC 7 | $*$ | 0.0 | - | $*$ | 0.0 | - | $*$ | 0.0 |
| SOC 8 | 325 | 1.0 | 60.1 | 216 | 0.7 | 39.9 | 541 | 0.8 |
| SOC 9 | 5,448 | 17.0 | 51.8 | 5,079 | 15.6 | 48.2 | 10,527 | 16.3 |
| Total | 32,007 | 100.0 | 49.6 | 32,548 | 100.0 | 50.4 | 64,555 | 100.0 |


| Table 5.9: Health Sector Full-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 1,211 | 4.4 | 48.4 | 1,291 | 4.6 | 51.6 | 2,502 | 4.5 |
| SOC 2 | 3,585 | 13.1 | 48.0 | 3,884 | 13.9 | 52.0 | 7,469 | 13.5 |
| SOC 3 | 9,027 | 33.0 | 46.9 | 10,237 | 36.5 | 53.1 | 19,264 | 34.8 |
| SOC 4 | 4,223 | 15.4 | 51.9 | 3,917 | 14.0 | 48.1 | 8,140 | 14.7 |
| SOC 5 | 434 | 1.6 | 56.6 | 333 | 1.2 | 43.4 | 767 | 1.4 |
| SOC 6 | 4,877 | 17.8 | 50.3 | 4,816 | 17.2 | 49.7 | 9,693 | 17.5 |
| SOC 7 | $*$ | 0.0 | - | $*$ | 0.0 | - | $*$ | 0.0 |
| SOC 8 | 271 | 1.0 | 60.0 | 181 | 0.6 | 40.0 | 452 | 0.8 |
| SOC 9 | 3,702 | 13.5 | 52.4 | 3,364 | 12.0 | 47.6 | 7,066 | 12.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 7 , 3 3 4}$ | 100.0 | 49.4 | $\mathbf{2 8}, 023$ | 100.0 | 50.6 | $\mathbf{5 5 , 3 5 7}$ | 100.0 |

Table 5.10: Health Sector Part-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011

|  | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOC | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | $\#$ | 0.2 | - | $*$ | 0.2 | - | 20 | 0.2 |
| SOC 2 | 417 | 8.9 | 57.3 | 311 | 6.9 | 42.7 | 728 | 7.9 |
| SOC 3 | 1,329 | 28.4 | 50.2 | 1,319 | 29.1 | 49.8 | 2,648 | 28.8 |
| SOC 4 | 274 | 5.9 | 58.8 | 192 | 4.2 | 41.2 | 466 | 5.1 |
| SOC 5 | 15 | 0.3 | 51.7 | 14 | 0.3 | 48.3 | 29 | 0.3 |
| SOC 6 | 824 | 17.6 | 47.0 | 930 | 20.6 | 53.0 | 1,754 | 19.1 |
| SOC 7 | $*$ | 0.1 | - | $*$ | 0.0 | - | $*$ | 0.0 |
| SOC 8 | 54 | 1.2 | 60.7 | 35 | 0.8 | 39.3 | 89 | 1.0 |
| SOC 9 | 1,746 | 37.4 | 50.4 | 1,715 | 37.9 | 49.6 | 3,461 | 37.6 |
| Total | 4,673 | 100.0 | 50.8 | 4,525 | 100.0 | 49.2 | 9,198 | 100.0 |

## Chapter 6

## PUBLIC SECTOR - <br> EDUCATION

## 6. Public Sector - Education <br> Key Details

## ALL EMPLOYEES

- The composition of the education sector workforce was 18,160 [50.8\%] Protestant and 17,572 [49.2\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, total Protestant employment decreased by $1.7 \%$ (-315), while total Roman Catholic employment decreased by $0.7 \%$ (-124). Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share increased by [0.3 pp] from [48.9\%] to [49.2\%].
- The education sector accounted for $\mathbf{2 0 . 1 \%}$ of all public sector employment and comprised 37,474 employees. This represents a decrease in employees of 1.3\% (-503) from 2010.
- Close to four-fifths $\mathbf{( 7 9 . 2 \%}, \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 9 , 6 8 1}$ ) of education sector employees were female.


## FULL-TIME WORKFORCE

- The composition of the full-time workforce was 11,395 [50.7\%] Protestant and 11,068 [49.3\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, full-time Protestant employment decreased by $0.9 \%$ (-105), while full-time Roman Catholic employment decreased by $0.2 \%(-17)$. Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the full-time education sector workforce increased by [0.2 pp] from [49.1\%] to [49.3\%].
- The full-time workforce accounted for $62.3 \%$ of the education sector workforce, and comprised 23,336 employees. This represents a decrease of $0.7 \%(-160)$ compared with 2010, the majority of whom were male Protestant employees (-115)


## PART-TIME WORKFORCE

- The composition of the part-time workforce was 6,765 [51.0\%] Protestant and 6,504 [49.0\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, part-time Protestant employment decreased by $3.0 \%$ (-210), while part-time Roman Catholic employment decreased by $1.6 \%$ (-107). Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the part-time workforce increased by [0.3 pp] from [48.7\%] to [49.0\%].
- The part-time workforce accounted for $37.7 \%$ of the education sector workforce, and comprised 14,138 employees. This represents a decrease of $2.4 \%(-343)$ in employees from 2010.


## FLOWS IN EMPLOYMENT

- The number of applicants to the education sector $(17,286)$ was at its lowest for the period 2001-2011 (excluding RPA-affected returns in 2008). The composition was [47.4\%] Protestant and [52.6\%] Roman Catholic.
- The number of appointees to the education sector $(1,909)$ was at its lowest for the period 2001-2011 (excluding RPA-affected returns in 2008). The composition was [51.5\%] Protestant and [48.5\%] Roman Catholic.
- The number of leavers from the education sector $(5,027)$ represents a decrease of $23.4 \%$ from 2010. The composition was [48.6\%] Protestant and [51.4\%] Roman Catholic.


### 6.1 The Education Sector Workforce: Introduction

The purpose of this chapter is to help inform employers and other interested parties about wider compositional trends within the education sector that, alongside information on labour availability, may better inform their own considerations of fair participation in specific employment(s).

In 2011, a total of 13 education bodies submitted monitoring returns to the Commission, accounting for more than 37,000 education sector employees and $20.1 \%$ of all public sector employment. The education sector enjoyed steady growth between 2001 ( $n=33,011$ ) and 2005 ( $n=38,787$ ), and subsequent to a decline between 2005 and 2007, showed a steady increase in employees between 2007 and 2010. However, in 2011, the sector witnessed its first decline in employee numbers ( -503 ) since 2007.

### 6.1.1 Dynamic of Change: Education Sector Workforce 2010-2011

Between 2010 and 2011, a decrease in employee numbers was recorded for full-time and part-time male Protestant and male Roman Catholic employees. The numbers of full-time female Protestant and female Roman Catholic employees increased (by 10 and 27 respectively), while the numbers of their part-time counterparts decreased (by 128 and 90 respectively). During this period, the greatest decrease in numbers in employment occurred among part-time Protestant female employees (-128), followed by fulltime Protestant male employees (-115). Among the part-time workforce, Roman Catholic males saw the smallest decrease in numbers in employment (-17). Overall the number of Protestant employees decreased by more than their Roman Catholic counterparts, and as a result, the Roman Catholic share of the total education sector workforce increased by [0.3 pp] from [48.9\%] in 2010.

### 6.2 The Education Sector Workforce: All Employees

There were 37,474 employees in the education sector in 2011 (Table 6.1), a decrease of 1.3\% (-503) from 2010.

Table 6.1: Education Sector (All) Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 3,697 | $47.4 \%$ | 3,597 | $46.2 \%$ | 499 | $6.4 \%$ | 7,793 | $20.8 \%$ |
|  |  | $[10.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 6.2.1 Community Background

## In 2011, Protestant and Roman Catholics employees comprised similar proportions of the education sector workforce (Chart 6.1).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of Protestant employees decreased by a greater amount than Roman Catholic employees (Chart 6.2). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the education sector workforce increased slightly by [0.3 pp] from [48.9\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the overall increase in the number of Roman Catholic education sector employees was greater than the increase in Protestant employees (Chart 6.2). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the education sector workforce increased by [3.4 pp] overall from [45.8\%] to [49.2\%]. The Roman Catholic share of employees has gradually increased during this period (Chart 6.3).

Chart 6.1: Education Sector (All) Employees [\%] by Community
Background, 2010-2011


Chart 6.2: Changes in Education Sector (All) Employees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 6.3: Education Sector (All) Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 6.2.2 Sex

In 2011, nearly four-fifths of education sector employees were female, while more than one-fifth were male (Chart 6.4).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of male education sector employees was greater than that for female employees (Chart 6.5). Consequently, the female share of the education sector workforce increased by ( 0.5 pp ) from 78.7\% in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of female education sector employees increased overall, while the number of male employees decreased slightly (Chart 6.5). Thus, the female share of the education sector workforce increased by ( 3.1 pp ) from 76.1\% in 2001 to 79.2\% in 2011.

Chart 6.4: Education Sector (All) Employees \% by Sex, 20102011


Chart 6.5: Changes in Education Sector (All) Employees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 6.2.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, education sector employment was noticeably divided along gender lines, with female Protestants [40.5\%] and female Roman Catholics [39.1\%] comprising the majority of employees (Chart 6.6). Male Protestant [10.3\%] and male Roman Catholic [10.1\%] employees comprised similarly small proportions of the education sector workforce.

Between 2010 and 2011, each of the four groups decreased in numbers in employment, with male Protestant employees witnessing the greatest decrease (Chart 6.7). Consequently, male Protestant employees' were the only group to see a decrease in their share of the education sector workforce, by [0.5 pp] from [10.8\%].

During the period 2001-2011, male Protestant employees were the only group to decrease in numbers in employment, while male Roman Catholic employees saw the smallest increase (Chart 6.7). Additionally, the overall increase in female Roman Catholic employees was far greater than that for female Protestant employees. Consequently, female Roman Catholic employees were the only group to increase their share of the education sector workforce, by [4.2 pp] overall from [34.9\%] to [39.1\%].

Chart 6.6: Education Sector (All) Employees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 6.7: Changes in Education Sector (All) Employees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 6.2.4 Community Background and SOC

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 6.8). In all SOC categories aside from SOCs 2 and $3^{14}$, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of employees than did Roman Catholics. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs 1-9 [ $50.8 \%$ v. $49.2 \%$ ], Protestant representation in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) was [18.3 pp] above average, while Protestant representation in Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) was [ 5.9 pp ] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) was [4.6 pp] above average.

Chart 6.8: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the Education Sector, 2011


In 2011, the greatest proportions of both Protestant and Roman Catholic education sector workers were employed in Personal Service Occupations (SOC 6). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (Charts 6.9 \& 6.10). Despite difference in the religious composition of the SOC categories (Chart 6.8), the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees across the SOC categories were broadly similar. However, some small differences in distribution are evident (Charts 6.9 \& 6.10). For example, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 2 ( $13.9 \%$ v. 11.5). For further details on the composition of the education sector workforce by SOC, see Table 6.8 at the end of the chapter.

It is notable that, aside from SOC 2, the SOC categories with the greatest divergence in community representation (Chart 6.8 - SOCs $7,5 \& 8$ ) are also those in which the smallest proportions of workers were employed (Charts 6.9 \& 6.10 and Table 6.8).

Chart 6.9: Distribution of Protestant Education Sector
Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


Chart 6.10: Distribution of Catholic Education Sector
Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 6.3 The Education Sector Workforce: Full-time Employees

There were 23,336 full-time employees in the education sector in 2011 (Table 6.2), a decrease of $0.7 \%$ (-160) from 2010.

## Table 6.2: Education Sector Full-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 2,534 | $49.3 \%$ | 2,354 | $45.8 \%$ | 247 | $4.8 \%$ | 5,135 | $22.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[11.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 6.3.1 Community Background

In 2011, Protestant and Roman Catholics employees comprised similar proportions of the full-time education sector workforce (Chart 6.11).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Protestant full-time employees was greater than that for Roman Catholic employees (Chart 6.12). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time education sector workforce increased by [0.2 pp] from [49.1\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall increase in the number of Roman Catholic full-time education sector employees was greater than that for Protestant employees (Chart 6.12). Thus, the Roman Catholics' share of the education sector workforce increased by [3.2 pp] from [46.1\%] in 2001 to [49.3\%] in 2011. Over this period, the proportion of the full-time workforce accounted for by Roman Catholics has fluctuated, but increased overall (Chart 6.13).

Chart 6.11: Education Sector Full-time Employees [\%] by
Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 6.12: Changes in Education Sector Full-time Employees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 6.13: Education Sector Full-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 6.3.2 Sex

In 2011, more than three-quarters of full-time education sector employees were female, while less than one-quarter were male (Chart 6.14).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of male employees decreased, while the number of female employees increased (Chart 6.15). Thus, the female share of the full-time education sector workforce increased by ( 0.7 pp ) from 77.3\% in 2011.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of female full-time employees increased, while the number of male full-time employees decreased slightly (Chart 6.15). Consequently, the female share of the full-time education sector workforce increased by ( 5.5 pp ) from $72.5 \%$ in 2001.

Chart 6.14: Education Sector Full-time Employees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 6.15: Changes in Education Sector Full-time Employees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 6.3.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, female Protestants [39.4\%] and female Roman Catholics [38.8\%] comprised the majority of full-time education sector employees (Chart 6.16). Male Protestant [11.3\%] and male Roman Catholic [10.5\%] employees comprised similarly small proportions of the workforce.

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of male Protestant and male Roman Catholics full-time employees decreased, while the numbers of their female counterparts increased (Chart 6.17). Consequently, the female Protestant share of the full-time workforce increased by [0.2 pp] from [39.2\%] in 2010, while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [0.3 pp] from [38.5\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, male Protestants were the only group to decrease overall in numbers in full-time employment, while male Roman Catholics saw the smallest overall increase (Chart 6.17).
Consequently, female Protestant employees' share of the full-time workforce increased by [ 0.1 pp ] from [39.3\%] in 2001 to [ $39.4 \%$ ] in 2011, whilst female Roman Catholics' share increased by [5.4 pp] from [33.4\%] in 2001 to [38.8\%] in 2011.

Chart 6.16: Education Sector Full-time Employees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 6.17: Changes in Education Sector Full-time Employees ( n ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 6.3.4 Community Background and SOC

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of full-time SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 6.18). In all SOC categories aside from SOC 2 and SOC $3^{15}$, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of full-time employees than did Roman Catholics. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs 1-9 [50.7\% v. 49.3\%], Protestant representation in Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) was [6.2 pp] above average, while in Plant and Machine Operative Occupations (SOC 8) it was [4.5 pp] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) was [4.7 pp] above average.

Chart 6.18: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the Full-time Education Sector, 2011


In 2011, the greatest proportions of both Protestant and Roman Catholic education sector full-time workers were employed in Personal Service Occupations (SOC 6). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (Charts 6.19 \& 6.20). Despite difference in the religious composition of the SOC categories (Chart 6.18), the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic full-time employees across the SOC categories were broadly similar. However, some small differences in distribution are evident (Charts 6.19 \& 6.20, Table 6.9). For example, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 2 $(14.0 \% \mathrm{v} .11 .6 \%)$. For further details on the composition of the education sector workforce by SOC, see Table 6.9 at the end of the chapter.

It is notable that, aside from SOC 2 , the SOC categories with the greatest divergence in community representation (Chart 6.18-SOCs $5 \& 8$ ) are also those in which the smallest proportions of workers were employed (Charts 6.19 \& 6.20 and Table 6.9).

Chart 6.19: Distribution of Protestant Full-time Education
Sector Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


Chart 6.20: Distribution of Catholic Full-time Education Sector Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 6.4 The Education Sector Workforce: Part-time Employees

There were 14,138 part-time employees in the education sector in 2011 (Table 6.3), a decrease of 2.4\% (-343) from 2010.

## Table 6.3: Education Sector Part-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 1,163 | $43.8 \%$ | 1,243 | $46.8 \%$ | 252 | $9.5 \%$ | 2,658 | $18.8 \%$ |
|  |  | $[8.8 \%]$ |  | $[9.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 5,602 | $48.8 \%$ | 5,261 | $45.8 \%$ | 617 | $5.4 \%$ | 11,480 | $81.2 \%$ |
|  |  | $[42.2 \%]$ |  | $[39.6 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 6,765 | $47.8 \%$ | 6,504 | $46.0 \%$ | 869 | $6.1 \%$ | 14,138 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[51.0 \%]$ |  |  | $[49.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |

### 6.4.1 Community Background

## In 2011, [51.0\%] of part-time education sector employees were Protestant; while [49.0\%] were Roman Catholic (Chart 6.21).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of part-time Protestant employees was greater than that for Roman Catholic employees (Chart 6.22). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the part-time education sector workforce increased by [0.3 pp] from [48.7\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of part-time Protestant employees decreased, while the number of Roman Catholic employees increased (Chart 6.22). Consequently, the Roman Catholics' share of the part-time education sector workforce increased by [3.5 pp] from [45.5\%] in 2001 to [49.0\%] in 2011. Year-on year, the proportions of the part-time workforce accounted for by Protestant and Roman Catholics employees have fluctuated, with an overall upward trend in the proportion of Roman Catholic employees evident (Chart 6.23).

Chart 6.21: Education Sector Part-time Employees [\%] by
Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 6.22: Changes in Education Sector Part-time Employees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 6.23: Education Sector Part-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 6.4.2 Sex

In 2011, more than four-fifths of part-time education sector employees were female while less than one-fifth were male (Chart 6.24).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of female part-time employees was greater than that for their male counterparts (Chart 6.25). However, proportionally, the decrease in male part-time employees was greater than that for female employees. Thus, the female share of the part-time education sector workforce increased slightly by ( 0.2 pp ) from $81.0 \%$ in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the decrease in the number of female part-time employees was slightly greater than that for their male counterparts (Chart 6.25). However, proportionally, the decrease in male part-time employees was greater than that for female employees. Thus, the female share of the part-time education sector workforce increased slightly by ( 0.4 pp ) from $80.8 \%$ in 2001 to $81.2 \%$ in 2011.

Chart 6.24: Education Sector Part-time Employees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 6.25: Changes in Education Sector Part-time Employees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011

| $\stackrel{\underset{i}{\circ}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{c}}$ | Female |  | -249 (-2.1\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | (-3.4\%) | -94 |
| $\underset{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\prime}}$ | Female |  | (-0.8\%) | -90 |
|  | Male |  | (-3.1\%) | -85 |
| Thousands |  | -1 |  |  |

### 6.4.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, female Protestants [42.2\%] and female Roman Catholics [39.6\%] comprised more than 80 percent of part-time education sector employees (Chart 6.26). Male Protestant [8.8\%] and male Roman Catholic [9.4\%] employees comprised similarly small proportions of the workforce.

Between 2010 and 2011 each of the four groups decreased in numbers in part-time employment, with female Protestant employees witnessing the greatest numerical decrease, and male Protestant employees the greatest proportional decrease (Chart 6.27). Consequently, male Roman Catholic employees' share of the part-time workforce increased by [ 0.1 pp ] from [ $9.3 \%$ ] in 2010, whilst female Roman Catholic employees' share increased by [0.2 pp] from [39.4\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant employees decreased overall, whilst the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employees increased overall (Chart 6.27). Consequently, the male Roman Catholic share of the part-time workforce increased by [0.8 pp] overall from [8.6\%] in 2001 to [9.4\%] in 2011, whilst the female Roman Catholic employee share increased by [2.7 pp] overall from [36.9\%] to [39.6\%] in 2011.

Chart 6.26: Education Sector Part-time Employees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 6.27: Changes in Education Sector Part-time Employees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 6.4.4 Community Background and SOC ${ }^{16}$

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 6.28). In the majority of SOC categories, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of employees than did Roman Catholics. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs 1-9 [51.0\% v. 49.0\%], Protestant representation in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) was [17.2 pp] above average, while in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) it was [3.7 pp] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) was [4.5 pp] above average, while in Personal Service Occupations (SOC 6) it was [3.3 pp] above average.

Chart 6.28: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the Part-time Education Sector, 2011


In 2011, the greatest proportions of both Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time education sector workers were employed in Elementary Occupations (SOC 9). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Manager and Senior Official Occupations (SOC 1) (Charts 6.29 \& 6.30). Despite differences in the religious composition of the SOC categories (Chart 6.29), the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time employees across the SOC categories were broadly similar, although notably, both were very concentrated in SOC 9 . However, some small differences in distribution are evident (Charts 6.29 \& 6.30, Table 6.10). For example, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in SOC 6 ( $19.2 \%$ v. $16.8 \%$ ). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 2 ( $13.7 \%$ v. $11.4 \%$ ).

It is notable that the SOC category with the greatest divergence in community representation (Chart 6.28 - SOC 7) is also one in which a very small proportion of workers were employed (Charts 6.29 \& 6.30 and Table 6.10).

Chart 6.29: Distribution of Protestant Part-time Education
Sector Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


Chart 6.30: Distribution of Catholic Part-time Education Sector Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 6.5 The Education Sector Workforce: Applicants, Appointees, Promotees \& Leavers

6.5.1 The Education Sector Workforce: Applicants

There were 17,286 applicants to the education sector in 2011 (Table 6.4), a decrease of $6.6 \%(-1,229)$ from 2010.

Table 6.4: Education Sector Applicants by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 2,229 | $43.3 \%$ | 2,437 | $\begin{array}{c}47.4 \% \\ {[15.3 \%]}\end{array}$ | 480 | $9.3 \%$ | 5,146 | $29.8 \%$ |
|  |  | $[14.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |$)$

### 6.5.1.1 Community Background

In 2011, there were [47.4\%] Protestant and [52.6\%] Roman Catholic applicants to the education sector (Chart 6.31).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Roman Catholic education sector applicants was greater than that for Protestant applicants (Chart 6.32). Consequently, the Protestant share of education sector applicants increased by [1.3 pp] from [46.1\%] in 2010.

Between 2001 and 2011, the overall decrease in the number of Roman Catholic education sector applicants was greater than that for their Protestant counterparts (Chart 6.32). Consequently, the Protestant share of education sector applicants increased by [0.5 pp] from [46.9\%] in 2001 to [47.4\%] in 2011. Over this period, Roman Catholics have consistently comprised a greater proportion of education sector applicants when compared to Protestants (Chart 6.33).

Chart 6.31: Education Sector Applicants [\%] by Community
Background, 2010-2011


Chart 6.32: Changes in Education Sector Applicants (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 6.33: Education Sector Applicants [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 6.5.1.2 Sex

In 2011, 70.2\% of applicants to the education sector were female, while $\mathbf{2 9 . 8 \%}$ were male (Chart 6.34).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of male applicants to the education sector was greater than that for female applicants (Chart 6.35). Consequently, the female share of education sector applicants increased by ( 2.2 pp ) from 68.0\% in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the number of female education sector applicants was greater than that for than male applicants (Chart 6.35). Consequently, the male share of education sector applicants increased by ( 4.4 pp ) overall from $25.4 \%$ in 2001 to $29.8 \%$ in 2011.

Chart 6.34: Education Sector Applicants \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 6.35: Changes in Education Sector Applicants (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 6.5.1.3 Community Background and Sex

## In 2011, more than 70 percent of applicants to the education sector either female Protestants

 [33.4\%] or female Roman Catholics [37.3\%], whilst male Protestant and male Roman Catholics each comprised around one-seventh of applicants (Chart 6.36).Between 2010 and 2011, female Protestants were the only group to increase in numbers of applicants to the education sector (Chart 6.37). Consequently, female Protestants were the only group to increase their share of education sector applicants, by (2.2 pp) from $31.2 \%$ in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, each of the four groups decreased in numbers of applicants to the education sector. The decreases in the number of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic applicants were greater than those for male Protestant and male Roman Catholic applicants (Chart 6.37). Consequently, male Protestants' share of education sector applicants increased by [2.2 pp] from [11.8\%] in 2001 to [14.0\%] in 2011, whilst male Roman Catholics' share increased by [2.4 pp] from [12.9\%] to [15.3\%].

Chart 6.36: Education Sector Applicants [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 6.37: Changes in Education Sector Applicants (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 6.5.2 The Education Sector Workforce: Appointees

There were 1,909 appointees to the education sector in 2011 (Table 6.5), a decrease of $7.6 \%(-156)$ from 2010.

## Table 6.5: Education Sector Appointees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 198 | $44.1 \%$ | 209 | $46.5 \%$ | 42 | $9.4 \%$ | 449 | $23.5 \%$ |
|  |  | $[11.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 6.5.2.1 Community Background

In 2011, there were [51.5\%] Protestant and [48.5\%] Roman Catholic education sector appointees (Chart 6.38).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Roman Catholic appointees was far greater than that for Protestant appointees (Chart 6.39). Consequently, the Protestant share of education sector appointees increased by [3.9 pp] from [47.6\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the number of Protestant appointees was slightly greater than that for Roman Catholic appointees (Chart 6.39). However, proportionally, the decrease in Roman Catholic appointees was slightly greater than that for Protestant appointees. Consequently, the Protestant share of education sector appointees increased by [0.3 pp] overall from [51.2\%] in 2001 to [51.5\%] in 2011. Over this period, year-on-year, the proportions of appointees accounted for by Protestant and Roman Catholics have fluctuated (Chart 6.40).

Chart 6.38: Education Sector Appointees [\%] by Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 6.39: Changes in Education Sector Appointees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 6.40: Education Sector Appointees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011

6.5.2.2 Sex

In 2011, more than three-quarters of appointees to the education sector were female while less than one-quarter were male (Chart 6.41).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of male appointees to the education sector decreased by a slightly greater amount than female appointees (Chart 6.42). Proportionally, the decrease in male appointees was far greater than that for female appointees. Consequently, the female share of education sector appointees increased by (2.1 pp) from $74.4 \%$ in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the number of female education sector appointees was far greater than that for male appointees (Chart 6.42). However, proportionally, the decrease in male appointees was greater than that for female appointees. Consequently, the female share of education sector appointees increased by (2.3 pp) from $74.2 \%$ in 2001 to $76.5 \%$ in 2011.

Chart 6.41: Education Sector Appointees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 6.42: Changes in Education Sector Appointees ( n ) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 6.5.2.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, more than 75 percent of appointees to the education sector either female Protestants [40.1\%] or female Roman Catholics [36.5\%], whilst male Protestant and male Roman Catholics each comprised around one-ninth of appointees (Chart 6.43).

Between 2010 and 2011, female Protestants were the only group to increase in numbers of appointees to the education sector (Chart 6.44). Consequently, female Protestants were the only group to increase their share of education sector appointees, by ( 5.1 pp ) from 35.0\% in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, each of the four groups decreased in numbers of appointees to the education sector. The decreases in the numbers of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic appointees were greater than those for male Protestant and male Roman Catholic appointees (Chart 6.44). However, in proportional terms the opposite was true. Consequently, female Protestants' share of education sector appointees increased by [1.3 pp] from [38.8\%] in 2001 to [ $40.1 \%$ ] in 2011, whilst female Roman Catholics' share increased by [0.7 pp] from [35.8\%] to [36.5\%].

Chart 6.43: Education Sector Appointees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011
$■$ Male [\%] $\square$ Female [\%]


Chart 6.44: Changes in Education Sector Appointees ( n ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 6.5.3 The Education Sector Workforce: Promotees

There were 305 promotees in the education sector in 2011 (Table 6.6), a decrease of 39.5\% (-199) from 2010.

Table 6.6: Education Sector Promotees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 40 | $\begin{gathered} 51.9 \% \\ {[13.9 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 31 | $\begin{gathered} 40.3 \% \\ {[10.8 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | * | 7.8\% | 77 | 25.2\% |
| Female | 93 | $\begin{gathered} 40.8 \% \\ {[32.3 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 124 | $\begin{gathered} 54.4 \% \\ {[43.1 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | \# | 4.8\% | 228 | 74.8\% |
| Total | 133 | $\begin{gathered} 43.6 \% \\ {[46.2 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 155 | $\begin{gathered} 50.8 \% \\ \text { [53.8\%] } \end{gathered}$ | 17 | 5.6\% | 305 | 100.0\% |

### 6.5.3.1 Community Background

In 2011, there were [46.2\%] Protestant and [53.8\%] Roman Catholic education sector promotees (Chart 6.45).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Roman Catholic education sector promotees was greater than for their Protestant counterparts (Chart 6.46). Consequently, the Protestant share of education sector promotees increased by [0.3 pp] from [45.9\%] in 2010.

Chart 6.45: Education Sector Promotees [\%] by Community Background, 2010-2011
$■$ Protestant [\%] $\quad$ Catholic [\%]


Chart 6.46: Changes in Education Sector Promotees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011


### 6.5.3.2 Sex

In 2011, close to three-quarters of promotees in the education sector were female, while more than one-quarter were male (Chart 6.47).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of female promotees in the education sector decreased by a greater amount than male promotees (Chart 6.48). Consequently, the male share of education sector promotees increased by ( 1.0 pp ) from $24.2 \%$ in 2010.

Chart 6.47: Education Sector Promotees \% by Sex, 2010-2011
Chart 6.48: Changes in Education Sector Promotees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011


### 6.5.3.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, more than $75 \%$ of promotees in the education sector were either female Protestants [32.3\%] or female Roman Catholics [43.1\%]. Male Protestants comprised around one-seventh of promotees, while and male Roman Catholics comprised around one-tenth of promotees (Chart 6.49).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of education sector promotees in each of the four groups decreased (Chart 6.50). The decrease in the number of male Protestant promotees was smaller than that for any other group. However, proportionally the decrease in female Roman Catholic promotees was similar to that for male Protestant promotees. Consequently, the male Protestants' share of education sector promotees increased by [1.5 pp] from [12.4\%] to [13.9\%], whilst the female Roman Catholics share increased by [0.5 pp] from [42.6\%] to [43.1\%].

Chart 6.49: Education Sector Promotees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 6.50: Changes in Education Sector Promotees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


### 6.5.4 The Education Sector Workforce: Leavers

There were 5,027 leavers from the education sector in 2011 (Table 6.7), a decrease of $23.4 \%(-1,532)$ from 2010.

Table 6.7: Education Sector Leavers by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 464 | $43.4 \%$ | 462 | $43.2 \%$ | 143 | $13.4 \%$ | 1,069 | $21.3 \%$ |
|  |  | $[10.5 \%]$ |  | $[10.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 1,693 | $42.8 \%$ | 1,818 | $45.9 \%$ | 447 | $11.3 \%$ | 3,958 | $78.7 \%$ |
|  |  | $[38.2 \%]$ |  | $[41.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2,157 | $42.9 \%$ | 2,280 | $45.4 \%$ | 590 | $11.7 \%$ | 5,027 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[48.6 \%]$ |  | $[51.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

### 6.5.4.1 Community Background

## In 2011, there were [48.6\%] Protestant and [51.4\%] Roman Catholic education sector leavers

 (Chart 6.51).Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Protestant education sector leavers was greater than that for their Roman Catholic counterparts (Chart 6.52). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of education sector leavers increased by [3.4 pp] from [48.0\%] in 2010.


Chart 6.52: Changes in Education Sector Leavers (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011


### 6.5.4.2 Sex

In 2011, close to four-fifths of leavers from the education sector were female, while more than one-fifth were male (Chart 6.53).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of female leavers from the education sector decreased by a greater amount than male leavers (Chart 6.54). Consequently, the male share of education sector leavers increased by ( 1.4 pp) from $19.8 \%$ in 2010.

Chart 6.53: Education Sector Leavers \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 6.54: Changes in Education Sector Leavers (n) by Sex, 2010-2011


### 6.5.4.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, female Protestants [38.2\%] and female Roman Catholics [41.0\%] comprised nearly 80 percent of leavers from the education sector. Male Protestants and male Roman Catholics each comprised around one-tenth of leavers (Chart 6.55).

Between 2010 and 2011, each of the four groups decreased in numbers of leavers from the education sector (Chart 6.56). The decrease in the number of female Protestant leavers was far greater than that for any other group. Consequently, female Protestants' were the only group to decrease their share of education sector leavers, by [3.7 pp] from [41.9\%] to [38.2\%].

Chart 6.55: Education Sector Leavers [\%] by Community
Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 6.56: Changes in Education Sector Leavers ( n ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


### 6.6 Additional Tables for Chapter 6

| Table 6.8: Education Sector (All) Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Protestant |  |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |  |
| SOC 1 | 495 | 2.7 | 51.3 | 469 | 2.7 | 48.7 | 964 | 2.7 |  |
| SOC 2 | 2,093 | 11.5 | 46.2 | 2,439 | 13.9 | 53.8 | 4,532 | 12.7 |  |
| SOC 3 | 1,917 | 10.6 | 49.1 | 1,989 | 11.3 | 50.9 | 3,906 | 10.9 |  |
| SOC 4 | 1,998 | 11.0 | 52.8 | 1,786 | 10.2 | 47.2 | 3,784 | 10.6 |  |
| SOC 5 | 534 | 2.9 | 56.7 | 408 | 2.3 | 43.3 | 942 | 2.6 |  |
| SOC 6 | 5,316 | 29.3 | 51.1 | 5,090 | 29.0 | 48.9 | 10,406 | 29.1 |  |
| SOC 7 | 47 | 0.3 | 69.1 | 21 | 0.1 | 30.9 | 68 | 0.2 |  |
| SOC 8 | 448 | 2.5 | 55.2 | 364 | 2.1 | 44.8 | 812 | 2.3 |  |
| SOC 9 | 5,312 | 29.3 | 51.5 | 5,006 | 28.5 | 48.5 | 10,318 | 28.9 |  |
| Total | 18,160 | 100.0 | 50.8 | 17,572 | 100.0 | 49.2 | 35,732 | 100.0 |  |

Table 6.9: Education Sector Full-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 494 | 4.3 | 51.4 | 467 | 4.2 | 48.6 | 961 | 4.3 |
| SOC 2 | 1,322 | 11.6 | 46.0 | 1,551 | 14.0 | 54.0 | 2,873 | 12.8 |
| SOC 3 | 968 | 8.5 | 48.7 | 1,020 | 9.2 | 51.3 | 1,988 | 8.9 |
| SOC 4 | 1,727 | 15.2 | 52.5 | 1,562 | 14.1 | 47.5 | 3,289 | 14.6 |
| SOC 5 | 529 | 4.6 | 56.9 | 400 | 3.6 | 43.1 | 929 | 4.1 |
| SOC 6 | 4,017 | 35.3 | 50.1 | 3,996 | 36.1 | 49.9 | 8,013 | 35.7 |
| SOC 7 | $\#$ | 0.1 | - | $*$ | 0.1 | - | 24 | 0.1 |
| SOC 8 | 421 | 3.7 | 55.2 | 341 | 3.1 | 44.8 | 762 | 3.4 |
| SOC 9 | 1,900 | 16.7 | 52.4 | 1,724 | 15.6 | 47.6 | 3,624 | 16.1 |
| Total | 11,395 | 100.0 | 50.7 | 11,068 | 100.0 | 49.3 | $\mathbf{2 2 , 4 6 3}$ | 100.0 |

Table 6.10: Education Sector Part-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.0 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.0 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.0 |
| SOC 2 | 771 | 11.4 | 46.5 | 888 | 13.7 | 53.5 | 1,659 | 12.5 |
| SOC 3 | 949 | 14.0 | 49.5 | 969 | 14.9 | 50.5 | 1,918 | 14.5 |
| SOC 4 | 271 | 4.0 | 54.7 | 224 | 3.4 | 45.3 | 495 | 3.7 |
| SOC 5 | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.1 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.1 | - | 13 | 0.1 |
| SOC 6 | 1,299 | 19.2 | 54.3 | 1,094 | 16.8 | 45.7 | 2,393 | 18.0 |
| SOC 7 | 30 | 0.4 | 68.2 | 14 | 0.2 | 31.8 | 44 | 0.3 |
| SOC 8 | 27 | 0.4 | 54.0 | 23 | 0.4 | 46.0 | 50 | 0.4 |
| SOC 9 | 3,412 | 50.4 | 51.0 | 3,282 | 50.5 | 49.0 | 6,694 | 50.4 |
| Total | 6,765 | 100.0 | 51.0 | 6,504 | 100.0 | 49.0 | 13,269 | 100.0 |

## Chapter 7

## PUBLIC SECTOR DISTRICT COUNCILS

## 7. Public Sector - District Councils <br> Key Details

## ALL EMPLOYEES

- The composition of the district council workforce was 6,511 [58.4\%] Protestant and 4,647 [41.6\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, total Protestant employment decreased by 2.3\% (-151), while total Roman Catholic employment also decreased by $2.3 \%$ ( -107 ). Thus, overall there was no change to the Protestant / Roman Catholic share of the workforce.
- The district councils accounted for $6.3 \%$ of all public sector employment and comprised of 11,650 employees. This represents a decrease in employees of $2.2 \%$ (-267) from 2010.
- When compared to other public sector components, males comprised a greater than average proportion of district council employees (58.4\%).


## FULL-TIME WORKFORCE

- The composition of the full-time workforce was 5,660 [58.1\%] Protestant and 4,083 [41.9\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, full-time Protestant employment decreased by $1.2 \%$ (-70), while full-time Roman Catholic employment decreased by $0.7 \%(-30)$. Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the full-time district council workforce increased by [ 0.1 pp ] from [41.8\%] to [41.9\%].
- The full-time workforce accounted for $87.1 \%$ of the district council workforce, and comprised 10,152 employees. This represents a decrease of $0.9 \%$ (-95) compared with 2010, the greatest proportion of whom were male Protestant employees (-71).


## PART-TIME WORKFORCE

- The composition of the part-time workforce was 851 [60.1\%] Protestant and 564 [39.9\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, part-time Protestant employment decreased by $8.7 \%$ (-81), while part-time Roman Catholic employment decreased by $12.0 \%$ (-77). Thus, overall the Protestant share of the part-time workforce increased by [0.9 pp] from [59.2\%] to [60.1\%].
- The part-time workforce accounted for $12.9 \%$ of the district council workforce, and comprised 1,498 employees. This represents a decrease of $10.3 \%(-172)$ in employees from 2010.


## FLOWS IN EMPLOYMENT

- The number of applicants to the district councils $(22,459)$ was the second highest recorded for the
period 2001-2011. The composition was $[53.6 \%]$ Protestant and $[46.4 \%]$ Roman Catholic.
- The number of appointees to the district councils (913) was the lowest recorded for the period 2001-2011. The composition was [55.1\%] Protestant and [44.9\%] Roman Catholic.
- The number of leavers from the district councils $(1,560)$ represents an increase of $14.2 \%$ from 2010. The composition was [58.7\%] Protestant and [41.3\%] Roman Catholic.


### 7.1 The District Council Workforce: Introduction

The purpose of this chapter is to help inform employers and other interested parties about wider compositional trends within the district councils that, alongside information on labour availability, may better inform their own considerations of fair participation in specific employment(s).

In 2011, a total of 26 district councils submitted monitoring returns to the Commission, accounting for more than 11,500 district council employees and $6.3 \%$ of all public sector employment. The district council workforce enjoyed steady growth between 2001 ( $n=9,622$ ) and 2006 ( $n=10,914$ ), and subsequent to a small decline in 2007 (-6), showed a steady increase between 2008 and 2010. However, in 2011, the sector witnessed its first decline in employee numbers (-267) since 2007.

### 7.1.1 Dynamic of Change: District Council Workforce 2010-2011

Between 2010 and 2011, a decrease in employee numbers was recorded for full-time and part-time male Protestant and male Roman Catholic employees. The numbers of full-time female Protestant and female Roman Catholic employees increased (by 1 and 12 respectively), while the numbers of their part-time counterparts decreased (by 60 and 36 respectively). During this period, the greatest decrease in numbers in employment occurred among full-time male Protestant employees (-71), followed by parttime Protestant female employees. Overall, the changes in Protestant / Roman Catholic district council employment were very similar, and as a result there was no change in the proportions of the workforce accounted for by either group.

### 7.2 The District Council Workforce: All Employees

There were 11,650 employees in the district council in 2011 (Table 7.1), a decrease of 2.2\% (-267) from 2010.

Table 7.1: District Council (All) Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 3,905 | $57.4 \%$ <br> $[35.0 \%]$ | 2,603 | $38.3 \%$ <br> $[23.3 \%]$ | 290 | $4.3 \%$ | 6,798 | $58.4 \%$ |
| Female | 2,606 | $53.7 \%$ | 2,044 | $42.1 \%$ | 202 | $4.2 \%$ | 4,852 | $41.6 \%$ |
|  |  | $[23.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 7.2.1 Community Background

In 2011, [58.4\%] of district council employees were Protestant, while [41.6\%] were Roman Catholic (Chart 7.1).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of Protestant employees decreased by a greater amount than Roman Catholic employees (Chart 7.2). However, proportionally, the decrease in Protestant employment was identical ${ }^{17}$ to that for Roman Catholic employment. Thus, there was little change $[<0.1 \mathrm{pp}]$ in the proportions of the workforce accounted for by either group.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall increase in the number of Roman Catholic district council employees was greater than the increase in Protestant employees (Chart 7.2). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the district council workforce increased by [3.4 pp] overall from [38.2\%] to [41.6\%]. The Roman Catholic share of employees has gradually increased during this period (Chart 7.3).

Chart 7.1: District Council (All) Employees [\%] by Community
Background, 2010-2011


Chart 7.2: Changes in District Council (All) Employees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 7.3: District Council (All) Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 7.2.2 Sex

In 2011, the majority (58.4\%) of district council employees were male, while $41.6 \%$ were female (Chart 7.4).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of male district council employees was greater than that for female employees (Chart 7.5). Consequently, the female share of the district council workforce increased by (0.2 pp) from 41.4\% in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall increase in the number of male district council employees was greater than that for female employees. However, proportionally, the overall increase in female employment was greater than that for male employment (Chart 7.5). Thus, the female share of the district council workforce increased by (1.0 pp) overall from $40.6 \%$ in 2001 to $41.6 \%$ in 2011.

Chart 7.4: District Council (All) Employees \% by Sex, 2010-
2011


Chart 7.5: Changes in District Council (All) Employees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 7.2.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants comprised the greatest proportion of the district council workforce [35.0\%] (Chart 7.6). Female Protestants [23.4\%] and male Roman Catholics [23.3\%] each comprised around one-quarter of the workforce, while male Roman Catholic representation was [18.3\%].

Between 2010 and 2011, each of the four groups showed a decrease in numbers in employment (Chart 7.7). Male Protestant employees witnessed the greatest numerical decrease in employment, while female Roman Catholics witnessed the smallest decrease. Proportionally, the decrease in male Roman Catholic employment was greater than that for male Protestants. Consequently, male Roman Catholic employees were the only group to decrease their share of the district council workforce, by [0.2 pp] from [23.5\%] in 2010. Conversely, female Roman Catholic employees were the only group to increase their share of workforce, by [0.2 pp] from [41.4\%].

During the period 2001-2011, female Roman Catholic employees increased by the greatest amount overall, while female Protestant increased by the smallest amount (Chart 7.7). Male Protestant and male Roman Catholic employees increased by similar amounts. However, proportionally, the increase in male Roman Catholic employees was greater than that for male Protestant employees. Consequently, male Roman Catholic employees share of the district councils workforce increased by [0.5 pp] from [22.8\%] in 2001 to [23.3\%] in 2011, while female Roman Catholic employees' share increased by [2.9 pp] from [15.4\%] to [18.3\%].

Chart 7.6: District Council (All) Employees [\%] by Community
Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 7.7: Changes in District Council (All) Employees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 7.2.4 Community Background and SOC

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 7.8). In all SOC categories aside from SOC $2^{18}$, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of employees than did Roman Catholics. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs 1-9 [58.4\% v. 41.6\%], Protestant representation in Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) was [9 pp] above average, while in Process, Plant and Machine Operative Occupations (SOC 8) it was [7.7 pp] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) was [8.8 pp] above average, while in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7), it was [4.6 pp] above average.

Chart 7.8: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the District Councils, 2011


In 2011, the greatest proportions of both Protestant and Roman Catholic district council workers were employed in Elementary Occupations (SOC 9). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (Charts 7.9 \& 7.10). Despite difference in the religious composition of the SOC categories (Chart 7.8), the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees across the SOC categories were broadly similar. However, some differences in distribution are evident (Charts 7.9 \& 7.10). For example, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in SOC 5 (7.6\% v. 5.1\%), and in SOC 8 (9.5\% v. 6.8\%).
Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3) ( $17.2 \%$ v. $14.7 \%$ ). For further details on the composition of the district council workforce by SOC, see Table 7.8 at the end of the chapter.

Chart 7.9: Distribution of Protestant District Council Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


Chart 7.10: Distribution of Catholic District Council Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 7.3 The District Council Workforce: Full-time Employees

There were 10,152 full-time employees in the district council in 2011 (Table 7.2), a decrease of 0.9\% (-95) from 2010.

## Table 7.2: District Council Full-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $\mathbf{3 , 5 2 0}$ | $57.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 5 4}$ | $38.4 \%$ <br> $[24.2 \%]$ | $\mathbf{2 5 5}$ | $4.2 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 , 1 2 9}$ | $60.4 \%$ |
|  |  | $[36.1 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 7.3.1 Community Background

In 2011, [58.1\%] of full-time district council employees were Protestant, while [41.9\%] were Roman Catholics (Chart 7.11).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Protestant full-time district council employees was greater than that for their Roman Catholic counterparts (Chart 7.12). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time workforce increased by [0.1 pp] from [41.8\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall increase in the number of Roman Catholic full-time district council employees was greater than that for Protestant employees (Chart 7.12). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the district council workforce increased by [3.9 pp] from [38.0\%] in 2001 to [41.9\%] in 2011. Over this period, the proportion of the full-time workforce accounted for by Roman Catholics has gradually increased (Chart 7.13).

Chart 7.11: District Council Full-time Employees [\%] by
Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 7.12: Changes in District Council Full-time Employees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 7.13: District Council Full-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 7.3.2 Sex

In 2011, the majority of full-time district council employees were male, while less than two-fifths were female (Chart 7.14).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of male employees decreased, while the number of female employees increased (Chart 7.15). Thus, the female share of the full-time district council workforce increased by ( 0.5 pp ) from 39.1\% in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the increase in the number of female employees was greater than that for male employees (Chart 7.15). Thus, the female share of the full-time district council workforce increased by ( 2.5 pp ) from $37.1 \%$ in 2001 to $39.6 \%$ in 2011.

Chart 7.14: District Council Full-time Employees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 7.15: Changes in District Council Full-time Employees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 7.3.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants comprised the greatest proportion of the full-time district council workforce [36.1\%] (Chart 7.16). Male Roman Catholics [24.2\%] and female Protestants [22.0\%] each comprised around one-quarter of the workforce, while female Roman Catholic representation was [17.7\%].

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of male Protestant and male Roman Catholic full-time employees decreased, while the numbers of their female counterparts increased (Chart 7.17). Consequently, the female Protestant share of the full-time workforce increased by [ 0.3 pp$]$ from $[21.7 \%]$ in 2010 , while the female Roman Catholic share increased by [0.3 pp] from [17.4\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the greatest overall increase in full-time employment occurred among female Roman Catholics, followed by male Roman Catholics (Chart 7.17). Consequently, they were the only groups to see overall increases in their shares of the full-time workforce. Over this period, male Roman Catholic employees' share increased by [ 0.4 pp ] from [23.8\%] in 2001 to [24.2\%] in 2011, while female Roman Catholic employees' share increased by [3.5 pp] from [14.2\%] to [17.7\%].

Chart 7.16: District Council Full-time Employees [\%] by
Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 7.17: Changes in District Council Full-time Employees ( n ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 7.3.4 Community Background and SOC

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of full-time SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 7.18). In all SOC categories aside from SOC $2^{19}$, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of full-time employees than did Roman Catholics. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs 1-9 [58.1\% v. 41.9\%], Protestant representation in Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) was [9.1 pp] above average, while in Plant and Machine Operative Occupations (SOC 8) it was [8.5 pp] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) was [8.6 pp] above average, while in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3), it was [5.3 pp] above average.

Chart 7.18: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the Full-time District Councils, 2011


In 2011, the greatest proportions of both Protestant and Roman district council full-time workers were employed in Elementary Occupations (SOC 9). The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (Charts 7.19 \& 7.20). Despite differences in the religious composition of the SOC categories (Chart 7.18), the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic full-time employees across the SOC categories were broadly similar. However, some differences in distribution are evident (Charts 7.19 \& 7.20, Table 7.9). For example, greater proportions of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in SOC 5 ( $8.3 \% \mathrm{v}$. 5.6) and in SOC 8 ( $10.8 \%$ v. $7.5 \%$ ). Conversely, greater proportions of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3) (17.3\% v. 14.0\%). For further details on the composition of the district council full-time workforce by SOC, see Table 7.9 at the end of the chapter.


Chart 7.20: Distribution of Catholic Full-time District Council Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 7.4 The District Council Workforce: Part-time Employees

There were 1,498 part-time employees in the district council in 2011 (Table 7.3), a decrease of 10.3\% (-172) from 2010.

Table 7.3: District Council Part-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 385 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 57.5 \% \\ {[27.2 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 249 | $\begin{gathered} 37.2 \% \\ {[17.6 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 35 | 5.2\% | 669 | 44.7\% |
| Female | 466 | $\begin{gathered} 56.2 \% \\ {[32.9 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 315 | $\begin{gathered} 38.0 \% \\ {[22.3 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 48 | 5.8\% | 829 | 55.3\% |
| Total | 851 | $\begin{gathered} 56.8 \% \\ {[60.1 \%]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 564 | $\begin{gathered} 37.7 \% \\ {[39.9 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 83 | 5.5\% | 1,498 | 100.0\% |

### 7.4.1 Community Background

In 2011, more than three-fifths of part-time district council employees were Protestant, while less than two-fifths were Roman Catholic (Chart 7.21).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of part-time Protestant employees was greater than that for Roman Catholic employees (Chart 7.22). However, proportionally the opposite was true. Thus, the Protestant share of the part-time district council workforce increased by [0.9 pp] from [59.2\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the number of part-time Protestant employees was greater than that for Roman Catholic employees (Chart 7.22). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the part-time district council workforce increased by [0.1 pp] overall, from [39.8\%] in 2001 to [39.9\%] in 2011. Year-on year, the proportions of the part-time workforce accounted for by Protestant and Roman Catholics employees have fluctuated (Chart 7.23).

Chart 7.21: District Council Part-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 7.22: Changes in District Council Part-time Employees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


## Chart 7.23: District Council Part-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011



### 7.4.2 Sex

In 2011, [55.3\%] of part-time district council employees were female, whilst [44.7\%] were male (Chart 7.24).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of female part-time employees was greater than that for their male counterparts (Chart 7.25). Consequently, the male share of the part-time district council workforce increased slightly by ( 0.3 pp ) from $44.4 \%$ in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of female part-time employees decreased; whilst the number of male part-time employees increased (Chart 7.25). Consequently, the male share of the part-time district council workforce increased by ( 7.3 pp) from 37.4\% in 2001 to $44.7 \%$ in 2011.

Chart 7.24: District Council Part-time Employees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 7.25: Changes in District Council Part-time Employees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 7.4.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants [27.2\%] and female Protestants [32.9\%] comprised 60 percent of the part-time district council workforce (Chart 7.26). Female Roman Catholics comprised [22.3\%] of employees, whilst male Roman Catholic representation was [17.6\%].

Between 2010 and 2011, each of the four groups saw a decrease in numbers in part-time employment (Chart 7.27). Male Protestant employees witnessed the smallest decrease in part-time employment, and as such, where the only group to see their share of the part-time workforce increase, by [1.4 pp] from [25.8\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, each of the four groups increased overall in numbers in part-time employment (Chart 7.27). However, the overall increases in the numbers of male Protestant and male Roman Catholic employees were greater than those for their female counterparts. Consequently, male Protestant and male Roman Catholic employees were the only groups to increase their overall shares of the part-time workforce. The male Protestant share increased by [5.7 pp] from [21.5\%] in 2001 to [27.2\%] in 2011, while the male Roman Catholic share increased by [1.2 pp] from [16.4\%] in 2001 to [17.6\%] in 2011.

Chart 7.26: District Council Part-time Employees [\%] by
Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 7.27: Changes in District Council Part-time Employees ( $\mathbf{n}$ ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 7.4.4. Community Background and SOC ${ }^{20}$

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 7.28). In the majority of SOC categories, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of part-time employees than did Roman Catholics. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs 1-9 [60.1\% v. $39.9 \%$ ], Protestant representation in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) was [8.6 pp] above average, whilst in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3), it was [4.6 pp] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) was [24.4 pp] above average, and in Process, Plant and Machine Operative Occupations (SOC 8), it was [14.6 pp] above average.

Chart 7.28: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the Part-time District Councils, 2011


In 2011, the greatest proportions of both Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time district council workers were employed in Personal Service Occupations (SOC 6). The smallest proportion of Protestant part-time district workers were employed in Manager and Senior Official Occupations (SOC 1), whilst the smallest proportions of Roman Catholic part-time district workers were employed in Professional Occupations (SOC2) (Charts 7.29 \& 7.30). Despite difference in the religious composition of the SOC categories (Chart 7.28), the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time employees across the SOC categories were broadly similar, although notably, both were very concentrated in SOC 6. However, some differences in distribution are evident (Charts 7.29 \& 7.30, Table 7.10). For example, greater proportions of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in SOC 4 (18.3\% v. 12.6\%) and in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3) (19.6\% v. 16.1\%). Conversely, greater proportions of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 9 (17.4\% v. 12.9\%) and in SOC 6 (46.1\% v. 43.6\%).

It is notable that the SOC categories with the greatest divergence in community representation (Chart 7.28 - SOCs 7 and 8) are also the ones in which very small proportions of workers were employed (Charts $7.29 \& 7.30$ and Table 7.10).

Chart 7.29: Distribution of Protestant Part-time District Council Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


Chart 7.30: Distribution of Catholic Part-time District Council Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 7.5 The District Council Workforce: Applicants, Appointees, Promotees \& Leavers

### 7.5.1 The District Council Workforce: Applicants

There were 22,459 applicants to the district council in 2011 (Table 7.4), a decrease of $6.0 \%(-1,444)$ from 2010.

Table 7.4: District Council Applicants by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 7,389 | $51.6 \%$ <br> $[35.8 \%]$ | 5,772 | $40.3 \%$ <br> $[27.9 \%]$ | 1,153 | $8.1 \%$ | 14,314 | $63.7 \%$ |
| Female | 3,678 | $45.2 \%$ | 3,818 | $46.9 \%$ | 649 | $8.0 \%$ | 8,145 | $36.3 \%$ |
|  |  | $[17.8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 7.5.1.1 Community Background

In 2011, there were [53.6\%] Protestant and [46.4\%] Roman Catholic applicants to the district councils (Chart 7.31).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Protestant district council applicants was greater than that for Roman Catholic applicants (Chart 7.32). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of district council applicants increased by [0.6 pp] from [45.8\%] in 2010.

Between 2001 and 2011, the overall increase in the number of Roman Catholic district council applicants was greater than that for Protestant applicants (Chart 7.32). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of district council applicants increased by [4.7 pp] from [41.7\%] in 2001 to [46.4\%] in 2011. Over this period, year-on-year, Protestants have consistently comprised a greater proportion of district council applicants when compared to Roman Catholics (Chart 7.33).



Chart 7.33: District Council Applicants [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 7.5.1.2 Sex

In 2011, nearly two-thirds of applicants to the district councils were male, while more than onethird were female (Chart 7.34).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of female applicants to the district councils was greater than that for male applicants (Chart 7.35). Consequently, the male share of district council applicants increased by (3.3 pp) from 60.4\% in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of male applicants to the district councils increased overall; whilst the number of female applicants decreased (Chart 7.35). Consequently, the male share of district council applicants increased overall by (15.8 pp) from 47.9\% in 2001 to $63.7 \%$ in 2011.

Chart 7.34: District Council Applicants \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 7.35: Changes in District Council Applicants (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 7.5.1.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants comprised more than one-third of applicants to the district councils, whilst male Roman Catholics comprised more than one-quarter of applicants (Chart 7.36). Female Protestants and female Roman Catholics each comprised less than one-fifth of applicants to the district councils.

Between 2010 and 2011, the decreases in the numbers of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic district council applicants were greater than that for male Protestant applicants. Furthermore, the number of male Roman Catholic applicants increased (Chart 7.37). Consequently, male Protestants' share of district council applicants increased by [1.3 pp] from [34.5\%] in 2010, whilst male Roman Catholics' share increased by [1.9 pp] from [26.0\%].

During the period 2001-2011, female Protestants were the only group to see an overall decrease in numbers of applicants to the district councils, whilst female Roman Catholics' saw the smallest overall increase (Chart 7.37). Consequently, male Protestants' share of applicants to the district councils increased by [7.2 pp] overall, from [28.6\%] to [35.8\%], whilst male Roman Catholics' share increased by [8.8 pp] from [19.1\%] to [27.9\%].

Chart 7.36: District Council Applicants [\%] by Community
Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 7.37: Changes in District Council Applicants (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 7.5.2 The District Council Workforce: Appointees

There were 913 appointees to the district councils in 2011 (Table 7.5), a decrease of 29.7\% (-386) from 2010.

Table 7.5: District Council Appointees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 255 | $\begin{gathered} 53.5 \% \\ {[29.7 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 192 | $\begin{gathered} 40.3 \% \\ {[22.4 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 30 | 6.3\% | 477 | 52.2\% |
| Female | 218 | $\begin{gathered} 50.0 \% \\ {[25.4 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 194 | $\begin{aligned} & 44.5 \% \\ & {[22.6 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 24 | 5.5\% | 436 | 47.8\% |
| Total | 473 | $\begin{gathered} 51.8 \% \\ {[55.1 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 386 | $\begin{gathered} 42.3 \% \\ {[44.9 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 54 | 5.9\% | 913 | 100.0\% |

### 7.5.2.1 Community Background

## In 2011, there were [55.1\%] Protestant and [44.9\%] Roman Catholic district council appointees (Chart 7.38).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Roman Catholic appointees was greater than that for Protestant appointees (Chart 7.39). Consequently, the Protestant share of district council appointees increased by [3.1 pp] from [52.0\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the number of Protestant appointees was greater than that for Roman Catholic appointees (Chart 7.39). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of district council appointees increased overall by [4.6 pp] from [40.3\%] in 2001 to [44.9\%] in 2011. Over this period, year-on-year, the proportions of appointees accounted for by Protestants and Roman Catholics have fluctuated, with Protestants consistently accounting for a greater proportion of appointees when compared to Roman Catholics (Chart 7.40).


## Chart 7.40: District Council Appointees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011



### 7.5.2.2 Sex

In 2011, 52.2\% of appointees to the district council were male, whilst $47.8 \%$ were female (Chart 7.41).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of male appointees to the district councils decreased by a greater amount than female appointees (Chart 7.42). Consequently, the female share of district council appointees increased by ( 2.8 pp ) from $45.0 \%$ in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the number of female district council appointees was greater than that for male appointees (Chart 7.42). Consequently, the male share of district council appointees increased overall by ( 3.4 pp ) from $48.8 \%$ in 2001 to $52.2 \%$ in 2011.

Chart 7.41: District Council Appointees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 7.42: Changes in District Council Appointees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 7.5.2.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants comprised nearly 30 percent of appointees to the district councils, whilst female Protestants comprised more than one-quarter of appointees (Chart 7.43). Male Roman Catholics [22.4\%] and female Roman Catholics [22.6\%] comprised similar proportions of appointees to the district councils.

Between 2010 and 2011, each of the four groups decreased in numbers of appointees to the district councils (Chart 7.44). However, the decrease in the number of female Protestants was less than that for any other group. Furthermore, proportionally, it was much less than that for any other group (Chart 7.44). Consequently, female Protestants were the only group to increase their share of district council appointees, by [3.1 pp] from [22.3\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, each of the four groups decreased overall in numbers of appointees to the district council. Female Protestants saw the largest overall decrease in numbers of appointees (Chart 7.44). Consequently, female Protestants were the only group to decrease their share of appointees to the district councils, by [4.8 pp] overall, from [30.2\%] to [25.4\%].

Chart 7.43: District Council Appointees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 7.44: Changes in District Council Appointees ( n ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011

### 7.5.3 The District Council Workforce: Promotees

There were 160 promotees in the district councils in 2011 (Table 7.6), an increase of 35.6\% (42) from 2010.

Table 7.6: District Council Promotees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 23 | $31.9 \%$ | 46 | $63.9 \%$ | $*$ | $4.2 \%$ | 72 | $45.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[14.9 \%]$ |  | $[29.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 43 | $48.9 \%$ | 42 | $47.7 \%$ | $*$ | $3.4 \%$ | 88 | $55.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[27.9 \%]$ |  | $[27.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 66 | $41.3 \%$ | 88 | $55.0 \%$ | $*$ | $3.8 \%$ | 160 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[42.9 \%]$ |  | $[57.1 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

### 7.5.3.1 Community Background

## In 2011, there were [42.9\%] Protestant and [57.1\%] Roman Catholic district council promotees

 (Chart 7.45).Between 2010 and 2011, the increase in the number of Roman Catholic district council promotees was greater than for Protestant promotees (Chart 7.46). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of district council promotees increased by [6.7 pp] from [50.4\%] in 2010.

Chart 7.45: District Council Promotees [\%] by Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 7.46: Changes in District Council Promotees ( n ) by Community Background, 2010-2011


### 7.5.3.2 Sex

In 2011, 45.0\% of promotees in the district councils were male; whilst $55.0 \%$ were female (Chart 7.47).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of female promotees in the district council increased by a slightly greater amount than did male promotees (Chart 7.48). However, proportionally the increase in male promotees was greater than that for female promotees. Consequently, the male share of promotees increased by ( 0.1 pp ) from $44.9 \%$ in 2010.



### 7.5.3.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, female Protestants, male Roman Catholics and female Roman Catholics comprised similar proportions of promotees in the district councils (Chart 7.49). Male Protestants comprised less than one-sixth of promotees.

Between 2010 and 2011, male Protestants were the only group to decrease in numbers of promotees in the district councils, whilst female Roman Catholics saw the smallest increase (Chart 7.50).
Consequently, female Protestants' share of district council promotees increased by [4.4 pp] from [23.5\%] in 2010, whilst male Roman Catholics' share increased by [11.6 pp] from [18.3\%].


Chart 7.50: Changes in District Council Promotees ( n ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


### 7.5.4 The District Council Workforce: Leavers

There were 1,560 leavers from the district councils in 2011 (Table 7.7), an increase of 14.2\% (194) from 2010.

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 477 | $\begin{gathered} 55.2 \% \\ {[32.7 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 330 | $\begin{gathered} 38.2 \% \\ {[22.6 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 57 | 6.6\% | 864 | 55.4\% |
| Female | 380 | $\begin{gathered} 54.6 \% \\ {[26.0 \%]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 272 | $\begin{gathered} 39.1 \% \\ {[18.6 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 44 | 6.3\% | 696 | 44.6\% |
| Total | 857 | $\begin{gathered} 54.9 \% \\ {[58.7 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 602 | $\begin{gathered} 38.6 \% \\ {[41.3 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 101 | 6.5\% | 1,560 | 100.0\% |

### 7.5.4.1 Community Background

## In 2011, there were [58.7\%] Protestant and [41.3\%] Roman Catholic district council leavers

 (Chart 7.51).Between 2010 and 2011, the increase in the number of Protestant district council leavers was greater than for their Roman Catholic counterparts (Chart 7.52). Consequently, the Protestant share of district council leavers increased by [0.8 pp] from [57.9\%] in 2010.

Chart 7.51: District Council Leavers [\%] by Community
Background, 2010-2011


Chart 7.52: Changes in District Council Leavers ( n ) by
Community Background, 2010-2011


### 7.5.4.2 Sex

In 2011, 55.4\% of leavers from the district councils were male, 44.6\% were female (Chart 7.53).
Between 2010 and 2011, the increase in the number of male leavers from the district council was greater than that for female leavers (Chart 7.54). Consequently, the male share of district council leavers increased by ( 0.6 pp ) from 54.8\% in 2010.



### 7.5.4.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants comprised nearly one-third of leavers from the district councils, whilst female Protestants comprised more than one-quarter of leavers (Chart 7.55). Male Roman Catholics comprised less than one-quarter of district council leavers, whilst female Roman Catholics comprised less than one-fifth of leavers.

Between 2010 and 2011, each of the four groups increased in numbers of leavers from the district councils (Chart 7.56). Female Roman Catholics saw the smallest increase in number of leavers. Furthermore, proportionally, the increase in numbers of male Protestant leavers was smaller than the increases in female Protestant or male Roman Catholic leavers. Consequently, female Protestants' share of district council leavers increased by [1 pp] from [25.0\%] in 2010, whilst male Roman Catholics' share increased by [0.8 pp] from [21.8\%].

Chart 7.55: District Council Leavers [\%] by Community
Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 7.56: Changes in District Council Leavers ( $n$ ) by
Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


### 7.6 Additional Tables for Chapter 7

Table 7.8: District Councils (All) Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 632 | 9.7 | 56.0 | 497 | 10.7 | 44.0 | 1,129 | 10.1 |
| SOC 2 | 263 | 4.0 | 49.6 | 267 | 5.7 | 50.4 | 530 | 4.7 |
| SOC 3 | 958 | 14.7 | 54.6 | 798 | 17.2 | 45.4 | 1,756 | 15.7 |
| SOC 4 | 1,188 | 18.2 | 59.0 | 824 | 17.7 | 41.0 | 2,012 | 18.0 |
| SOC 5 | 494 | 7.6 | 67.4 | 239 | 5.1 | 32.6 | 733 | 6.6 |
| SOC 6 | 944 | 14.5 | 55.9 | 744 | 16.0 | 44.1 | 1,688 | 15.1 |
| SOC 7 | 36 | 0.6 | 53.7 | 31 | 0.7 | 46.3 | 67 | 0.6 |
| SOC 8 | 619 | 9.5 | 66.1 | 317 | 6.8 | 33.9 | 936 | 8.4 |
| SOC 9 | 1,377 | 21.1 | 59.7 | 930 | 20.0 | 40.3 | 2,307 | 20.7 |
| Total | 6,511 | 100.0 | 58.4 | 4,647 | 100.0 | 41.6 | 11,158 | 100.0 |

## Table 7.9: District Councils Full-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 630 | 11.1 | 56.0 | 494 | 12.1 | 44.0 | 1,124 | 11.5 |
| SOC 2 | 260 | 4.6 | 49.5 | 265 | 6.5 | 50.5 | 525 | 5.4 |
| SOC 3 | 791 | 14.0 | 52.8 | 707 | 17.3 | 47.2 | 1,498 | 15.4 |
| SOC 4 | 1,032 | 18.2 | 57.8 | 753 | 18.4 | 42.2 | 1,785 | 18.3 |
| SOC 5 | 472 | 8.3 | 67.2 | 230 | 5.6 | 32.8 | 702 | 7.2 |
| SOC 6 | 573 | 10.1 | 54.2 | 484 | 11.9 | 45.8 | 1,057 | 10.8 |
| SOC 7 | 26 | 0.5 | 66.7 | 13 | 0.3 | 33.3 | 39 | 0.4 |
| SOC 8 | 609 | 10.8 | 66.6 | 305 | 7.5 | 33.4 | 914 | 9.4 |
| SOC 9 | 1,267 | 22.4 | 60.4 | 832 | 20.4 | 39.6 | 2,099 | 21.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 , 6 6 0}$ | 100.0 | 58.1 | $\mathbf{4 , 0 8 3}$ | 100.0 | 41.9 | 9,743 | 100.0 |


| Table 7.10: District Councils Part-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Protestant |  |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
|  | SOC | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.2 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.5 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.4 |  |
| SOC 2 | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.4 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.4 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.4 |  |
| SOC 3 | 167 | 19.6 | 64.7 | 91 | 16.1 | 35.3 | 258 | 18.2 |  |
| SOC 4 | 156 | 18.3 | 68.7 | 71 | 12.6 | 31.3 | 227 | 16.0 |  |
| SOC 5 | $\#$ | 2.6 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 1.6 | - | 31 | 2.2 |  |
| SOC 6 | 371 | 43.6 | 58.8 | 260 | 46.1 | 41.2 | 631 | 44.6 |  |
| SOC 7 | 10 | 1.2 | 35.7 | 18 | 3.2 | 64.3 | 28 | 2.0 |  |
| SOC 8 | 10 | 1.2 | 45.5 | 12 | 2.1 | 54.5 | 22 | 1.6 |  |
| SOC 9 | 110 | 12.9 | 52.9 | 98 | 17.4 | 47.1 | 208 | 14.7 |  |
| Total | 851 | 100.0 | 60.1 | 564 | 100.0 | 39.9 | 1,415 | 100.0 |  |

## Chapter 8

## PUBLIC SECTOR -

## CIVIL SERVICE

## 8. Public Sector - Civil Service

## Key Details

## ALL EMPLOYEES

- The composition of the civil service workforce was 17,662 [54.3\%] Protestant and 14,843 [45.7\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, total Protestant employment decreased by 3.6\% (-667), while total Roman Catholic employment also decreased by $2.6 \%$ (-390). Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share increased by [0.3 pp] from [45.4\%] to [45.7\%].
- The civil service accounted for $18.2 \%$ of all public sector employment. This represents a decrease in employees of $3.2 \%(-1,126)$ from 2010.
- In 2011, male (50.5\%) and female ( $49.5 \%$ ) employees comprised similar proportions of the civil service.


## FULL-TIME WORKFORCE

- The composition of the full-time workforce was 17,208 [ $54.1 \%$ ] Protestant and 14,578 [45.9\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, full-time Protestant employment decreased by 4.9\% (-888), while full-time Roman Catholic employment decreased by $3.3 \%$ (-504). Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the full-time civil service workforce increased by [ 0.4 pp ] from [45.5\%] to [45.9\%].
- The full-time workforce accounted for $97.8 \%$ of the civil service workforce, and comprised 33,216 employees. This represents a decrease of $4.3 \%(-1,482)$ compared with 2010, the greatest proportion of whom were male Protestant employees (-475).


## PART-TIME WORKFORCE

- The composition of the part-time workforce was 454 [ $63.1 \%$ ] Protestant and 265 [36.9\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, part-time Protestant employment increased by $94.8 \%$ (221), while part-time Roman Catholic employment increased by $75.5 \%$ (144). Thus, overall the Protestant share of the part-time workforce increased by [2.5 pp] from [60.7\%] to [63.1\%].
- The part-time workforce accounted for $2.2 \%$ of the civil service workforce, and comprised 759 employees. This represents an increase of 88.3\% (356) in employees from 2010.


## FLOWS IN EMPLOYMENT

- There were 18,105 applicants to the civil service in 2011. The composition was [52.5\%] Protestant and [47.5\%] Roman Catholic.
- The number of appointees to the civil service (966) was at its lowest for the period 2001-2011. The composition was [54.6\%] Protestant and [45.4\%] Roman Catholic.
- The number of leavers from the civil service $(2,090)$ represents a decrease of $5.7 \%$ from 2010. The composition was [58.5\%] Protestant and [41.5\%] Roman Catholic.


### 8.1 The Civil Service Workforce: Introduction

The purpose of this chapter is to help inform employers and other interested parties about wider compositional trends within the civil service that, alongside information on labour availability, may better inform their own considerations of fair participation in specific employment(s).

In 2011, a total of 3 civil service bodies submitted monitoring returns to the Commission, accounting for more than 33,900 civil service employees and $18.2 \%$ of all public sector employment. The civil service enjoyed steady growth between 2001 and 2005, however employee numbers have gradually declined year-on-year since 2006.

### 8.1.1 Dynamic of Change: Civil Service Workforce 2010-2011

Between 2010 and 2011, each group in part-time employment increased in numbers between 2010 and 2011, whilst each group in full-time employment decreased in numbers. The greatest increase in employment occurred amongst female Protestant part-time employees (125), followed by male Protestant part-time employees (96). The biggest decrease in employment occurred amongst male Protestant full-time employees (-475), followed by female Protestant full-time employees (-413). As a result of the lesser overall decrease in Roman Catholic employment when compared to Protestant employment, the Roman Catholic share of the civil service workforce increased by [0.3 pp] from [45.4\%] in 2010 to [45.7\%] in 2011.

### 8.2 The Civil Service Workforce: All Employees

There were 33,975 employees in the civil service in 2011 (Table 8.1), a decrease of 3.2\% (-1,126) from 2010.

Table 8.1: Civil Service (All) Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 9,309 | $\begin{gathered} 54.3 \% \\ {[28.6 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 6,901 | $\begin{gathered} 40.2 \% \\ {[21.2 \%]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 937 | 5.5\% | 17,147 | 50.5\% |
| Female | 8,353 | $\begin{gathered} 49.6 \% \\ {[25.7 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 7,942 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 47.2\% } \\ & {[24.4 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 533 | 3.2\% | 16,828 | 49.5\% |
| Total | 17,662 | $\begin{gathered} 52.0 \% \\ {[54.3 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 14,843 | $\begin{gathered} 43.7 \% \\ {[45.7 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 1,470 | 4.3\% | 33,975 | 100.0\% |

### 8.2.1 Community Background

## In 2011, [54.3\%] of civil service employees were Protestant, while [45.7\%] were Roman Catholics

 (Chart 8.1).Between 2010 and 2011, the number of Protestant employees decreased by a greater amount than did Roman Catholic employees (Chart 8.2). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the civil service workforce increased by [ 0.3 pp ] overall from [45.4\%] to [45.7\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the number of Protestant civil service employees decreased overall, whilst the number of Roman Catholic employees increased (Chart 8.2). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of the civil service workforce increased by [5.9 pp] overall from [39.8\%] to [45.7\%]. The Roman Catholic share of employees has gradually increased during this period (Chart 8.3).

Chart 8.1: Civil Service (All) Employees [\%] by Community
Background, 2010-2011


Chart 8.2: Changes in Civil Service (All) Employees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 8.3: Civil Service (All) Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 8.2.2 Sex

In 2011, males and females comprised similar proportions of the civil service workforce (Chart 8.4).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of male civil service employees was greater than that for female employees (Chart 8.5). Consequently, the female share of the civil service workforce increased by (0.1 pp) from 49.4\% in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of male civil service employees decreased by a greater overall amount than did female employees (Chart 8.5). Thus, the female share of the civil service workforce increased by (2.4 pp) overall from $47.1 \%$ in 2001 to $49.5 \%$ in 2011.

Chart 8.4: Civil Service (All) Employees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 8.5: Changes in Civil Service (All) Employees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 8.2.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants comprised the greatest proportion of the civil service workforce [28.6\%]
(Chart 8.6). Female Protestants [25.7\%] and female Roman Catholics [24.4\%] each comprised around one-quarter of the workforce, while male Roman Catholic representation was [21.2\%].

Between 2010 and 2011, each of the four groups showed a decrease in numbers in employment (Chart 8.7). Male Protestant employees witnessed the greatest decrease in employment, followed by female Protestant employees. However, male Protestant employees were the only group to decrease their share of the civil service workforce, by [0.3 pp] from [28.9\%] in 2010, whilst female Protestant employees' share remained unchanged from 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant employees decreased overall. Conversely, the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employees increased overall (Chart 8.7). Consequently, male Roman Catholic employees' share of the civil service workforce increased by [2.0 pp] from [19.2\%] in 2001 to [21.2\%] in 2011, whilst female Roman Catholic employees' share increased by [3.8 pp] from [20.6\%] to [24.4\%].

Chart 8.6: Civil Service (All) Employees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 8.7: Changes in Civil Service (All) Employees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 8.2.4 Community Background and SOC

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 8.8). In all SOC categories aside from SOC $4^{21}$, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of employees than did Roman Catholics. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs 1-9 [54.3\% v. 45.7\%], Protestant representation in Personal Service Occupations (SOC 6) was [23.8 pp] above average, while in Elementary Occupations (SOC 9) it was [16.1 pp] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) was [6.0 pp] above average.

Chart 8.8: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the Civil Service, 2011


In 2011, the greatest proportions of both Protestant and Roman Catholic civil service workers were employed in SOC 4. The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (Charts 8.9 \& 8.10). Despite differences in the religious composition of the SOC categories (Chart 7.8), the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees across the SOC categories were broadly similar. However, some differences in distribution are evident (Charts 8.9 \& 8.10). For example, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3) (29.4\% v. 22.1\%), and in SOC 9 ( $7.7 \%$ v. $3.9 \%$ ). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) (60.0\% v. 47.1\%). For further details on the composition of the civil service workforce by SOC, see Table 8.8 at the end of the chapter.

Chart 8.9: Distribution of Protestant Civil Service Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


Chart 8.10: Distribution of Catholic Civil Service Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 8.3 The Civil Service Workforce: Full-time Employees

There were 33,216 full-time employees in the civil service in 2011 (Table 8.2), a decrease of $4.3 \%$ $(-1,482)$ from 2010.

Table 8.2: Civil Service Full-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 9,185 | $54.2 \%$ | 6,833 | $40.3 \%$ | 922 | $5.4 \%$ | 16,940 | $51.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[28.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |$)$

### 8.3.1 Community Background

## In 2011, [54.1\%] of full-time civil service employees were Protestant, while [45.9\%] were Roman

 Catholics (Chart 8.11).Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Protestant full-time civil service employees was greater than that for Roman Catholic employees (Chart 8.12). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time workforce increased by [0.4 pp] from [45.5\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of Protestant full-time employees decreased overall, whilst the number of Roman Catholic full-time employees increased overall (Chart 8.12). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the civil service workforce increased by [6.0 pp] from [39.9\%] in 2001 to [45.9\%] in 2011. Over this period, the proportion of the full-time workforce accounted for by Roman Catholics increased year-on-year (Chart 8.13).

Chart 8.11: Civil Service Full-time Employees [\%] by
Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 8.12: Changes in Civil Service Full-time Employees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 8.13: Civil Service Full-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 8.3.2 Sex

In 2011, males and females accounted for similar proportions of the full-time civil service workforce (Chart 8.14).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of male employees decreased by a greater amount than did female employees (Chart 8.15). However, proportionally these decreases were similar. Thus, the male/female shares of the full-time civil service workforce remained relatively unchanged ( $<0.1 \mathrm{pp}$ ) from 2010. During the period 2001-2011, the number of male full-time employees decreased by a greater amount overall that did female employees (Chart 8.15). Thus, the female share of the full-time civil service workforce increased by ( 2.1 pp ) overall, from $46.9 \%$ in 2001 to $49.0 \%$ in 2011.

Chart 8.14: Civil Service Full-time Employees \% by Sex, 20102011


Chart 8.15: Changes in Civil Service Full-time Employees ( n ) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 8.3.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants comprised the greatest proportion of the full-time civil service workforce [28.9\%] (Chart 8.16). Female Roman Catholics [24.4\%] and female Protestants [25.2\%] each comprised around one-quarter of the workforce, while male Roman Catholic representation was [21.5\%].

Between 2010 and 2011, the decreases in the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant fulltime employees were greater than those for male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employees (Chart 8.17). Consequently, the female Roman Catholic share of the full-time workforce increased by [ 0.3 pp ] from [24.1\%] in 2010, while the male Roman Catholic share increased by [0.1 pp] from [21.4\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic full-time employees increased, whilst the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant full-time employees decreased (Chart 8.17). Consequently, they were the only groups to see overall increases in their shares of the full-time workforce. Over this period, male Roman Catholic employees' share increased by [2.0 pp] from [19.2\%] in 2001 to [21.2\%] in 2011, while female Roman Catholic employees' share increased by [3.8 pp] from [20.6\%] to [24.4\%].

Chart 8.16: Civil Service Full-time Employees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 8.17: Changes in Civil Service Full-time Employees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 8.3.4 Community Background and SOC

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of full-time SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 8.18). In all SOC categories aside from SOC $4^{22}$, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of full-time employees than did Roman Catholics. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs 1-9 [54.1\% v. 45.9\%], Protestant representation in Personal Service Occupations (SOC 6) was [24.8 pp] above average, while in Elementary Occupations (SOC 9) it was [16.2 pp] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) was [6.3 pp] above average.

Chart 8.18: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the Full-time Civil Service, 2011


In 2011, the greatest proportions of both Protestant and Roman Catholic civil service full-time workers were employed in SOC 4. The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (Charts 8.19 \& 8.20 ). Despite difference in the religious composition of the SOC categories (Chart 8.18), the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees across the SOC categories were broadly similar. However, some differences in distribution are evident (Charts 8.19 \& 8.20). For example, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in SOC 3 ( $30.0 \%$ v. 22.4\%), and in SOC 9 ( $7.8 \%$ v. $3.9 \%$ ). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 4 $(59.6 \%$ v. $46.2 \%)$. For further details on the composition of the civil service full-time workforce by SOC, see Table 8.9 at the end of the chapter.

Chart 8.19: Distribution of Protestant Full-time Civil Service Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


Chart 8.20: Distribution of Catholic Full-time Civil Service Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 8.4 The Civil Service Workforce: Part-time Employees

There were 759 part-time employees in the civil service in 2011 (Table 8.3), an increase of 88.3\% (356) from 2010.

Table 8.3: Civil Service Part-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 124 | $59.9 \%$ | 68 | $32.9 \%$ <br> $[9.5 \%]$ | 15 | $7.2 \%$ | 207 | $27.3 \%$ |
|  |  | $[17.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 8.4.1 Community Background

In 2011, nearly two-thirds of part-time civil service employees were Protestant; whilst more than one-third were Roman Catholic (Chart 8.21).

Between 2010 and 2011, the increase in the number of part-time Protestant employees was greater than that for Roman Catholic employees (Chart 8.22). Thus, the Protestant share of the part-time civil service workforce increased by [2.4 pp] from [60.7\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall increase in the number of part-time Protestant employees was greater than that for Roman Catholic employees (Chart 8.22). However, proportionally the opposite was true. Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the part-time civil service workforce increased by [6.4 pp] from [30.5\%] in 2001 to [36.9\%] in 2011. Over this period, year-on year, the proportions of the part-time workforce accounted for by Protestant and Roman Catholic employees have fluctuated (Chart 8.23).

Chart 8.21: Civil Service Part-time Employees [\%] by
Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 8.22: Changes in Civil Service Part-time Employees ( n ) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


## Chart 8.23: Civil Service Part-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011



### 8.4.2 Sex

In 2011, nearly three quarters of part-time civil service employees were female; whilst 27.3\% were male (Chart 8.24).

Between 2010 and 2011, the increase in the number of female part-time employees was greater than that for their male counterparts (Chart 8.25). However, proportionally the opposite was true.
Consequently, the male share of the part-time civil service workforce increased by (15.4 pp) from 11.9\% in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of female part-time employees increased by a greater overall amount than did male part-time employees (Chart 8.25). However, proportionally, the opposite was true. Consequently, the male share of the part-time civil service workforce increased by (10.6 pp) from 16.7\% in 2001 to $27.3 \%$ in 2011.

Chart 8.24: Civil Service Part-time Employees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 8.25: Changes in Civil Service Part-time Employees ( n ) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 8.4.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, female Protestants comprised close to half [45.9\%] of part-time civil service employees, whilst female Roman Catholics comprised more than one-quarter [27.4\%] of the workforce (Chart 8.26). Male Protestants comprised [17.2\%] of employees, whilst male Roman Catholics representation was [9.5\%].

Between 2010 and 2011, each of the four groups increased in numbers in part-time employment (Chart 8.27). Female Protestant employees witnessed the greatest increase in numbers in part-time employment. However, proportionally, male Protestants and male Roman Catholics saw the greatest increases in parttime employment. Thus, male Protestants' share of the part-time workforce increased by [9.9 pp] from [7.3\%] in 2010, whilst male Roman Catholics' share increased by [5.3 pp] from [4.2\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, each of the four groups increased overall in numbers in part-time employment (Chart 8.27). The overall increase in the numbers of female Protestant part-time employees was greater than that for any other group. However, proportionally, female Protestants saw the smallest overall increase in part-time employment. Consequently, female Protestants were the only group to decrease their overall share of the part-time workforce, by [13.5 pp] overall from [59.4\%] in 2001 to [45.9\%] in 2011.

Chart 8.26: Civil Service Part-time Employees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 8.27: Changes in Civil Service Part-time Employees ( n ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 8.4.4 Community Background and SOC ${ }^{23}$

In 2011, as in previous years, the majority of both Protestant and Roman Catholic workers were employed in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4). (Chart 8.28). As the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time employees was mostly concentrated into Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3), very little trends or compositional analyses can be undertaken. However, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of part-time employees in both SOC 3 and SOC 4.

Chart 8.28: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the Part-time Civil Service, 2011


In 2011, the greatest proportion of both Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time workers were employed in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4). No Protestant or Roman Catholic parttime employees were employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (Charts 8.29 \& 8.30). Despite the difference in the religious composition of the SOC categories (Chart 8.28), the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees across the SOC categories were broadly similar. However, some differences in distribution are evident (Charts 8.29 \& 8.30). For example, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in SOC $9(4.8 \% \mathrm{v}$. $2.6 \%$ ), and in SOC 2 ( $5.1 \%$ v. $3.0 \%$ ). Conversely, there was a greater proportion of Roman Catholic part-time workers than Protestant part-time workers in SOC 4 ( $85.3 \% \mathrm{v} .82 .2 \%$ ). For further details on the composition of the civil service part-time workforce by SOC, see Table 8.10 at the end of the chapter.

It is notable that the SOC categories with the greatest divergence in community representation (Chart 8.28 - SOCs 2 and 9 ) are also the ones in which very small proportions of workers were employed (Charts $8.29 \& 8.30$ and Table 8.10).

Chart 8.29: Distribution of Protestant Part-time Civil Service
Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


Chart 8.30: Distribution of Catholic Part-time Civil Service
Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 8.5 The Civil Service Workforce: Applicants, Appointees, Promotees \& Leavers

### 8.5.1 The Civil Service Workforce: Applicants

There were 18,105 applicants to the civil service in 2011 (Table 8.4), a decrease of $36.5 \%(-10,429)$ from 2010.

Table 8.4: Civil Service Applicants by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 4,967 | $50.2 \%$ | 4,380 | $44.3 \%$ | 545 | $5.5 \%$ | 9,892 | $54.6 \%$ |
|  |  | $[28.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 8.5.1.1 Community Background

## In 2011, there were [52.5\%] Protestant and [47.5\%] Roman Catholic applicants to the civil service

 (Chart 8.31).Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the numbers of Roman Catholic civil service applicants was greater than that for Protestant applicants (Chart 8.32). Consequently, the Protestant share of civil service applicants increased by [1.8 pp] from [50.7\%] in 2010.

Between 2001 and 2011, the overall decrease in the number of Protestant civil service applicants was greater than that for Roman Catholic applicants (Chart 8.32). However, proportionally, Roman Catholic applicants decreased by more than Protestant applicants. Consequently, the Protestant share of civil service applicants increased by [ 0.8 pp ] overall from [51.7\%] in 2001 to [ $52.5 \%$ ] in 2011. Over this period, year-on-year, Protestants have generally comprised a greater proportion of civil service applicants when compared to Roman Catholics (Chart 8.33).

Chart 8.31: Civil Service Applicants [\%] by Community
Background, 2010-2011



Chart 8.33: Civil Service Applicants [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 8.5.1.2 Sex

In 2011, [54.6\%] of applicants to the civil service were male, while [45.4\%] were female (Chart 8.34).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of female applicants to the civil service was greater than that for male applicants (Chart 8.35). Consequently, the male share of civil service applicants increased by (3.1 pp) from 51.5\% in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of female applicants to the civil service decreased by a greater amount than the number of male applicants (Chart 8.35). Consequently, the male share of civil service applicants increased by (9.6 pp) overall from $45.1 \%$ in 2001 to 54.6\% in 2011.


Chart 8.35: Changes in Civil Service Applicants (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 8.5.1.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants comprised nearly 30 percent of applicants to the civil service, whilst male Roman Catholics comprised more than one-quarter of applicants (Chart 8.36). Female Protestants and female Roman Catholics each comprised less than one-quarter of applicants to the civil service.

Between 2010 and 2011, the decreases in the numbers of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic civil service applicants were greater than those for male Protestant and male Roman Catholic applicants (Chart 8.37). Consequently, male Protestants' share of civil service applicants increased by [2.9 pp] overall from [26.0\%] in 2010, whilst male Roman Catholics' share increased by [0.7 pp] from [24.8\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decreases in the numbers of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic civil service applicants were greater than those for male Protestant and male Roman Catholic applicants (Chart 8.37). Consequently, male Protestants' share of civil service applicants increased by [ 5 pp ] overall from [23.9\%] in 2001 to [28.9\%] in 2011, whilst male Roman Catholics' share increased by [4.6 pp] overall from [20.9\%] to [25.5\%].

Chart 8.36: Civil Service Applicants [\%] by Community
Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 8.37: Changes in Civil Service Applicants (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 8.5.2 The Civil Service Workforce: Appointees

There were 966 appointees to the civil service in 2011 (Table 8.5), a decrease of $53.9 \%(-1,130)$ from 2010.

Table 8.5: Civil Service Appointees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 288 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 49.8 \% \\ {[33.1 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 228 | $\begin{gathered} 39.4 \% \\ {[26.2 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 62 | 10.7\% | 578 | 59.8\% |
| Female | 187 | $\begin{gathered} 48.2 \% \\ {[21.5 \%]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 167 | $\begin{gathered} 43.0 \% \\ {[19.2 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 34 | 8.8\% | 388 | 40.2\% |
| Total | 475 | $\begin{gathered} 49.2 \% \\ {[54.6 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 395 | $\begin{gathered} 40.9 \% \\ {[45.4 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 96 | 9.9\% | 966 | 100.0\% |

### 8.5.2.1 Community Background

## In 2011, there were [54.6\%] Protestant and [45.4\%] Roman Catholic civil service appointees

 (Chart 8.38).Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Protestant appointees was greater than that for Roman Catholic appointees (Chart 8.39). However, proportionally Roman Catholic appointees decreased by more than Protestant appointees. Consequently, the Protestant share of civil service appointees increased by [0.6 pp] from [54.0\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the numbers of Roman Catholic appointees was greater than that for Protestant appointees (Chart 8.39). Consequently, the Protestant share of civil service appointees increased overall by [4.7 pp] from [49.9\%] in 2001 to [54.6\%] in 2011. Over this period, year-on-year, the proportions of appointees accounted for by Protestant and Roman Catholics have fluctuated. However, since 2004, Protestants have consistently accounted for a greater proportion of appointees when compared to Roman Catholic appointees (Chart 8.40).

Chart 8.38: Civil Service Appointees [\%] by Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 8.39: Changes in Civil Service Appointees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 8.40: Civil Service Appointees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011

8.5.2.2 Sex

In 2011, the majority (59.8\%) of appointees to the civil service were male; whilst two-fifths were female (Chart 8.41).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of female appointees to the civil service decreased by a slightly greater amount than did male appointees. Furthermore, proportionally, the decrease in female appointees was much greater than that for male appointees (Chart 8.42). Consequently, the male share of civil service appointees increased by ( 6.7 pp ) from $53.1 \%$ in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the number of female civil service appointees was greater than that for male appointees (Chart 8.42). Consequently, the male share of civil service appointees increased by (14.3 pp) overall from $45.5 \%$ in 2001 to $59.8 \%$ in 2011.

Chart 8.41: Civil Service Appointees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 8.42: Changes in Civil Service Appointees ( n ) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 8.5.2.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants comprised one-third of appointees to the civil service, whilst male Roman Catholics comprised more than one-quarter of appointees (Chart 8.43). Female Protestants and female Roman Catholics each comprised around one-fifth of appointees to the civil service.

Between 2010 and 2011, female Protestant appointees decreased by the greatest amount, whilst female Roman Catholic appointees decreased by the smallest amount (Chart 8.44). However, proportionally, the decrease in female Roman Catholic appointees was similar to that for female Protestant appointees. Consequently, male Protestants' share of civil service appointees increased by [4.7 pp] from [28.4\%] in 2010, whilst male Roman Catholics' share increased by [ 1.5 pp ] from [24.7\%].

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decreases in the numbers of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic civil service appointees were greater than those for male Protestant and male Roman Catholic appointees (Chart 8.44). Consequently, male Protestants' share of civil service appointees increased by [10.1 pp] overall from [23.0\%] in 2001 to [33.1\%] in 2011, whilst male Roman Catholics' share increased by [4.0 pp] overall from [22.2\%] to [26.2\%].

Chart 8.43: Civil Service Appointees [\%] by Community
Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 8.44: Changes in Civil Service Appointees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 8.5.3 The Civil Service Workforce: Promotees

There were 702 promotees in the civil service in 2011 (Table 8.6), a decrease of $63.1 \%(-1,199)$ from 2010.

Table 8.6: Civil Service Promotees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 202 | $\begin{gathered} 54.2 \% \\ {[29.5 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 164 | $\begin{aligned} & 44.0 \% \\ & {[24.0 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | * | 1.9\% | 373 | 53.1\% |
| Female | 156 | $\begin{aligned} & 47.4 \% \\ & {[22.8 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 162 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49.2\% } \\ & {[23.7 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | \# | 3.3\% | 329 | 46.9\% |
| Total | 358 | $\begin{aligned} & 51.0 \% \\ & {[52.3 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 326 | $\begin{aligned} & 46.4 \% \\ & {[47.7 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 18 | 2.6\% | 702 | 100.0\% |

### 8.5.3.1 Community Background

In 2011, there were [52.3\%] Protestant and [47.7\%] Roman Catholic civil service promotees (Chart 8.45).

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of Protestant and Roman Catholic civil service promotees decreased by similar amounts (Chart 8.46). However, proportionally the decrease in Roman Catholic promotees was greater than that for Protestant promotees. Consequently, the Protestant share of civil service promotees increased by [1.4 pp] from [50.9\%] in 2010.

Chart 8.45: Civil Service Promotees [\%] by Community
Background, 2010-2011


Chart 8.46: Changes in Civil Service Promotees ( n ) by Community Background, 2010-2011


### 8.5.3.2 Sex

In 2011, $53.1 \%$ of promotees in the civil service were male; whilst $46.9 \%$ were female (Chart 8.47).
Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of female promotees in the civil service was greater than that for male promotees (Chart 8.48). Consequently, the male share of promotees increased by (7.3 pp) from $45.9 \%$ in 2010.



### 8.5.3.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants comprised nearly 30 percent of promotees in the civil service (Chart 8.49). Female Protestants, male Roman Catholics and female Roman Catholics each comprised around one-quarter of promotees in the civil service.

Between 2010 and 2011, the decreases in the numbers of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic promotees were greater than those for male Protestant and male Roman Catholic promotees (Chart 8.50 ). Consequently, male Protestants' share of civil service promotees increased by [ 5.1 pp ] overall from [24.4\%] in 2010, whilst male Roman Catholics' share increased by [2.8 pp] from [21.2\%].

Chart 8.49: Civil Service Promotees [\%] by Community
Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 8.50: Changes in Civil Service Promotees ( $n$ ) by
Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


### 8.5.4 The Civil Service Workforce: Leavers

There were 2,090 leavers from the civil service in 2011 (Table 8.7), a decrease of 5.7\% (-126) from 2010.

Table 8.7: Civil Service Leavers by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 654 | $55.1 \%$ <br> $[33.9 \%]$ | 444 | $37.4 \%$ <br> $[23.0 \%]$ | 89 | $7.5 \%$ | 1,187 | $56.8 \%$ |
| Female | 476 | $52.7 \%$ | 358 | $39.6 \%$ <br> $[18.5 \%]$ | 69 | $7.6 \%$ | 903 | $43.2 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $[24.6 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |

### 8.5.4.1 Community Background

## In 2011, there were [58.5\%] Protestant and [41.5\%] Roman Catholic civil service leavers (Chart 8.51).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Roman Catholic civil service leavers was greater than that for Protestant leavers (Chart 8.52). Consequently, the Protestant share of civil service leavers increased by [3.1 pp] from [55.4\%] in 2010.

Chart 8.51: Civil Service Leavers [\%] by Community
Background, 2010-2011


Chart 8.52: Changes in Civil Service Leavers ( n ) by Community Background, 2010-2011


### 8.5.4.2 Sex

In 2011, 56.8\% of leavers from the civil service were male, whilst 43.2\% were female (Chart 8.53).
Between 2010 and 2011, the number of male leavers from the civil service increased, whilst the number of female leavers decreased (Chart 8.54). Consequently, the male share of civil service leavers increased by ( 5.1 pp ) from $51.7 \%$ in 2010.

Chart 8.53: Civil Service Leavers \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 8.54: Changes in Civil Service Leavers (n) by Sex, 20102011


### 8.5.4.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants comprised one-third of leavers from the civil service (Chart 8.55). Female Protestants and male Roman Catholics each comprised around one-quarter of leavers in the civil service, whilst female Roman Catholics comprised less than one-fifth of leavers.

Between 2010 and 2011, male Protestants were the only group to increase in numbers of leavers from the civil service (Chart 8.56). Consequently, male Protestants' were the only group to see an increase in their share of civil service leavers, by [5.4 pp] from [28.5\%] in 2010.


Chart 8.56: Changes in Civil Service Leavers ( n ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


### 8.6 Additional Tables for Chapter 8

Table 8.8: Civil Service (All) Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011

|  | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOC | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 302 | 1.7 | 63.4 | 174 | 1.2 | 36.6 | 476 | 1.5 |
| SOC 2 | 1,922 | 10.9 | 55.2 | 1,561 | 10.5 | 44.8 | 3,483 | 10.7 |
| SOC 3 | 5,197 | 29.4 | 61.3 | 3,280 | 22.1 | 38.7 | 8,477 | 26.1 |
| SOC 4 | 8,327 | 47.1 | 48.3 | 8,908 | 60.0 | 51.7 | 17,235 | 53.0 |
| SOC 5 | 199 | 1.1 | 64.0 | 112 | 0.8 | 36.0 | 311 | 1.0 |
| SOC 6 | 75 | 0.4 | 78.1 | 21 | 0.1 | 21.9 | 96 | 0.3 |
| SOC 7 | $*$ | 0.0 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.0 | - | $*$ | 0.0 |
| SOC 8 | 272 | 1.5 | 56.1 | 213 | 1.4 | 43.9 | 485 | 1.5 |
| SOC 9 | 1,367 | 7.7 | 70.4 | 574 | 3.9 | 29.6 | 1,941 | 6.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 , 6 6 2}$ | 100.0 | 54.3 | 14,843 | 100.0 | 45.7 | $\mathbf{3 2 , 5 0 5}$ | 100.0 |

Table 8.9: Civil Service Full-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011

|  | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOC | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 301 | 1.7 | 63.6 | 172 | 1.2 | 36.4 | 473 | 1.5 |
| SOC 2 | 1,899 | 11.0 | 55.0 | 1,553 | 10.7 | 45.0 | 3,452 | 10.9 |
| SOC 3 | 5,164 | 30.0 | 61.3 | 3,260 | 22.4 | 38.7 | 8,424 | 26.5 |
| SOC 4 | 7,954 | 46.2 | 47.8 | 8,682 | 59.6 | 52.2 | 16,636 | 52.3 |
| SOC 5 | 198 | 1.2 | 64.1 | 111 | 0.8 | 35.9 | 309 | 1.0 |
| SOC 6 | 75 | 0.4 | 78.9 | 20 | 0.1 | 21.1 | 95 | 0.3 |
| SOC 7 | $*$ | 0.0 | - | $*$ | 0.0 | - | $*$ | 0.0 |
| SOC 8 | 271 | 1.6 | 56.0 | 213 | 1.5 | 44.0 | 484 | 1.5 |
| SOC 9 | 1,345 | 7.8 | 70.3 | 567 | 3.9 | 29.7 | 1,912 | 6.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 , 2 0 8}$ | 100.0 | 54.1 | $\mathbf{1 4 , 5 7 8}$ | 100.0 | 45.9 | 31,786 | 100.0 |

Table 8.10: Civil Service Part-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.2 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.8 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | - |
| SOC 2 | $\#$ | 5.1 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 3.0 | - | 31 | 4.3 |
| SOC 3 | 33 | 7.3 | 62.3 | 20 | 7.5 | 37.7 | 53 | 7.4 |
| SOC 4 | 373 | 82.2 | 62.3 | 226 | 85.3 | 37.7 | 599 | 83.3 |
| SOC 5 | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.2 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.4 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.3 |
| SOC 6 | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.0 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.0 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.0 |
| SOC 7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| SOC 8 | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.2 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.0 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.1 |
| SOC 9 | $\#$ | 4.8 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 2.6 | - | 29 | 4.0 |
| Total | 454 | 100.0 | 63.1 | 265 | 100.0 | 36.9 | 719 | 100.0 |

## Chapter 9

## PUBLIC SECTOR SECURITY RELATED

## 9. Public Sector - Security-related <br> Key Details

## ALL EMPLOYEES

- The composition of the security-related sector workforce was 9,322 [76.4\%] Protestant and 2,879 [23.6\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, total Protestant employment decreased by $4.9 \%$ (-481), while total Roman Catholic employment increased by 3.6\% (99). Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the workforce increased by [1.5 pp] from [22.1\%] to [23.6\%].
- The security-related sector accounted for $6.8 \%$ of all public sector employment. This represents a decrease in employees of 3.2\% (-418) from 2010.
- When compared to other public sector components, males comprised a greater than average proportion of security-related employees (66.9\%).


## FULL-TIME WORKFORCE

- The composition of the full-time workforce was 8,717 [75.5\%] Protestant and 2,823 [24.5\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, full-time Protestant employment decreased by 4.8\% (-442), while full-time Roman Catholic employment increased by $3.7 \%$ (102). Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the full-time securityrelated workforce increased by [1.6 pp] from [22.9\%] to [24.5\%].
- The full-time workforce accounted for $94.7 \%$ of the security-related workforce, and comprised 12,005 employees. This represents a decrease of $3.0 \%$ (-375) compared with 2010, the majority of whom were male Protestant employees (-417).


## PART-TIME WORKFORCE

- The composition of the part-time workforce was 605 [91.5\%] Protestant and 56 [8.5\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, part-time Protestant employment decreased by $6.1 \%$ (-39), while part-time Roman Catholic employment decreased by $5.1 \%(-3)$. Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the part-time workforce increased by [0.1 pp] from [8.4\%] to [8.5\%].
- The part-time workforce accounted for $5.3 \%$ of the security-related workforce, and comprised 669 employees. This represents a decrease of 6.0\% (-43) in employees from 2010.


## FLOWS IN EMPLOYMENT

- The number of applicants to the security-related sector $(10,146)$ was at its lowest for the period 2008-2011. The composition was [61.4\%] Protestant and [38.6\%] Roman Catholic.
- The number of appointees to the security-related sector (367) was at its lowest for the period 2008-2011. The composition was [49.6\%] Protestant and [50.4\%] Roman Catholic.
- The number of leavers from the security-related sector (812) was at its lowest for the period 2008-2011. The composition was [86.6\%] Protestant and [13.4\%] Roman Catholic.


### 9.1 The Security-related Workforce: Introduction

The purpose of this chapter is to help inform employers and other interested parties about wider compositional trends within the security-related sector that, alongside information on labour availability, may better inform their own considerations of fair participation in specific employment(s).

In 2011, a total of 4 security-related public authorities submitted monitoring returns to the Commission, accounting for $6.8 \%$ of all public sector employment. ${ }^{24}$ The security-related sector has contracted every year since 2001 ( $n=21,329$ ), and now contains 12,674 employees.

### 9.1.1 Dynamic of Change: Security-related Workforce 2010-2011

Between 2010 and 2011, the greatest decreases in employee numbers were among full-time and parttime male Protestant employees (-417 and -29 respectively). There were smaller decreases in the numbers of full-time and part-time female Protestant employees ( -25 and -10 respectively). The numbers of full-time male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employees increased, by 56 and 46 respectively. In contrast, the number of part-time male Roman Catholic employees decreased slightly $(-3)$, whilst the numbers of part-time female Roman Catholic employees remained unchanged. As a result of the net overall increase in Roman Catholic employment, the Catholic share of the securityrelated workforce increased by [1.5 pp] from [22.1\%] in 2010 to [23.6\%] in 2011.

### 9.2 The Security-related Workforce: All Employees

There were 12,674 employees in the security-related sector in 2011 (Table 9.1), a decrease of 3.2\% (-418) from 2010.

Table 9.1: Security-related Sector (All) Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 6,251 | $73.7 \%$ | 1,835 | $21.6 \%$ | 390 | $4.6 \%$ | 8,476 | $66.9 \%$ |
|  |  | $[51.2 \%]$ |  | $[15.0 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 3,071 | $73.2 \%$ | 1,044 | $24.9 \%$ | 83 | $2.0 \%$ | 4,198 | $33.1 \%$ |
|  |  | $[25.2 \%]$ |  | $[8 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 9,322 | $73.6 \%$ | 2,879 | $22.7 \%$ | 473 | $3.7 \%$ | 12,674 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[76.4 \%]$ |  | $[23.6 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

### 9.2.1 Community Background

In 2011, [76.4\%] of security-related sector employees were Protestant, while [23.6\%] were Roman Catholics (Chart 9.1).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of Protestant employees decreased, whilst the number of Roman Catholic employees increased (Chart 9.2). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the security-related workforce increased by [1.5 pp] from [22.1\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of Protestant employees decreased, whilst the number of Roman Catholic employees increased (Chart 9.2). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the securityrelated sector workforce increased by [15.2 pp] overall from [8.4\%] to [23.6\%]. The Roman Catholic share of employees has increased year-on-year during this period (Chart 9.3).


Chart 9.2: Changes in Security-related (All) Employees (n) by

Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 9.3: Security-related (All) Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 9.2.2 Sex

In 2011, two-thirds of security-related sector employees were male, whilst one-third were female (Chart 9.4).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of male security-related sector employees decreased, whilst the number of female employees increased slightly (Chart 9.5). Consequently, the female share of the security-related workforce increased by (1.1 pp) from $32.0 \%$ in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the decrease in the number of male security-related sector employees was greater than that for female employees (Chart 9.5). Thus, the female share of the security-related workforce increased by ( 11.9 pp ) overall from $21.2 \%$ in 2001 to $33.1 \%$ in 2011.

Chart 9.4: Security-related (All) Employees \% by Sex, 20102011


Chart 9.5: Changes in Security-related (All) Employees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 9.2.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestant employees comprised the majority of the security-related workforce [51.2\%] (Chart 9.6). Female Protestants comprised one-quarter of the workforce, whilst male Roman Catholics comprised less than one-sixth of the workforce. Female Roman Catholic representation was [8.6\%].

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employees increased, whilst the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant employees decreased (Chart 9.7). The decrease in male Protestant employment was far greater than that for female Protestant employees. Consequently, male Protestant employees were the only group to see a decrease in their share of the security-related workforce, by [2.0 pp] from [53.2\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employees increased overall, whilst the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant employees decreased (Chart 9.7). The decrease in male Protestant employment was far greater than that for female Protestant employees. Consequently, male Protestant employees were the only group to see an overall decrease in their share of the security-related workforce, by [21.3 pp] from [72.5\%] in 2001.

Chart 9.6: Security-related (All) Employees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 9.7: Changes in Security-related (All) Employees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 9.2.4 Community Background and SOC ${ }^{25}$

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 9.8). In all SOC categories, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of employees than did Roman Catholics. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs 1-9 [76.4\% v. 23.6\%], Protestant representation in Personal Service Occupations (SOC 6) was [23.6 pp] above average, while in Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) it was [8.7 pp] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) was [9 pp] above average.

Chart 9.8: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the Security-related Sector, 2011


In 2011, the majority of both Protestant and Roman Catholic security-related sector workers were employed in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3). There were no Protestant or Roman Catholic workers employed in Sales and Customer Services Occupations (SOC 7) (Charts 9.9 \& 9.10). The distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees was broadly similar across the SOC categories, although notably, both were very concentrated in SOC 3. However, differences in distribution are evident across the SOC categories (Charts $9.9 \& 9.10$ ). For example, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) ( $14.0 \%$ v. 9.7\%). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3) ( $82.7 \%$ v. $74.7 \%$ ). For further details on the composition of the security-related sector workforce by SOC, see Table 9.8 at the end of the chapter.

Chart 9.9: Distribution of Protestant Security-related
Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


Chart 9.10: Distribution of Catholic Security-related
Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 9.3 The Security-related Workforce: Full-time Employees

There were 12,005 full-time employees in the security-related sector in 2011 (Table 9.2), a decrease of 3.0\% (-375) from 2010.

Table 9.2: Security-related Sector Full-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 5,898 | $72.9 \%$ | 1,807 | $22.3 \%$ | 385 | $4.8 \%$ | 8,090 | $67.4 \%$ |
|  |  | $[51.1 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 9.3.1 Community Background

In 2011, more than three-quarters of full-time security-related sector employees were Protestant, while less than one-quarter were Roman Catholic (Chart 9.11).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of Protestant full-time employees decreased, whilst the number of Roman Catholic employees increased (Chart 9.12). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time security-related workforce increased by [1.6 pp] from [22.9\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the number of Protestant full-time employees decreased overall, whilst the number of Roman Catholic employees increased (Chart 9.12). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time security-related sector workforce increased by [15.8 pp] overall from [8.7\%] to [24.5\%]. The Roman Catholic share of full-time employees has increased year-on-year during this period (Chart 9.13).



## Chart 9.13: Security-related Full-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011



### 9.3.2 Sex

In 2011, more than two-thirds of full-time security-related sector employees were male, whilst less than one-third were female (Chart 9.14).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of full-time male security-related sector employees decreased, whilst the number of female employees increased slightly (Chart 9.15). Consequently, the female share of the security-related workforce increased by (1.1 pp) from 31.5\% in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the number of male full-time employees was greater than that for female employees (Chart 9.15). Thus, the female share of the full-time securityrelated sector workforce increased by ( 11.8 pp) from $20.8 \%$ in 2001 to $32.6 \%$ in 2011.

Chart 9.14: Security-related Full-time Employees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 9.15: Changes in Security-related Full-time Employees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011

### 9.3.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestant employees comprised the majority of the security-related workforce [51.1\%] (Chart 9.16). Female Protestants comprised one-quarter of the workforce, whilst male Roman Catholics comprised less than one-sixth of the workforce. Female Roman Catholic representation was [8.8\%].

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic full-time employees increased, whilst the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant full-time employees decreased (Chart 9.17). The decrease in male Protestant employment was far greater than that for female Protestant employees. Consequently, male Protestant employees were the only group to see a decrease in their share of the full-time security-related workforce, by [2.1 pp] from [53.2\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic employees increased overall, whilst the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant employees decreased (Chart 9.17). The decrease in male Protestant employment was far greater than that for female Protestant employees. Consequently, male Protestant employees were the only group to see an overall decrease in their share of the security-related workforce, by [21.5 pp] from [72.6\%] in 2001 to [51.5\%] in 2011.

Chart 9.16: Security-related Full-time Employees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 9.17: Changes in Security-related Full-time Employees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 9.3.4 Community Background and SOC ${ }^{26}$

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of the full-time SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 9.18). In all SOC categories, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of full-time employees than did Roman Catholics. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs 1-9 [75.5\% v. 24.5\%], Protestant representation in Personal Service Occupations (SOC 6) was [24.5 pp] above average, whilst in Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) it was [ 9.6 pp ] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) was [ 8.1 pp ] above average, whilst in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3) it was [2.1 pp] above average.

Chart 9.18: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the Full-time Security-related Sector, 2011


In 2011, the majority of both Protestant and Roman Catholic full-time security-related workers were employed in 3. There were no Protestant or Roman Catholic full-time workers employed in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) (Charts 9.19 \& 9.20). The distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic full-time employees was broadly similar across the SOC categories, although notably, both were very concentrated in SOC 3. However, differences in distribution are evident across the SOC categories (Charts 9.19 \& 9.20). For example, greater proportions of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) ( $14.9 \%$ v. $9.9 \%$ ) and in Managerial and Senior Official Occupations (SOC 1) ( $7.0 \%$ v. 4.1\%). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3) ( $82.4 \%$ v. $73.6 \%$ ). For further details on the composition of the full-time security-related sector workforce by SOC, see Table 9.9 at the end of the chapter.

## Chart 9.19: Distribution of Protestant Full-time Security-related Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011



Chart 9.20: Distribution of Catholic Full-time Security-related Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 9.4 The Security-related Workforce: Part-time Employees

There were 669 part-time employees in the security-related sector in 2011 (Table 9.3), a decrease of 6.0\% (-43) from 2010.

## Table 9.3: Security-related Sector Part-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 353 | $\begin{gathered} 91.5 \% \\ {[53.4 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 28 | $\begin{gathered} 7.3 \% \\ {[4.2 \%]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | * | 1.3\% | 386 | 57.7\% |
| Female | 252 | $\begin{gathered} 89.0 \% \\ {[38.1 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 28 | $\begin{aligned} & 9.9 \% \\ & {[4.2 \%]} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | * | 1.1\% | 283 | 42.3\% |
| Total | 605 | $\begin{gathered} 90.4 \% \\ {[91.5 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 56 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.4 \% \\ & {[8.5 \%]} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | * | 1.2\% | 669 | 100.0\% |

### 9.4.1 Community Background

In 2011, more than 90 percent [ $91.5 \%$ ] of part-time security-related sector employees were Protestant; whilst [8.5\%] were Roman Catholic (Chart 9.21).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of part-time Protestant employees was greater than that for Roman Catholic employees (Chart 9.22). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the part-time security-related sector workforce increased by [0.1 pp] from [8.4\%] in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the number of part-time Protestant employees was greater than that for Roman Catholic employees (Chart 9.22). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the part-time security-related sector workforce increased by [4.2 pp] from [4.3\%] in 2001 to [8.5\%] in 2011. Year-on year, the proportions of the part-time workforce accounted for by Protestant and Roman Catholics employees have fluctuated, with an overall increase in the proportion accounted for by Roman Catholics (Chart 9.23).


Chart 9.22: Changes in Security-related Part-time Employees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


Chart 9.23: Security-related Part-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2001-2011


### 9.4.2 Sex

In 2011, 57.7\% of part-time security-related sector employees were male; whilst [42.3\%] were female (Chart 9.24).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of male part-time employees was greater than that for their female counterparts (Chart 9.25). Consequently, the female share of the part-time securityrelated sector workforce increased by (1.1 pp) overall from 41.2\% in 2010.

During the period 2001-2011, the overall decrease in the number of male part-time employees was greater than that for female employees (Chart 9.25). Consequently, the female share of the part-time security-related sector workforce increased by (11.9 pp) overall from $21.2 \%$ in 2001 to $33.1 \%$ in 2011.

Chart 9.24: Security-related Part-time Employees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 9.25: Changes in Security-related Part-time Employees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 9.4.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants comprised the majority of the part-time security-related workforce [53.4\%] (Chart 9.26). Female Protestants comprised more than one-third of the workforce, whilst male Roman Catholics and female Roman Catholics each comprised less than $5 \%$ of the part-time workforce.

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of female Roman Catholic part-time employees remained unchanged, whilst the numbers of each of the other groups decreased (Chart 9.27). Male Protestant part-time employment decreased by the greatest amount. However, in proportional terms, male Roman Catholic employment saw the greatest decrease. Consequently, female Protestants' share of the parttime security-related workforce increased by [0.8 pp] from [37.3\%] in 2010, whilst female Roman Catholics' share increased by [0.2 pp] from [4.0 pp].

During the period 2001-2011, each of the four groups saw an overall decrease in numbers in part-time employment (Chart 9.27). However, the decrease in male Protestant part-time employment was far greater than that for any other group. Consequently, male Protestants were the only group to see their share of the part-time workforce decrease, by [18.2 pp] from [71.6\%] in 2001 to [53.4 pp] in 2011.

Chart 9.26: Security-related Part-time Employees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011

Chart 9.27: Changes in Security-related Part-time Employees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011 and 2001-2011


### 9.4.4 Community Background and SOC ${ }^{27}$

In 2011, as in previous years, the majority of Protestant part-time workers and all Roman Catholic part-time workers were employed in Associated Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3) (Table 9.10). SOCs 4 and $9^{28}$ were the only other occupational categories in which Protestant part-time workers were employed ( $\mathrm{n}<10$ both). As the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time employees was mostly concentrated into SOC 3, no further trends or compositional analyses can be undertaken. For further details on the composition of the part-time security-related sector workforce by SOC, see Table 9.10 at the end of the chapter.

### 9.5 The Security-related Workforce: Applicants, Appointees, Promotees \& Leavers.

### 9.5.1 The Security-related Workforce: Applicants

There were 10,146 applicants to the security-related sector in 2011 (Table 9.4), a decrease of $36.8 \%$ $(-5,912)$ from 2010.

Table 9.4: Security-related Sector Applicants by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 3,988 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 57.9 \% \\ {[40.7 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 2,631 | $\begin{gathered} 38.2 \% \\ {[26.9 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 267 | 3.9\% | 6,886 | 67.9\% |
| Female | 2,032 | $\begin{gathered} 62.3 \% \\ {[20.7 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 1,147 | $\begin{gathered} 35.2 \% \\ {[11.7 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 81 | 2.5\% | 3,260 | 32.1\% |
| Total | 6,020 | $\begin{gathered} 59.3 \% \\ {[61.4 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 3,778 | $\begin{gathered} 37.2 \% \\ {[38.6 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 348 | 3.4\% | 10,146 | 100.0\% |

### 9.5.1.1 Community Background

In 2011, the majority [61.4\%] of applicants to the security-related workforce were Protestant, whilst [38.6\%] were Roman Catholic (Chart 9.28).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Protestant security-related sector applicants was greater than that for Roman Catholic applicants (Chart 9.29). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of security-related sector applicants increased by [2.5 pp] from [36.1\%] in 2010.

Chart 9.28: Security-related Applicants [\%] by Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 9.29: Changes in Security-related Applicants (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011


[^6]
### 9.5.1.2 Sex

In 2011, more than two-thirds of applicants to the security-related sector were male, while less than one-third were female (Chart 9.30).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of female applicants to the security-related sector was greater than that for male applicants (Chart 9.31). Consequently, the male share of security-related sector applicants increased by (10.9 pp) from 57.0\% in 2010.

Chart 9.30: Security-related Applicants \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 9.31: Changes in Security-related Applicants (n) by Sex, 2010-2011


### 9.5.1.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, two-fifths of applicants to the security-related sector were male Protestants, whilst more than one-quarter were male Roman Catholics (Chart 9.32). Female Protestants comprised one-fifth of applicants, whilst female Roman Catholics comprised less than one-eighth of applicants.

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of applicants from each of the four groups decreased, with female Protestant applicants declining by the greatest amount. However, in proportional terms, female Roman Catholic applicants decreased by a similar percentage to female Protestants (Chart 9.33). Consequently, male Protestants' share of security-related applicants increased by [5.4 pp] from 35.3\% in 2010, whilst male Roman Catholics' share increased by [5.4 pp] from [21.5\%] in 2010.

Chart 9.32: Security-related Applicants [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 9.33: Changes in Security-related Applicants (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


### 9.5.2 The Security-related Workforce: Appointees

There were 367 appointees to the security-related sector in 2011 (Table 9.5), a decrease of $31.1 \%(-166)$ from 2010.

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 115 | $\begin{gathered} 46.9 \% \\ {[32.0 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 122 | $\begin{aligned} & 49.8 \% \\ & {[34.0 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | * | 3.3\% | 245 | 66.8\% |
| Female | 63 | $\begin{aligned} & 51.6 \% \\ & {[17.5 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 59 | $\begin{aligned} & 48.4 \% \\ & {[16.4 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | * | 0.0\% | 122 | 33.2\% |
| Total | 178 | $\begin{aligned} & 48.5 \% \\ & {[49.6 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 181 | $\begin{aligned} & 49.3 \% \\ & {[50.4 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | * | 2.2\% | 367 | 100.0\% |

### 9.5.2.1 Community Background

## In 2011, [49.6\%] of appointees to the security-related sector were Protestant, whilst [50.4\%] were

 Roman Catholic (Chart 9.34).Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Protestant appointees was greater than that for Roman Catholic appointees (Chart 9.35). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of security-related sector appointees increased by [7.1 pp] from [43.3\%] in 2010.

Chart 9.34: Security-related Appointees [\%] by Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 9.35: Changes in Security-related Appointees ( n ) by Community Background, 2010-2011


### 9.5.2.2 Sex

In 2011, two-thirds [66.8\%] of appointees to the security-related sector were male, whilst one third [33.2\%] were female (Chart 9.36).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of female appointees was greater than that for male appointees (Chart 9.37). Consequently, the male share of security-related sector appointees increased by [8.0 pp] from [58.8\%] in 2010.

Chart 9.36: Security-related Appointees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 9.37: Changes in Security-related Appointees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011


### 9.5.2.3 Community Background and Sex

## In 2011, two-thirds of appointees to the security-related sector were either male Protestants

 [32.0\%] or male Roman Catholics [34.0\%] (Chart 9.38). Female Protestants [17.5\%] and female Roman Catholics [16.4\%] each comprised around one-sixth of security-related appointees.Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of appointees from each of the four groups decreased, with female Protestant appointees declining by far more than any other group (Chart 9.39). Consequently, female Protestants were the only group to see a decrease in their share of security-related appointees, by [ 9.8 pp ] from [27.3\%] in 2010.

Chart 9.38: Security-related Appointees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 9.39: Changes in Security-related Appointees ( n ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


### 9.5.3 The Security-related Workforce: Promotees

There were 194 promotees in the security-related workforce in 2011 (Table 9.6), a decrease of 39.2\% (-125) from 2010.

Table 9.6: Security-related Sector Promotees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 112 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 77.2 \% \\ {[60.9 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 24 | $\begin{gathered} 16.6 \% \\ {[13.0 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | * | 6.2\% | 145 | 74.7\% |
| Female | 34 | $\begin{gathered} 69.4 \% \\ {[18.5 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 28.6 \% \\ & {[7.6 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | * | 2.0\% | 49 | 25.3\% |
| Total | 146 | $\begin{gathered} 75.3 \% \\ {[79.3 \%]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 38 | $\begin{gathered} 19.6 \% \\ {[20.7 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 10 | 5.2\% | 194 | 100.0\% |

### 9.5.3.1 Community Background

In 2011, more than three-quarters of promotees in the security-related workforce were Protestant, less than one-quarter were Roman Catholic (Chart 9.40).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Protestant security-related promotees was greater than that for Roman Catholic promotees (Chart 9.41). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of security-related promotees increased by [1.2 pp] from [19.5\%] in 2010.

Chart 9.40: Security-related Promotees [\%] by Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 9.41: Changes in Security-related Promotees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011


### 9.5.3.2 Sex

In 2011, nearly three-quarters of promotees in the security-related workforce were male; whilst more than one-quarter were female (Chart 9.42).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of female promotees in the security-related workforce was greater than that for male promotees (Chart 9.43). Consequently, the male share of promotees increased by (15.9 pp) from $58.8 \%$ in 2010.


Chart 9.43: Changes in Security-related Promotees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011


### 9.5.3.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, the majority of promotees [60.9\%] in the security-related workforce were male Protestants (Chart 9.44). Female Protestants comprised less than one-fifth of promotees, whilst male Roman Catholics comprised less than one-seventh of promotees. Female Roman Catholic representation was [7.6\%].

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of promotees from each of the four groups decreased, with female Protestant promotees declining by more than any other group (Chart 9.45). However, in proportional terms, the decrease in female Roman Catholic promotees was also large. Consequently, male Protestants' share of security-related appointees increased by [11.5 pp] from [49.4\%] in 2010, whilst male Roman Catholics' share increased by [3.6 pp] from [9.4\%].

Chart 9.44: Security-related Promotees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 9.45: Changes in Security-related Promotees ( n ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


### 9.5.4 The Security-related Workforce: Leavers

There were 812 leavers from the security-related sectors in 2011 (Table 9.7), a decrease of $7.6 \%(-67)$ from 2010.

Table 9.7: Security-related Sector Leavers by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 561 | $\begin{aligned} & 82.7 \% \\ & {[73.0 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 77 | $\begin{gathered} 11.4 \% \\ {[10.0 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | \# | 5.9\% | 678 | 83.5\% |
| Female | 104 | $\begin{gathered} 77.6 \% \\ {[13.5 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 19.4 \% \\ & {[3.4 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | * | 3.0\% | 134 | 16.5\% |
| Total | 665 | $\begin{aligned} & 81.9 \% \\ & {[86.6 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 103 | $\begin{gathered} 12.7 \% \\ {[13.4 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 44 | 5.4\% | 812 | 100.0\% |

### 9.5.4.1 Community Background

In 2011, more than four-fifths of leavers [86.6\%] from the security-related workforce were Protestant, whilst [13.4\%] were Roman Catholic (Chart 9.46).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Protestant leavers from the security-related workforce was greater than that for Roman Catholic leavers (Chart 9.47). However, proportionally, the decrease in Roman Catholic leavers was greater than that for Protestant leavers. Consequently, the Protestant share of security-related sector leavers increased by [0.7 pp] from [85.9\%] in 2010.


Chart 9.47: Changes in Security-related Leavers (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011


### 9.5.4.2 Sex

In 2011, more than four-fifths of leavers from the security-related workforce were male, whilst less than one-fifth were female (Chart 9.48).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of male and female leavers decreased by similar amounts. However, proportionally, the decrease in the numbers of female leavers was far greater than that for male leavers (Chart 9.49). Consequently, the male share of security-related sector leavers increased by (3.3 pp) from 80.2\% in 2010.



### 9.5.4.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants comprised nearly three-quarters of leavers from the security-related workforce, whilst female Roman Catholics comprised less than 5 percent of leavers (Chart 9.50). Female Protestants and male Roman Catholics both comprised less than one-seventh of leavers from the security-related workforce.

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of male Protestant and female Protestant leavers from the security-related workforce decreased by similar amounts, as did the numbers of male Roman Catholic and female Roman Catholic leavers (Chart 9.51). However, proportionally, the decreases in female Protestant and female Roman Catholic leavers were much greater than the decreases in their male counterparts. Consequently, male Protestants' share of security-related leavers increased by [2.8 pp] from $[70.2 \%$ ] in 2010 , whilst there was no change $[<0.1 \mathrm{pp}]$ in male Roman Catholics' share of leavers.


Chart 9.51: Changes in Security-related Leavers (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


### 9.6 Additional Tables for Chapter 9

Table 9.8: Security Related (All) Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 606 | 6.5 | 84.0 | 115 | 4.0 | 16.0 | 721 | 5.9 |
| SOC 2 | 145 | 1.6 | 67.4 | 70 | 2.4 | 32.6 | 215 | 1.8 |
| SOC 3 | 7,017 | 75.3 | 74.7 | 2,382 | 82.7 | 25.3 | 9,399 | 77.0 |
| SOC 4 | 1,304 | 14.0 | 82.3 | 280 | 9.7 | 17.7 | 1,584 | 13.0 |
| SOC 5 | 103 | 1.1 | 85.1 | 18 | 0.6 | 14.9 | 121 | 1.0 |
| SOC 6 | 10 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10 | 0.1 |
| SOC 7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| SOC 8 | $\#$ | 0.2 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.2 | - | 26 | 0.2 |
| SOC 9 | $\#$ | 1.2 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.3 | - | 125 | 1.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 , 3 2 2}$ | 100.0 | 76.4 | $\mathbf{2 , 8 7 9}$ | 100.0 | 23.6 | $\mathbf{1 2 , 2 0 1}$ | 100.0 |

Table 9.9: Security Related Full-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 606 | 7.0 | 84.0 | 115 | 4.1 | 16.0 | 721 | 6.2 |
| SOC 2 | 145 | 1.7 | 67.4 | 70 | 2.5 | 32.6 | 215 | 1.9 |
| SOC 3 | 6,415 | 73.6 | 73.4 | 2,326 | 82.4 | 26.6 | 8,741 | 75.7 |
| SOC 4 | 1,302 | 14.9 | 82.3 | 280 | 9.9 | 17.7 | 1,582 | 13.7 |
| SOC 5 | 103 | 1.2 | 85.1 | 18 | 0.6 | 14.9 | 121 | 1.0 |
| SOC 6 | 10 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10 | 0.1 |
| SOC 7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| SOC 8 | $\#$ | 0.2 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.2 | - | 26 | 0.2 |
| SOC 9 | $\#$ | 1.3 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.3 | - | 124 | 1.1 |
| Total | 8,717 | 100.0 | 75.5 | $\mathbf{2 , 8 2 3}$ | 100.0 | 24.5 | 11,540 | 100.0 |

## Table 9.10: Security Related Part-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
|  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| SOC 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| SOC 3 | 602 | 99.5 | 91.5 | 56 | 100.0 | 8.5 | 658 | 99.5 |
| SOC 4 | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.3 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.0 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.3 |
| SOC 5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| SOC 6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| SOC 7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| SOC 8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| SOC 9 | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.2 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.0 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.2 |
| Total | 605 | 100.0 | 91.5 | 56 | 100.0 | 8.5 | 661 | 100.0 |

## Chapter 10

## PUBLIC SECTOR 'OTHER' PUBLIC AUTHORITIES

# 10. Public Sector - 'Other' Public Authorities <br> Key Details 

## ALL EMPLOYEES

- The composition of the 'Other' Public Authorities' workforce was 11,942 [52.9\%] Protestant and 10,613 [47.1\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, total Protestant employment decreased by $1.3 \%$ (-155), while total Roman Catholic employment decreased by $0.9 \%$ (-91). Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the workforce increased by [0.2 pp] from [46.9\%] to [47.1\%].
- The 'Other' Public Authorities accounted for $\mathbf{1 2 . 6 \%}$ of all public sector employment. This represents a decrease in employees of $2.1 \%$ (-509) from 2010.
- When compared to other public sector components, males comprised a greater than average proportion of 'Other' Public Authorities employees (64.7\%).


## FULL-TIME WORKFORCE

- The composition of the full-time workforce was 11,099 [53.0\%] Protestant and 9,847 [47.0\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, full-time Protestant employment decreased by $0.9 \%$ (-105), while full-time Roman Catholic employment decreased by $0.3 \%(-25)$. Thus, overall the Roman Catholic share of the full-time 'Other' Public Authorities workforce increased by [0.2 pp] from [46.8\%] to [47.0\%].
- The full-time workforce accounted for $92.8 \%$ of the 'Other' Public Authorities workforce, and comprised 21,856 employees. This represents a decrease of $1.7 \%$ (-375) compared with 2010, the majority of whom were male Protestant employees (-140).


## PART-TIME WORKFORCE

- The composition of the part-time workforce was 843 [52.4\%] Protestant and 766 [47.6\%] Roman Catholic.
- Between 2010 and 2011, part-time Protestant employment decreased by $5.6 \%$ (-50), while part-time Roman Catholic employment decreased by $7.9 \%$ (-66). Thus, overall the Protestant share of the part-time workforce increased by [ 0.6 pp ] from [51.8\%] to [52.4\%].
- The part-time workforce accounted for $7.2 \%$ of the 'Other' Public Authorities workforce, and comprised 1,698 employees. This represents a decrease of $7.3 \%(-134)$ in employees from 2010.


## FLOWS IN EMPLOYMENT

- The number of applicants to the 'Other' Public Authorities $(32,562)$ represented an increase of $8.6 \%$ $(2,592)$ from 2010. The composition was [51.3\%] Protestant and [48.7\%] Roman Catholic.
- The number of appointees to the 'Other' Public Authorities $(1,212)$ represented a decrease of 20.0\% (-303) from 2010. The composition was [47.4\%] Protestant and [52.6\%] Roman Catholic.
- The number of leavers from the 'Other’ Public Authorities $(6,237)$ represented an increase of $24.5 \%$ $(1,226)$ from 2010. The composition was [61.7\%] Protestant and [38.3\%] Roman Catholic..


### 10.1 The ‘Other’ Public Authorities’ Workforce: Introduction

The purpose of this chapter is to help inform employers and other interested parties about wider compositional trends within the 'Other' Public Authorities that, alongside information on labour availability, may better inform their own considerations of fair participation in specific employment(s).

In 2011, a total of 70 'Other' Public Authorities submitted monitoring returns to the Commission, accounting for $12.6 \%$ of all public sector employment. Within the 'Other' Public Authorities, the full-time workforce accounted for a much greater proportion of the sector (92.8\%) than average amongst the public sector components.
10.1.1 Dynamic of change: 'Other' Public Authorities' workforce 2010-2011

Between 2010 and 2011, the greatest decreases in employee numbers were among full-time male Protestant and male Roman Catholic employees (-140 and -72 respectively). Conversely the numbers of full-time female Protestant and Roman Catholics employees increased, by 35 and 47 respectively. The numbers of part-time employees decreased across all of the groups. As a result of the lesser overall increase in Roman Catholic employment, the Roman Catholic share of the 'Other' Public Authorities workforce increased by [0.2 pp] from [46.9\%] in 2010 to [47.1\%] in 2011.

### 10.2 The 'Other' Public Authorities' Workforce: All Employees

There were 23,554 employees in the 'Other' Public Authorities in 2011 (Table 10.1), a decrease of 2.1\% (-509) from 2010.

Table 10.1: 'Other' Public Authorities (All) Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 7,919 | $52.0 \%$ | 6,747 | $44.3 \%$ <br> $[29.9 \%]$ | 566 | $3.7 \%$ | 15,232 | $64.7 \%$ |
|  |  | $[35.1 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

10.2.1 Community Background

In 2011, [52.9\%] of 'Other' Public Authorities employees were Protestant, while [47.1\%] were Roman Catholics (Chart 10.1).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of Protestant employees decreased by a greater amount than Roman Catholic employees (Chart 10.2). Thus, the Roman Catholic share of the 'Other' Public Authorities workforce increased by [0.2 pp] from [46.9\%].

Chart 10.1: 'Other' Public Authorities (All) Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 10.2: Changes in 'Other' Public Authorities' (All)
Employees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011
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### 10.2.2 Sex

In 2011, nearly two-thirds of 'Other' Public Authorities employees were male, while more than one-third were female (Chart 10.3).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of male 'Other' Public Authorities' employees was greater than that for female employees (Chart 10.4). Consequently, the female share of the 'Other' Public Authorities' workforce increased by (0.4 pp) from 34.9\% in 2010.

Chart 10.3: 'Other' Public Authorities (All) Employees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 10.4: Changes in 'Other' Public Authorities' (All)
Employees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011


### 10.2.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants comprised the greatest proportion of the 'Other' Public Authorities' workforce [35.1\%], followed by male Roman Catholics [29.9\%] (Chart 10.5). Female Protestants [17.8\%] and male Roman Catholics [17.1\%] each comprised around one-sixth of the workforce.

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of male Protestant and male Roman Catholic employees decreased, whilst the numbers of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic employees increased (Chart 10.6). Consequently, female Protestant employees' share of the workforce increased by [0.2pp] from [17.6\%], whilst female Roman Catholic employees' share increased by [0.2 pp] from [16.9\%].

Chart 10.5: 'Other' Public Authorities' (All) Employees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 10.6: Changes in 'Other' Public Authorities (All) Employees ( n ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


### 10.2.4 Community Background and SOC

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 10.7). In most SOC categories, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of employees than did Roman Catholics. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs 1-9 [52.9\% vs. $47.1 \%$ ], Protestants representation in Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) was [16 pp] above average, while in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) it was [6.8 pp] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) was [3 pp] above average, while in Managerial and Senior Official Occupations (SOC 1), it was [2.9 pp] above average.

Chart 10.7: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the 'Other' Public Authorities, 2011


In 2011, the greatest proportions of both Protestant and Roman Catholic 'Other' Public Authorities workers were employed in SOC 4. The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in SOC 7 (Charts 10.8 \& 10.9). Despite differences in the religious composition of the SOC categories (Chart 10.7), the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees across the SOC categories were broadly similar. However, some differences in distribution are evident (Charts 10.8 \& 10.9). For example, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in SOC 5 ( $5.7 \%$ v. $2.9 \%$ ). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 4 ( $22.1 \%$ v. 19.6\%). For further details on the composition of the 'Other' Public Authorities workforce by SOC, see Table 10.8 at the end of the chapter.

Chart 10.8: Distribution of Protestant 'Other' Public
Authorities' Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


Chart 10.9: Distribution of Catholic 'Other' Public Authorities' Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 10.3 The 'Other' Public Authorities' Workforce: Full-time Employees

There were 21,856 full-time employees in the 'Other’ Public Authorities in 2011 (Table 10.2), a decrease of $1.7 \%$ (-375) from 2010.

Table 10.2: 'Other' Public Authorities Full-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 7,307 | $52.2 \%$ | 6,182 | $44.2 \%$ | 513 | $3.7 \%$ | 14,002 | $64.1 \%$ |
|  |  | $[34.9 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 10.3.1 Community Background

In 2011, [53.0\%] of full-time 'Other' Public Authorities' employees were Protestant, while [47.0\%] were Roman Catholics (Chart 10.10).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Protestant full-time 'Other' Public Authorities' employees was greater than that for Roman Catholic employees (Chart 10.11). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of the full-time workforce increased by [0.2 pp] from [46.8\%] in 2010.

Chart 10.10: 'Other' Public Authorities Full-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 10.11: Changes in 'Other' Public Authorities' Full-time Employees ( n ) by Community Background, 2010-2011


### 10.3.2 Sex

In 2011, nearly two-thirds of full-time 'Other' Public Authorities’ employees were male, whilst more than one-third were female (Chart 10.12).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of full-time male employees was greater than that for female employees (Chart 10.13). Thus, the female share of the full-time 'Other' Public Authorities workforce increased by ( 0.5 pp ) from 35.4\% in 2010.

Chart 10.12: 'Other' Public Authorities Full-time Employees \% by Sex, 2010-2011



### 10.3.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants comprised the greatest proportion of the 'Other' Public Authorities fulltime workforce [34.9\%], followed by male Roman Catholics [29.5\%] (Chart 10.14). Female Protestants [18.1\%] and male Roman Catholics [17.5\%] each comprised around one-sixth of the full-time workforce.

Between 2010 and 2011, the numbers of male Protestant and male Roman Catholic full-time employees decreased, whilst the numbers of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic full-time employees increased (Chart 10.15). Consequently, female Protestant employees' share of the full-time workforce increased by [ 0.3 pp ] from [17.8\%] in 2010, whilst female Roman Catholic employees' share increased by [0.3 pp] from [17.2\%].

Chart 10.14: 'Other' Public Authorities Full-time Employees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 10.15: Changes in 'Other' Public Authorities' Full-time Employees ( n ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


### 10.3.4 Community Background and SOC

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of full-time SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 10.16). In all SOC categories aside from SOC 1 and $4^{29}$, Protestants accounted for a greater proportion of full-time employees than did Roman Catholics. However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs 1-9 [53.0\% v. 47.0\%], Protestant representation in Skilled Trades Occupations (SOC 5) was [15.9 pp] above average, while in Sales and Customer Service Occupations (SOC 7) it was [ 6.7 pp ] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4) was [3.1 pp] above average, while in Managerial and Senior Official Occupations (SOC 1), it was [3 pp] above average.

Chart 10.16: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the 'Other' Public Authorities, 2011


In 2011, the greatest proportions of both Protestant and Roman Catholic 'Other' Public Authorities fulltime workers were employed in SOC 4. The smallest proportions of both groups were employed in SOC 7 (Charts 10.17 \& 10.18). Despite differences in the religious composition of the SOC categories (Chart 10.16), the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic employees across the SOC categories were broadly similar. However, some differences in distribution are evident (Charts 10.17 \& 10.18). For example, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in SOC 5 ( $6.2 \%$ v.3.1\%). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in SOC 4 (23.2\% v. 20.5\%), and in SOC 1 (14.3 v. 12.7\%). For further details on the composition of the 'Other' Public Authorities full-time workforce by SOC, see Table 10.9 at the end of the chapter.

Chart 10.17: Distribution of Protestant Full-time 'Other' Public Authorities' Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


Chart 10.18: Distribution of Catholic Full-time 'Other' Public Authorities' Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 10.4 The 'Other’ Public Authorities' Workforce: Part-time Employees

There were 1,698 part-time employees in the 'Other' Public Authorities in 2011 (Table 10.3), a decrease of $7.3 \%$ (-134) from 2010.

| Table 10.3: 'Other' Public Authorities Part-time Employees by Community Background and Sex in 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| Male | 612 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 49.8 \% \\ {[38.0 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 565 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 45.9 \% \\ {[35.1 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 53 | 4.3\% | 1,230 | 72.4\% |
| Female | 231 | $\begin{gathered} 49.4 \% \\ {[14.4 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 201 | $\begin{gathered} 42.9 \% \\ {[12.5 \%]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 36 | 7.7\% | 468 | 27.6\% |
| Total | 843 | $\begin{gathered} 49.6 \% \\ {[52.4 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 766 | $\begin{gathered} 45.1 \% \\ {[47.6 \%]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 89 | 5.2\% | 1,698 | 100.0\% |

### 10.4.1 Community Background

In 2011, [52.4\%] of part-time ‘Other’ Public Authorities’ employees were Protestant; while [47.6\%] were Roman Catholic (Chart 10.19).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of part-time Roman Catholic employees was greater than that for Protestant employees (Chart 10.20). Consequently, the Protestant share of the 'Other' Public Authorities' part-time workforce increased by [0.6 pp] from [51.8\%] in 2010.

Chart 10.19: Public Authorities Part-time Employees [\%] by Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 10.20: Changes in Public Authorities Part-time Employees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011

10.4.2 Sex

In 2011, nearly three-quarters of part-time 'Other' Public Authorities' employees were male; whilst more than one-quarter were female (Chart 10.21).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of female part-time employees was slightly greater than that for their male counterparts (Chart 10.22). Furthermore, in proportional terms, it was much greater than that for male part-time employees. Consequently, the male share of the part-time 'Other' Public Authorities workforce increased by (1.7 pp) from 70.7\% in 2010.

Chart 10.21: 'Other' Public Authorities Part-time Employees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 10.22: Changes in 'Other' Public Authorities Part-time Employees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011


### 10.4.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants [38.0\%] and male Roman Catholics [35.1\%] comprised more than 70 percent of the part-time 'Other' Public Authorities workforce (Chart 10.23). Female Protestants comprised [14.4\%] of part-time employees, whilst male Roman Catholic representation was [12.5\%].

Between 2010 and 2011, each of the four groups saw a decrease in numbers in part-time employment (Chart 10.24). In proportional terms, the decreases in male Protestant and male Roman Catholic parttime employment were much less than those for female Protestant and female Roman Catholic employment (Chart 10.24). Consequently, male Protestant employees' share of the part-time workforce increased by [1.2 pp] from [36.8\%] in 2010, whilst male Roman Catholic employees' share increased by [0.2 pp] from [34.9\%].

Chart 10.23: 'Other' Public Authorities Part-time Employees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 10.24: Changes in 'Other' Public Authorities Part-time Employees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


### 10.4.4 Community Background and SOC ${ }^{30}$

In 2011, as in previous years, the religious composition of SOC categories 1-9 varied (Chart 10.25). However, with reference to the average composition of SOCs $1-9$ [ $52.4 \%$ v. 47.6\%], Protestant representation in Personal Services Occupations (SOC 6) was [13.9 pp] above average, while in Professional Occupations (SOC 2) it was [3.6 pp] above average. Conversely, Roman Catholic representation in Managerial and Senior Official Occupations (SOC 1) was [5.5 pp] above average, and in Administrative and Secretarial Occupations (SOC 4), it was [4.0 pp] above average.

Chart 10.25: Religious Composition of SOC Categories 1-9 in the 'Other' Public Authorities, 2011


In 2011, the majority of both Protestant and Roman Catholic part-time 'Other' Public Authorities workers were employed in Associate Professional and Technical Occupations (SOC 3), while very few Protestant or Roman Catholic part-time workers were employed in Skilled Trades (SOC 5) or Process, Plant and Machine Operative (SOC 8) Occupations (Charts 10.26 \& 10.27). Despite the difference in the religious composition of the SOC categories (Chart 10.26), the distribution of Protestant and Roman Catholic parttime employees across the SOC categories were broadly similar, although notably, both were very concentrated in SOC 3. However, some differences in distribution are evident (Charts 10.26 \& 10.27). For example, a greater proportion of Protestant workers than Roman Catholic workers were employed in SOC 6 ( $7.9 \%$ v. 4.4\%). Conversely, a greater proportion of Roman Catholic workers than Protestant workers were employed in Elementary Occupations (SOC 9) ( $19.3 \%$ v. 16.7\%). For further details on the composition of the 'Other' Public Authorities part-time workforce by SOC, see Table 10.10 at the end of the chapter.

Chart 10.26: Distribution of Protestant Part-time 'Other' Public
Authorities' Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


Chart 10.27: Distribution of Catholic Part-time 'Other' Public Authorities' Employees across SOCs 1-9, 2011


### 10.5 The 'Other’ Public Authorities' Workforce: Applicants, Appointees, Promotees \& Leavers

10.5.1 The 'Other' Public Authorities Workforce: Applicants

There were 32,562 applicants to the 'Other' Public Authorities in 2011 (Table 10.4), an increase of $8.6 \%$ $(2,592)$ from 2010.

Table 10.4: 'Other' Public Authorities' Applicants by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 8,172 | $46.5 \%$ | 7,774 | $44.2 \%$ | 1,634 | $9.3 \%$ | 17,580 | $54.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[27.8 \%]$ |  | $[26.4 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 6,904 | $46.1 \%$ | 6,565 | $43.8 \%$ | 1,513 | $10.1 \%$ | 14,982 | $46.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[23.5 \%]$ |  | $[22.3 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 15,076 | $46.3 \%$ | 14,339 | $44.0 \%$ | 3,147 | $9.7 \%$ | 32,562 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $[51.3 \%]$ |  | $[48.7 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |

### 10.5.1.1 Community Background

In 2011, there were [51.3\%] Protestant and [48.7\%] Roman Catholic applicants to the 'Other' Public Authorities (Chart 10.28).

Between 2010 and 2011, the increase in the number of Protestant applicants to the 'Other' Public Authorities was greater than that for Roman Catholic applicants (Chart 10.29). Consequently, the Protestant share of applicants to the 'Other' Public Authorities increased by [1.0 pp] from [50.3\%] in 2010.

Chart 10.28: 'Other' Public Authorities Applicants [\%] by
Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 10.29: Changes in 'Other' Public Authorities' Applicants (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011

10.5.1.2 Sex

In 2011, the majority of applicants to the 'Other' Public Authorities were male (54.0\%), while 46.0\% were female (Chart 10.30).

Between 2010 and 2011, the increase in the number of male applicants to the 'Other' Public Authorities was greater than that for female applicants (Chart 10.31). Consequently, the male share of 'Other' Public Authorities' applicants increased by (3.1 pp) from 50.9\% in 2010.

Chart 10.30: 'Other' Public Authorities Applicants \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 10.31: Changes in 'Other' Public Authorities Applicants' (n) by Sex, 2010-2011

10.5.1.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants and male Roman Catholics each accounted for more than one-quarter of applicants to the 'Other' Public Authorities, whilst female Protestants and female Roman Catholics each accounted for less than one-quarter of applicants (Chart 10.32).

Between 2010 and 2011, female Roman Catholics were the only group to decrease in applicant numbers, whilst male Protestant and male Roman Catholic applicants increased by far more than female Protestant applicants (Chart 10.33). Consequently, male Protestants' share of applicants to the 'Other' Public Authorities increased by [2.2 pp] from [25.6\%] in 2010, whilst male Roman Catholics' share increased by [1.2 pp] from [25.2\%].

Chart 10.32: 'Other' Public Authorities Applicants [\%] by
Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 10.33: Changes in 'Other' Public Authorities' Applicants (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011

10.5.2 The 'Other' Public Authorities' Workforce: Appointees

There were 1,212 appointees to the 'Other' Public Authorities in 2011 (Table 10.5), a decrease of 20.0\% (-303) from 2010.

Table 10.5: 'Other' Public Authorities' Appointees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 292 | $45.1 \%$ | 311 | $\begin{array}{c}48.1 \% \\ {[27.9 \%]}\end{array}$ | 44 | $6.8 \%$ | 647 | $53.4 \%$ |
|  |  | $[26.2 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |$)$

### 10.5.2.1 Community Background

In 2011, there were [47.4\%] Protestant and [52.6\%] Roman Catholic 'Other' Public Authorities appointees (Chart 10.34).

Between 2010 and 2011, the decrease in the number of Roman Catholic appointees was greater than that for Protestant appointees (Chart 10.35). Consequently, the Protestant share of appointees to the 'Other' Public Authorities increased by [0.8 pp] from [46.6\%] in 2010.



### 10.5.2.2 Sex

In 2011, $53.4 \%$ of appointees to the 'Other' Public Authorities were male; whilst $46.6 \%$ were female (Chart 10.36).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of male appointees to the 'Other' Public Authorities decreased by a greater amount than did female appointees (Chart 10.37). Consequently, the female share of appointees to the 'Other' Public Authorities increased by ( 0.2 pp ) from 46.4\% in 2010.

Chart 10.36: 'Other' Public Authorities Appointees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 10.37: Changes in 'Other' Public Authorities' Appointees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011


### 10.5.2.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants and male Roman Catholics each accounted for more than one-quarter of appointees to the 'Other' Public Authorities, whilst female Protestants and female Roman Catholics each accounted for less than one-quarter of appointees (Chart 10.38).

Between 2010 and 2011, each of the four groups decreased in appointee numbers (Chart 10.39). However, proportionally, the decreases in female Protestant and male / female Roman Catholic appointees were similar, whilst the decrease in male Protestant appointees was smaller (Chart 10.39). Consequently, male Protestants were the only group to increase their share of appointees to the 'Other' Public Authorities, by [1.2 pp] from [25.0\%] in 2010.

Chart 10.38: 'Other' Public Authorities Appointees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 10.39: Changes in 'Other' Public Authorities' Appointees (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011

10.5.3 The 'Other' Public Authorities' Workforce: Promotees

There were 509 promotees in the 'Other' Public Authorities in 2011 (Table 10.6), an increase of $9.2 \%$ (43) from 2010.

Table 10.6: 'Other' Public Authorities' Promotees by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 138 | $49.1 \%$ | 129 | $45.9 \%$ <br> $[26.7 \%]$ | 14 | $5.0 \%$ | 281 | $55.2 \%$ |
|  |  | $[28.6 \%]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 10.5.3.1 Community Background

In 2011, there were [51.1\%] Protestant and [48.9\%] Roman Catholic promotees in the 'Other' Public Authorities (Chart 10.40).

Between 2010 and 2011, the increase in the number of Protestant 'Other' Public Authorities' promotees was greater than for Roman Catholic promotees (Chart 10.41). Consequently, the Protestant share of promotees in the 'Other' Public Authorities increased by [2.4 pp] from [48.7\%] in 2010.

Chart 10.40: 'Other' Public Authorities Promotees [\%] by Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 10.41: Changes in 'Other' Public Authorities' Promotees (n) by Community Background, 2010-2011


### 10.5.3.2 Sex

In 2011, $55.2 \%$ of promotees in the 'Other' Public Authorities were male; whilst $44.8 \%$ were female (Chart 10.42).

Between 2010 and 2011, the number of male promotees in the 'Other' Public Authorities increased by a greater amount than did female promotees (Chart 10.43). Consequently, the male share of promotees increased by ( 0.3 pp ) from $54.9 \%$ in 2010.

Chart 10.42: 'Other' Public Authorities Promotees \% by Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 10.43: Changes in 'Other' Public Authorities' Promotees (n) by Sex, 2010-2011


### 10.5.3.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, male Protestants and male Roman Catholics each accounted for more than one-quarter of promotees in the 'Other' Public Authorities, whilst female Protestants and female Roman Catholics each accounted for less than one-quarter of promotees (Chart 10.44).

Between 2010 and 2011, each of the four groups increased in promotee numbers (Chart 10.45). However, the increase in female Protestant promotee numbers, although small, was far greater than that for any other group. Consequently, female Protestants were the only group to increase their share of promotees, by [2.8 pp] from [19.8\%] in 2010.

Chart 10.44: 'Other' Public Authorities Promotees [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 10.45: Changes in 'Other' Public Authorities Promotees' (n) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


### 10.5.4 The 'Other' Public Authorities' Workforce: Leavers

There were 6,237 leavers from the 'Other' Public Authorities in 2011 (Table 10.7), an increase of 24.5\% $(1,226)$ from 2010.

Table 10.7: 'Other' Public Authorities' Leavers by Community Background and Sex in 2011

|  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Non-Determined |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 1,355 | $\begin{aligned} & 52.9 \% \\ & {[24.3 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 921 | $\begin{gathered} 35.9 \% \\ {[16.5 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 287 | 11.2\% | 2,563 | 41.1\% |
| Female | 2,084 | $\begin{aligned} & 56.7 \% \\ & {[37.4 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 1,212 | $\begin{aligned} & 33.0 \% \\ & {[21.8 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 378 | 10.3\% | 3,674 | 58.9\% |
| Total | 3,439 | $\begin{gathered} 55.1 \% \\ {[61.7 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 2,133 | $\begin{aligned} & 34.2 \% \\ & {[38.3 \%]} \end{aligned}$ | 665 | 10.7\% | 6,237 | 100.0\% |

### 10.5.4.1 Community Background

In 2011, the majority of leavers from 'Other' Public Authorities were Protestant [61.7\%], whilst [38.3\%] were Roman Catholic (Chart 10.46).

Between 2010 and 2011, the increase in the number of Protestant 'Other' Public Authorities' leavers was greater than that for Roman Catholic leavers (Chart 10.47). However, proportionally, the increase in Roman Catholic leavers was greater than that for Protestant leavers (Chart 10.47). Consequently, the Roman Catholic share of 'Other' Public Authorities' leavers increased by [2.1 pp] from [36.2\%] in 2010.

Chart 10.46: 'Other' Public Authorities Leavers [\%] by
Community Background, 2010-2011


Chart 10.47: Changes in Public Authorities' Leavers ( n ) by Community Background, 2010-2011


### 10.5.4.2 Sex

In 2011, 41.1\% of leavers from the 'Other' Public Authorities were male, whilst $58.9 \%$ were female (Chart 10.48).

Between 2010 and 2011, the increase in the number of female leavers from the 'Other' Public Authorities was greater than that for male leavers (Chart 10.49). Consequently, the female share of the 'Other' Public Authorities' leavers increased by ( 2.2 pp ) from $56.7 \%$ in 2010.

Chart 10.48: 'Other' Public Authorities Leavers \% by Sex,
2010-2011


Chart 10.49: Changes in 'Other' Public Authorities' Leavers (n) by Sex, 2010-2011


### 10.5.4.3 Community Background and Sex

In 2011, female Protestants comprised the greatest proportion of leavers from the 'Other' Public Authorities [37.4\%] (Chart 10.50). Male Protestants and female Roman Catholics each comprised less than one-quarter of leavers, whilst male Roman Catholics comprised less than one-sixth of leavers.

Between 2010 and 2011, each of the four groups increased in numbers leaving the 'Other' Public Authorities (Chart 10.51). The increases in numbers of female Protestant and female Roman Catholic leavers were greater than those for male Protestant and male Roman Catholic leavers. Consequently, female Protestants' share of leavers increased by [0.1 pp] from [37.3\%] in 2010, whilst female Roman Catholics' share increased by [2.7 pp] from [19.1\%].

Chart 10.50: 'Other' Public Authorities Leavers [\%] by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


Chart 10.51: Changes in 'Other' Public Authorities Leavers ( n ) by Community Background and Sex, 2010-2011


### 10.6 Additional Tables for Chapter 10

Table 10.8: 'Other' Public Authorities (All) Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 1,424 | 11.9 | 50.0 | 1,425 | 13.4 | 50.0 | 2,849 | 12.6 |
| SOC 2 | 1,055 | 8.8 | 56.3 | 818 | 7.7 | 43.7 | 1,873 | 8.3 |
| SOC 3 | 2,305 | 19.3 | 53.7 | 1,991 | 18.8 | 46.3 | 4,296 | 19.0 |
| SOC 4 | 2,336 | 19.6 | 49.9 | 2,348 | 22.1 | 50.1 | 4,684 | 20.8 |
| SOC 5 | 685 | 5.7 | 68.9 | 309 | 2.9 | 31.1 | 994 | 4.4 |
| SOC 6 | 411 | 3.4 | 58.5 | 292 | 2.8 | 41.5 | 703 | 3.1 |
| SOC 7 | 154 | 1.3 | 59.7 | 104 | 1.0 | 40.3 | 258 | 1.1 |
| SOC 8 | 1,475 | 12.4 | 52.8 | 1,319 | 12.4 | 47.2 | 2,794 | 12.4 |
| SOC 9 | 2,097 | 17.6 | 51.1 | 2,007 | 18.9 | 48.9 | 4,104 | 18.2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 , 9 4 2}$ | 100.0 | 52.9 | $\mathbf{1 0 , 6 1 3}$ | 100.0 | 47.1 | $\mathbf{2 2 , 5 5 5}$ | 100.0 |

Table 10.9: 'Other' Public Authorities Full-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 1,409 | 12.7 | 50.0 | 1,408 | 14.3 | 50.0 | 2,817 | 13.4 |
| SOC 2 | 994 | 9.0 | 56.3 | 770 | 7.8 | 43.7 | 1,764 | 8.4 |
| SOC 3 | 1,811 | 16.3 | 54.0 | 1,541 | 15.6 | 46.0 | 3,352 | 16.0 |
| SOC 4 | 2,275 | 20.5 | 49.9 | 2,283 | 23.2 | 50.1 | 4,558 | 21.8 |
| SOC 5 | 684 | 6.2 | 68.9 | 309 | 3.1 | 31.1 | 993 | 4.7 |
| SOC 6 | 344 | 3.1 | 57.1 | 258 | 2.6 | 42.9 | 602 | 2.9 |
| SOC 7 | 151 | 1.4 | 59.7 | 102 | 1.0 | 40.3 | 253 | 1.2 |
| SOC 8 | 1,475 | 13.3 | 52.8 | 1,317 | 13.4 | 47.2 | 2,792 | 13.3 |
| SOC 9 | 1,956 | 17.6 | 51.3 | 1,859 | 18.9 | 48.7 | 3,815 | 18.2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 , 0 9 9}$ | 100.0 | 53.0 | $\mathbf{9 , 8 4 7}$ | 100.0 | 47.0 | $\mathbf{2 0 , 9 4 6}$ | 100.0 |

Table 10.10: 'Other' Public Authorities Part-time Employees by Community Background and SOC in 2011

| SOC | Protestant |  |  | Roman Catholic |  |  | All P. \& R.C. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ | $[\%]$ | N | $\%$ |
| SOC 1 | 15 | 1.8 | 46.9 | 17 | 2.2 | 53.1 | 32 | 2.0 |
| SOC 2 | 61 | 7.2 | 56.0 | 48 | 6.3 | 44.0 | 109 | 6.8 |
| SOC 3 | 494 | 58.6 | 52.3 | 450 | 58.7 | 47.7 | 944 | 58.7 |
| SOC 4 | 61 | 7.2 | 48.4 | 65 | 8.5 | 51.6 | 126 | 7.8 |
| SOC 5 | $*$ | 0.1 | - | $*$ | 0.0 | - | $*$ | 0.1 |
| SOC 6 | 67 | 7.9 | 66.3 | 34 | 4.4 | 33.7 | 101 | 6.3 |
| SOC 7 | $*$ | 0.4 | - | $*$ | 0.3 | - | $*$ | 0.3 |
| SOC 8 | $*$ | 0.0 | - | $*$ | 0.3 | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 0.1 |
| SOC 9 | 141 | 16.7 | 48.8 | 148 | 19.3 | 51.2 | 289 | 18.0 |
| Total | 843 | 100.0 | 52.4 | 766 | 100.0 | 47.6 | $\mathbf{1 , 6 0 9}$ | 100.0 |

## APPENDICES

## Appendix 1: <br> Definitions, Technical Considerations and Wider Concepts in Fair Employment

Appendix 1 sets out a range of definitions and methodological points which may assist the reader in interpreting the data presented in the report.

## 1. Timeline: Changes to Monitoring Coverage over Time

The Fair Employment (Northern Ireland) Act 1989 ("The 1989 Act") introduced compulsory workforce monitoring. The primary purpose was to assess the degree of fair participation within individual concerns, and thus to determine whether affirmative action measures might be necessary.

The exact scope and coverage of the monitoring provisions has however changed over time as follows:
1990: Monitoring introduced, covering all specified public authorities and those private sector concerns with 26 or more employees. Only full-time employees are monitored. Public authorities and large private sector concerns (251+ employees) submit applicant and appointee data one year later (1991).

1992: Monitoring extended to cover full-time employees in private sector concerns with 11-25 employees.

2001: Monitoring extended to cover part-time employees, plus applicants and appointees in all registered private sector concerns. For the first time monitoring now includes promotees and leavers, but only in public bodies, and large private sector concerns (251+ employees).

2004: 2000 replaces 90 for classifying jobs. Due to the nature of changes in the coding framework, comparisons with historic data are now problematic.

Present: The following are currently monitored by all registered concerns: all employees (full time and part time); applicants; appointees. Public Sector bodies and large (251+) private sector organisations must also monitor promotees and leavers.

As the categories covered by monitoring have changed over time, the scope of the annual Monitoring Report has also changed, as differing data sets became available.

## 2. Definitions and Technical Considerations Relevant to the Annual Summary of Monitoring Returns

The following may be of help in understanding the information presented in this report, and in the wider concepts associated with fair employment ${ }^{311}$.

The Monitored Northern Ireland Workforce refers to the aggregated returns from all specified public authorities, and registered private sector concerns. Figures quoted in this report relate specifically to the workforce composition of monitored (registered and specified) employments, and not to all employers / employees in Northern Ireland ${ }^{32}$.

Specification of Public Authorities: All public sector employers identified in the Fair Employment (Specification of Public Authorities) Order (Northern Ireland), as amended, are deemed to be automatically registered with the Commission. Specified authorities are deemed to be registered on 1st January each year.

Registration: All private sector concerns with 11 or more employees are required to register with the Commission ${ }^{33}$. Private concerns are registered throughout the calendar year, on the 6th day of any given month, i.e. 6th January, 6th February, 6th December.

Applicants: refers to those individuals who have applied to fill vacancies for employment in any monitored concern in Northern Ireland. An individual is counted as an applicant only once by an employer in any given year, even if that individual has made more than one application for employment. Employees who apply internally for vacancies are not included.

Appointees: although all appointees are monitored, the annual monitoring return includes data only on those appointees still employed at the anniversary date of registration. In practice, this rule may result in an unspecified number of temporary appointments not being recorded, particularly in those employers or occupational grades prone to rapid turnover ${ }^{34}$.

Caution is required when making direct comparisons between the community composition of applicants and appointees data as presented in the annual monitoring report. Firstly, applicant and appointee information is presented for the monitoring year in question, not by recruitment competition. For example, an applicant may be counted in one monitoring year, but not be appointed until the following monitoring year and thus the data would be reported in two separate monitoring returns. Secondly, an applicant who was appointed on a temporary basis may be counted as an applicant for the purposes of monitoring, but may not appear as an appointee if their contract ends before the anniversary date of registration. This problem does not impact on triennial employer reviews, as employers are able to consider the raw data on a competition by competition basis.

[^7]A Promotee ${ }^{35}$ is defined as person who fills the following four conditions:-
(i) the employee has moved jobs within the concern; and
(ii) in so doing, has filled a job which was restricted to persons already employed in the concern; and
(iii) has remained in the job for not less than six months; and
(iv) as a direct result of the move has received an increase in remuneration (excluding expenses).

A Leaver ${ }^{36}$ is classified as a former employee who ceased to be employed in the concern during the 12 month reference period.

Community Composition: The legislation specifies that "composition" refers to the numbers who are to be treated for the purposes of monitoring as belonging to each community. "Community" means the Protestant community, or the Roman Catholic community, in Northern Ireland.

Community Background: Protestant refers to those determined by monitoring methods as members of the Protestant community. Roman Catholic or 'Catholic' refers to those determined by monitoring methods as members of the Roman Catholic community. Individuals for whom it was not possible to determine a community background are described as 'Non-determined ${ }^{37}$.

Recording Workforce Community Background: On a monitoring return, employers are required to specify the composition of their workforce by community background, sex and occupational grouping, and whether they were employed for more or less than 16 hours per week.

Current legislation requires employers to determine the community background of their employees via the 'Direct Question'. This requires the applicant or employee to state in writing whether they belong to the Protestant or Roman Catholic community or belong to neither.

The residuary method is to be used when the Direct Question fails to provide a determination of community background. The residuary method is based on the fact that there are a number of pieces of information about an individual which, if known, can give a reasonable indication of community background.

## Presenting Workforce Composition (Community Background) using square [ ] and round ( )

 brackets: In this report, percentages shown in square brackets [ ] are based on Protestants and Roman Catholics only, with the Non-Determined excluded. Where square brackets are used, and a percentage for one community is shown (e.g. Protestant), the corresponding percentage for the other community (e.g. Roman Catholic) can be calculated by subtracting the Protestant percentage from 100.Percentages in round brackets () are derived from a total population sum, examples of their use include: between-year Protestant / Roman Catholic percentage increases and decreases; total workforce breakdowns of Protestant, Roman Catholic and Non-Determined employees and distributional analyses of Protestant / Roman Catholic employees across difference categories. Please note that [ ] and ( ) percentages may not always sum to 100 due to rounding.

[^8]Standard Occupational Classification (): The Standard Occupational Classification allow jobs to be classified and compared according to the kind of work performed (job), and the competent performance of the tasks and duties (skill).

During the period 1990 - 2003, the classification system in use by employers, and adopted in all previous Monitoring Reports up to and including 2003, was known as 90 . However, the Fair Employment (Monitoring) (Amendment) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2002 changed the prescribed classification system to the updated 2000. This new system was adopted by employers for monitoring purposes on 1st January $2004{ }^{38}$.

The nine 2000 groups are:-

SOC1 Managers and Senior Officials
SOC2 Professional Occupations
SOC3 Associate Professional and Technical Occupations
SOC4 Administrative and Secretarial Occupations
SOC5 Skilled Trades Occupations
SOC6 Personal Service Occupations
SOC7 Sales and Customer Service Occupations
SOC8 Process, Plant and Machine Operatives
SOC9 Elementary Occupations

Move of base year for trend comparisons from 1990 to 2001.
With the inclusion of part-time employees in 2001, and private sector applicants and appointees from smaller concerns, it became possible to analyse a more comprehensive range of employees, applicants and appointees. The default year for all longer term comparisons has thus been moved from 1990 to 2001. Historical data remains available via the previously published reports.

Factors associated with the Review of Public Administration (RPA) resulted in 2008 being an atypical year for monitoring. In brief, 12 newly-established public authorities, including five health trusts and six regional colleges, were specified by the Commission on 1st January 2008.

For first monitoring returns, employers are not required to submit data on applicants, appointees, promotees and leavers. As the health trusts and regional colleges account for a considerable proportion of monitored applicants and appointees, this resulted in a sharp drop in the reported number of applicants etc for 2008. In 2009, the 12 new authorities commenced their submission of applicant and appointee data. This has resulted in a substantial increase in the recorded count for applicants, appointees, promotees and leavers for 2009.

## 3. Wider Concepts in Fair Employment

The Fair Employment Code of Practice (2003), as amended, makes a number of observations regarding wider concepts:

Fair Participation: While fair participation is mentioned but not defined in the legislation, the Code notes:
"The determination of what is fair depends on the circumstances of each particular case i.e. each specific employment situation."
"It does not mean that every job, occupation or position in every undertaking throughout Northern Ireland must reflect the proportionate distribution of Protestants and Roman Catholics in the province."
"It is not possible to prescribe a rigid and predetermined level of participation which should be achieved generally by all employers. Obviously what is fair will depend very much on the circumstances of each particular and individual case. What is required is that you afford opportunities to both communities and, where a community is under-represented, you take affirmative action steps to remedy that under-representation. Accordingly you must ask yourself whether, in the light of all the factors known to you - and including advice from the [Equality] Commission - the composition of the workforce and of your recent recruits as revealed by monitoring is broadly in line with what might reasonably be expected."
"The ideal is to identify any job category within a workforce for which there are fewer applicants or workers of a particular community background than might reasonably be expected given the relative numbers with the necessary qualifications, experience, etc. in the catchment area. Every job category does not have to reflect the overall proportion of both communities in Northern Ireland."

With regards to Catchment Area: the Code notes:
"This refers to the area from within which an employer would normally expect to recruit for the particular job in question and the proportionate distribution of both communities in that area. Its determination calls for the exercise of informed judgement by the employer and consultation with the Commission."
"Informed judgement must be exercised in deciding on the relevant catchment area for jobs and on the proportionate religious distribution which might reasonably be expected in both an existing workforce and job applicants. Much depends on the nature of the job in question. Some relevant factors in deciding on the catchment area are:

- the qualifications, skills and expertise necessary for the job in question;
- the wages on offer;
- the hours of work involved;
- travelling methods, arrangements, distances and times;
- valid knowledge based on your own expertise and personal experience."

Affirmative Action: "This is a mechanism for change. The term refers to action designed to secure fair participation in employment by members of the Protestant or the Roman Catholic community by means including the adoption of practices encouraging such participation, and the modification or abandonment of practices that have or may have the effect of restricting or discouraging such participation."

Chill Factor: "This term describes a problem of attitude towards, and environment within, the workplace. Members of a particular community can feel discouraged or prevented from applying for jobs in any company or undertaking perceived as being traditionally associated with the other community. The company or undertaking can feel it pointless to desist from customary and casual recruitment practices geared to a particular community on the assumption that efforts to attract the other community would be wasted. To break the circle it is necessary to change perception and habitual practice in both the community and the company or undertaking."

Under-Representation: "The term is used in the context of affirmative action. It reflects the fact that a particular community, whether Protestant or Roman Catholic, is not enjoying fair participation in employment. In these circumstances the community concerned can be described as "underrepresented."

# Appendix 2: <br> Composition of Individual Public Authorities and Private Sector Concerns, 2011 

## Introduction

Appendix 2, which contains four sections, includes information on those 104 Specified Authorities (public sector bodies) and 2,240 private sector concerns which had 26 or more employees in 2011. There were 18 public authorities and 1,446 private sector concerns which had 25 or less employees, and these are not detailed here.

Section 1 deals with employees of the 104 public authorities. The community background of the total workforce in each concern, including part-time staff, is listed here.

There were two concerns in Section 1 which had less than 10 Protestant employees and two concerns which had less than 10 Roman Catholic employees. For these two concerns no information on the community background of any of the employees is provided. This is to ensure that the religion of any individual cannot be inferred from the data published in this Appendix. Such concerns are identified as follows

> * indicates those in which there were less than 10 Roman Catholic employees; \# indicates those in which there were less than 10 Protestant employees.

For concerns that had 10 or more Protestants and 10 or more Roman Catholics, information on the religion of employees is provided. This includes the total number of Protestant, Roman Catholic and Non-Determined employees. The percentage of Protestants and Roman Catholics is also shown (excluding the Non-Determined).

Section 2 deals with employees in those 2,240 private sector concerns with 26 or more employees. The same information is provided as was given for public sector employees in Section 1. In the private sector there were 406 concerns which had less than 10 Roman Catholic employees (marked with a *). There were also 311 concerns with less than 10 Protestant employees (marked with a \#). A profile of these concerns by size is shown in Table A1.

Table A1: Private Sector Concerns with less than 10 Employees of one Community by Size Band

| SIZE BAND <br> (Number of <br> Employees) | Concerns with less <br> than 10 <br> Protestant Employees | Concerns with less <br> than 10 <br> Roman Catholic Employees | Totals |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 6 - 5 0}$ | 229 | 333 | 562 |
| $\mathbf{5 1 - 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | 67 | 139 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 1 - 2 5 0}$ | 10 | 6 | 16 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 1 +}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 7}$ |

Section 3 lists the composition of appointees to those 104 public bodies with 26 or more employees. The total number of appointees to each body is given. If there were less than two Protestant appointees or less than two Roman Catholic appointees, only the total number of appointees is shown. For the remaining public bodies, the number of Protestant, Roman Catholic and Non-Determined appointees is listed.

Section 4 provides information on appointees to the private sector in the same format that was used for the public sector in Section 3. Although all private sector concerns, irrespective of size, now provide information on the composition of appointees on their monitoring return, in order to limit the size of the publication, only those concerns with 251 or more employees are listed here. There were 198 such concerns in 2011. For those concerns in which there were no appointments there are zeros in all columns.

## Interpretation of information

The Commission recommends that care should be exercised in the interpretation of this information for the following reasons:
(i) The composition of each concern or authority is a snapshot of the employment pattern at the time of completion of the monitoring return. This employment pattern is a product of employment practices, and the availability of those with the requirements to be employed in these concerns, over a considerable period of time.
(ii) Since the employment pattern is a snapshot in time, it does not indicate any change which may have taken place. For a number of concerns, the potential for change may have been limited due to reductions in their workforces and "chill factors" associated with their locations.
(iii) A number of the concerns and authorities included have more than one location. The compositional figures are the overall figures for all locations.
(iv) For those concerns and authorities in which there are less than 10 employees of one community, only the total number of employees is included. The proportion of the overall workforce represented by a small number of employees is greater for smaller concerns, e.g. in a concern with 30 employees, 9 represents $30 \%$ of the total workforce whereas in a concern with 300 employees, 9 represents $3 \%$ of the total.
(v) The composition of every concern does not have to reflect the overall proportions of both communities in Northern Ireland. For each concern, the representation of each community which might reasonably be expected will be influenced by the concern's location, the nature of the jobs which it provides, and the composition of available labour within the catchment area.

An assessment of whether or not both communities are enjoying fair participation in a particular concern requires more than a simple examination of the composition of the overall workforce in the concern - it will also require an examination of the composition of each of the major job groups within the concern. This will include:
(a) making a determination of the reasonable recruitment area. It is rarely the case that this corresponds exactly to the area in the immediate vicinity of the concern's premises. It may be influenced by a wide range of factors, including location, the nature of the job, qualifications and experience required, travelling conditions and rates of pay; and
(b) making comparisons between the availability and utilisation of both communities. The availability of members of both communities within the reasonable recruitment area will require consideration of the community compositions of:

- the population
- the unemployed
- school leavers, and
- those with the requisite skills in the community, training institutions and/or with the concern.
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## Appendix 2

## Section 1

Composition of Individual Specified Authorities (26+ employees)

| Specified Authority | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agri-food \& Biosciences Institute | 489 | 218 | 133 | 840 | [69.2\%] | [30.8\%] |
| Antrim Borough Council | 217 | 75 | 10 | 302 | [74.3\%] | [25.7\%] |
| Ards Borough Council | 321 | 60 | 13 | 394 | [84.3\%] | [15.7\%] |
| Armagh City \& District Council | 166 | 147 | 9 | 322 | [53.0\%] | [47.0\%] |
| Armagh Observatory \& Planetarium, The | 10 | 10 | 10 | 30 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Arts Council of Northern Ireland | 24 | 40 | 4 | 68 | [37.5\%] | [62.5\%] |
| Ballymena Borough Council | 243 | 72 | 14 | 329 | [77.1\%] | [22.9\%] |
| Ballymoney Borough Council | 99 | 27 | 10 | 136 | [78.6\%] | [21.4\%] |
| Banbridge District Council | 170 | 64 | 11 | 245 | [72.6\%] | [27.4\%] |
| Belfast City Council | 1,518 | 1,233 | 115 | 2,866 | [55.2\%] | [44.8\%] |
| Belfast Education \& Library Board | 2,222 | 2,345 | 175 | 4,742 | [48.7\%] | [51.3\%] |
| Belfast Harbour Commissioners | 88 | 33 | 8 | 129 | [72.7\%] | [27.3\%] |
| Belfast Health \& Social Care Trust | 10,170 | 11,041 | 1,225 | 22,436 | [47.9\%] | [52.1\%] |
| Belfast Metropolitan College, The | 677 | 613 | 120 | 1,410 | [52.5\%] | [47.5\%] |
| Big Lottery Fund, The | 28 | 25 | 5 | 58 | [52.8\%] | [47.2\%] |
| British Broadcasting Corporation | 355 | 302 | 76 | 733 | [54.0\%] | [46.0\%] |
| Carrickfergus Borough Council | 212 | 20 | 14 | 246 | [91.4\%] | [8.6\%] |
| Castlereagh Borough Council | 306 | 39 | 22 | 367 | [88.7\%] | [11.3\%] |
| Chief Electoral Officer for Northern Ireland, The | 29 | 27 | 0 | 56 | [51.8\%] | [48.2\%] |
| Citybus Ltd | 346 | 392 | 17 | 755 | [46.9\%] | [53.1\%] |
| Civil Service, The | 2,351 | 1,381 | 557 | 4,289 | [63.0\%] | [37.0\%] |
| Coleraine Borough Council | 234 | 82 | 22 | 338 | [74.1\%] | [25.9\%] |
| Commissioner for Children \& Young People for Northern Ireland | 12 | 12 | 5 | 29 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Comptroller \& Auditor General for Northern Ireland | 85 | 63 | 4 | 152 | [57.4\%] | [42.6\%] |
| Construction Industry Training Board | - | - | - | 34 |  |  |
| Cookstown District Council | 161 | 160 | 7 | 328 | [50.2\%] | [49.8\%] |
| Council for Catholic Maintained Schools | 11 | 62 | 0 | 73 | [15.1\%] | [84.9\%] |
| Craigavon Borough Council | 414 | 348 | 24 | 786 | [54.3\%] | [45.7\%] |
| Department of Finance \& Personnel | 15,082 | 13,304 | 889 | 29,275 | [53.1\%] | [46.9\%] |
| Derry City Council | 116 | 383 | 17 | 516 | [23.2\%] | [76.8\%] |
| Down District Council | 80 | 263 | 12 | 355 | [23.3\%] | [76.7\%] |
| Dungannon \& South Tyrone Borough Council | 145 | 187 | 17 | 349 | [43.7\%] | [56.3\%] |
| Equality Commission for Northern Ireland | 50 | 93 | 4 | 147 | [35.0\%] | [65.0\%] |
| Fermanagh District Council | 121 | 167 | 14 | 302 | [42.0\%] | [58.0\%] |
| Food Standards Agency Northern Ireland | 21 | 14 | 3 | 38 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Foyle Carlingford \& Irish Lights Commission | 22 | 36 | 0 | 58 | [37.9\%] | [62.1\%] |
| General Consumer Council for Northern Ireland | 22 | 21 | 5 | 48 | [51.2\%] | [48.8\%] |
| Health \& Social Care Regulation \& Quality Improvement Authority | 81 | 76 | 17 | 174 | [51.6\%] | [48.4\%] |
| Invest Northern Ireland | 328 | 285 | 7 | 620 | [53.5\%] | [46.5\%] |
| Labour Relations Agency | 33 | 36 | 2 | 71 | [47.8\%] | [52.2\%] |
| Larne Borough Council | 126 | 43 | 11 | 180 | [74.6\%] | [25.4\%] |
| Limavady Borough Council | 90 | 88 | 7 | 185 | [50.6\%] | [49.4\%] |
| Lisburn City Council | 367 | 123 | 23 | 513 | [74.9\%] | [25.1\%] |
| Livestock \& Meat Commission for Northern Ireland | 27 | 12 | 2 | 41 | [69.2\%] | [30.8\%] |
| Londonderry Port \& Harbour Commissioners | 10 | 30 | 5 | 45 | [25.0\%] | [75.0\%] |

[^9]| Specified Authority | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Magherafelt District Council | 106 | 100 | 2 | 208 | [51.5\%] | [48.5\%] |
| Moyle District Council | 34 | 63 | 7 | 104 | [35.1\%] | [64.9\%] |
| National Museums \& Galleries of Northern Ireland | 250 | 124 | 62 | 436 | [66.8\%] | [33.2\%] |
| Newry \& Mourne District Council | 79 | 384 | 14 | 477 | [17.1\%] | [82.9\%] |
| Newtownabbey Borough Council | 585 | 88 | 25 | 698 | [86.9\%] | [13.1\%] |
| North Down Borough Council | 432 | 66 | 57 | 555 | [86.7\%] | [13.3\%] |
| North Eastern Education \& Library Board | 4,461 | 2,121 | 281 | 6,863 | [67.8\%] | [32.2\%] |
| North West Regional College, The | 168 | 625 | 27 | 820 | [21.2\%] | [78.8\%] |
| Northern Health \& Social Care Trust | 7,545 | 4,722 | 902 | 13,169 | [61.5\%] | [38.5\%] |
| Northern Ireland Ambulance Service Health \& Social Care Trust | 658 | 454 | 37 | 1,149 | [59.2\%] | [40.8\%] |
| Northern Ireland Assembly Commission | 229 | 158 | 24 | 411 | [59.2\%] | [40.8\%] |
| Northern Ireland Blood Transfusion Service Agency | 107 | 108 | 8 | 223 | [49.8\%] | [50.2\%] |
| Northern Ireland Community Relations Council | 21 | 31 | 0 | 52 | [40.4\%] | [59.6\%] |
| Northern Ireland Council for the Curriculum Examinations \& Assessment | 166 | 157 | 44 | 367 | [51.4\%] | [48.6\%] |
| Northern Ireland Fire \& Rescue Service Board | 1,211 | 827 | 92 | 2,130 | [59.4\%] | [40.6\%] |
| Northern Ireland Guardian Ad Litem Agency | 22 | 40 | 8 | 70 | [35.5\%] | [64.5\%] |
| Northern Ireland Housing Executive | 1,423 | 1,710 | 54 | 3,187 | [45.4\%] | [54.6\%] |
| Northern Ireland Legal Services Commission | 65 | 83 | 3 | 151 | [43.9\%] | [56.1\%] |
| Northern Ireland Library Authority | 427 | 387 | 47 | 861 | [52.5\%] | [47.5\%] |
| Northern Ireland Local Government Officers' Superannuation Committee | 30 | 17 | 4 | 51 | [63.8\%] | [36.2\%] |
| Northern Ireland Medical \& Dental Training Agency | 70 | 51 | 9 | 130 | [57.9\%] | [42.1\%] |
| Northern Ireland Policing Board, The ${ }^{1}$ | 1,998 | 472 | 87 | 2,557 | [80.9\%] | [19.1\%] |
| Northern Ireland Railways Company Ltd | 604 | 269 | 35 | 908 | [69.2\%] | [30.8\%] |
| Northern Ireland Screen Commission | \# | - | - | 36 | - |  |
| Northern Ireland Social Care Council | 24 | 25 | 11 | 60 | [49.0\%] | [51.0\%] |
| Northern Ireland Tourist Board | 70 | 59 | 5 | 134 | [54.3\%] | [45.7\%] |
| Northern Ireland Transport Holding Company | * - | - | - | 30 | - |  |
| Northern Ireland Water Ltd | 784 | 559 | 24 | 1,367 | [58.4\%] | [41.6\%] |
| Northern Regional College, The | 643 | 283 | 28 | 954 | [69.4\%] | [30.6\%] |
| Omagh District Council | 105 | 219 | 10 | 334 | [32.4\%] | [67.6\%] |
| Patient \& Client Council | 11 | 15 | 1 | 27 | [42.3\%] | [57.7\%] |
| Police Ombudsman for Northern Ireland, The ${ }^{39}$ | 78 | 53 | 13 | 144 | [59.5\%] | [40.5\%] |
| Police Service of Northern Ireland, The | 5,769 | 2,209 | 178 | 8,156 | [72.3\%] | [27.7\%] |
| Probation Board for Northern Ireland | 196 | 208 | 26 | 430 | [48.5\%] | [51.5\%] |
| Regional Agency for Public Health \& Social Well-being | 112 | 105 | 7 | 224 | [51.6\%] | [48.4\%] |
| Regional Business Services Organisation | 344 | 447 | 77 | 868 | [43.5\%] | [56.5\%] |
| Regional Health \& Social Care Board | 173 | 200 | 36 | 409 | [46.4\%] | [53.6\%] |
| Royal Mail Group PLC | 2,157 | 2,075 | 13 | 4,245 | [51.0\%] | [49.0\%] |
| Secretary of State for Defence | 132 | 15 | 13 | 160 | [89.8\%] | [10.2\%] |
| South Eastern Education \& Library Board | 3,595 | 2,114 | 529 | 6,238 | [63.0\%] | [37.0\%] |
| South Eastern Health \& Social Care Trust | 5,616 | 2,825 | 891 | 9,332 | [66.5\%] | [33.5\%] |
| South Eastern Regional College, The | 559 | 311 | 129 | 999 | [64.3\%] | [35.7\%] |
| South West College, The | 272 | 503 | 39 | 814 | [35.1\%] | [64.9\%] |
| Southern Education \& Library Board | 2,894 | 3,873 | 159 | 6,926 | [42.8\%] | [57.2\%] |
| Southern Health \& Social Care Trust | 4,683 | 6,321 | 583 | 11,587 | [42.6\%] | [57.4\%] |

$39 \quad 98 \%$ of NIPB employees are appointed and managed by the Chief Constable on behalf of the Board

* = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
\# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
*/\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

| Specified Authority | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southern Regional College, The | 440 | 597 | 37 | 1,074 | $[42.4 \%]$ | $[57.6 \%]$ |
| Special EU Programmes Body, The | 16 | 33 | 7 | 56 | $[32.7 \%]$ | $[67.3 \%]$ |
| Sports Council for Northern Ireland | 56 | 59 | 7 | 122 | $[48.7 \%]$ | $[51.3 \%]$ |
| Strabane District Council | 64 | 146 | 5 | 215 | $[30.5 \%]$ | $[69.5 \%]$ |
| Stranmillis University College, Belfast | 125 | 65 | 15 | 205 | $[65.8 \%]$ | $[34.2 \%]$ |
| Strategic Investment Board Ltd | 21 | 15 | 5 | 41 | $[58.3 \%]$ | $[41.7 \%]$ |
| Trade \& Business Development Body, The | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| Tourism Ireland Ltd | 12 | 15 | 0 | 27 | $[44.4 \%]$ | $[55.6 \%]$ |
| Ulster Supported Employment Ltd | 61 | 27 | 14 | 102 | $[69.3 \%]$ | $[30.7 \%]$ |
| Ulsterbus Ltd | 1,256 | 969 | 62 | 2,287 | $[56.4 \%]$ | $[43.6 \%]$ |
| Warrenpoint Harbour Authority | 12 | 36 | 2 | 50 | $[25.0 \%]$ | $[75.0 \%]$ |
| Waterways Ireland | 30 | 58 | 4 | 92 | $[34.1 \%]$ | $[65.9 \%]$ |
| Western Education \& Library Board | 2,099 | 4,107 | 203 | 6,409 | $[33.8 \%]$ | $[66.2 \%]$ |
| Western Health \& Social Care Trust | 3,228 | 7,077 | 539 | 10,844 | $[31.3 \%]$ | $[68.7 \%]$ |

[^10]
## Appendix 2

## Section 2

## Composition of Private Sector Concerns (26+ employees)

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3fivetwo Healthcare |  | 25 | 13 | 1 | 39 | [65.8\%] | [34.2\%] |
| 3M (UK) PLC |  | 128 | 17 | 5 | 150 | [88.3\%] | [11.7\%] |
| 8over8 Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| A/wear Ltd |  | 22 | 21 | 1 | 44 | [51.2\%] | [48.8\%] |
| A24 Group | */\# | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| A4E Ltd |  | 33 | 31 | 29 | 93 | [51.6\%] | [48.4\%] |
| AAH Pharmaceuticals Ltd |  | 41 | 10 | 0 | 51 | [80.4\%] | [19.6\%] |
| Abacus Legal Recruitment |  | 16 | 17 | 0 | 33 | [48.5\%] | [51.5\%] |
| Abbey Bond Lovis Ltd |  | 61 | 22 | 6 | 89 | [73.5\%] | [26.5\%] |
| Abbey Christian Brothers Grammar School | \# | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| Abbey Insurance Brokers Ltd |  | 137 | 60 | 6 | 203 | [69.5\%] | [30.5\%] |
| Abbey Sure Start | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Abbey Upholsterers Ltd |  | 20 | 41 | 0 | 61 | [32.8\%] | [67.2\%] |
| Abbeyfield Belfast Society Ltd |  | 48 | 14 | 0 | 62 | [77.4\%] | [22.6\%] |
| Abbeyfield UK (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 69 | 20 | 3 | 92 | [77.5\%] | [22.5\%] |
| Abingdon Manor Care Centre Ltd |  | 52 | 30 | 10 | 92 | [63.4\%] | [36.6\%] |
| ABP Lurgan |  | 15 | 163 | 10 | 188 | [8.4\%] | [91.6\%] |
| Ace Fixings |  | 30 | 10 | 0 | 40 | [75.0\%] | [25.0\%] |
| Acheson \& Glover Ltd |  | 243 | 162 | 16 | 421 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Action Cancer |  | 31 | 45 | 0 | 76 | [40.8\%] | [59.2\%] |
| Action For Children Northern Ireland |  | 55 | 70 | 25 | 150 | [44.0\%] | [56.0\%] |
| Action Mental Health |  | 64 | 71 | 11 | 146 | [47.4\%] | [52.6\%] |
| Adair \& Milliken Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Adair Arms Hotel |  | 19 | 16 | 5 | 40 | [54.3\%] | [45.7\%] |
| Adamsez (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Adecco (UK) Ltd |  | 48 | 55 | 16 | 119 | [46.6\%] | [53.4\%] |
| Adelaide Insurance Services Ltd |  | 27 | 32 | 6 | 65 | [45.8\%] | [54.2\%] |
| ADT Fire \& Security PLC |  | 38 | 14 | 9 | 61 | [73.1\%] | [26.9\%] |
| Aecom Ltd |  | 48 | 34 | 5 | 87 | [58.5\%] | [41.5\%] |
| Aelia (UK) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| Aepona Ltd |  | 29 | 39 | 3 | 71 | [42.6\%] | [57.4\%] |
| Aer Lingus Ltd |  | 38 | 43 | 14 | 95 | [46.9\%] | [53.1\%] |
| Aes Ballylumford |  | 138 | 23 | 7 | 168 | [85.7\%] | [14.3\%] |
| Aes Kilroot Power Ltd |  | 108 | 16 | 1 | 125 | [87.1\%] | [12.9\%] |
| AFT (Liquor) Stores Ltd |  | 11 | 25 | 0 | 36 | [30.6\%] | [69.4\%] |
| Age Northern Ireland |  | 71 | 106 | 15 | 192 | [40.1\%] | [59.9\%] |
| Aghadowey Creamery Ltd | * | - | - | - | 49 | - | - |
| Agnew Autoexchange Ltd | * | - | - | - | 49 | - | - |
| Agnew Corporate | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Agnew Isaac (Mallusk) Ltd |  | 30 | 10 | 2 | 42 | [75.0\%] | [25.0\%] |
| Agnew Isaac (Mercedes Benz) |  | 115 | 30 | 8 | 153 | [79.3\%] | [20.7\%] |
| Agnew Isaac (Porsche/Saab) | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Agnew Isaac Audi |  | 97 | 44 | 8 | 149 | [68.8\%] | [31.2\%] |
| Agnew Isaac Holdings Ltd | * | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Agnew Isaac Ltd Volkswagen |  | 61 | 21 | 2 | 84 | [74.4\%] | [25.6\%] |
| Agrihealth (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| AI Services (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 34 | 14 | 0 | 48 | [70.8\%] | [29.2\%] |
| AIB Group (UK) PLC T/A First Trust Bank |  | 704 | 766 | 60 | 1,530 | [47.9\%] | [52.1\%] |

[^11]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AJ Plumbing Supplies Ltd |  | 44 | 20 | 0 | 64 | [68.8\%] | [31.3\%] |
| AJ Power Ltd |  | 49 | 22 | 4 | 75 | [69.0\%] | [31.0\%] |
| Alexander Bain \& Murray Opticians | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Alexander DE \& Sons Ltd | * | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| Alexander William \& Henry (Civil Engineering) Ltd |  | 16 | 12 | 0 | 28 | [57.1\%] | [42.9\%] |
| Alexanders of Markethill | * | - | - | - | 46 | - | - |
| Allen \& Overy Support Services Function (SFF) Belfast |  | 16 | 16 | 1 | 33 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Allianz (Northern Ireland) PLC |  | 46 | 40 | 9 | 95 | [53.5\%] | [46.5\%] |
| Allied Bakeries Ireland |  | 323 | 49 | 9 | 381 | [86.8\%] | [13.2\%] |
| Allingham Transport |  | 25 | 14 | 2 | 41 | [64.1\%] | [35.9\%] |
| Allpipe Engineering Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 58 | - | - |
| Allstate Northern Ireland |  | 566 | 1,026 | 435 | 2,027 | [35.6\%] | [64.4\%] |
| All-tex Recyclers Ltd |  | 39 | 19 | 176 | 234 | [67.2\%] | [32.8\%] |
| Almac Clinical Services Ltd |  | 433 | 328 | 117 | 878 | [56.9\%] | [43.1\%] |
| Almac Clinical Technologies Ltd |  | 27 | 14 | 12 | 53 | [65.9\%] | [34.1\%] |
| Almac Diagnostics Ltd |  | 27 | 23 | 20 | 70 | [54.0\%] | [46.0\%] |
| Almac Discovery Ltd | */\# | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Almac Group Ltd |  | 149 | 76 | 43 | 268 | [66.2\%] | [33.8\%] |
| Almac Pharma Services Ltd |  | 151 | 107 | 65 | 323 | [58.5\%] | [41.5\%] |
| Almac Sciences Ltd |  | 79 | 48 | 60 | 187 | [62.2\%] | [37.8\%] |
| Alpha Flight Services | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Alpha Housing Northern Ireland Ltd | * | - | - | - | 65 | - | - |
| Alpha Newspapers | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Aluminium \& Plastics Systems Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Alzheimer's Society |  | 27 | 47 | 3 | 77 | [36.5\%] | [63.5\%] |
| AM/PM Services (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 16 | 22 | 1 | 39 | [42.1\%] | [57.9\%] |
| Amalgamated Environmental Services Ltd T/A Aes-marconi | * | - | - | - | 45 | - | - |
| Ambassador Private Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| AMC Retail Ltd | * | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Amey Services Ltd |  | 92 | 50 | 40 | 182 | [64.8\%] | [35.2\%] |
| AMT Sybex (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 29 | 28 | 7 | 64 | [50.9\%] | [49.1\%] |
| Andena Residential Home Ltd | * | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Anderson Haulage Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Andor Technology PLC |  | 97 | 105 | 14 | 216 | [48.0\%] | [52.0\%] |
| Andras House Ltd |  | 92 | 94 | 99 | 285 | [49.5\%] | [50.5\%] |
| Andrews Holdings Ltd | * | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| Anfield Transport |  | 16 | 10 | 0 | 26 | [61.5\%] | [38.5\%] |
| Anglo Beef Processors Newry | \# | - | - | - | 247 | - | - |
| Annadale Private Nursing Home |  | 19 | 20 | 10 | 49 | [48.7\%] | [51.3\%] |
| Annaghmore Agencies Ltd |  | 20 | 48 | 7 | 75 | [29.4\%] | [70.6\%] |
| Ann's Home Care Ltd |  | 92 | 86 | 0 | 178 | [51.7\%] | [48.3\%] |
| Antrim Construction Co Ltd |  | 61 | 16 | 0 | 77 | [79.2\%] | [20.8\%] |
| Antrim Electrical \& Mechanical Engineers Ltd |  | 35 | 37 | 2 | 74 | [48.6\%] | [51.4\%] |
| Aon Risk Services (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 41 | - | - |
| Apex Housing Association |  | 167 | 339 | 30 | 536 | [33.0\%] | [67.0\%] |
| Apple Recruitment Services |  | 62 | 63 | 19 | 144 | [49.6\%] | [50.4\%] |
| Aquinas Diocesan Grammar School | \# | - | - | - | 48 | - | - |
| Aramark |  | 382 | 65 | 35 | 482 | [85.5\%] | [14.5\%] |

[^12]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aramark Workplace Solutions | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Arcadia Group Ltd T/A Burton Retail |  | 33 | 35 | 2 | 70 | [48.5\%] | [51.5\%] |
| Arcadia Group Ltd T/A Dorothy Perkins |  | 76 | 135 | 2 | 213 | [36.0\%] | [64.0\%] |
| Arcadia Group Ltd T/A Evans |  | 60 | 56 | 1 | 117 | [51.7\%] | [48.3\%] |
| Arcadia Group Ltd T/A Top Shop Retail |  | 140 | 166 | 0 | 306 | [45.8\%] | [54.2\%] |
| Arcadia Group Ltd T/A Wallis |  | 63 | 83 | 2 | 148 | [43.2\%] | [56.8\%] |
| Arcadia T/A Outfit | \# | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| Arco Ltd | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Ardboe Manufacturing Co Ltd T/A Forbes Kitchens \& Forbes Furniture | \# | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Ardmore Advertising |  | 20 | 12 | 1 | 33 | [62.5\%] | [37.5\%] |
| Argento Contemporary Jewellery Ltd |  | 101 | 68 | 14 | 183 | [59.8\%] | [40.2\%] |
| Argos Ltd |  | 544 | 551 | 52 | 1,147 | [49.7\%] | [50.3\%] |
| Arjohuntleigh (Ireland) Ltd |  | 45 | 13 | 2 | 60 | [77.6\%] | [22.4\%] |
| Ark Housing Association (Northern Ireland) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Arlington Private Nursing Home |  | 15 | 13 | 4 | 32 | [53.6\%] | [46.4\%] |
| Armagh Care Services |  | 52 | 19 | 3 | 74 | [73.2\%] | [26.8\%] |
| Armagh City Hotel |  | 31 | 100 | 26 | 157 | [23.7\%] | [76.3\%] |
| Armaghdown Creameries Ltd |  | 64 | 20 | 1 | 85 | [76.2\%] | [23.8\%] |
| Armatile Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 72 | - | - |
| Armstrong Care Services Ltd |  | 27 | 16 | 9 | 52 | [62.8\%] | [37.2\%] |
| Armstrong Medical Ltd |  | 51 | 22 | 9 | 82 | [69.9\%] | [30.1\%] |
| Arnotts (Fruit) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Aromet Group Ltd |  | 34 | 17 | 4 | 55 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Arqiva | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Asda Stores Ltd |  | 2,225 | 2,046 | 45 | 4,316 | [52.1\%] | [47.9\%] |
| Ashdale Engineering Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Ashdon Care Ltd T/A Beverly Lodge Private Nursing Home |  | 33 | 13 | 0 | 46 | [71.7\%] | [28.3\%] |
| Ashers Baking Co Ltd |  | 55 | 11 | 0 | 66 | [83.3\%] | [16.7\%] |
| Ashton Community Trust |  | 14 | 80 | 5 | 99 | [14.9\%] | [85.1\%] |
| Ashton Recruitment Ltd |  | 24 | 19 | 7 | 50 | [55.8\%] | [44.2\%] |
| Ashwood House Nursing Home |  | 31 | 24 | 2 | 57 | [56.4\%] | [43.6\%] |
| Asia Supermarket | \# | - | - | - | 64 | - | - |
| Asidua Ltd |  | 41 | 41 | 10 | 92 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| ASM (B) Ltd |  | 20 | 16 | 3 | 39 | [55.6\%] | [44.4\%] |
| ASM (Def) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Associated Employers (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 17 | 15 | 1 | 33 | [53.1\%] | [46.9\%] |
| Assumption Grammar School |  | 12 | 31 | 0 | 43 | [27.9\%] | [72.1\%] |
| Astrazeneca UK Ltd |  | 12 | 14 | 2 | 28 | [46.2\%] | [53.8\%] |
| ATC Systems Ltd |  | 27 | 18 | 3 | 48 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Atkins Ltd |  | 38 | 55 | 3 | 96 | [40.9\%] | [59.1\%] |
| Atlas Communications (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Atos Origin ITS UK Ltd |  | 42 | 43 | 20 | 105 | [49.4\%] | [50.6\%] |
| Audio Processing Technology Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Augher Co-operative Agricultural \& Dairy Society Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Aurora Fashions |  | 64 | 66 | 13 | 143 | [49.2\%] | [50.8\%] |
| Aurum Holdings Ltd |  | 21 | 16 | 3 | 40 | [56.8\%] | [43.2\%] |
| Austins Department Store |  | 20 | 65 | 0 | 85 | [23.5\%] | [76.5\%] |
| Autism Initiatives |  | 91 | 154 | 25 | 270 | [37.1\%] | [62.9\%] |

[^13]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Autoline Insurance Group |  | 46 | 78 | 5 | 129 | [37.1\%] | [62.9\%] |
| Automobile Association Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Ava Leisure Ltd |  | 55 | 15 | 2 | 72 | [78.6\%] | [21.4\%] |
| Aviva Employment Services |  | 61 | 45 | 9 | 115 | [57.5\%] | [42.5\%] |
| Avoca Handweaver Northern Ireland |  | 25 | 39 | 0 | 64 | [39.1\%] | [60.9\%] |
| Avondale Foods (Craigavon) Ltd |  | 103 | 209 | 54 | 366 | [33.0\%] | [67.0\%] |
| AVX Ltd |  | 230 | 75 | 14 | 319 | [75.4\%] | [24.6\%] |
| AXA Insurance |  | 120 | 199 | 6 | 325 | [37.6\%] | [62.4\%] |
| Axis Security Group Ltd |  | 32 | 25 | 0 | 57 | [56.1\%] | [43.9\%] |
| Axon Power \& Control Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| B \& M Retail Ltd |  | 277 | 292 | 44 | 613 | [48.7\%] | [51.3\%] |
| B \& Q PLC |  | 527 | 461 | 146 | 1134 | [53.3\%] | [46.7\%] |
| B \& T Inns Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 91 | - | - |
| B Fast Parcels |  | 10 | 21 | 0 | 31 | [32.3\%] | [67.7\%] |
| BA Kitchen Components Ltd |  | 29 | 65 | 0 | 94 | [30.9\%] | [69.1\%] |
| Babcock International Group PLC | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Baird W \& G Ltd |  | 65 | 29 | 4 | 98 | [69.1\%] | [30.9\%] |
| Baker Tilly Mooney Moore |  | 13 | 17 | 0 | 30 | [43.3\%] | [56.7\%] |
| Balcas Timber Ltd |  | 121 | 115 | 13 | 249 | [51.3\%] | [48.7\%] |
| Balloo Hire Centres Ltd |  | 39 | 22 | 0 | 61 | [63.9\%] | [36.1\%] |
| Ballydown Kids Academy Ltd |  | 22 | 12 | 0 | 34 | [64.7\%] | [35.3\%] |
| Ballymaconnell Private Nursing Home | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Ballymagroarty \& Hazelbank Community Partnership | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Ballymartin Homes Ltd | * | - | - | - | 93 | - | - |
| Ballymena Academy, The | * | - | - | - | 53 | - | - |
| Ballymena Meats |  | 29 | 25 | 25 | 79 | [53.7\%] | [46.3\%] |
| Ballymena Visionplus Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Ballyrashane Co-op Agricultural \& Dairy Society (1990) Ltd |  | 109 | 25 | 4 | 138 | [81.3\%] | [18.7\%] |
| Ballyrobert Ltd |  | 22 | 11 | 1 | 34 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Ballyrobert Service Station Ltd | * | - | - | - | 52 | - | - |
| Balmoral Inns LLP | \# | - | - | - | 86 | - | - |
| Bangor Grammar School | * | - | - | - | 77 | - | - |
| Bank Bar \& Bistro, The | \# | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Bank of Ireland |  | 625 | 725 | 90 | 1,440 | [46.3\%] | [53.7\%] |
| Bann Haulage Company Ltd T/A Eagle Overseas |  | 16 | 12 | 0 | 28 | [57.1\%] | [42.9\%] |
| Banner Business Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Bannons Ltd |  | 29 | 35 | 0 | 64 | [45.3\%] | [54.7\%] |
| Bannville House Hotel |  | 19 | 14 | 0 | 33 | [57.6\%] | [42.4\%] |
| Barahaven Construction Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Barbican Fresh Foods |  | 43 | 25 | 0 | 68 | [63.2\%] | [36.8\%] |
| Barbican Supervalu |  | 16 | 48 | 0 | 64 | [25.0\%] | [75.0\%] |
| Barclays Bank |  | 49 | 25 | 8 | 82 | [66.2\%] | [33.8\%] |
| Barkley R \& Sons Ltd | * | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Barnardos |  | 282 | 245 | 46 | 573 | [53.5\%] | [46.5\%] |
| Barnett W \& R Ltd |  | 19 | 23 | 2 | 44 | [45.2\%] | [54.8\%] |
| Barratts Trading Ltd |  | 184 | 106 | 22 | 312 | [63.4\%] | [36.6\%] |
| Barrett Joseph \& Sons Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Bavarian |  | 106 | 68 | 13 | 187 | [60.9\%] | [39.1\%] |

[^14]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bayview Contracts Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 47 | - | - |
| BC Plant Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| BDG Group Ltd |  | 36 | 14 | 3 | 53 | [72.0\%] | [28.0\%] |
| BDO |  | 66 | 59 | 7 | 132 | [52.8\%] | [47.2\%] |
| BE Aerospace (UK) Ltd |  | 262 | 263 | 17 | 542 | [49.9\%] | [50.1\%] |
| Beam Vacuum Systems Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Bean Machine Ltd, The T/A Clements |  | 33 | 55 | 36 | 124 | [37.5\%] | [62.5\%] |
| Beatty George T/A Beatty Fuels |  | 32 | 12 | 0 | 44 | [72.7\%] | [27.3\%] |
| Bedeck Ltd |  | 61 | 31 | 1 | 93 | [66.3\%] | [33.7\%] |
| Beech Hill Country House Hotel | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Beeches Professional \& Therapeutic Services Ltd, The |  | 68 | 121 | 2 | 191 | [36.0\%] | [64.0\%] |
| Beechlawn House Hotel |  | 15 | 55 | 8 | 78 | [21.4\%] | [78.6\%] |
| Beechvale Nursing Home | * | - | - | - | 50 | - | - |
| Beggs \& Partners |  | 58 | 17 | 0 | 75 | [77.3\%] | [22.7\%] |
| Bel-air Services (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 52 | - | - |
| Belfast Bible College | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Belfast Central Mission |  | 89 | 26 | 12 | 127 | [77.4\%] | [22.6\%] |
| Belfast Charitable Society |  | 57 | 74 | 7 | 138 | [43.5\%] | [56.5\%] |
| Belfast City Auctions Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Belfast Contract Cleaners Ltd | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Belfast Drains And Cleaning Services T/A Dyno-rod \& Express Drains | * | - | - | - | 51 | - | - |
| Belfast High School | * | - | - | - | 52 | - | - |
| Belfast International Airport Ltd |  | 114 | 49 | 11 | 174 | [69.9\%] | [30.1\%] |
| Belfast Media Group | \# | - | - | - | 59 | - | - |
| Belfast Royal Academy, The Governors |  | 39 | 10 | 1 | 50 | [79.6\%] | [20.4\%] |
| Belfast Visionplus Ltd |  | 18 | 18 | 2 | 38 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Belfast Visitor \& Convention Bureau |  | 21 | 22 | 12 | 55 | [48.8\%] | [51.2\%] |
| Bell Charles (1963) Ltd |  | 22 | 11 | 0 | 33 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Bell William (Tractors) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Belleek Pottery Ltd |  | 36 | 126 | 4 | 166 | [22.2\%] | [77.8\%] |
| Bells Motor Works Ltd |  | 19 | 16 | 0 | 35 | [54.3\%] | [45.7\%] |
| Belmont Hotel (Banbridge) Ltd |  | 40 | 44 | 0 | 84 | [47.6\%] | [52.4\%] |
| Ben Madigan Nursing Home |  | 26 | 32 | 15 | 73 | [44.8\%] | [55.2\%] |
| Ben Sherman Group Ltd |  | 15 | 28 | 0 | 43 | [34.9\%] | [65.1\%] |
| Best Constructors Ltd |  | 16 | 28 | 6 | 50 | [36.4\%] | [63.6\%] |
| Best George Belfast City Airport |  | 61 | 26 | 3 | 90 | [70.1\%] | [29.9\%] |
| Bettercare Keys Ltd |  | 38 | 23 | 4 | 65 | [62.3\%] | [37.7\%] |
| Beverage Plastics Ltd |  | 49 | 38 | 6 | 93 | [56.3\%] | [43.7\%] |
| BHS Ltd |  | 105 | 82 | 11 | 198 | [56.1\%] | [43.9\%] |
| Biffa Waste Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Bingo Magic T/A Top Hat Bingo |  | 38 | 61 | 1 | 100 | [38.4\%] | [61.6\%] |
| Bird's Groupage Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Birthdays Retail Ltd |  | 63 | 40 | 13 | 116 | [61.2\%] | [38.8\%] |
| Bishops Footwear Ltd |  | 43 | 13 | 6 | 62 | [76.8\%] | [23.2\%] |
| Bite Group | \# | - | - | - | 84 | - | - |
| Biznet LIS Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| BI Refrigeration \& Air Conditioning Ltd | * | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| Blackbourne Integrated M \& E |  | 73 | 36 | 5 | 114 | [67.0\%] | [33.0\%] |

[^15]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blackhill Enterprises |  | 17 | 14 | 0 | 31 | [54.8\%] | [45.2\%] |
| Blackstaff Communications Ltd |  | 17 | 22 | 1 | 40 | [43.6\%] | [56.4\%] |
| Blair International |  | 67 | 27 | 3 | 97 | [71.3\%] | [28.7\%] |
| Blair Neill Ltd | * | - | - | - | 61 | - | - |
| Bleeks James T/A J \& A Construction (Northern Ireland) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Blondtrepreneur T/A Made In Belfast | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Bloomfield Collegiate School | * | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Bloomfield Visionplus Ltd T/A Specsavers Opticians | * | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Bloomfields Private Nursing Home | * | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| BMI |  | 56 | 40 | 8 | 104 | [58.3\%] | [41.7\%] |
| BMI Trailers Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| BOC Gases Ltd | * | - | - | - | 70 | - | - |
| Bolan Investment Ltd T/A Vogue |  | 55 | 31 | 1 | 87 | [64.0\%] | [36.0\%] |
| Bondelivery |  | 97 | 59 | 13 | 169 | [62.2\%] | [37.8\%] |
| Bonmarche Ltd |  | 65 | 64 | 14 | 143 | [50.4\%] | [49.6\%] |
| Boomer Industries Ltd |  | 30 | 11 | 1 | 42 | [73.2\%] | [26.8\%] |
| Boots Opticians Professional Services |  | 43 | 12 | 3 | 58 | [78.2\%] | [21.8\%] |
| Boots UK Ltd |  | 1,149 | 863 | 134 | 2,146 | [57.1\%] | [42.9\%] |
| Boran Mopack Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 58 | - | - |
| Botanic Inns Ltd |  | 40 | 172 | 39 | 251 | [18.9\%] | [81.1\%] |
| Botanic Wine Co Ltd |  | 11 | 24 | 1 | 36 | [31.4\%] | [68.6\%] |
| Boxpak Ltd | * | - | - | - | 72 | - | - |
| Boyd Alexander Displays Ltd | * | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| Boyd James \& Sons (Carnmoney) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 54 | - | - |
| Bradbury Graphics Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Bradfor Ltd |  | 10 | 90 | 0 | 100 | [10.0\%] | [90.0\%] |
| Bradley Liam Ltd |  | 12 | 66 | 0 | 78 | [15.4\%] | [84.6\%] |
| Bradley Patrick Ltd |  | 20 | 38 | 3 | 61 | [34.5\%] | [65.5\%] |
| Braefield Private Nursing \& Residential Care Home |  | 39 | 14 | 9 | 62 | [73.6\%] | [26.4\%] |
| Braham Paul \& Sons Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 44 | - | - |
| Braid Electrical Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Braidview Trading Ltd T/A Bellevue Arms, The |  | 10 | 39 | 0 | 49 | [20.4\%] | [79.6\%] |
| Brennans Service Station | \# | - | - | - | 44 | - | - |
| Brett Martin Ltd |  | 248 | 57 | 63 | 368 | [81.3\%] | [18.7\%] |
| Brickkiln Waste Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| Bridgedale Outdoor Ltd | * | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| Brights Chips \& Things | \# | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| Brightwater Selection (Belfast) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Brinks (Ireland) Ltd |  | 35 | 10 | 0 | 45 | [77.8\%] | [22.2\%] |
| British Council, The | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| British Red Cross Society |  | 27 | 18 | 9 | 54 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| British Telecom Northern Ireland |  | 1,075 | 996 | 104 | 2,175 | [51.9\%] | [48.1\%] |
| Britvic Northern Ireland |  | 106 | 26 | 14 | 146 | [80.3\%] | [19.7\%] |
| Broadways Private Nursing Home |  | 31 | 16 | 2 | 49 | [66.0\%] | [34.0\%] |
| Brook Design Hardware Ltd |  | 15 | 15 | 0 | 30 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Brook Street (UK) Ltd |  | 37 | 51 | 5 | 93 | [42.0\%] | [58.0\%] |
| Brooklands Healthcare Ltd |  | 48 | 189 | 16 | 253 | [20.3\%] | [79.7\%] |
| Brow, John C Ltd T/A Brow Packaging | * | - | - | - | 54 | - | - |

[^16]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Browne AV Advertising Ltd |  | 24 | 19 | 2 | 45 | [55.8\%] | [44.2\%] |
| Browns Coachworks Ltd | * | - | - | - | 52 | - | - |
| Brunch Box Sandwich Company Ltd, The | * | - | - | - | 55 | - | - |
| Brunswick Superbowl Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 112 | - | - |
| Bryson Charitable Group |  | 252 | 244 | 67 | 563 | [50.8\%] | [49.2\%] |
| Bryson Futureskills |  | 28 | 41 | 2 | 71 | [40.6\%] | [59.4\%] |
| Bsg Civil Engineering Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| BTCV T/A Conservation Volunteers Northern Ireland |  | 14 | 11 | 9 | 34 | [56.0\%] | [44.0\%] |
| BTW Cairns | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| BTW Shiells Ltd |  | 46 | 33 | 4 | 83 | [58.2\%] | [41.8\%] |
| Buchanan WG \& Son Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Budget Energy Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Building Protection Systems (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 32 | 16 | 0 | 48 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Bullivant Taranto Ltd |  | 24 | 28 | 0 | 52 | [46.2\%] | [53.8\%] |
| Bulrush Horticulture Ltd |  | 11 | 40 | 15 | 66 | [21.6\%] | [78.4\%] |
| Bunzl Rafferty Hospitality Products | \# | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Burnview Properties Ltd T/A Bryansburn Nursing Home |  | 20 | 18 | 4 | 42 | [52.6\%] | [47.4\%] |
| Burrendale Day Nursery |  | 15 | 15 | 0 | 30 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Burrendale Hotel Ltd |  | 11 | 124 | 15 | 150 | [8.1\%] | [91.9\%] |
| Bushmills Hotels Ltd |  | 53 | 11 | 0 | 64 | [82.8\%] | [17.2\%] |
| Business \& Scientific Services Ltd |  | 14 | 13 | 0 | 27 | [51.9\%] | [48.1\%] |
| Business in the Community Northern Ireland |  | 21 | 22 | 7 | 50 | [48.8\%] | [51.2\%] |
| C \& J Meats Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 57 | - | - |
| C IP Insurance Brokers Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Cable \& Accessories (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 13 | 19 | 0 | 32 | [40.6\%] | [59.4\%] |
| Cable \& Wireless Worldwide |  | 20 | 12 | 4 | 36 | [62.5\%] | [37.5\%] |
| Caffe Nero |  | 15 | 20 | 10 | 45 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| Cairn Hill Home Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 58 | - | - |
| Caldwell Consulting |  | 18 | 10 | 4 | 32 | [64.3\%] | [35.7\%] |
| Caledon Precision Engineering |  | 13 | 14 | 1 | 28 | [48.1\%] | [51.9\%] |
| Calor Gas Northern Ireland Ltd |  | 45 | 20 | 8 | 73 | [69.2\%] | [30.8\%] |
| Calvert Office Equipment Ltd | * | - | - | - | 44 | - | - |
| Camden Group Ltd |  | 122 | 178 | 108 | 408 | [40.7\%] | [59.3\%] |
| Cameron Landscapes Ltd |  | 30 | 12 | 0 | 42 | [71.4\%] | [28.6\%] |
| Camerons Retail Furnishings (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 53 | 22 | 0 | 75 | [70.7\%] | [29.3\%] |
| Campbell College | * | - | - | - | 87 | - | - |
| Campbell Contracts Ltd |  | 11 | 46 | 0 | 57 | [19.3\%] | [80.7\%] |
| Campbell Fitzpatrick Solicitors |  | 12 | 29 | 0 | 41 | [29.3\%] | [70.7\%] |
| Campbell Gus Solicitors Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Campbell J \& K |  | 23 | 11 | 1 | 35 | [67.6\%] | [32.4\%] |
| Campbell JC (Northern Ireland) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 41 | - | - |
| Campbell McCleave \& Co Ltd | * | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| Canavan Engineering | \# | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Cancer Research (UK) |  | 30 | 11 | 14 | 55 | [73.2\%] | [26.8\%] |
| Canyon Europe Ltd |  | 56 | 53 | 9 | 118 | [51.4\%] | [48.6\%] |
| Capita Business Services Ltd |  | 163 | 174 | 30 | 367 | [48.4\%] | [51.6\%] |
| Capita Business Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Capita Life \& Pensions Regulated Services |  | 77 | 40 | 20 | 137 | [65.8\%] | [34.2\%] |

[^17]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capper Trading Ltd |  | 85 | 43 | 0 | 128 | [66.4\%] | [33.6\%] |
| Car Park Services Ltd |  | 29 | 21 | 12 | 62 | [58.0\%] | [42.0\%] |
| Carabus Trading Ltd T/A Sugar Night Club/Downeys Bar | \# | - | - | - | 69 | - | - |
| Caraher BJ (Distributors) Ltd |  | 16 | 18 | 0 | 34 | [47.1\%] | [52.9\%] |
| Cardona Ltd T/A Shelbourne, The | \# | - | - | - | 52 | - | - |
| Care Circle Ltd T/A Fairfields Care Centre |  | 37 | 77 | 1 | 115 | [32.5\%] | [67.5\%] |
| Care Circle Ltd T/A Kingsway Nursing Home |  | 42 | 42 | 10 | 94 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Care Plus |  | 66 | 47 | 0 | 113 | [58.4\%] | [41.6\%] |
| Carella Laminate Systems Ltd |  | 15 | 31 | 0 | 46 | [32.6\%] | [67.4\%] |
| Cargo Forwarding Ltd | * | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Caridian BCT Northern Ireland |  | 130 | 59 | 26 | 215 | [68.8\%] | [31.2\%] |
| Carillion PLC |  | 15 | 14 | 6 | 35 | [51.7\%] | [48.3\%] |
| Carlisle D \& W Ltd T/A Carlisle's Fresh Foods |  | 101 | 91 | 0 | 192 | [52.6\%] | [47.4\%] |
| Carmichaels (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 48 | 13 | 17 | 78 | [78.7\%] | [21.3\%] |
| Carnany Group Ltd |  | 15 | 76 | 9 | 100 | [16.5\%] | [83.5\%] |
| Carpetright PLC |  | 19 | 17 | 2 | 38 | [52.8\%] | [47.2\%] |
| Carphone Warehouse Ltd, The |  | 94 | 84 | 25 | 203 | [52.8\%] | [47.2\%] |
| Carryduff Forklift Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Carryduff Nursing Home | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Carson Mc Dowell Solicitors |  | 47 | 38 | 4 | 89 | [55.3\%] | [44.7\%] |
| Castle Catering Belfast Ltd |  | 20 | 42 | 3 | 65 | [32.3\%] | [67.7\%] |
| Castle Hume Leisure Ltd |  | 78 | 137 | 0 | 215 | [36.3\%] | [63.7\%] |
| Castles Geoff Boiler Services (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Castleview Private Nursing Home Ltd | * | - | - | - | 44 | - | - |
| Caterpillar Logistics Services (UK) Ltd |  | 137 | 38 | 11 | 186 | [78.3\%] | [21.7\%] |
| Cathcart FR Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Caulfield J \& Co T/A Caulfield Insurance Brokers |  | 15 | 18 | 0 | 33 | [45.5\%] | [54.5\%] |
| Cavanagh Kelly |  | 13 | 44 | 1 | 58 | [22.8\%] | [77.2\%] |
| CB Contracts |  | 24 | 29 | 4 | 57 | [45.3\%] | [54.7\%] |
| CB Packaging Ltd | * | - | - | - | 45 | - | - |
| CBC Distributors | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| CDE Ireland Ltd |  | 11 | 40 | 1 | 52 | [21.6\%] | [78.4\%] |
| Cedar Foundation, The |  | 134 | 95 | 6 | 235 | [58.5\%] | [41.5\%] |
| Celerion |  | 43 | 29 | 5 | 77 | [59.7\%] | [40.3\%] |
| Cemex (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 81 | 50 | 2 | 133 | [61.8\%] | [38.2\%] |
| Central Bookmakers | \# | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| Central Laundries Ltd |  | 43 | 39 | 0 | 82 | [52.4\%] | [47.6\%] |
| Central Welding Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Century Newspapers Ltd |  | 42 | 17 | 6 | 65 | [71.2\%] | [28.8\%] |
| Certus |  | 18 | 15 | 5 | 38 | [54.5\%] | [45.5\%] |
| CES Quarry Products Ltd | * | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| CFC Interiors Ltd |  | 30 | 23 | 0 | 53 | [56.6\%] | [43.4\%] |
| C-fish Selling Ltd |  | 16 | 16 | 18 | 50 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| CFM Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| Chain Reaction Cycles |  | 343 | 73 | 26 | 442 | [82.5\%] | [17.5\%] |
| Chambers Coach Hire (ii) Ltd |  | 19 | 41 | 5 | 65 | [31.7\%] | [68.3\%] |
| Chambers T \& Sons (Enniskillen) Ltd |  | 10 | 27 | 1 | 38 | [27.0\%] | [73.0\%] |
| Chambers W \& J Ltd |  | 43 | 12 | 0 | 55 | [78.2\%] | [21.8\%] |

[^18]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charlemont Arms Hotel (Armagh) Ltd |  | 13 | 22 | 0 | 35 | [37.1\%] | [62.9\%] |
| Charles Hurst Ltd |  | 545 | 206 | 60 | 811 | [72.6\%] | [27.4\%] |
| Charline Care Homes Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| CHC Group Ltd |  | 57 | 15 | 1 | 73 | [79.2\%] | [20.8\%] |
| Cheque Centre |  | 22 | 25 | 5 | 52 | [46.8\%] | [53.2\%] |
| Cherry Pipes Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Cherry Tree House Private Nursing \& Residential Home |  | 53 | 13 | 0 | 66 | [80.3\%] | [19.7\%] |
| Cherrymount Health Care Ltd T/A Mc Keagney Chemists | \# | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| Chesapeake Belfast Ltd |  | 120 | 49 | 0 | 169 | [71.0\%] | [29.0\%] |
| Cheslock Ltd Deanfield Nursing Home |  | 30 | 18 | 1 | 49 | [62.5\%] | [37.5\%] |
| Chester Park Inns Ltd T/A Chester Park \& Maginty's Restaurant | \# | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Chester Private Nursing Home Ltd |  | 71 | 38 | 13 | 122 | [65.1\%] | [34.9\%] |
| Chieftain Insulation (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Christian Brothers Grammar School | \# | - | - | - | 75 | - | - |
| Chubb (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 55 | 10 | 3 | 68 | [84.6\%] | [15.4\%] |
| Cirrus Ltd T/A Cirrus Plastics |  | 22 | 20 | 0 | 42 | [52.4\%] | [47.6\%] |
| Citigroup |  | 367 | 406 | 206 | 979 | [47.5\%] | [52.5\%] |
| City Electrical Factors Ltd Northern Ireland Division |  | 50 | 20 | 10 | 80 | [71.4\%] | [28.6\%] |
| City Facilities (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 190 | 159 | 20 | 369 | [54.4\%] | [45.6\%] |
| City Hotel |  | 10 | 125 | 17 | 152 | [7.4\%] | [92.6\%] |
| City Industrial Waste Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 60 | - | - |
| City Link |  | 18 | 21 | 0 | 39 | [46.2\%] | [53.8\%] |
| City of Belfast YMCA |  | 29 | 14 | 0 | 43 | [67.4\%] | [32.6\%] |
| City of Derry Airport Operations Ltd |  | 23 | 48 | 2 | 73 | [32.4\%] | [67.6\%] |
| CK International Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Claire's Accessories (UK) Ltd |  | 48 | 47 | 20 | 115 | [50.5\%] | [49.5\%] |
| Clandeboye Golf Club | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Clanmil Housing Association Ltd |  | 127 | 67 | 5 | 199 | [65.5\%] | [34.5\%] |
| Clanrye Group | \# | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Clarehill Plastics Ltd |  | 46 | 25 | 7 | 78 | [64.8\%] | [35.2\%] |
| Clarion Hotel Carrickfergus |  | 62 | 22 | 4 | 88 | [73.8\%] | [26.2\%] |
| Clark \& Mc Conn |  | 15 | 43 | 0 | 58 | [25.9\%] | [74.1\%] |
| Clark William \& Sons Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Clarke Cunningham Tree Maintenance Ltd | * | - | - | - | 45 | - | - |
| Clarke P \& Sons Ltd |  | 10 | 77 | 0 | 87 | [11.5\%] | [88.5\%] |
| Clarks International |  | 82 | 76 | 51 | 209 | [51.9\%] | [48.1\%] |
| Clear Day Nurseries |  | 132 | 18 | 8 | 158 | [88.0\%] | [12.0\%] |
| Clear Pharmacy |  | 120 | 45 | 13 | 178 | [72.7\%] | [27.3\%] |
| Clearway Disposals Ltd |  | 27 | 65 | 1 | 93 | [29.3\%] | [70.7\%] |
| Cleaver Fulton Rankin |  | 32 | 26 | 6 | 64 | [55.2\%] | [44.8\%] |
| Clinique Laboratories Ltd |  | 32 | 23 | 3 | 58 | [58.2\%] | [41.8\%] |
| Clinton Cards PLC |  | 110 | 100 | 50 | 260 | [52.4\%] | [47.6\%] |
| Clogher Care |  | 20 | 10 | 0 | 30 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Clogher Valley Care Ltd |  | 43 | 66 | 0 | 109 | [39.4\%] | [60.6\%] |
| Clonlee Private Nursing Home \& Masserene Manor Private Nursing Home |  | 75 | 51 | 16 | 142 | [59.5\%] | [40.5\%] |
| Clontara Ltd (incorporating Louisville \& Colinvale Court Nursing Homes) | \# | - | - | - | 107 | - | - |
| Cloughorr Investments Ltd T/A Golf Links Hotel \& Holiday Home Park |  | 88 | 41 | 8 | 137 | [68.2\%] | [31.8\%] |
| CMM Electrics Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |

[^19]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CMC Lift Trucks Ltd | * | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| CNC Components (UK) Ltd |  | 41 | 10 | 2 | 53 | [80.4\%] | [19.6\%] |
| Coastal Container Line Ltd |  | 28 | 19 | 1 | 48 | [59.6\%] | [40.4\%] |
| Cobco 834 Ltd T/A Homebuy |  | 14 | 20 | 1 | 35 | [41.2\%] | [58.8\%] |
| Cobra Specialist Security Services Ltd |  | 56 | 28 | 10 | 94 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Coca- Cola HBC Northern Ireland |  | 417 | 250 | 70 | 737 | [62.5\%] | [37.5\%] |
| Cohannon Inn Ltd |  | 32 | 49 | 0 | 81 | [39.5\%] | [60.5\%] |
| Coleraine Academical Institution | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Coleraine Skip Hire \& Recycling Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| College Freight Services (Northen Ireland) Ltd |  | 27 | 60 | 0 | 87 | [31.0\%] | [69.0\%] |
| Collegelands Private Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| Colliers International Belfast Ltd |  | 23 | 10 | 0 | 33 | [69.7\%] | [30.3\%] |
| Colorite Europe Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 41 | - | - |
| Commedagh Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Commercial Graphics (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Communisis PLC |  | 32 | 12 | 1 | 45 | [72.7\%] | [27.3\%] |
| Community Foundation For Northern Ireland |  | 14 | 20 | 4 | 38 | [41.2\%] | [58.8\%] |
| Compass Group (UK) \& Ireland Ltd |  | 394 | 229 | 84 | 707 | [63.2\%] | [36.8\%] |
| Complete Beverage Services Ltd |  | 25 | 29 | 1 | 55 | [46.3\%] | [53.7\%] |
| Component Distributors Ltd |  | 60 | 14 | 0 | 74 | [81.1\%] | [18.9\%] |
| Conard Care Services Ltd |  | 79 | 18 | 4 | 101 | [81.4\%] | [18.6\%] |
| Concentrix |  | 187 | 198 | 323 | 708 | [48.6\%] | [51.4\%] |
| Concrete Flooring Systems Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| Connan Paul Ltd |  | 71 | 225 | 51 | 347 | [24.0\%] | [76.0\%] |
| Connolly Liam Roadfreight Ltd |  | 22 | 26 | 0 | 48 | [45.8\%] | [54.2\%] |
| Consarc Design Group Ltd |  | 33 | 21 | 3 | 57 | [61.1\%] | [38.9\%] |
| Consilium Technologies Ltd |  | 54 | 22 | 1 | 77 | [71.1\%] | [28.9\%] |
| Construction Employers Federation Ltd |  | 16 | 13 | 2 | 31 | [55.2\%] | [44.8\%] |
| Contact |  | 33 | 38 | 4 | 75 | [46.5\%] | [53.5\%] |
| Contract Ceilings Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Contract Services (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 53 | 53 | 5 | 111 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Controlled Electronic Management Systems Ltd |  | 88 | 73 | 29 | 190 | [54.7\%] | [45.3\%] |
| Conway PJ (Contractors) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Cookery Nook, The |  | 23 | 19 | 1 | 43 | [54.8\%] | [45.2\%] |
| Cookstown Panel Centre Ltd |  | 14 | 33 | 0 | 47 | [29.8\%] | [70.2\%] |
| Cookstown Textile Recyclers |  | 34 | 170 | 7 | 211 | [16.7\%] | [83.3\%] |
| Coolmar Ltd |  | 59 | 17 | 0 | 76 | [77.6\%] | [22.4\%] |
| Cooneen Textiles Ltd |  | 22 | 21 | 0 | 43 | [51.2\%] | [48.8\%] |
| Co-operative Group Ltd T/A Co-operative Pharmacy | * | - | - | - | 56 | - | - |
| Co-operative Group, The |  | 638 | 279 | 79 | 996 | [69.6\%] | [30.4\%] |
| Co-operative Retail Logistics | * | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Coote Engineering Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Cootes (Concrete Products) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Copeland Ltd |  | 72 | 110 | 18 | 200 | [39.6\%] | [60.4\%] |
| Cordant Group |  | 16 | 14 | 4 | 34 | [53.3\%] | [46.7\%] |
| Core Systems (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 14 | 11 | 1 | 26 | [56.0\%] | [44.0\%] |
| Corkhill Lodge Ltd |  | 22 | 33 | 3 | 58 | [40.0\%] | [60.0\%] |
| Corlin Developments Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 53 | - | - |

[^20]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corn Dolly Foods Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| Cornfield Farm Care Centre Ltd T/A Cornfield Care Centre |  | 80 | 57 | 4 | 141 | [58.4\%] | [41.6\%] |
| Corporate Catering (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 16 | 16 | 1 | 33 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Corps Security |  | 146 | 20 | 5 | 171 | [88.0\%] | [12.0\%] |
| Corriewood Private Clinic Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 72 | - | - |
| Corrs Corner Hotel Ltd |  | 26 | 20 | 5 | 51 | [56.5\%] | [43.5\%] |
| Corry Harry Ltd |  | 116 | 92 | 26 | 234 | [55.8\%] | [44.2\%] |
| Corrymeela Community |  | 14 | 13 | 2 | 29 | [51.9\%] | [48.1\%] |
| Costcutter |  | 18 | 42 | 1 | 61 | [30.0\%] | [70.0\%] |
| Cotswold Outdoor Ltd | * | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Cottage Catering Ltd |  | 16 | 34 | 6 | 56 | [32.0\%] | [68.0\%] |
| Country Inns (Ulster) Ltd T/A The Clandeboye Lodge Hotel |  | 47 | 13 | 13 | 73 | [78.3\%] | [21.7\%] |
| Countrywide Freight Group Ltd |  | 53 | 36 | 11 | 100 | [59.6\%] | [40.4\%] |
| Courtney \& Nelson Ltd | * | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Cove Manor Private Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Cox Arthur (Northern Ireland) |  | 40 | 39 | 3 | 82 | [50.6\%] | [49.4\%] |
| Cox Foodmarkets |  | 21 | 82 | 0 | 103 | [20.4\%] | [79.6\%] |
| CP Hire Ltd |  | 50 | 10 | 1 | 61 | [83.3\%] | [16.7\%] |
| CPL Northern Ireland Ltd |  | 25 | 47 | 12 | 84 | [34.7\%] | [65.3\%] |
| Craig John Haulage | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Craigantlet Ltd T/A La Mon Hotel \& Country Club |  | 116 | 38 | 41 | 195 | [75.3\%] | [24.7\%] |
| Craigpatrick Northern Ireland Limited |  | 92 | 87 | 41 | 220 | [51.4\%] | [48.6\%] |
| Crane Stockham Valve Ltd |  | 65 | 12 | 10 | 87 | [84.4\%] | [15.6\%] |
| Crawford \& Co (UK) Ltd |  | 46 | 23 | 11 | 80 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Crawford M \& D T/A Spar Supermarket | \# | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| Crawford R |  | 56 | 29 | 0 | 85 | [65.9\%] | [34.1\%] |
| Crawford RTD Ltd | * | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Creagh Concrete Products Ltd |  | 65 | 255 | 69 | 389 | [20.3\%] | [79.7\%] |
| Creation Consumer Finance |  | 77 | 78 | 26 | 181 | [49.7\%] | [50.3\%] |
| Creations Interiors Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Creative Composites Ltd |  | 42 | 11 | 18 | 71 | [79.2\%] | [20.8\%] |
| Creighton Group Ltd |  | 71 | 28 | 3 | 102 | [71.7\%] | [28.3\%] |
| Croft Community, The |  | 81 | 16 | 6 | 103 | [83.5\%] | [16.5\%] |
| Cromer Enterprises Ltd T/A White Horse Hotel |  | 16 | 33 | 2 | 51 | [32.7\%] | [67.3\%] |
| Crossbows Optical Ltd | * | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Crossgar Foodservice Ltd |  | 43 | 158 | 35 | 236 | [21.4\%] | [78.6\%] |
| Crossland Tankers Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| Crossroads Caring for Carers (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 178 | 81 | 20 | 279 | [68.7\%] | [31.3\%] |
| Crown Paints |  | 21 | 14 | 0 | 35 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Crozier WJ \& H | * | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| Cuddy, R A | * | - | - | - | 57 | - | - |
| Culmore Stores Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Cunningham Brian T/A PC Plant \& Construction | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Cunningham Covers Ltd | * | - | - | - | 44 | - | - |
| Cunningham Lindsey |  | 23 | 11 | 0 | 34 | [67.6\%] | [32.4\%] |
| Curleys Supermarket Dungannon Ltd |  | 23 | 42 | 4 | 69 | [35.4\%] | [64.6\%] |
| Curleys Wine Cellars | \# | - | - | - | 45 | - | - |
| Curran Court Hotel Ltd |  | 37 | 27 | 0 | 64 | [57.8\%] | [42.2\%] |

[^21]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Curran D \& Sons Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Curtis Developments Ltd T/A Curtis Toyota, Curtis Peugeot \& | * | - | - | - | 58 | - | - |
| Curtis Peugeot Newtownabbey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customise Training Services Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Cybersource Northern Ireland Ltd |  | 13 | 32 | 14 | 59 | [28.9\%] | [71.1\%] |
| D \& M Cakes Ltd T/A Graham's Home Bakery |  | 29 | 16 | 0 | 45 | [64.4\%] | [35.6\%] |
| Dairy Produce Packers Ltd |  | 137 | 61 | 4 | 202 | [69.2\%] | [30.8\%] |
| Dalriada School | * | - | - | - | 52 | - | - |
| Dalriada Urgent Care |  | 151 | 70 | 3 | 224 | [68.3\%] | [31.7\%] |
| Danske Bank A/S |  | 26 | 11 | 0 | 37 | [70.3\%] | [29.7\%] |
| Davidson \& Hardy (Laboratory Supplies) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Davison AF Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Davison Mel Construction |  | 62 | 24 | 5 | 91 | [72.1\%] | [27.9\%] |
| Dawson-wam Ltd |  | 40 | 30 | 3 | 73 | [57.1\%] | [42.9\%] |
| DCC Energy Ltd |  | 129 | 36 | 0 | 165 | [78.2\%] | [21.8\%] |
| Deane Public Works Ltd |  | 30 | 11 | 0 | 41 | [73.2\%] | [26.8\%] |
| Debenhams Retail |  | 155 | 330 | 15 | 500 | [32.0\%] | [68.0\%] |
| Decora Blind Systems Ltd |  | 162 | 116 | 37 | 315 | [58.3\%] | [41.7\%] |
| Delap \& Waller Ltd |  | 15 | 24 | 3 | 42 | [38.5\%] | [61.5\%] |
| Deli Lites (Newry) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Deloitte LLP |  | 63 | 53 | 28 | 144 | [54.3\%] | [45.7\%] |
| Delta Print \& Packaging Ltd |  | 26 | 110 | 10 | 146 | [19.1\%] | [80.9\%] |
| Deluxe Art \& Theme FX Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Delwyn Enterprises Ltd T/A Yardmaster International |  | 19 | 44 | 0 | 63 | [30.2\%] | [69.8\%] |
| Denman International Ltd | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Dennison Commercials Ltd |  | 100 | 21 | 3 | 124 | [82.6\%] | [17.4\%] |
| Denny Henry \& Sons (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 42 | 45 | 3 | 90 | [48.3\%] | [51.7\%] |
| Denroy Plastics Ltd |  | 103 | 15 | 4 | 122 | [87.3\%] | [12.7\%] |
| Dental World Ltd | * | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| Depaul Ireland |  | 16 | 35 | 3 | 54 | [31.4\%] | [68.6\%] |
| Derichebourg Multiservices Ltd | * | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| Derry Credit Union Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 51 | - | - |
| Derry Journal Newspapers Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 45 | - | - |
| Derry Youth \& Community Workshop Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Derry's Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 46 | - | - |
| Desmond Motors Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 56 | - | - |
| Dessian Products Ltd |  | 24 | 44 | 3 | 71 | [35.3\%] | [64.7\%] |
| Devenish Nutrition Ltd |  | 56 | 40 | 13 | 109 | [58.3\%] | [41.7\%] |
| DF PF Ltd T/A Dairy Farm \& People 1st |  | 14 | 36 | 1 | 51 | [28.0\%] | [72.0\%] |
| DFDS Logistics Ltd |  | 121 | 73 | 25 | 219 | [62.4\%] | [37.6\%] |
| DFS Trading Ltd |  | 21 | 21 | 0 | 42 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| DHL Exel Supply Chain |  | 27 | 13 | 0 | 40 | [67.5\%] | [32.5\%] |
| DHL Express | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| DHL Express (UK) Ltd |  | 49 | 17 | 0 | 66 | [74.2\%] | [25.8\%] |
| DHL Supply Chain M \& S Division |  | 201 | 126 | 19 | 346 | [61.5\%] | [38.5\%] |
| Diageo (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 52 | 53 | 6 | 111 | [49.5\%] | [50.5\%] |
| Diageo Bailey's Global Supply (S \& B Production Ltd) |  | 28 | 11 | 3 | 42 | [71.8\%] | [28.2\%] |
| Diageo Global Supply IBC Ltd |  | 111 | 35 | 15 | 161 | [76.0\%] | [24.0\%] |

[^22]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diamond A \& Son (Timber) Ltd |  | 34 | 19 | 1 | 54 | [64.2\%] | [35.8\%] |
| Diamond Corrugated Cases Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 45 | - | - |
| Diamond Recruitment Group |  | 548 | 1,138 | 107 | 1,793 | [32.5\%] | [67.5\%] |
| Dickey HK | * | - | - | - | 65 | - | - |
| Dickson \& Co (Northern Ireland) Ltd T/A Dickson \& Co Insurances |  | 20 | 12 | 1 | 33 | [62.5\%] | [37.5\%] |
| Dillon Bass Ltd |  | 10 | 17 | 0 | 27 | [37.0\%] | [63.0\%] |
| Dinsmore Francis Ltd | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Direct Contract Packing (Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Direct Medics Ltd | */\# | - | - | - | 74 | - | - |
| Disability Action |  | 35 | 49 | 1 | 85 | [41.7\%] | [58.3\%] |
| Dittys (Castledawson) Ltd |  | 32 | 20 | 0 | 52 | [61.5\%] | [38.5\%] |
| Dixons \& Co Ltd |  | 37 | 17 | 0 | 54 | [68.5\%] | [31.5\%] |
| Dixons Contractors |  | 13 | 39 | 3 | 55 | [25.0\%] | [75.0\%] |
| DK Leisure Ltd C/o Bushtown Hotel |  | 17 | 19 | 0 | 36 | [47.2\%] | [52.8\%] |
| DLRS (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 15 | 12 | 2 | 29 | [55.6\%] | [44.4\%] |
| Dobbies Garden Centres PLC |  | 75 | 17 | 15 | 107 | [81.5\%] | [18.5\%] |
| Doherty \& Gray Ltd |  | 18 | 17 | 52 | 87 | [51.4\%] | [48.6\%] |
| Doherty James (Meats) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Doherty Patrick T/A Knockan Lodge |  | 20 | 11 | 0 | 31 | [64.5\%] | [35.5\%] |
| Doherty Peter T/A Garage Door Systems Ltd |  | 11 | 15 | 4 | 30 | [42.3\%] | [57.7\%] |
| Dollar Financial UK Ltd |  | 20 | 25 | 29 | 74 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\%] |
| Domestic Care Ltd | * | - | - | - | 52 | - | - |
| Domestic Care Services Domiciliary |  | 148 | 17 | 18 | 183 | [89.7\%] | [10.3\%] |
| Dominican College |  | 20 | 31 | 0 | 51 | [39.2\%] | [60.8\%] |
| Donaghadee Garden Centre | * | - | - | - | 103 | - | - |
| Donaghy P \& E |  | 74 | 35 | 2 | 111 | [67.9\%] | [32.1\%] |
| Donaldson A \& S (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Donnelly Group, The |  | 281 | 233 | 27 | 541 | [54.7\%] | [45.3\%] |
| Dontaur Engineering Ltd | * | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| Dooey S \& Co Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Door Store Ltd, The | * | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Doran Consulting |  | 39 | 16 | 3 | 58 | [70.9\%] | [29.1\%] |
| Doris \& Mac Mahon Solicitors | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Douglas \& Grahame Ltd |  | 61 | 19 | 4 | 84 | [76.3\%] | [23.8\%] |
| Dove House Community Trust | \# | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Dowds JF \& H Ltd |  | 17 | 38 | 1 | 56 | [30.9\%] | [69.1\%] |
| Dowler F Ltd |  | 45 | 11 | 0 | 56 | [80.4\%] | [19.6\%] |
| Dowling William Ltd |  | 36 | 14 | 3 | 53 | [72.0\%] | [28.0\%] |
| Down District Accessible Transport |  | 15 | 26 | 1 | 42 | [36.6\%] | [63.4\%] |
| Downey Bros Good Food Shop Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 117 | - | - |
| Downey Investments T/A Friar Tucks | \# | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Downshire Arms Hotel Ltd | * | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| Downtown Radio Ltd |  | 35 | 28 | 3 | 66 | [55.6\%] | [44.4\%] |
| Dr Mc Master \& Partners Mountsandel Surgery | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Drapersfield House Private Nursing Home |  | 27 | 42 | 0 | 69 | [39.1\%] | [60.9\%] |
| Draynes Farms |  | 18 | 14 | 3 | 35 | [56.3\%] | [43.8\%] |
| Drenagh Sawmills Ltd |  | 12 | 30 | 4 | 46 | [28.6\%] | [71.4\%] |
| Dresswell (Newtownards) Ltd |  | 123 | 48 | 17 | 188 | [71.9\%] | [28.1\%] |

[^23]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drinks Inc Ltd |  | 20 | 47 | 3 | 70 | [29.9\%] | [70.1\%] |
| Drombane Nursing Group T/A Templemoyle Care Home | \# | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Dromore Community Care Ltd |  | 10 | 28 | 0 | 38 | [26.3\%] | [73.7\%] |
| Drumbo Park Greyhound Stadium |  | 36 | 17 | 1 | 54 | [67.9\%] | [32.1\%] |
| Drumkeen Holdings Ltd T/A Burger King |  | 11 | 13 | 8 | 32 | [45.8\%] | [54.2\%] |
| Drummaul House Ltd |  | 42 | 55 | 5 | 102 | [43.3\%] | [56.7\%] |
| Drumragh Integrated College |  | 18 | 32 | 0 | 50 | [36.0\%] | [64.0\%] |
| DSG Retail Ltd |  | 187 | 130 | 110 | 427 | [59.0\%] | [41.0\%] |
| DSV Road Ltd |  | 30 | 16 | 7 | 53 | [65.2\%] | [34.8\%] |
| Du Pont (UK) Industrial Ltd |  | 62 | 111 | 0 | 173 | [35.8\%] | [64.2\%] |
| Dunadry Inn Ltd |  | 80 | 34 | 2 | 116 | [70.2\%] | [29.8\%] |
| Dunbia (Ballymena) |  | 95 | 70 | 22 | 187 | [57.6\%] | [42.4\%] |
| Dunbia (Dungannon) |  | 255 | 560 | 174 | 989 | [31.3\%] | [68.7\%] |
| Duncan \& Griffin Co Ltd T/A Silverbirch Hotel |  | 55 | 57 | 2 | 114 | [49.1\%] | [50.9\%] |
| Dunelm (Soft Furnishings) Ltd |  | 78 | 209 | 4 | 291 | [27.2\%] | [72.8\%] |
| Dungannon Window Company Ltd |  | 13 | 15 | 0 | 28 | [46.4\%] | [53.6\%] |
| Dunlady House Ltd |  | 58 | 22 | 4 | 84 | [72.5\%] | [27.5\%] |
| Dunluce Restaurants Ltd T/A Mc Donalds |  | 58 | 14 | 16 | 88 | [80.6\%] | [19.4\%] |
| Dunnes Stores (Bangor) Ltd |  | 534 | 1,353 | 79 | 1,966 | [28.3\%] | [71.7\%] |
| Durkan Patrick T/A Allclear Environmental | \# | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| DV8 |  | 162 | 140 | 0 | 302 | [53.6\%] | [46.4\%] |
| DW Sports Fitness Bangor |  | 50 | 81 | 0 | 131 | [38.2\%] | [61.8\%] |
| DX Network Services |  | 13 | 29 | 2 | 44 | [31.0\%] | [69.0\%] |
| Eakin Brothers Ltd |  | 31 | 13 | 0 | 44 | [70.5\%] | [29.5\%] |
| Eakin TG Ltd |  | 42 | 11 | 7 | 60 | [79.2\%] | [20.8\%] |
| Early Years |  | 49 | 103 | 13 | 165 | [32.2\%] | [67.8\%] |
| Eason \& Son (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 127 | 126 | 11 | 264 | [50.2\%] | [49.8\%] |
| East Belfast Mission | * | - | - | - | 49 | - | - |
| East Eden Ltd T/A Slieve Na Mon Nursing Home |  | 14 | 47 | 0 | 61 | [23.0\%] | [77.0\%] |
| Eastwood Ltd |  | 11 | 22 | 3 | 36 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |
| Echo (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 61 | 78 | 24 | 163 | [43.9\%] | [56.1\%] |
| Edenkeel Ltd T/A Café Roc / Earth Night Club | \# | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Edenmore Golf \& Country Club |  | 27 | 10 | 1 | 38 | [73.0\%] | [27.0\%] |
| Edgar Transport |  | 13 | 19 | 28 | 60 | [40.6\%] | [59.4\%] |
| Edgewater Contracts | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Edgewater Private Nursing Home |  | 28 | 17 | 1 | 46 | [62.2\%] | [37.8\%] |
| Edina Manufacturing Ltd | * | - | - | - | 41 | - | - |
| EDM Spamwall Facades Ltd |  | 32 | 15 | 3 | 50 | [68.1\%] | [31.9\%] |
| Edmundson Electrical Ltd |  | 61 | 11 | 3 | 75 | [84.7\%] | [15.3\%] |
| Edwards \& Co Solicitors |  | 22 | 19 | 0 | 41 | [53.7\%] | [46.3\%] |
| Edwards Enterprises (Northern Ireland) Ltd T/A Copperfields |  | 30 | 25 | 0 | 55 | [54.5\%] | [45.5\%] |
| Private Nursing Home |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eglinton (Timber Products) Ltd |  | 25 | 33 | 0 | 58 | [43.1\%] | [56.9\%] |
| Eircom (UK) Ltd |  | 21 | 15 | 0 | 36 | [58.3\%] | [41.7\%] |
| Electronic \& Security Services Ltd |  | 28 | 15 | 1 | 44 | [65.1\%] | [34.9\%] |
| Elior UK Ltd |  | 66 | 47 | 0 | 113 | [58.4\%] | [41.6\%] |
| Elite Electronic Systems Ltd |  | 57 | 72 | 31 | 160 | [44.2\%] | [55.8\%] |
| Elliott Duffy Garrett Solicitors |  | 14 | 36 | 2 | 52 | [28.0\%] | [72.0\%] |

[^24]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elmoreton Ltd T/A Benedicts Hotel Belfast |  | 25 | 50 | 7 | 82 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |
| EM News Northern Ireland Ltd |  | 73 | 70 | 34 | 177 | [51.0\%] | [49.0\%] |
| Emerson HA \& Son |  | 28 | 51 | 5 | 84 | [35.4\%] | [64.6\%] |
| Emerson Norman Group Ltd |  | 28 | 21 | 1 | 50 | [57.1\%] | [42.9\%] |
| Emerson Stanley \& Sons Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Employers For Childcare Ltd |  | 14 | 12 | 1 | 27 | [53.8\%] | [46.2\%] |
| Enterprise Rent-a-Car (UK) Ltd |  | 15 | 17 | 2 | 34 | [46.9\%] | [53.1\%] |
| Enterprise Stationery Ltd |  | 23 | 63 | 17 | 103 | [26.7\%] | [73.3\%] |
| ENVA (Northern Ireland) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| EPS Environmental Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 52 | - | - |
| Equiniti-ics Ltd |  | 158 | 70 | 21 | 249 | [69.3\%] | [30.7\%] |
| Erne Integrated College |  | 11 | 17 | 0 | 28 | [39.3\%] | [60.7\%] |
| Ernst \& Young |  | 47 | 42 | 39 | 128 | [52.8\%] | [47.2\%] |
| Estee Lauder Cosmetics Ltd |  | 32 | 45 | 9 | 86 | [41.6\%] | [58.4\%] |
| Etain Ltd |  | 14 | 11 | 5 | 30 | [56.0\%] | [44.0\%] |
| Europa General Underwriters (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 18 | 24 | 3 | 45 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| Europcar National Car Rental |  | 37 | 16 | 0 | 53 | [69.8\%] | [30.2\%] |
| Eventsec Ltd |  | 295 | 272 | 0 | 567 | [52.0\%] | [48.0\%] |
| Everything Everywhere Ltd |  | 29 | 19 | 36 | 84 | [60.4\%] | [39.6\%] |
| Evron Foods Ltd |  | 48 | 55 | 123 | 226 | [46.6\%] | [53.4\%] |
| Excel Glass Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Exchange Restaurants Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Executive Council of The Inn of Court of Northern Ireland, The |  | 15 | 14 | 3 | 32 | [51.7\%] | [48.3\%] |
| Exhibit Ltd |  | 64 | 78 | 0 | 142 | [45.1\%] | [54.9\%] |
| Experiences Connect Ltd |  | 25 | 22 | 0 | 47 | [53.2\%] | [46.8\%] |
| Express Distribution Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Extern Organisation Ltd, The |  | 146 | 148 | 21 | 315 | [49.7\%] | [50.3\%] |
| Extra Care |  | 223 | 249 | 81 | 553 | [47.2\%] | [52.8\%] |
| Fairlawns Care Home Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Fairways Residential Homes |  | 45 | 15 | 6 | 66 | [75.0\%] | [25.0\%] |
| Faith House | * | - | - | - | 97 | - | - |
| Falls Community Council | \# | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Fane Valley Co-op Society Ltd |  | 95 | 17 | 0 | 112 | [84.8\%] | [15.2\%] |
| Fane Valley Feeds Ltd |  | 40 | 13 | 3 | 56 | [75.5\%] | [24.5\%] |
| Farmview Dairies Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| FASA (Forum for Action on Substance Abuse \& Suicide Awareness) | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Fergus Investments Ltd T/A Supervalu Carrickfergus | * | - | - | - | 44 | - | - |
| Fermanagh Homecare Services |  | 25 | 40 | 0 | 65 | [38.5\%] | [61.5\%] |
| FF Food Engineering Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Fidessa PLC |  | 12 | 14 | 5 | 31 | [46.2\%] | [53.8\%] |
| Fin Engineering Group Ltd |  | 52 | 14 | 2 | 68 | [78.8\%] | [21.2\%] |
| Finaghy Cleaning \& Support Services Ltd |  | 33 | 21 | 5 | 59 | [61.1\%] | [38.9\%] |
| Fine Foods Lisburn Road Ltd T/A Shu Restaurant | \# | - | - | - | 45 | - | - |
| Finlay Communications Ltd |  | 71 | 30 | 9 | 110 | [70.3\%] | [29.7\%] |
| Finlay James AS Ltd | * | - | - | - | 55 | - | - |
| Finning (UK) HR Department |  | 40 | 11 | 0 | 51 | [78.4\%] | [21.6\%] |
| Fir Trees Hotel Strabane Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Fire Security Ltd T/A Gent (Northern Ireland) | * | - | - | - | 50 | - | - |

[^25]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firmus Energy |  | 33 | 44 | 6 | 83 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| First Choice Selection Services Ltd |  | 461 | 445 | 45 | 951 | [50.9\%] | [49.1\%] |
| First Derivatives PLC |  | 53 | 262 | 132 | 447 | [16.8\%] | [83.2\%] |
| First Housing Aid \& Support Services |  | 10 | 118 | 5 | 133 | [7.8\%] | [92.2\%] |
| First4skills |  | 18 | 23 | 6 | 47 | [43.9\%] | [56.1\%] |
| Firstsource Solutions Ltd |  | 212 | 1,217 | 215 | 1,644 | [14.8\%] | [85.2\%] |
| Fishbourne House Nursing Home |  | 16 | 13 | 0 | 29 | [55.2\%] | [44.8\%] |
| Fisher Engineering Ltd |  | 189 | 93 | 2 | 284 | [67.0\%] | [33.0\%] |
| Fitness First Clubs Ltd T/A Fitness First |  | 35 | 31 | 10 | 76 | [53.0\%] | [47.0\%] |
| Fitzwilliam Hotel Belfast |  | 27 | 38 | 9 | 74 | [41.5\%] | [58.5\%] |
| Fivemiletown \& Brookborough Co-op Agricultural \& Dairy Society Ltd |  | 44 | 23 | 0 | 67 | [65.7\%] | [34.3\%] |
| Fiveways Shop \& Service Station Ltd |  | 16 | 132 | 0 | 148 | [10.8\%] | [89.2\%] |
| Flagship Media Group Ltd |  | 27 | 20 | 6 | 53 | [57.4\%] | [42.6\%] |
| Flanagan KJ \& Co Ltd |  | 23 | 27 | 1 | 51 | [46.0\%] | [54.0\%] |
| Fleck Imet Group, The | * | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Fleet Financial (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Fleming Agri-products Ltd |  | 59 | 10 | 3 | 72 | [85.5\%] | [14.5\%] |
| Flybe |  | 168 | 53 | 33 | 254 | [76.0\%] | [24.0\%] |
| Flynn Maurice \& Sons Ltd |  | 49 | 59 | 5 | 113 | [45.4\%] | [54.6\%] |
| FM Environmental Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 45 | - | - |
| FM UK Ltd |  | 21 | 16 | 0 | 37 | [56.8\%] | [43.2\%] |
| Fois Ltd |  | 16 | 17 | 0 | 33 | [48.5\%] | [51.5\%] |
| Fold Housing Association |  | 355 | 288 | 45 | 688 | [55.2\%] | [44.8\%] |
| Fonacab (Belfast) Ltd |  | 37 | 26 | 6 | 69 | [58.7\%] | [41.3\%] |
| Fonezone Telecommunications Ltd T/A Barclays Communications |  | 59 | 21 | 7 | 87 | [73.8\%] | [26.3\%] |
| Footprints Women's Centre | \# | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| Forth CommuNorthern Irelandcation (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Fortress Doors (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Four Dee (Northern Ireland) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Four Seasons Health Care | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Four Seasons Health Care Court Care Home, The |  | 36 | 14 | 8 | 58 | [72.0\%] | [28.0\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Abbeylands Care Home |  | 68 | 20 | 0 | 88 | [77.3\%] | [22.7\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Annahilt Care Home |  | 36 | 13 | 0 | 49 | [73.5\%] | [26.5\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Antrim Care Home |  | 31 | 19 | 1 | 51 | [62.0\%] | [38.0\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Arches Care Home |  | 16 | 14 | 4 | 34 | [53.3\%] | [46.7\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Ardlough Care Home |  | 28 | 25 | 0 | 53 | [52.8\%] | [47.2\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Ardmaine Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 55 | - | - |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Ashgrove Care Home | \# | - | - | - | 56 | - | - |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Bangor Care Home |  | 39 | 39 | 17 | 95 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Beechill Care Home | \# | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Belmont Nursing Home |  | 27 | 20 | 0 | 47 | [57.4\%] | [42.6\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Bethany Care Home |  | 22 | 20 | 8 | 50 | [52.4\%] | [47.6\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Camphill Care Home |  | 42 | 25 | 9 | 76 | [62.7\%] | [37.3\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Carnalea Care Home |  | 30 | 34 | 4 | 68 | [46.9\%] | [53.1\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Castle Lodge |  | 21 | 15 | 1 | 37 | [58.3\%] | [41.7\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Cedarhurst Lodge Care Home |  | 12 | 39 | 11 | 62 | [23.5\%] | [76.5\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Cherryvalley Care Home |  | 20 | 10 | 5 | 35 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Chestnut Lodge Care Home | \# | - | - | - | 46 | - | - |

[^26]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Comber Care Home |  | 51 | 12 | 2 | 65 | [81.0\%] | [19.0\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Coolaness Care Home |  | 18 | 25 | 1 | 44 | [41.9\%] | [58.1\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Craigdun Care Home |  | 32 | 11 | 3 | 46 | [74.4\%] | [25.6\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Croaghpatrick Care Home |  | 54 | 13 | 2 | 69 | [80.6\%] | [19.4\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Cromore House Care Home |  | 23 | 14 | 1 | 38 | [62.2\%] | [37.8\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Donaghcloney Care Home | * | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Drumclay Care Home |  | 27 | 43 | 3 | 73 | [38.6\%] | [61.4\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Drumragh Care Home |  | 14 | 34 | 3 | 51 | [29.2\%] | [70.8\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Dungannon Care Home |  | 17 | 35 | 3 | 55 | [32.7\%] | [67.3\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Edenmore Care Home |  | 32 | 16 | 7 | 55 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Edenvale Care Centre |  | 15 | 30 | 0 | 45 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Edgewater Lodge Care Home |  | 50 | 24 | 3 | 77 | [67.6\%] | [32.4\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Galgorm Care Home |  | 24 | 14 | 3 | 41 | [63.2\%] | [36.8\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Garvagh Care Home |  | 23 | 40 | 0 | 63 | [36.5\%] | [63.5\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Greerville Manor Care Home |  | 31 | 20 | 4 | 55 | [60.8\%] | [39.2\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Hamilton Court Care Home |  | 34 | 17 | 10 | 61 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Hawthorn House Care Home | * | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Jordanstown Care Home |  | 38 | 24 | 0 | 62 | [61.3\%] | [38.7\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Laganvale Care Home |  | 47 | 38 | 1 | 86 | [55.3\%] | [44.7\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Landsdowne Care Home |  | 28 | 48 | 1 | 77 | [36.8\%] | [63.2\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Lecale Lodge Care Home | \# | - | - | - | 74 | - | - |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Lisburn Care Home | * | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Lisnisky Care Home |  | 52 | 30 | 2 | 84 | [63.4\%] | [36.6\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Mahon Hall Care Home |  | 54 | 19 | 3 | 76 | [74.0\%] | [26.0\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Manor Lodge Care Home | \# | - | - | - | 48 | - | - |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Meadowbank Care Home |  | 18 | 16 | 2 | 36 | [52.9\%] | [47.1\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Model Care Centre, The |  | 31 | 17 | 0 | 48 | [64.6\%] | [35.4\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Moneymore Care Home |  | 22 | 29 | 1 | 52 | [43.1\%] | [56.9\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Mount Lens Care Home | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Nightingale Care Centre |  | 14 | 33 | 0 | 47 | [29.8\%] | [70.2\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Oakridge Care Home |  | 16 | 16 | 2 | 34 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Parkview Care Home | * | - | - | - | 73 | - | - |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Pond Park Care Centre |  | 28 | 23 | 11 | 62 | [54.9\%] | [45.1\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Rathmena Care Home | * | - | - | - | 45 | - | - |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Rosevale Lodge Care Home |  | 28 | 26 | 7 | 61 | [51.9\%] | [48.1\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Saintfield Lodge Care Home | \# | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Sandringham Care Home |  | 44 | 31 | 5 | 80 | [58.7\%] | [41.3\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Seapatrick Care Home |  | 36 | 34 | 1 | 71 | [51.4\%] | [48.6\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Stormont Care Home |  | 21 | 16 | 1 | 38 | [56.8\%] | [43.2\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Strathearn Court Care Home |  | 36 | 17 | 7 | 60 | [67.9\%] | [32.1\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Tennent Street Care Home |  | 44 | 10 | 10 | 64 | [81.5\%] | [18.5\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A The County Care Home |  | 23 | 14 | 22 | 59 | [62.2\%] | [37.8\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Tudordale Care Home |  | 21 | 32 | 1 | 54 | [39.6\%] | [60.4\%] |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Victoria Park Care Home | * | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Whiteabbey Care Home | * | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| Four Seasons Health Care T/A Woodgrove Care Home | * | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Fox Building \& Engineering Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Foyle \& Londonderry College |  | 31 | 23 | 3 | 57 | [57.4\%] | [42.6\%] |

[^27]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foyle Day Care Ltd |  | 29 | 34 | 2 | 65 | [46.0\%] | [54.0\%] |
| Foyle Hospice |  | 11 | 66 | 0 | 77 | [14.3\%] | [85.7\%] |
| Foyle Meats |  | 82 | 194 | 10 | 286 | [29.7\%] | [70.3\%] |
| FPM Accountants LLP | \# | - | - | - | 59 | - | - |
| Frackelton John \& Son Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Franklins International Ltd |  | 19 | 10 | 4 | 33 | [65.5\%] | [34.5\%] |
| Freeza Meats Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Friends School | * | - | - | - | 61 | - | - |
| Friendship \& Caring Trust (FACT) |  | 12 | 34 | 2 | 48 | [26.1\%] | [73.9\%] |
| Fruithill Private Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 66 | - | - |
| Frylite Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 92 | - | - |
| Fugro-bks Ltd |  | 52 | 18 | 1 | 71 | [74.3\%] | [25.7\%] |
| Fujitsu Telecommunications (Ireland) |  | 46 | 39 | 14 | 99 | [54.1\%] | [45.9\%] |
| Fujitsu UK \& Ireland |  | 314 | 312 | 87 | 713 | [50.2\%] | [49.8\%] |
| Fultons Fine Furnishings | * | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| Fultons Fine Furnishings Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| FUM Ltd T/A Message Pad | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Fundays Childcare |  | 14 | 14 | 0 | 28 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Funeral Services (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 131 | 13 | 8 | 152 | [91.0\%] | [9.0\%] |
| Fyfes Vehicles \& Engineering Supplies Ltd |  | 67 | 68 | 0 | 135 | [49.6\%] | [50.4\%] |
| G \& M Lodge Caring Ltd |  | 12 | 59 | 2 | 73 | [16.9\%] | [83.1\%] |
| G4S Cash Services (UK) Ltd |  | 231 | 52 | 5 | 288 | [81.6\%] | [18.4\%] |
| G4S Security Services (UK) |  | 403 | 234 | 123 | 760 | [63.3\%] | [36.7\%] |
| Galeton Ltd T/A Ailsa Lodge Nursing Home | * | - | - | - | 56 | - | - |
| Galfield Ltd T/A Kelly's Spar | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Galgorm Resort \& Spa |  | 121 | 147 | 2 | 270 | [45.1\%] | [54.9\%] |
| Gallagher \& Mc Kinney Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Gallaher Ltd |  | 718 | 189 | 38 | 945 | [79.2\%] | [20.8\%] |
| Gate Gourmet | \# | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| GB Poultry | \# | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Geda Construction Co Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 46 | - | - |
| Gibson Bros Ltd |  | 35 | 11 | 0 | 46 | [76.1\%] | [23.9\%] |
| Gilbert-ash Ltd |  | 41 | 74 | 10 | 125 | [35.7\%] | [64.3\%] |
| Gilfresh Produce |  | 46 | 73 | 22 | 141 | [38.7\%] | [61.3\%] |
| Gillaroo Lodge Nursing Home Ltd |  | 36 | 13 | 0 | 49 | [73.5\%] | [26.5\%] |
| Gillbrooke Private Nursing Home |  | 22 | 13 | 0 | 35 | [62.9\%] | [37.1\%] |
| Girvan Thomas T/A Karina Lodge Private Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Glanbia Cheese Ltd |  | 87 | 71 | 5 | 163 | [55.1\%] | [44.9\%] |
| Glasgiven Contracts Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| Glas-seal (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 41 | 35 | 1 | 77 | [53.9\%] | [46.1\%] |
| Glen Dimplex (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Glen Electric Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 142 | - | - |
| Glenavon House Hotel (1982) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 53 | - | - |
| Glenbay Ltd (Peter Mark) |  | 63 | 67 | 8 | 138 | [48.5\%] | [51.5\%] |
| Glencarron Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 62 | - | - |
| Glendale Stores Ltd |  | 13 | 26 | 0 | 39 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |
| Glendermott Enterprises Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Glendun Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 70 | - | - |

[^28]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Glenkrag Ltd | * | - | - | - | 74 | - | - |
| Glenmachan Tower House Private Nursing Home | * | - | - | - | 62 | - | - |
| Glenmona Resource Centre |  | 13 | 85 | 0 | 98 | [13.3\%] | [86.7\%] |
| Glens of Antrim Potatoes Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| Glenview Private Nursing \& Residential Home |  | 29 | 36 | 0 | 65 | [44.6\%] | [55.4\%] |
| Glenview Private Nursing Home |  | 22 | 23 | 2 | 47 | [48.9\%] | [51.1\%] |
| Global Armour (UK) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Glover Site Investigations Ltd |  | 34 | 13 | 0 | 47 | [72.3\%] | [27.7\%] |
| Goldblatt Mc Guigan |  | 28 | 25 | 5 | 58 | [52.8\%] | [47.2\%] |
| Golden Cow Dairies Ltd |  | 33 | 20 | 0 | 53 | [62.3\%] | [37.7\%] |
| Golden Glen Ltd T/A Golden Glen Catering | * | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Golf Holdings Ltd |  | 1,008 | 539 | 117 | 1,664 | [65.2\%] | [34.8\%] |
| Goodbody A \& L Solicitors (Northern Ireland) |  | 17 | 19 | 1 | 37 | [47.2\%] | [52.8\%] |
| Gordons Chemists |  | 144 | 97 | 6 | 247 | [59.8\%] | [40.2\%] |
| Gordons N \& R Ltd |  | 223 | 91 | 1 | 315 | [71.0\%] | [29.0\%] |
| Gortacharn Private Nursing Home |  | 20 | 48 | 2 | 70 | [29.4\%] | [70.6\%] |
| GPS (GB) Ltd T/A The Gap |  | 35 | 20 | 1 | 56 | [63.6\%] | [36.4\%] |
| GPS Colour Graphics Ltd |  | 37 | 11 | 4 | 52 | [77.1\%] | [22.9\%] |
| Graan Abbey Private Nursing Home, The |  | 13 | 59 | 20 | 92 | [18.1\%] | [81.9\%] |
| Grafton Recruitment Ltd |  | 1,324 | 729 | 150 | 2,203 | [64.5\%] | [35.5\%] |
| Graham Engineering | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Graham John (Dromore) Ltd |  | 416 | 323 | 117 | 856 | [56.3\%] | [43.7\%] |
| Graham SP Ltd |  | 13 | 111 | 0 | 124 | [10.5\%] | [89.5\%] |
| Grahams Ray Ltd |  | 15 | 10 | 3 | 28 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Grainger Building Services Ltd |  | 40 | 14 | 1 | 55 | [74.1\%] | [25.9\%] |
| Grand Opera House Trust |  | 46 | 66 | 24 | 136 | [41.1\%] | [58.9\%] |
| Grant Thornton (UK) LLP |  | 93 | 91 | 17 | 201 | [50.5\%] | [49.5\%] |
| Grant William \& Co Ltd |  | 18 | 44 | 0 | 62 | [29.0\%] | [71.0\%] |
| Grants Electrical Services (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 51 | 12 | 1 | 64 | [81.0\%] | [19.0\%] |
| Granville Food Care Ltd |  | 17 | 19 | 14 | 50 | [47.2\%] | [52.8\%] |
| Gray \& Adams (Ireland) Ltd |  | 43 | 13 | 1 | 57 | [76.8\%] | [23.2\%] |
| Gray Alison Recruitment |  | 27 | 30 | 1 | 58 | [47.4\%] | [52.6\%] |
| Greater Shankill Partnership (ISCYP) | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Greater Shankill Partnership Shankill Sure Start | * | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Green JT \& Sons Ltd T/A Greens Food Fare | * | - | - | - | 101 | - | - |
| Greenpark Private Nursing Home Ltd |  | 18 | 86 | 0 | 104 | [17.3\%] | [82.7\%] |
| Gregg \& Patterson (Engineers) Ltd |  | 34 | 12 | 1 | 47 | [73.9\%] | [26.1\%] |
| Greiner Packaging Ltd |  | 150 | 33 | 6 | 189 | [82.0\%] | [18.0\%] |
| Grosvenor Shirts Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Ground Espresso Bars |  | 30 | 20 | 13 | 63 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Groundwork (Northern Ireland) |  | 10 | 10 | 7 | 27 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Group 4 Securicor |  | 13 | 24 | 0 | 37 | [35.1\%] | [64.9\%] |
| GSH Ireland |  | 33 | 17 | 0 | 50 | [66.0\%] | [34.0\%] |
| GT Exhausts (Northern Ireland) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 70 | - | - |
| Guardforce Ltd |  | 27 | 16 | 3 | 46 | [62.8\%] | [37.2\%] |
| H \& A Mechanical Services Ltd |  | 64 | 156 | 9 | 229 | [29.1\%] | [70.9\%] |
| Habinteg Housing Association (Ulster) Ltd |  | 37 | 39 | 7 | 83 | [48.7\%] | [51.3\%] |
| Hagan John P |  | 14 | 14 | 0 | 28 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |

[^29]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hair Traffic |  | 25 | 42 | 5 | 72 | [37.3\%] | [62.7\%] |
| Haldane Shiells Group |  | 213 | 112 | 2 | 327 | [65.5\%] | [34.5\%] |
| Halfords Ltd |  | 100 | 81 | 53 | 234 | [55.2\%] | [44.8\%] |
| Hamilton \& Kirk Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Hamilton Architects |  | 12 | 14 | 3 | 29 | [46.2\%] | [53.8\%] |
| Hamilton James \& Co (Lurgan) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 69 | - | - |
| Hamilton Newsagency | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Hamilton Private Nursing Home | * | - | - | - | 41 | - | - |
| Hamilton Shipping Holdings Ltd |  | 13 | 14 | 2 | 29 | [48.1\%] | [51.9\%] |
| Hamilton TJ \& Co Ltd |  | 15 | 12 | 1 | 28 | [55.6\%] | [44.4\%] |
| Hampton Conservatories Ltd | * | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Handling \& Storage Equipment Co Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Happy Days (1999) Ltd T/A Happy Days Day Nursery | * | - | - | - | 45 | - | - |
| Harbinson Mulholland |  | 21 | 17 | 1 | 39 | [55.3\%] | [44.7\%] |
| Harcourt Construction (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 21 | 27 | 1 | 49 | [43.8\%] | [56.3\%] |
| Harland \& Wolff Heavy Industries Ltd | * | - | - | - | 132 | - | - |
| Harpscreen International Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Harpurs Hill Children \& Family Centre Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Harte \& Eakin (Contractors) (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 26 | 13 | 0 | 39 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Harvey Group PLC |  | 57 | 24 | 7 | 88 | [70.4\%] | [29.6\%] |
| Harvey Norman Trading Ltd |  | 49 | 26 | 0 | 75 | [65.3\%] | [34.7\%] |
| Harveys Human Resource Department |  | 22 | 14 | 11 | 47 | [61.1\%] | [38.9\%] |
| Hasson M \& Sons Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Hastings Hotels Group Ltd |  | 248 | 293 | 109 | 650 | [45.8\%] | [54.2\%] |
| Haulage Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Haven Private Nursing Home, The | \# | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Hawthorne Restaurant |  | 11 | 14 | 1 | 26 | [44.0\%] | [56.0\%] |
| Hayburn Wood Products Ltd | * | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Hayes Fuels |  | 34 | 25 | 4 | 63 | [57.6\%] | [42.4\%] |
| Hays Construction \& Property |  | 48 | 70 | 23 | 141 | [40.7\%] | [59.3\%] |
| Hays Specialist Recruitment Ltd |  | 218 | 169 | 31 | 418 | [56.3\%] | [43.7\%] |
| Hazelwood Integrated College |  | 30 | 33 | 6 | 69 | [47.6\%] | [52.4\%] |
| Hazelwood Integrated Primary School |  | 20 | 19 | 4 | 43 | [51.3\%] | [48.7\%] |
| HCL BPO Services (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 405 | 909 | 129 | 1,443 | [30.8\%] | [69.2\%] |
| HC-One T/A Rockfield Care Centre | \# | - | - | - | 45 | - | - |
| HDN (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 72 | 27 | 8 | 107 | [72.7\%] | [27.3\%] |
| Healthy Buildings (ireland) Ltd |  | 13 | 18 | 1 | 32 | [41.9\%] | [58.1\%] |
| Heartsine Technologies Ltd | * | - | - | - | 53 | - | - |
| Heat |  | 120 | 117 | 3 | 240 | [50.6\%] | [49.4\%] |
| Heathcotes Fine Foods Ltd |  | 61 | 136 | 28 | 225 | [31.0\%] | [69.0\%] |
| Heatherlea Bakery | * | - | - | - | 58 | - | - |
| Heatons (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 171 | 355 | 45 | 571 | [32.5\%] | [67.5\%] |
| Helm Housing |  | 63 | 58 | 12 | 133 | [52.1\%] | [47.9\%] |
| Hemel Ltd |  | 14 | 37 | 5 | 56 | [27.5\%] | [72.5\%] |
| Henderson John Holdings Ltd |  | 1,551 | 557 | 140 | 2,248 | [73.6\%] | [26.4\%] |
| Hennebry JJ \& Sons Ltd |  | 12 | 32 | 0 | 44 | [27.3\%] | [72.7\%] |
| Henry Bros (Magherafelt) Ltd |  | 170 | 19 | 3 | 192 | [89.9\%] | [10.1\%] |
| Herbel Restaurants Ltd |  | 209 | 195 | 293 | 697 | [51.7\%] | [48.3\%] |

[^30]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heron Bros Ltd |  | 28 | 125 | 27 | 180 | [18.3\%] | [81.7\%] |
| Hewitt Meats |  | 31 | 21 | 15 | 67 | [59.6\%] | [40.4\%] |
| Hewlett Packard Ltd |  | 19 | 26 | 52 | 97 | [42.2\%] | [57.8\%] |
| Heyn Group Ltd |  | 58 | 28 | 1 | 87 | [67.4\%] | [32.6\%] |
| Hill Engineering Ltd |  | 12 | 21 | 1 | 34 | [36.4\%] | [63.6\%] |
| Hill Vellacott |  | 15 | 14 | 0 | 29 | [51.7\%] | [48.3\%] |
| Hillcrest Centre Ltd T/A P \& G The Family Food Store | * | - | - | - | 110 | - | - |
| Hillen Bros T/A Ava Off Sales \& Bar | * | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Hillgrove Hotel Ltd T/A Magherabuoy House Hotel |  | 25 | 24 | 12 | 61 | [51.0\%] | [49.0\%] |
| Hillmount Nursery Centre | * | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Hillside Nursery Centre | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Hillview Lodge Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Hilton Belfast |  | 55 | 87 | 29 | 171 | [38.7\%] | [61.3\%] |
| Hilton Group PLC T/A Hilton Templepatrick |  | 118 | 32 | 14 | 164 | [78.7\%] | [21.3\%] |
| Hilton Meat Products Ltd |  | 31 | 10 | 0 | 41 | [75.6\%] | [24.4\%] |
| Hilton Meats (Cookstown) Ltd |  | 14 | 53 | 3 | 70 | [20.9\%] | [79.1\%] |
| Hire Class Ltd |  | 43 | 26 | 8 | 77 | [62.3\%] | [37.7\%] |
| Hockley Private Nursing Home |  | 91 | 18 | 0 | 109 | [83.5\%] | [16.5\%] |
| Hogg R \& Sons Ltd |  | 13 | 18 | 0 | 31 | [41.9\%] | [58.1\%] |
| Holiday Inn Belfast (Glandor Properties) |  | 28 | 36 | 28 | 92 | [43.8\%] | [56.3\%] |
| Hollygate Lodge Residential Home |  | 22 | 17 | 2 | 41 | [56.4\%] | [43.6\%] |
| Hollygate Nursing Home |  | 23 | 11 | 1 | 35 | [67.6\%] | [32.4\%] |
| Holy Trinity Centre | \# | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Homebase Ltd |  | 244 | 186 | 25 | 455 | [56.7\%] | [43.3\%] |
| Homecare Independent Living |  | 191 | 293 | 193 | 677 | [39.5\%] | [60.5\%] |
| Homecare Services (Northern Ireland) Ltd T/A Domestic Care |  | 76 | 15 | 19 | 110 | [83.5\%] | [16.5\%] |
| Homeloan Management Ltd |  | 37 | 280 | 13 | 330 | [11.7\%] | [88.3\%] |
| Homestyle Operations T/A Bensons For Beds | * | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Hospitality Management (Northern Ireland) Ltd T/A Seagoe Hotel |  | 25 | 32 | 8 | 65 | [43.9\%] | [56.1\%] |
| House of Fraser |  | 96 | 111 | 14 | 221 | [46.4\%] | [53.6\%] |
| Housing Rights Service |  | 14 | 21 | 4 | 39 | [40.0\%] | [60.0\%] |
| Houston Bros Ltd |  | 55 | 30 | 3 | 88 | [64.7\%] | [35.3\%] |
| Howden (UK) Ltd |  | 83 | 13 | 2 | 98 | [86.5\%] | [13.5\%] |
| Howell House Ltd |  | 18 | 10 | 2 | 30 | [64.3\%] | [35.7\%] |
| HSBC Bank PLC |  | 62 | 47 | 13 | 122 | [56.9\%] | [43.1\%] |
| Huddleston John Engineering Ltd |  | 56 | 13 | 3 | 72 | [81.2\%] | [18.8\%] |
| Hughes \& Company |  | 183 | 45 | 2 | 230 | [80.3\%] | [19.7\%] |
| Hughes Joseph Painting Contractor |  | 22 | 75 | 1 | 98 | [22.7\%] | [77.3\%] |
| Hughes K \& Co Ltd T/A Hughes Mushrooms | \# | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Huhtamaki (Lurgan) Ltd |  | 99 | 84 | 23 | 206 | [54.1\%] | [45.9\%] |
| Hunter Apparel Solutions Ltd |  | 14 | 23 | 0 | 37 | [37.8\%] | [62.2\%] |
| Hunter Brian Ltd |  | 26 | 25 | 2 | 53 | [51.0\%] | [49.0\%] |
| Hunter JD \& Co Ltd |  | 118 | 36 | 19 | 173 | [76.6\%] | [23.4\%] |
| Hunterhouse College | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Hutchison 3G (UK) Ltd |  | 38 | 19 | 9 | 66 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Hutton M \& E Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Hyndman D \& Son (Bakers) Ltd |  | 30 | 18 | 0 | 48 | [62.5\%] | [37.5\%] |
| IBM (UK) Ltd |  | 33 | 23 | 11 | 67 | [58.9\%] | [41.1\%] |

[^31]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IBS (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 16 | 10 | 0 | 26 | [61.5\%] | [38.5\%] |
| ICB Emulsions Ltd |  | 15 | 20 | 0 | 35 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| Iceland Foods Ltd |  | 495 | 485 | 53 | 1,033 | [50.5\%] | [49.5\%] |
| Icemos Technology Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 53 | - | - |
| Icon Live Ltd |  | 22 | 25 | 2 | 49 | [46.8\%] | [53.2\%] |
| ICTS (UK) Ltd |  | 167 | 34 | 11 | 212 | [83.1\%] | [16.9\%] |
| Ideal |  | 13 | 11 | 3 | 27 | [54.2\%] | [45.8\%] |
| IJK Timber Group Ltd | * | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Ikea Belfast |  | 175 | 52 | 58 | 285 | [77.1\%] | [22.9\%] |
| Impact Training (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Impro Printing | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Include Youth | \# | - | - | - | 41 | - | - |
| Independent News \& Media (Northern Ireland) |  | 217 | 86 | 15 | 318 | [71.6\%] | [28.4\%] |
| Indicators International Ltd |  | 18 | 28 | 0 | 46 | [39.1\%] | [60.9\%] |
| In-doors Manufacturing Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 59 | - | - |
| Industrial Temps Ltd |  | 810 | 1,114 | 7 | 1,931 | [42.1\%] | [57.9\%] |
| Inislyn Ltd T/A Radisson Blu Hotel |  | 18 | 22 | 7 | 47 | [45.0\%] | [55.0\%] |
| Initial Textile Services |  | 28 | 25 | 0 | 53 | [52.8\%] | [47.2\%] |
| Inner City South Belfast Sure Start |  | 22 | 16 | 9 | 47 | [57.9\%] | [42.1\%] |
| Instore |  | 163 | 170 | 62 | 395 | [48.9\%] | [51.1\%] |
| Integrated College Dungannon |  | 19 | 20 | 1 | 40 | [48.7\%] | [51.3\%] |
| Interface Europe Ltd |  | 33 | 83 | 1 | 117 | [28.4\%] | [71.6\%] |
| Interfrigo Ltd |  | 11 | 11 | 20 | 42 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Internacionale Retail Ltd |  | 58 | 64 | 0 | 122 | [47.5\%] | [52.5\%] |
| Interserve (Facilities Management) Ltd |  | 24 | 92 | 5 | 121 | [20.7\%] | [79.3\%] |
| INTO, Queen's University Belfast |  | 19 | 14 | 8 | 41 | [57.6\%] | [42.4\%] |
| Intune Networks Belfast Ltd |  | 19 | 19 | 7 | 45 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Invision Software Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 44 | - | - |
| Invista Textiles (UK) Ltd |  | 153 | 213 | 3 | 369 | [41.8\%] | [58.2\%] |
| Ireland Freight Services (UK) Ltd |  | 70 | 19 | 4 | 93 | [78.7\%] | [21.3\%] |
| Irisa Group |  | 111 | 51 | 12 | 174 | [68.5\%] | [31.5\%] |
| Irish Autotrader Ltd |  | 26 | 21 | 0 | 47 | [55.3\%] | [44.7\%] |
| Irish Football Association, The |  | 69 | 30 | 0 | 99 | [69.7\%] | [30.3\%] |
| Irish Inns Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 49 | - | - |
| Irish News Ltd, The |  | 29 | 98 | 2 | 129 | [22.8\%] | [77.2\%] |
| Irish Rugby Football Union (Ulster Branch) | * | - | - | - | 60 | - | - |
| Irish Salt Mining \& Exploration Company Ltd |  | 37 | 15 | 2 | 54 | [71.2\%] | [28.8\%] |
| Irish Waste Services Ltd |  | 19 | 28 | 6 | 53 | [40.4\%] | [59.6\%] |
| Irwin AN \& Sons | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Irwin Electrical Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 55 | - | - |
| Irwin Ra \& Co Ltd |  | 68 | 11 | 2 | 81 | [86.1\%] | [13.9\%] |
| Irwin Wd \& Sons |  | 241 | 128 | 20 | 389 | [65.3\%] | [34.7\%] |
| Island Cleaning Services Ltd |  | 115 | 103 | 0 | 218 | [52.8\%] | [47.2\%] |
| Islandbawn Stores Ltd | * | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| ISS Facility Services |  | 92 | 43 | 18 | 153 | [68.1\%] | [31.9\%] |
| Ivanhoe Inn \& Hotel |  | 25 | 18 | 1 | 44 | [58.1\%] | [41.9\%] |
| $J \& E$ Catering Ltd |  | 22 | 22 | 0 | 44 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Jacksons | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |

[^32]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jacobs Engineering (UK) Ltd |  | 15 | 20 | 4 | 39 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| Jacques Vert PLC |  | 26 | 12 | 0 | 38 | [68.4\%] | [31.6\%] |
| Jameson David Roofing Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Jark Healthcare |  | 60 | 88 | 42 | 190 | [40.5\%] | [59.5\%] |
| JB Enterprises (Derry) Ltd |  | 25 | 25 | 1 | 51 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| JBE Building Services Ltd |  | 46 | 45 | 0 | 91 | [50.5\%] | [49.5\%] |
| JCP Consulting Ltd | * | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| JD Sports Fashion PLC |  | 126 | 115 | 0 | 241 | [52.3\%] | [47.7\%] |
| Jeffers Home Bakery |  | 45 | 12 | 7 | 64 | [78.9\%] | [21.1\%] |
| Jefferson C \& H |  | 48 | 12 | 6 | 66 | [80.0\%] | [20.0\%] |
| Jenkins Shipping Co Ltd |  | 16 | 18 | 2 | 36 | [47.1\%] | [52.9\%] |
| Jesroe (Services) Ltd |  | 14 | 12 | 2 | 28 | [53.8\%] | [46.2\%] |
| Jet2.com Ltd | * | - | - | - | 51 | - | - |
| JH Industrial Cleaning Services Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 58 | - | - |
| JHC Hardware Ltd |  | 31 | 20 | 0 | 51 | [60.8\%] | [39.2\%] |
| JJB Sports PLC |  | 199 | 180 | 5 | 384 | [52.5\%] | [47.5\%] |
| JKC Specialist Cars Ltd |  | 38 | 15 | 0 | 53 | [71.7\%] | [28.3\%] |
| JMC Mechanical \& Construction Ltd |  | 13 | 22 | 0 | 35 | [37.1\%] | [62.9\%] |
| JMC Restaurants Ltd T/A Mc Donald's Sprucefield |  | 49 | 34 | 6 | 89 | [59.0\%] | [41.0\%] |
| JMF Metal Fabrications Ltd |  | 77 | 43 | 14 | 134 | [64.2\%] | [35.8\%] |
| JMG Systems Ltd |  | 11 | 69 | 0 | 80 | [13.8\%] | [86.3\%] |
| JMK Solicitors (Northern Ireland) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| JMT Direct Ltd |  | 11 | 31 | 3 | 45 | [26.2\%] | [73.8\%] |
| JNK Components Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Job Directions Ltd |  | 11 | 68 | 0 | 79 | [13.9\%] | [86.1\%] |
| Johnson Bros (Belfast) Ltd |  | 61 | 18 | 5 | 84 | [77.2\%] | [22.8\%] |
| Johnsons Solicitors |  | 25 | 15 | 0 | 40 | [62.5\%] | [37.5\%] |
| Johnston Campbell Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Johnston Cyril \& Co Ltd | * | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| Johnston Gilpin \& Co Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Johnston Kennedy Dfk Ltd | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Johnston's Bakery Ltd |  | 24 | 13 | 0 | 37 | [64.9\%] | [35.1\%] |
| Jolly Tots |  | 23 | 10 | 1 | 34 | [69.7\%] | [30.3\%] |
| Jollye Leonard F (BP) Ltd T/A Jollye's Petfood Superstores |  | 45 | 29 | 5 | 79 | [60.8\%] | [39.2\%] |
| Jollytots (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Jones Frederick (Belfast) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| Jones Peters |  | 16 | 16 | 0 | 32 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Jordan Concrete Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Jordan Plastics Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Joyland Amusement Centres Ltd T/A Oasis Retail Services Ltd |  | 45 | 28 | 23 | 96 | [61.6\%] | [38.4\%] |
| JPM Trailers Ltd | */\# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| JSD Recruitment Services Ltd |  | 21 | 96 | 0 | 117 | [17.9\%] | [82.1\%] |
| Jurys Inn | \# | - | - | - | 71 | - | - |
| Just Retirement Management Services Ltd |  | 10 | 13 | 6 | 29 | [43.5\%] | [56.5\%] |
| Kainos Software Ltd |  | 87 | 79 | 16 | 182 | [52.4\%] | [47.6\%] |
| Kane Heating Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Kane Helen Nursing Services | * | - | - | - | 58 | - | - |
| Kane JW Precision Engineering Ltd |  | 32 | 13 | 4 | 49 | [71.1\%] | [28.9\%] |

[^33]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Karine \& Co |  | 17 | 11 | 2 | 30 | [60.7\%] | [39.3\%] |
| Karkraft (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 13 | 19 | 5 | 37 | [40.6\%] | [59.4\%] |
| Karuna Home, The | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Kathryn Homes Ltd T/A Kintullagh House Private Nursing Home |  | 45 | 23 | 4 | 72 | [66.2\%] | [33.8\%] |
| Kavanagh Retailing |  | 10 | 77 | 0 | 87 | [11.5\%] | [88.5\%] |
| KCC Architectural Ltd | * | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| KDM Hire Ltd |  | 37 | 30 | 0 | 67 | [55.2\%] | [44.8\%] |
| Kedington (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 15 | 22 | 0 | 37 | [40.5\%] | [59.5\%] |
| Keenan Patrick | \# | - | - | - | 74 | - | - |
| Kelda Water Services (Alpha) Ltd Western House |  | 11 | 17 | 3 | 31 | [39.3\%] | [60.7\%] |
| Keli Frecha Hospitality Ltd T/A Salty Dog, The |  | 12 | 10 | 5 | 27 | [54.5\%] | [45.5\%] |
| Kells SD Ltd |  | 105 | 64 | 0 | 169 | [62.1\%] | [37.9\%] |
| Kelly Bros | \# | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Kelly Flowers Wholesale |  | 14 | 15 | 1 | 30 | [48.3\%] | [51.7\%] |
| Kelly John Fuels (Ireland) T/A Kelly Fuels |  | 68 | 30 | 2 | 100 | [69.4\%] | [30.6\%] |
| Kelly Mc Evoy \& Brown | \# | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Kelly Patricia T/A Kelly's Inn | \# | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| Kelman Ltd |  | 60 | 43 | 4 | 107 | [58.3\%] | [41.7\%] |
| Kennedy \& Morrison Ltd | * | - | - | - | 44 | - | - |
| Kennedy Business Systems | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Kennedy R \& Co (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Kennedy Recruitment Ltd |  | 11 | 14 | 95 | 120 | [44.0\%] | [56.0\%] |
| Kernoghan T \& A (Group) Ltd |  | 34 | 31 | 5 | 70 | [52.3\%] | [47.7\%] |
| Kernohan lan A (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Kerr Henderson (Consultants \& Actuaries) Ltd |  | 13 | 13 | 0 | 26 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Kerr Henderson (Financial Services) Ltd |  | 32 | 12 | 0 | 44 | [72.7\%] | [27.3\%] |
| Kerr RJ (Ballymena) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Kerr William \& Co (insurance Consultants) Ltd |  | 35 | 13 | 2 | 50 | [72.9\%] | [27.1\%] |
| Kestrel Foods Ltd |  | 17 | 38 | 10 | 65 | [30.9\%] | [69.1\%] |
| Kettyle Irish Foods |  | 11 | 15 | 5 | 31 | [42.3\%] | [57.7\%] |
| Keylite Roof Windows Ltd |  | 17 | 16 | 0 | 33 | [51.5\%] | [48.5\%] |
| Keys Robert \& Co Ltd T/A Mc Mahon Group |  | 25 | 36 | 0 | 61 | [41.0\%] | [59.0\%] |
| Keystone Lintels Ltd |  | 57 | 66 | 1 | 124 | [46.3\%] | [53.7\%] |
| Kiddiwinkles Daycare |  | 23 | 27 | 0 | 50 | [46.0\%] | [54.0\%] |
| Killeen Hardware Inc Hillocks | * | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Killyhevlin Hotel Ltd |  | 60 | 87 | 5 | 152 | [40.8\%] | [59.2\%] |
| Kilmorey Arms Hotel (Kilkeel) Ltd |  | 22 | 26 | 0 | 48 | [45.8\%] | [54.2\%] |
| Kilwaughter Chemical Co Ltd |  | 59 | 12 | 9 | 80 | [83.1\%] | [16.9\%] |
| Kingsberry James Ltd T/A Kingsberry Fuels |  | 33 | 23 | 0 | 56 | [58.9\%] | [41.1\%] |
| Kingsfield Enterprises | * | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Kingspan Environmental Ltd |  | 109 | 78 | 24 | 211 | [58.3\%] | [41.7\%] |
| Kingspan Renewables |  | 54 | 57 | 8 | 119 | [48.6\%] | [51.4\%] |
| Kirk Pat Ltd |  | 16 | 28 | 2 | 46 | [36.4\%] | [63.6\%] |
| Kitchen Bakes Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Kiverco Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| KMC Engineering Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 72 | - | - |
| KMC Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Knockmoyle Lodge Private Nursing Home |  | 15 | 22 | 0 | 37 | [40.5\%] | [59.5\%] |

[^34]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Knotts of Newtownards |  | 35 | 15 | 0 | 50 | [70.0\%] | [30.0\%] |
| Knox James \& Sons Ltd | * | - | - | - | 44 | - | - |
| Knoxlink Ltd T/A Deanes |  | 11 | 12 | 9 | 32 | [47.8\%] | [52.2\%] |
| Kofax Northern Ireland Ltd |  | 25 | 66 | 6 | 97 | [27.5\%] | [72.5\%] |
| Kone (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 36 | 12 | 9 | 57 | [75.0\%] | [25.0\%] |
| KPL Contracts Ltd |  | 73 | 137 | 0 | 210 | [34.8\%] | [65.2\%] |
| KPMG |  | 74 | 87 | 2 | 163 | [46.0\%] | [54.0\%] |
| Kuehne \& Nagel Ltd |  | 51 | 10 | 3 | 64 | [83.6\%] | [16.4\%] |
| Kurkova Ltd |  | 62 | 138 | 46 | 246 | [31.0\%] | [69.0\%] |
| Kwik-fit (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 23 | 25 | 6 | 54 | [47.9\%] | [52.1\%] |
| LA Fitness |  | 21 | 20 | 11 | 52 | [51.2\%] | [48.8\%] |
| Label One Ltd | * | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| Ladbroke (Northern Ireland) Ltd (Northwest Bookmakers) |  | 102 | 310 | 10 | 422 | [24.8\%] | [75.2\%] |
| Ladyhill Holdings Ltd T/A Prospect Private Nursing Home |  | 32 | 27 | 10 | 69 | [54.2\%] | [45.8\%] |
| Lafarge Cement Ireland |  | 63 | 11 | 0 | 74 | [85.1\%] | [14.9\%] |
| Lagan College, The |  | 33 | 13 | 1 | 47 | [71.7\%] | [28.3\%] |
| Lagan Construction Ltd |  | 64 | 93 | 11 | 168 | [40.8\%] | [59.2\%] |
| Lagan Homes Ltd |  | 11 | 23 | 0 | 34 | [32.4\%] | [67.6\%] |
| Lagan Technologies Ltd |  | 50 | 48 | 10 | 108 | [51.0\%] | [49.0\%] |
| Lagan Tile Ltd |  | 18 | 12 | 0 | 30 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Lakeland |  | 21 | 13 | 0 | 34 | [61.8\%] | [38.2\%] |
| Lakeland Community Care Ltd |  | 37 | 83 | 1 | 121 | [30.8\%] | [69.2\%] |
| Lamont Samuel \& Sons Ltd |  | 16 | 18 | 2 | 36 | [47.1\%] | [52.9\%] |
| Landscaping Centre Ltd |  | 105 | 21 | 10 | 136 | [83.3\%] | [16.7\%] |
| Large M Tree Services Ltd |  | 13 | 13 | 0 | 26 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Larne Community Enterprises |  | 18 | 11 | 4 | 33 | [62.1\%] | [37.9\%] |
| Larne Grammar School |  | 34 | 12 | 1 | 47 | [73.9\%] | [26.1\%] |
| Larne Harbour Ltd | * | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Last Sure Start Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Latens Systems Ltd |  | 43 | 26 | 4 | 73 | [62.3\%] | [37.7\%] |
| Lavery Ltd |  | 61 | 73 | 0 | 134 | [45.5\%] | [54.5\%] |
| Lavery Transport Ltd |  | 19 | 24 | 13 | 56 | [44.2\%] | [55.8\%] |
| Law Centre (Northern Ireland) |  | 14 | 27 | 8 | 49 | [34.1\%] | [65.9\%] |
| Law Society of Northern Ireland, The |  | 11 | 17 | 0 | 28 | [39.3\%] | [60.7\%] |
| LBM |  | 321 | 488 | 40 | 849 | [39.7\%] | [60.3\%] |
| LCDI Ltd |  | 34 | 22 | 0 | 56 | [60.7\%] | [39.3\%] |
| Leabank Private Nursing Home |  | 12 | 41 | 11 | 64 | [22.6\%] | [77.4\%] |
| Learning Pool Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Leckey James Design Ltd |  | 31 | 48 | 3 | 82 | [39.2\%] | [60.8\%] |
| Leckey Trevor D T/A Stoneyford Building Supplies | * | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Leckpatrick Foods Ltd |  | 42 | 45 | 3 | 90 | [48.3\%] | [51.7\%] |
| Leeway Maintain |  | 27 | 14 | 0 | 41 | [65.9\%] | [34.1\%] |
| Leonard Cheshire Disability |  | 50 | 79 | 5 | 134 | [38.8\%] | [61.2\%] |
| Liberty Information Technology Ltd |  | 146 | 126 | 42 | 314 | [53.7\%] | [46.3\%] |
| Liberty Insurance Ltd |  | 99 | 346 | 16 | 461 | [22.2\%] | [77.8\%] |
| Lidl (Northern Ireland) GMBH |  | 152 | 205 | 102 | 459 | [42.6\%] | [57.4\%] |
| Life Style Sports (Ireland) Ltd |  | 15 | 15 | 1 | 31 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Lilley's Life Settlement T/A Lilley's Centra \& Texaco Service Station |  | 10 | 20 | 0 | 30 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |

[^35]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lilliput (Dunmurry) Ltd |  | 52 | 113 | 25 | 190 | [31.5\%] | [68.5\%] |
| Limavady Printing Co Ltd |  | 38 | 29 | 1 | 68 | [56.7\%] | [43.3\%] |
| Limestone Youth Training Project Ltd |  | 13 | 28 | 6 | 47 | [31.7\%] | [68.3\%] |
| Linden Foods Ltd |  | 113 | 380 | 23 | 516 | [22.9\%] | [77.1\%] |
| Lindsay Cars Ltd |  | 322 | 90 | 5 | 417 | [78.2\%] | [21.8\%] |
| Linton \& Robinson Ltd |  | 21 | 10 | 0 | 31 | [67.7\%] | [32.3\%] |
| Lisadian House Private Nursing Home |  | 49 | 12 | 7 | 68 | [80.3\%] | [19.7\%] |
| Lisburn Glass Group Ltd | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Lisnasure Interiors | * | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Lisney LLP |  | 12 | 17 | 0 | 29 | [41.4\%] | [58.6\%] |
| Lissan Coal Co Ltd |  | 31 | 53 | 0 | 84 | [36.9\%] | [63.1\%] |
| Little Alan Ltd | * | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| Little Rays |  | 66 | 12 | 0 | 78 | [84.6\%] | [15.4\%] |
| Little Wing Pizzeria | * | - | - | - | 53 | - | - |
| Lloyd David Leisure Ltd |  | 66 | 12 | 7 | 85 | [84.6\%] | [15.4\%] |
| Lloyds Banking Group |  | 1,352 | 1,014 | 16 | 2,382 | [57.1\%] | [42.9\%] |
| Lloyds Pharmacy |  | 47 | 70 | 1 | 118 | [40.2\%] | [59.8\%] |
| LMI Foods Ltd |  | 28 | 18 | 0 | 46 | [60.9\%] | [39.1\%] |
| Loane Transport Ltd |  | 14 | 12 | 0 | 26 | [53.8\%] | [46.2\%] |
| Lockton International Ltd |  | 33 | 12 | 3 | 48 | [73.3\%] | [26.7\%] |
| Lodge Hotel, The |  | 50 | 20 | 0 | 70 | [71.4\%] | [28.6\%] |
| Logan's Executive Travel |  | 14 | 15 | 1 | 30 | [48.3\%] | [51.7\%] |
| Logue Julie T/A Julie's Kitchen |  | 16 | 18 | 0 | 34 | [47.1\%] | [52.9\%] |
| Lomac Tiles Ltd |  | 22 | 40 | 1 | 63 | [35.5\%] | [64.5\%] |
| Londonderry Arms Hotel, The | \# | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Long's Supermarket Ltd |  | 126 | 109 | 1 | 236 | [53.6\%] | [46.4\%] |
| Lord Wardens Consultancy Ltd |  | 12 | 17 | 4 | 33 | [41.4\%] | [58.6\%] |
| Loreto College, The |  | 16 | 20 | 0 | 36 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\%] |
| Loreto Grammar School | \# | - | - | - | 53 | - |  |
| Louerne Construction Ltd |  | 15 | 16 | 0 | 31 | [48.4\%] | [51.6\%] |
| Lough Neagh Private Nursing Home |  | 16 | 16 | 3 | 35 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Loughran JJ | \# | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Loughran Rock Industries |  | 10 | 18 | 7 | 35 | [35.7\%] | [64.3\%] |
| Loughview Homes Ltd |  | 17 | 19 | 8 | 44 | [47.2\%] | [52.8\%] |
| Lowry Bros Ltd | * | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| LSRS Ltd T/A Old Moat Inn, The | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Lumen Christi College | \# | - | - | - | 49 | - | - |
| Lunn John H (Jewellers) Ltd |  | 61 | 27 | 3 | 91 | [69.3\%] | [30.7\%] |
| Lurgan Conference of St Vincent De Paul (Sponsors) | \# | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| Lurgan Credit Union | \# | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Lynas Foodservice Ltd |  | 165 | 40 | 6 | 211 | [80.5\%] | [19.5\%] |
| Lynas RFA Dr \& Mrs T/A Victoria Private Nursing Home |  | 23 | 17 | 0 | 40 | [57.5\%] | [42.5\%] |
| Lynn Maureen Recruitment Ltd |  | 272 | 266 | 156 | 694 | [50.6\%] | [49.4\%] |
| Lynn's Country Foods Ltd T/A Finnebrogue Venison Co |  | 24 | 33 | 34 | 91 | [42.1\%] | [57.9\%] |
| M \& S Supermarkets Ltd T/A Centra Supermarket |  | 13 | 25 | 0 | 38 | [34.2\%] | [65.8\%] |
| M Care Ltd |  | 215 | 41 | 35 | 291 | [84.0\%] | [16.0\%] |
| Mac Clean |  | 105 | 58 | 0 | 163 | [64.4\%] | [35.6\%] |
| Mac Mahon JJ (Building Contractor) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |

[^36]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mac Naughton Blair Ltd |  | 225 | 126 | 27 | 378 | [64.1\%] | [35.9\%] |
| Mackey Eyecare |  | 31 | 18 | 0 | 49 | [63.3\%] | [36.7\%] |
| Mackle James Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Mackle John (Moy) Ltd |  | 15 | 54 | 10 | 79 | [21.7\%] | [78.3\%] |
| Macklin Care Homes Ltd T/A Park Manor Private Nursing Home |  | 27 | 26 | 20 | 73 | [50.9\%] | [49.1\%] |
| Macrete Ireland Ltd |  | 41 | 67 | 0 | 108 | [38.0\%] | [62.0\%] |
| Mad Projects Ltd T/A Speranza | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Madden \& Finucane | \# | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Maghera Joinery Works Ltd |  | 13 | 33 | 18 | 64 | [28.3\%] | [71.7\%] |
| Magir Ltd T/A Medicare Pharmacy Group |  | 327 | 323 | 41 | 691 | [50.3\%] | [49.7\%] |
| Magowan Tyres (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 49 | 19 | 1 | 69 | [72.1\%] | [27.9\%] |
| Maine Soft Drinks Ltd |  | 68 | 27 | 2 | 97 | [71.6\%] | [28.4\%] |
| Mainstay DRP |  | 18 | 95 | 1 | 114 | [15.9\%] | [84.1\%] |
| Makro Self Service Wholesalers |  | 30 | 105 | 8 | 143 | [22.2\%] | [77.8\%] |
| Mallaghan Engineering Ltd |  | 23 | 70 | 11 | 104 | [24.7\%] | [75.3\%] |
| Malmaison Hotels (Belfast) |  | 12 | 30 | 7 | 49 | [28.6\%] | [71.4\%] |
| Malone College |  | 18 | 36 | 3 | 57 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |
| Malone Golf Club |  | 23 | 12 | 5 | 40 | [65.7\%] | [34.3\%] |
| Malone Lodge Hotel, The | \# | - | - | - | 52 | - | - |
| Mango Direct Marketing Ltd |  | 53 | 20 | 0 | 73 | [72.6\%] | [27.4\%] |
| Manor Healthcare Ltd |  | 91 | 57 | 6 | 154 | [61.5\%] | [38.5\%] |
| Manor House Resort Hotel Ltd |  | 72 | 58 | 17 | 147 | [55.4\%] | [44.6\%] |
| Manpower (UK) Ltd |  | 70 | 100 | 15 | 185 | [41.2\%] | [58.8\%] |
| Marie Curie Cancer Care |  | 161 | 101 | 28 | 290 | [61.5\%] | [38.5\%] |
| Marine Court Hotel, The |  | 34 | 15 | 1 | 50 | [69.4\%] | [30.6\%] |
| Marks \& Spencer PLC |  | 1,375 | 1,030 | 131 | 2,536 | [57.2\%] | [42.8\%] |
| Marlborough Engineering Ltd | * | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Marsh Ltd | * | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Martin \& Hamilton Ltd |  | 30 | 20 | 4 | 54 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Martin H \& J Ltd |  | 117 | 67 | 2 | 186 | [63.6\%] | [36.4\%] |
| Martin Phillips Carpets Ltd | * | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Martin Residential Trust, The |  | 30 | 14 | 2 | 46 | [68.2\%] | [31.8\%] |
| Mar-train Heavy Haulage Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Marturion Ltd |  | 15 | 10 | 4 | 29 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Mascott Construction Ltd |  | 22 | 60 | 1 | 83 | [26.8\%] | [73.2\%] |
| Mash Direct Ltd |  | 14 | 78 | 1 | 93 | [15.2\%] | [84.8\%] |
| Mastercraft Construction Associates Ltd |  | 25 | 52 | 0 | 77 | [32.5\%] | [67.5\%] |
| Matalan Retail Ltd |  | 213 | 207 | 11 | 431 | [50.7\%] | [49.3\%] |
| Maxol Direct (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 44 | 28 | 1 | 73 | [61.1\%] | [38.9\%] |
| Maxwell T \& Sons Ltd T/A Maxwell Freight Services | * | - | - | - | 63 | - | - |
| May Edwin Ltd | * | - | - | - | 54 | - | - |
| Maydown Precision Engineering Ltd |  | 20 | 85 | 0 | 105 | [19.0\%] | [81.0\%] |
| MB Freight Forwarding Ltd |  | 49 | 33 | 5 | 87 | [59.8\%] | [40.2\%] |
| Mc Adam Design Ltd |  | 49 | 12 | 7 | 68 | [80.3\%] | [19.7\%] |
| Mc Aleer \& Rushe Ltd |  | 12 | 52 | 0 | 64 | [18.8\%] | [81.3\%] |
| Mc Aleer \& Teague (Building Contractors) | \# | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Mc Aleer M | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Mc Alister Bros Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 62 | - | - |

[^37]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mc Anerney Bros Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 116 | - | - |
| Mc Atamney's Butchers | \# | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Mc Auley SJ Engineering Ltd |  | 51 | 10 | 8 | 69 | [83.6\%] | [16.4\%] |
| Mc Avoy Construction LIp |  | 11 | 14 | 1 | 26 | [44.0\%] | [56.0\%] |
| Mc Avoy Group Ltd, The |  | 35 | 86 | 0 | 121 | [28.9\%] | [71.1\%] |
| Mc Burney Transport |  | 94 | 19 | 2 | 115 | [83.2\%] | [16.8\%] |
| Mc Caffrey B \& Sons Ltd |  | 14 | 34 | 0 | 48 | [29.2\%] | [70.8\%] |
| Mc Caig Collim | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Mc Call J \& W Supplies (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 32 | 15 | 0 | 47 | [68.1\%] | [31.9\%] |
| Mc Call Robert W \& Sons | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Mc Callan Bros Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Mc Cambridge Duffy LLP |  | 21 | 65 | 0 | 86 | [24.4\%] | [75.6\%] |
| Mc Cann Bros IRE Ltd |  | 12 | 28 | 6 | 46 | [30.0\%] | [70.0\%] |
| Mc Cann FP Ltd |  | 78 | 124 | 6 | 208 | [38.6\%] | [61.4\%] |
| Mc Cann Patsy \& Sons | \# | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Mc Cartan Turkington Breen |  | 25 | 20 | 2 | 47 | [55.6\%] | [44.4\%] |
| Mc Caughan Frank A |  | 11 | 21 | 0 | 32 | [34.4\%] | [65.6\%] |
| Mc Cauleys Trailers Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Mc Causland Airport Garage Ltd |  | 19 | 13 | 5 | 37 | [59.4\%] | [40.6\%] |
| Mc Clelland JA \& Sons Ltd | * | - | - | - | 51 | - | - |
| Mc Closkey \& O'Kane Building Co Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Mc Colgan P \& Sons Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Mc Colgans Quality Foods Ltd |  | 22 | 54 | 86 | 162 | [28.9\%] | [71.1\%] |
| Mc Combe Brothers (Antrim) | * | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Mc Conaghy T \& Sons Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 138 | - | - |
| Mc Connell Chartered Surveyors | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Mc Connell S \& Sons Ltd |  | 65 | 17 | 22 | 104 | [79.3\%] | [20.7\%] |
| Mc Cormick WJ \& Sons Ltd | * | - | - | - | 46 | - | - |
| Mc Crory Scaffolding (Northern Ireland) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 52 | - | - |
| Mc Cue James F T/A Mc Cue Interior Fit Out Solutions |  | 68 | 12 | 3 | 83 | [85.0\%] | [15.0\%] |
| Mc Culla (Ireland) Ltd |  | 77 | 56 | 0 | 133 | [57.9\%] | [42.1\%] |
| Mc Daid Mc Cullough Moore | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Mc Dermott P \& Sons (Omagh) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Mc Devitt VH \& Son Ltd |  | 26 | 11 | 0 | 37 | [70.3\%] | [29.7\%] |
| Mc Donalds Restaurants Ltd |  | 24 | 104 | 4 | 132 | [18.8\%] | [81.3\%] |
| Mc Donnell James \& Sons Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Mc Dowell \& Service Dental Laboratory Ltd | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Mc Elderry John (Motors \& Tractors) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Mc Elwaine Group |  | 50 | 22 | 1 | 73 | [69.4\%] | [30.6\%] |
| Mc Erlains Bakery (Magherafelt) Ltd |  | 40 | 114 | 11 | 165 | [26.0\%] | [74.0\%] |
| Mc Erlean John Ltd T/A Romas |  | 34 | 11 | 0 | 45 | [75.6\%] | [24.4\%] |
| Mc Geown JD Ltd |  | 18 | 15 | 0 | 33 | [54.5\%] | [45.5\%] |
| Mc Gimpsey Brothers (Removals) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Mc Ginn Kieran | \# | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Mc Glone H \& T |  | 27 | 96 | 6 | 129 | [22.0\%] | [78.0\%] |
| Mc Goldrick Enterprises Ltd T/A Maine Nursing Home |  | 22 | 18 | 1 | 41 | [55.0\%] | [45.0\%] |
| Mc Grady MB \& Co |  | 10 | 19 | 0 | 29 | [34.5\%] | [65.5\%] |
| Mc Granaghan D Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |

[^38]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mc Granaghan Noel \& Michael T/A Mountvale Private Nursing Home |  | 42 | 18 | 3 | 63 | [70.0\%] | [30.0\%] |
| Mc Grane Nurseries Ltd | * | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Mc Grath Engineering Ltd |  | 55 | 36 | 12 | 103 | [60.4\%] | [39.6\%] |
| Mc Grigors LLP |  | 47 | 33 | 0 | 80 | [58.8\%] | [41.3\%] |
| Mc Gurran TJ Ltd T/A The Errigle Inn |  | 14 | 55 | 2 | 71 | [20.3\%] | [79.7\%] |
| Mc Intyre E \& Sons Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Mc Kay (Newtownards) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 56 | - | - |
| Mc Kee FB \& Co Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Mc Kee John \& Son |  | 21 | 18 | 1 | 40 | [53.8\%] | [46.2\%] |
| Mc Keefry Bp Ltd |  | 12 | 23 | 2 | 37 | [34.3\%] | [65.7\%] |
| Mc Kee's |  | 34 | 25 | 0 | 59 | [57.6\%] | [42.4\%] |
| Mc Keevers PA Ltd T/A Mc Keevers Chemists |  | 13 | 90 | 1 | 104 | [12.6\%] | [87.4\%] |
| Mc Kelvey RJ Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Mc Kendry Fabrications Ltd |  | 17 | 38 | 0 | 55 | [30.9\%] | [69.1\%] |
| Mc Kenna JF Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 52 | - | - |
| Mc Kenna Nicholas \& Co |  | 27 | 37 | 0 | 64 | [42.2\%] | [57.8\%] |
| Mc Kenna Precision Engineering Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Mc Keown Cleaning Services Ltd |  | 105 | 51 | 12 | 168 | [67.3\%] | [32.7\%] |
| Mc Killens (Ballymena) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 71 | - | - |
| Mc Kinty \& Wright |  | 24 | 14 | 0 | 38 | [63.2\%] | [36.8\%] |
| Mc Laughlin \& Harvey Ltd |  | 171 | 126 | 17 | 314 | [57.6\%] | [42.4\%] |
| Mc Laughlin Thomas Ltd |  | 26 | 89 | 1 | 116 | [22.6\%] | [77.4\%] |
| Mc Lean Alfie |  | 151 | 86 | 3 | 240 | [63.7\%] | [36.3\%] |
| Mc Loughlin John \& Son (Shipping) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Mc Mullen Architectural Systems Ltd |  | 71 | 65 | 8 | 144 | [52.2\%] | [47.8\%] |
| Mc Nicholas Construction |  | 56 | 138 | 7 | 201 | [28.9\%] | [71.1\%] |
| Mc Ninch JW \& Son | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Mc Ormond Ltd | * | - | - | - | 41 | - | - |
| Mc Parland Properties (Ireland) Ltd T/A Canal Court Hotel |  | 35 | 171 | 28 | 234 | [17.0\%] | [83.0\%] |
| Mc Quillan John (Contracts) Ltd |  | 31 | 51 | 0 | 82 | [37.8\%] | [62.2\%] |
| Mc Williams PT Ltd |  | 20 | 112 | 1 | 133 | [15.2\%] | [84.8\%] |
| McGoldrick Enterprises Ltd T/A Ladyhill Lodge Private Nursing Home | * | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| MCL Insurance Services Ltd |  | 25 | 17 | 0 | 42 | [59.5\%] | [40.5\%] |
| MD Healthcare Ltd |  | 32 | 80 | 6 | 118 | [28.6\%] | [71.4\%] |
| MDF Engineering Ltd |  | 35 | 39 | 6 | 80 | [47.3\%] | [52.7\%] |
| Mears Care |  | 64 | 102 | 5 | 171 | [38.6\%] | [61.4\%] |
| Mechanical Installation \& Maintenance (Northern Ireland) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Menarys Retail Ltd |  | 295 | 132 | 63 | 490 | [69.1\%] | [30.9\%] |
| Mencap Northern Ireland |  | 60 | 84 | 29 | 173 | [41.7\%] | [58.3\%] |
| Menzies Aviation (UK) \& (Ireland) Ground Handling |  | 98 | 36 | 0 | 134 | [73.1\%] | [26.9\%] |
| Mercedes-benz Truck \& Van (Northern Ireland) |  | 65 | 27 | 3 | 95 | [70.7\%] | [29.3\%] |
| Mercer Ltd |  | 47 | 41 | 8 | 96 | [53.4\%] | [46.6\%] |
| Merchant Hotels Ltd, The |  | 108 | 121 | 64 | 293 | [47.2\%] | [52.8\%] |
| Mercury Security Management Ltd |  | 61 | 57 | 1 | 119 | [51.7\%] | [48.3\%] |
| Mercy Care Ltd |  | 29 | 198 | 6 | 233 | [12.8\%] | [87.2\%] |
| Meridio Ltd |  | 19 | 14 | 4 | 37 | [57.6\%] | [42.4\%] |
| Merit Retail Ltd T/A Cottage Care Home, The |  | 34 | 15 | 2 | 51 | [69.4\%] | [30.6\%] |
| Messanna Investments Ltd T/A King's Castle Private Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 44 | - | - |

[^39]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Met Steel Ltd | * | - | - | - | 44 | - | - |
| Metal Technology Ltd |  | 39 | 14 | 0 | 53 | [73.6\%] | [26.4\%] |
| Metalcraft Engineering Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Methodist City Mission | \# | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Methodist College |  | 75 | 21 | 7 | 103 | [78.1\%] | [21.9\%] |
| Mformation Technologies (DMS) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| MGT Engineering | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Michelin Tyre PLC |  | 724 | 220 | 31 | 975 | [76.7\%] | [23.3\%] |
| Micro Focus |  | 12 | 24 | 43 | 79 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |
| Mid Ulster Granite \& Stone Co Ltd | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Middleton W \& Son (Northern Ireland) Ltd T/A Middleton Seafoods |  | 30 | 29 | 3 | 62 | [50.8\%] | [49.2\%] |
| Millar Savoury Foods Ltd |  | 10 | 28 | 1 | 39 | [26.3\%] | [73.7\%] |
| Millbrook Lodge Hotel |  | 12 | 24 | 0 | 36 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |
| Millcroft Private Nursing Home |  | 24 | 89 | 5 | 118 | [21.2\%] | [78.8\%] |
| Miller Stewart \& Sons Ltd |  | 39 | 13 | 3 | 55 | [75.0\%] | [25.0\%] |
| Milligan Bros Ltd |  | 17 | 11 | 0 | 28 | [60.7\%] | [39.3\%] |
| Mills Alexander | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Mills Selig Ltd |  | 15 | 14 | 0 | 29 | [51.7\%] | [48.3\%] |
| Millverne Residental Home | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Millward Brown Ulster |  | 69 | 88 | 7 | 164 | [43.9\%] | [56.1\%] |
| Mind Wise New Vision T/A Mindwise |  | 52 | 33 | 18 | 103 | [61.2\%] | [38.8\%] |
| Minprint Ltd | * | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| Minster Cleaning Services |  | 58 | 125 | 15 | 198 | [31.7\%] | [68.3\%] |
| Mirror Group Newspapers |  | 35 | 25 | 1 | 61 | [58.3\%] | [41.7\%] |
| Mis Claims Ltd (Motorists Insurance Ltd) |  | 78 | 15 | 7 | 100 | [83.9\%] | [16.1\%] |
| Mitchell Harold (Belfast) Ltd |  | 40 | 17 | 4 | 61 | [70.2\%] | [29.8\%] |
| Mitie Cleaning \& Environmental Services Ltd |  | 46 | 39 | 7 | 92 | [54.1\%] | [45.9\%] |
| Mitie Security |  | 44 | 32 | 11 | 87 | [57.9\%] | [42.1\%] |
| Mitten RJ \& Sons |  | 24 | 14 | 0 | 38 | [63.2\%] | [36.8\%] |
| Mivan Ltd |  | 153 | 86 | 24 | 263 | [64.0\%] | [36.0\%] |
| MJM Marine Ltd |  | 10 | 109 | 0 | 119 | [8.4\%] | [91.6\%] |
| MMD Communications Ltd |  | 12 | 34 | 1 | 47 | [26.1\%] | [73.9\%] |
| Modern Tyre Service |  | 65 | 77 | 0 | 142 | [45.8\%] | [54.2\%] |
| Moffett \& Sons Ltd |  | 82 | 18 | 0 | 100 | [82.0\%] | [18.0\%] |
| Monaghan Bros Ltd |  | 11 | 21 | 0 | 32 | [34.4\%] | [65.6\%] |
| Moneydarragh Flexicare Ltd |  | 18 | 38 | 0 | 56 | [32.1\%] | [67.9\%] |
| Moneymore Coaches Ltd |  | 33 | 20 | 0 | 53 | [62.3\%] | [37.7\%] |
| Monsoon Accessorize |  | 85 | 97 | 15 | 197 | [46.7\%] | [53.3\%] |
| Montgomery Distribution Ltd |  | 58 | 12 | 0 | 70 | [82.9\%] | [17.1\%] |
| Montgomery Refrigeration Ltd |  | 84 | 27 | 1 | 112 | [75.7\%] | [24.3\%] |
| Montgomery Tank Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Montgomery Transport Ltd |  | 95 | 13 | 6 | 114 | [88.0\%] | [12.0\%] |
| Montgomerys |  | 20 | 14 | 0 | 34 | [58.8\%] | [41.2\%] |
| Montracon Ltd |  | 50 | 89 | 7 | 146 | [36.0\%] | [64.0\%] |
| Montupet (UK) Ltd |  | 201 | 265 | 25 | 491 | [43.1\%] | [56.9\%] |
| Moore Concrete Products Ltd |  | 45 | 12 | 0 | 57 | [78.9\%] | [21.1\%] |
| Moore Stephens |  | 78 | 58 | 2 | 138 | [57.4\%] | [42.6\%] |
| Moran John T/A Breico Retail Group |  | 23 | 68 | 2 | 93 | [25.3\%] | [74.7\%] |

[^40]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Morgan Transport \& Distribution Ltd |  | 48 | 88 | 68 | 204 | [35.3\%] | [64.7\%] |
| Morning Star, The | \# | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Morrow Contracts Ltd |  | 56 | 15 | 6 | 77 | [78.9\%] | [21.1\%] |
| Morrow CR Ltd |  | 23 | 13 | 0 | 36 | [63.9\%] | [36.1\%] |
| Morton Alex Contracts Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Morton Newspapers Ltd |  | 152 | 78 | 20 | 250 | [66.1\%] | [33.9\%] |
| Mothercare (UK) Ltd |  | 77 | 46 | 5 | 128 | [62.6\%] | [37.4\%] |
| Motoglass Ltd |  | 14 | 13 | 0 | 27 | [51.9\%] | [48.1\%] |
| Mouchel |  | 24 | 30 | 10 | 64 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\%] |
| Mount Charles Catering Ltd |  | 236 | 277 | 86 | 599 | [46.0\%] | [54.0\%] |
| Mount Lourdes Grammar School | \# | - | - | - | 49 | - | - |
| Mourne Fine Foods Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 55 | - | - |
| Mourne Observer Ltd |  | 18 | 14 | 2 | 34 | [56.3\%] | [43.8\%] |
| Moutray WM \& Sons | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Movianto Northern Ireland |  | 25 | 15 | 0 | 40 | [62.5\%] | [37.5\%] |
| Movilla House Ltd | * | - | - | - | 73 | - | - |
| Moy Park Ltd |  | 795 | 1,721 | 633 | 3,149 | [31.6\%] | [68.4\%] |
| Moyfab Engineering Ltd |  | 12 | 19 | 0 | 31 | [38.7\%] | [61.3\%] |
| Moyola Precision Engineering Ltd |  | 34 | 36 | 3 | 73 | [48.6\%] | [51.4\%] |
| MPA Recruitment |  | 130 | 286 | 0 | 416 | [31.3\%] | [68.8\%] |
| MSCS (Northern Ireland) Ltd T/A Xperience |  | 19 | 15 | 2 | 36 | [55.9\%] | [44.1\%] |
| MSM Contracts Ltd |  | 44 | 15 | 0 | 59 | [74.6\%] | [25.4\%] |
| MSO Cleland Ltd |  | 138 | 34 | 11 | 183 | [80.2\%] | [19.8\%] |
| Muldoon Transport Systems Ltd |  | 17 | 27 | 0 | 44 | [38.6\%] | [61.4\%] |
| Mulgrew Haulage Ltd |  | 65 | 65 | 0 | 130 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Mulkerns Paul T/A Eurospar Supermarket | \# | - | - | - | 70 | - | - |
| Mullaghboy Private Nursing Home |  | 30 | 10 | 0 | 40 | [75.0\%] | [25.0\%] |
| Munster Simms Engineering Ltd |  | 111 | 22 | 1 | 134 | [83.5\%] | [16.5\%] |
| Murdock Builders Merchants Ltd |  | 45 | 122 | 4 | 171 | [26.9\%] | [73.1\%] |
| Murdock Group Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Murdock Hardwood Industries Ltd |  | 10 | 31 | 0 | 41 | [24.4\%] | [75.6\%] |
| Murphy \& O'Rawe Solicitors |  | 12 | 24 | 0 | 36 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |
| Murphy PK Construction Ltd |  | 52 | 52 | 9 | 113 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Murray Henry \& Co Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Musgrave Retail Partners (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 299 | 222 | 54 | 575 | [57.4\%] | [42.6\%] |
| Musgrave Wholesale Partners |  | 152 | 118 | 21 | 291 | [56.3\%] | [43.7\%] |
| Nacco Materials Handling Ltd |  | 292 | 194 | 20 | 506 | [60.1\%] | [39.9\%] |
| Nandos Chickenland |  | 18 | 18 | 13 | 49 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Nath Brothers |  | 117 | 141 | 5 | 263 | [45.3\%] | [54.7\%] |
| National Air Traffic Services Ltd |  | 23 | 11 | 6 | 40 | [67.6\%] | [32.4\%] |
| National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children (NSPCC) |  | 40 | 59 | 11 | 110 | [40.4\%] | [59.6\%] |
| National Trust, The |  | 93 | 69 | 124 | 286 | [57.4\%] | [42.6\%] |
| Nationwide Building Society |  | 95 | 50 | 45 | 190 | [65.5\%] | [34.5\%] |
| Natural World Products Ltd |  | 15 | 34 | 4 | 53 | [30.6\%] | [69.4\%] |
| Naturelle Consumer Products Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Nazareth House (Londonderry) | \# | - | - | - | 119 | - | - |
| Nazareth House Care Village |  | 30 | 77 | 4 | 111 | [28.0\%] | [72.0\%] |
| NC Engineering (Hamiltonsbawn) Ltd |  | 50 | 38 | 13 | 101 | [56.8\%] | [43.2\%] |

[^41]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NCS (North) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Needaco Ltd T/A Paddington Lodge | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Neill James Flour Mill |  | 32 | 16 | 2 | 50 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Nelson Hydraulics Ltd |  | 13 | 13 | 0 | 26 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Nerve Centre, The | \# | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Network Recruitment Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 82 | - | - |
| New Life Counselling |  | 25 | 46 | 8 | 79 | [35.2\%] | [64.8\%] |
| New Look Retailers Ltd |  | 318 | 333 | 14 | 665 | [48.8\%] | [51.2\%] |
| New Quay Developments Ltd | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| New World Developments |  | 47 | 57 | 0 | 104 | [45.2\%] | [54.8\%] |
| Newell Stores Ltd T/A Newell Stores |  | 17 | 143 | 5 | 165 | [10.6\%] | [89.4\%] |
| Newry Credit Union Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Newspread Ltd |  | 18 | 30 | 0 | 48 | [37.5\%] | [62.5\%] |
| Newtownards Chronicle Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Next PLC |  | 623 | 511 | 188 | 1,322 | [54.9\%] | [45.1\%] |
| Nexus Institute (Northern Ireland), The |  | 18 | 17 | 3 | 38 | [51.4\%] | [48.6\%] |
| NFU Mutual Insurance Society Ltd |  | 86 | 32 | 7 | 125 | [72.9\%] | [27.1\%] |
| NIACRO |  | 43 | 62 | 2 | 107 | [41.0\%] | [59.0\%] |
| Niche Drinks Co Ltd |  | 28 | 18 | 0 | 46 | [60.9\%] | [39.1\%] |
| Nicholl Fuel Oils Ltd |  | 37 | 68 | 5 | 110 | [35.2\%] | [64.8\%] |
| Nicholson \& Bass Ltd | * | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| Nicholson House Nursing Home |  | 39 | 10 | 0 | 49 | [79.6\%] | [20.4\%] |
| Nicks Warehouse Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| NIE Powerteam Ltd |  | 636 | 354 | 22 | 1,012 | [64.2\%] | [35.8\%] |
| NIIB Group Ltd |  | 69 | 32 | 16 | 117 | [68.3\%] | [31.7\%] |
| Nisoft (UK) Ltd |  | 16 | 10 | 5 | 31 | [61.5\%] | [38.5\%] |
| Nitec Solutions Ltd |  | 17 | 12 | 0 | 29 | [58.6\%] | [41.4\%] |
| Nitronica Ltd |  | 39 | 30 | 17 | 86 | [56.5\%] | [43.5\%] |
| NK Coatings Ltd |  | 54 | 10 | 1 | 65 | [84.4\%] | [15.6\%] |
| NK Fencing Ltd |  | 34 | 13 | 1 | 48 | [72.3\%] | [27.7\%] |
| Noonan Services Group Ltd |  | 234 | 98 | 5 | 337 | [70.5\%] | [29.5\%] |
| Norbev Ltd |  | 57 | 16 | 3 | 76 | [78.1\%] | [21.9\%] |
| Norbrook Laboratories Ltd |  | 185 | 862 | 146 | 1,193 | [17.7\%] | [82.3\%] |
| Norlect Engineering (UK) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Nortel |  | 119 | 55 | 16 | 190 | [68.4\%] | [31.6\%] |
| North Coast Hotels Ltd |  | 13 | 17 | 11 | 41 | [43.3\%] | [56.7\%] |
| North Down Group |  | 31 | 33 | 2 | 66 | [48.4\%] | [51.6\%] |
| North Down Marquees Ltd | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| North Parade Medical Centre | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| North West Care \& Recruitment |  | 45 | 161 | 1 | 207 | [21.8\%] | [78.2\%] |
| North West of Ireland Printing \& Publishing Co Ltd |  | 16 | 61 | 0 | 77 | [20.8\%] | [79.2\%] |
| Northern Bank Ltd |  | 1,260 | 591 | 57 | 1,908 | [68.1\%] | [31.9\%] |
| Northern Hydraulics Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Northern Ireland Association for Mental Health |  | 115 | 119 | 10 | 244 | [49.1\%] | [50.9\%] |
| Northern Ireland Association of Citizens Advice Bureaux | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Northern Ireland Cancer Fund for Children |  | 27 | 13 | 3 | 43 | [67.5\%] | [32.5\%] |
| Northern Ireland Chest Heart \& Stroke Association |  | 37 | 22 | 1 | 60 | [62.7\%] | [37.3\%] |
| Northern Ireland Childminding Association |  | 15 | 10 | 2 | 27 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |

[^42]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northern Ireland Co-ownership Housing Association Ltd |  | 28 | 16 | 0 | 44 | [63.6\%] | [36.4\%] |
| Northern Ireland Council for Voluntary Action |  | 17 | 25 | 0 | 42 | [40.5\%] | [59.5\%] |
| Northern Ireland Electricity PLC |  | 193 | 62 | 6 | 261 | [75.7\%] | [24.3\%] |
| Northern Ireland Food Chain Certification | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Northern Ireland Hospice |  | 147 | 99 | 12 | 258 | [59.8\%] | [40.2\%] |
| Northern Ireland Institute for the Disabled |  | 88 | 14 | 19 | 121 | [86.3\%] | [13.7\%] |
| Northern Ireland Plastics Ltd |  | 23 | 24 | 1 | 48 | [48.9\%] | [51.1\%] |
| Northern Ireland Public Sector Enterprises Ltd T/A NICO |  | 14 | 12 | 0 | 26 | [53.8\%] | [46.2\%] |
| Northern Ireland Public Service Alliance (NIPSA) |  | 28 | 32 | 6 | 66 | [46.7\%] | [53.3\%] |
| Northern Ireland Rural Development Council |  | 13 | 18 | 0 | 31 | [41.9\%] | [58.1\%] |
| Northern Ireland Trucks Ltd |  | 62 | 18 | 2 | 82 | [77.5\%] | [22.5\%] |
| Northern Media Group |  | 26 | 27 | 1 | 54 | [49.1\%] | [50.9\%] |
| Northern Newspaper Group |  | 68 | 19 | 5 | 92 | [78.2\%] | [21.8\%] |
| Northern Whig Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Northface Access Services Northern Ireland Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Northgate Managed Services |  | 369 | 181 | 0 | 550 | [67.1\%] | [32.9\%] |
| Northstone (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 408 | 306 | 82 | 796 | [57.1\%] | [42.9\%] |
| Northwest Independent Hospital |  | 62 | 49 | 12 | 123 | [55.9\%] | [44.1\%] |
| Novosco Ltd |  | 28 | 19 | 1 | 48 | [59.6\%] | [40.4\%] |
| Now Project, The |  | 16 | 10 | 0 | 26 | [61.5\%] | [38.5\%] |
| NSL Services Group Ltd |  | 254 | 93 | 2 | 349 | [73.2\%] | [26.8\%] |
| Nugent \& Gibney Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Nursing \& Caring Direct Ltd |  | 72 | 20 | 0 | 92 | [78.3\%] | [21.7\%] |
| Nu-track Ltd | * | - | - | - | 59 | - | - |
| NWR Ltd T/A Mc Donalds |  | 71 | 268 | 12 | 351 | [20.9\%] | [79.1\%] |
| NYSE Technologies Development Ltd |  | 104 | 108 | 31 | 243 | [49.1\%] | [50.9\%] |
| O \& S Doors Ltd |  | 16 | 185 | 14 | 215 | [8.0\%] | [92.0\%] |
| Oakgrove Integrated College |  | 25 | 40 | 2 | 67 | [38.5\%] | [61.5\%] |
| Oakland Insurance Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Oaklee Care \& Support Services | \# | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Oaklee Homes Group |  | 102 | 93 | 17 | 212 | [52.3\%] | [47.7\%] |
| Oakwood Door Designs Ltd T/A Uform |  | 12 | 51 | 0 | 63 | [19.0\%] | [81.0\%] |
| Oasis Caring in Action Ltd | * | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| Oasis Travel (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| O'Boyle Hugh J Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| Observer Newspapers (Northern Ireland) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| O'Connell T \& Sons | \# | - | - | - | 67 | - | - |
| OCS Group Uk Ltd (Security Division) |  | 42 | 26 | 3 | 71 | [61.8\%] | [38.2\%] |
| OCS Group Uk Ltd Northern Ireland Division |  | 220 | 182 | 19 | 421 | [54.7\%] | [45.3\%] |
| O'Doherty Garvan Group |  | 20 | 181 | 5 | 206 | [10.0\%] | [90.0\%] |
| O'Hanlon \& Farrell Contracts Ltd |  | 17 | 97 | 19 | 133 | [14.9\%] | [85.1\%] |
| O'Hara's Restaurants Ltd T/A Mc Donalds Restaurant |  | 12 | 49 | 11 | 72 | [19.7\%] | [80.3\%] |
| O'Hare \& Mc Govern Ltd |  | 24 | 80 | 4 | 108 | [23.1\%] | [76.9\%] |
| O'Hare Felix \& Co Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 131 | - | - |
| O'Kane Food Service Ltd |  | 98 | 41 | 32 | 171 | [70.5\%] | [29.5\%] |
| O'Kane Plumbing \& Electrics Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| O'Kane Poultry Group |  | 473 | 472 | 368 | 1,313 | [50.1\%] | [49.9\%] |
| O'Kane Supermarkets Ltd T/A Supervalu |  | 92 | 214 | 5 | 311 | [30.1\%] | [69.9\%] |

[^43]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Old Bushmills Distillery Co Ltd, The |  | 89 | 19 | 2 | 110 | [82.4\%] | [17.6\%] |
| Old Inn, Crawfordsburn, The |  | 55 | 26 | 2 | 83 | [67.9\%] | [32.1\%] |
| Oliver W (Exorna) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Olympic Lifts Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Omac Engineering Company | \# | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Omagh Early Years Centre Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Omagh Meats |  | 31 | 201 | 3 | 235 | [13.4\%] | [86.6\%] |
| Omagh Minerals Ltd |  | 13 | 29 | 4 | 46 | [31.0\%] | [69.0\%] |
| Omega Mechanical Services Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 89 | - | - |
| Omniplex Holdings (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 94 | 124 | 22 | 240 | [43.1\%] | [56.9\%] |
| O'Neill Brothers Building Contractors Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| O'Neill John T/A Costcutter Supermarket |  | 12 | 22 | 0 | 34 | [35.3\%] | [64.7\%] |
| O'Neill P Building Services Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| O'Neills Irish International Sports Co Ltd |  | 53 | 276 | 8 | 337 | [16.1\%] | [83.9\%] |
| Open Door Housing Association (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 12 | 16 | 0 | 28 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| Open University In Ireland, The |  | 29 | 19 | 10 | 58 | [60.4\%] | [39.6\%] |
| Openwave Systems Ltd |  | 49 | 64 | 11 | 124 | [43.4\%] | [56.6\%] |
| Opportunity Youth |  | 41 | 49 | 0 | 90 | [45.6\%] | [54.4\%] |
| Oracle |  | 28 | 34 | 7 | 69 | [45.2\%] | [54.8\%] |
| Orana Family Support Centre | \# | - | - | - | 60 | - | - |
| Orchard County Foods Ltd |  | 19 | 62 | 3 | 84 | [23.5\%] | [76.5\%] |
| Orchard Grove Residential Home |  | 10 | 16 | 0 | 26 | [38.5\%] | [61.5\%] |
| Orchard House Private Nursing Home |  | 40 | 11 | 9 | 60 | [78.4\%] | [21.6\%] |
| Orchard Mushrooms Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 49 | - | - |
| Orchardville Society Ltd, The | * | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| O'Reilly Stewart Solicitors |  | 13 | 17 | 0 | 30 | [43.3\%] | [56.7\%] |
| O'Reillys "The Sweet People" |  | 10 | 68 | 2 | 80 | [12.8\%] | [87.2\%] |
| Orion Project Services Ltd |  | 69 | 68 | 9 | 146 | [50.4\%] | [49.6\%] |
| Osborne King |  | 32 | 10 | 0 | 42 | [76.2\%] | [23.8\%] |
| Ostick \& Williams Ltd |  | 15 | 16 | 1 | 32 | [48.4\%] | [51.6\%] |
| Otis Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Our Lady \& St Patricks College Knock |  | 38 | 15 | 0 | 53 | [71.7\%] | [28.3\%] |
| Our Lady's Home | \# | - | - | - | 98 | - | - |
| Oval Insurance Broking Ltd T/A Oval James |  | 37 | 11 | 0 | 48 | [77.1\%] | [22.9\%] |
| Over The Rainbow Day Nurseries |  | 21 | 15 | 0 | 36 | [58.3\%] | [41.7\%] |
| Ovolio T/A Park Inn Hotel |  | 11 | 29 | 10 | 50 | [27.5\%] | [72.5\%] |
| Oxfam Northern Ireland |  | 32 | 21 | 8 | 61 | [60.4\%] | [39.6\%] |
| P \& L Electrics Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| P \& O European Ferries (Irish Sea) Ltd |  | 90 | 24 | 5 | 119 | [78.9\%] | [21.1\%] |
| Pallet Centre Ltd, The | * | - | - | - | 60 | - | - |
| Palmer \& Harvey Mc Lane Ltd | * | - | - | - | 48 | - | - |
| Paragon Training (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 19 | 23 | 0 | 42 | [45.2\%] | [54.8\%] |
| Parenting Northern Ireland |  | 12 | 15 | 4 | 31 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\%] |
| Parity Solutions Ltd Human Resources |  | 21 | 25 | 4 | 50 | [45.7\%] | [54.3\%] |
| Park Avenue Hotel Ltd |  | 48 | 13 | 0 | 61 | [78.7\%] | [21.3\%] |
| Parkdean Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Parkelect Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Parkgate Foods Ltd T/A Euro Shellfish \& Castle Garden |  | 23 | 11 | 0 | 34 | [67.6\%] | [32.4\%] |

[^44]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parkside Private Care Ltd T/A Parkside Private Nursing Home | * | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Patterson Family, The T/A Plough Inn, The | * | - | - | - | 65 | - | - |
| Patterson Tony Sportsgrounds Ltd |  | 16 | 13 | 0 | 29 | [55.2\%] | [44.8\%] |
| Patton David \& Sons (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 277 | 105 | 13 | 395 | [72.5\%] | [27.5\%] |
| Pbn Wineworld Ltd |  | 27 | 17 | 0 | 44 | [61.4\%] | [38.6\%] |
| Peacehaven Care Services Ltd |  | 33 | 44 | 0 | 77 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| Peacocks Stores Ltd |  | 171 | 186 | 39 | 396 | [47.9\%] | [52.1\%] |
| Peak Environmental (UK) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Pension \& Financial Consultants Ltd | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Penton Publications Ltd |  | 11 | 15 | 1 | 27 | [42.3\%] | [57.7\%] |
| People Power Contracts Ltd |  | 104 | 89 | 10 | 203 | [53.9\%] | [46.1\%] |
| Perfecseal Ltd |  | 37 | 197 | 22 | 256 | [15.8\%] | [84.2\%] |
| Perfume Shop Ltd, The |  | 59 | 57 | 14 | 130 | [50.9\%] | [49.1\%] |
| Petal Postforming Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Pets At Home Ltd |  | 46 | 20 | 5 | 71 | [69.7\%] | [30.3\%] |
| Pfizer Ltd |  | 19 | 11 | 4 | 34 | [63.3\%] | [36.7\%] |
| PFS \& Partners Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Phase Eight (Fashion \& Design) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Philips Healthcare Informatics Ltd |  | 15 | 10 | 16 | 41 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Phillips Dr \& Mrs T/A Silverbirch Lodge Private Nursing Home |  | 20 | 13 | 5 | 38 | [60.6\%] | [39.4\%] |
| Phoenix Healthcare (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 54 | - | - |
| Phoenix Healthcare Distribution | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Phoenix Natural Gas Ltd |  | 126 | 73 | 12 | 211 | [63.3\%] | [36.7\%] |
| Phoenix Nursing Agency |  | 15 | 61 | 7 | 83 | [19.7\%] | [80.3\%] |
| Phoenix Security Business Management Services Ltd |  | 21 | 11 | 0 | 32 | [65.6\%] | [34.4\%] |
| Phoenix, The (Ballymena) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Phones 4U Ltd |  | 22 | 17 | 50 | 89 | [56.4\%] | [43.6\%] |
| PHS Washrooms |  | 14 | 13 | 1 | 28 | [51.9\%] | [48.1\%] |
| Pier 36 | * | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Pinkertons Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Planet Bingo Antrim |  | 46 | 15 | 0 | 61 | [75.4\%] | [24.6\%] |
| PM Engineering Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| PMD (Northern Ireland) Ltd T/A Mc Donald's Connswater |  | 83 | 14 | 19 | 116 | [85.6\%] | [14.4\%] |
| PMD (Northern Ireland) Ltd T/A Mc Donald's Dundonald |  | 55 | 30 | 0 | 85 | [64.7\%] | [35.3\%] |
| Pneutrol Ireland Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Podium 4 Sport Ltd |  | 23 | 11 | 0 | 34 | [67.6\%] | [32.4\%] |
| Police Rehabilitation \& Retraining Trust Ltd |  | 45 | 15 | 7 | 67 | [75.0\%] | [25.0\%] |
| Pollock Lifts Ltd | * | - | - | - | 83 | - | - |
| Polypipe Ltd |  | 86 | 20 | 2 | 108 | [81.1\%] | [18.9\%] |
| Pooles Supervalu Moira |  | 55 | 21 | 5 | 81 | [72.4\%] | [27.6\%] |
| Portalon Ltd T/A Wagamama Belfast | \# | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Porter's Bodyshop Ltd |  | 15 | 12 | 0 | 27 | [55.6\%] | [44.4\%] |
| Portora Royal School |  | 22 | 11 | 1 | 34 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Portstewart Golf Club | * | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Portview Fit-out Ltd |  | 19 | 26 | 1 | 46 | [42.2\%] | [57.8\%] |
| Positive Futures for People with a Learning Disability |  | 229 | 139 | 66 | 434 | [62.2\%] | [37.8\%] |
| Pound World Northern Ireland | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Poundland |  | 201 | 254 | 28 | 483 | [44.2\%] | [55.8\%] |

[^45]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Poundworld Retail Ltd |  | 129 | 94 | 5 | 228 | [57.8\%] | [42.2\%] |
| Powerteam Electrical Services |  | 38 | 16 | 7 | 61 | [70.4\%] | [29.6\%] |
| PPG Architectural Coatings (UK) Ltd |  | 62 | 15 | 4 | 81 | [80.5\%] | [19.5\%] |
| Praxis Care Group |  | 393 | 339 | 76 | 808 | [53.7\%] | [46.3\%] |
| Precision Industrial Services Ltd |  | 108 | 72 | 22 | 202 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Premier Bakeries Ireland |  | 246 | 89 | 19 | 354 | [73.4\%] | [26.6\%] |
| Premier Electrics Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Premier Employment Ltd T/A Premier Peoople |  | 678 | 550 | 3 | 1,231 | [55.2\%] | [44.8\%] |
| Prentice David (Cars) Ltd |  | 55 | 27 | 0 | 82 | [67.1\%] | [32.9\%] |
| Presbyterian Church In Ireland | * | - | - | - | 85 | - | - |
| Presbyterian Church In Ireland |  | 323 | 58 | 47 | 428 | [84.8\%] | [15.2\%] |
| Prestige Underwriting Services Ltd |  | 109 | 25 | 2 | 136 | [81.3\%] | [18.7\%] |
| Pricewaterhousecoopers |  | 373 | 276 | 86 | 735 | [57.5\%] | [42.5\%] |
| Primacy Meats Food Village | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Primark Stores Ltd |  | 255 | 593 | 33 | 881 | [30.1\%] | [69.9\%] |
| Primepac Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Prince's Trust, The |  | 16 | 22 | 5 | 43 | [42.1\%] | [57.9\%] |
| Priory Surgery | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Pritchitts |  | 150 | 14 | 6 | 170 | [91.5\%] | [8.5\%] |
| PRM Group |  | 92 | 60 | 14 | 166 | [60.5\%] | [39.5\%] |
| Progressive Building Society |  | 93 | 36 | 5 | 134 | [72.1\%] | [27.9\%] |
| Project Design Engineers Ltd |  | 28 | 13 | 2 | 43 | [68.3\%] | [31.7\%] |
| Property Support Services Ltd |  | 55 | 43 | 4 | 102 | [56.1\%] | [43.9\%] |
| Prospects for People with Learning Disabilities | * | - | - | - | 92 | - | - |
| Provident Personal Credit Ltd |  | 195 | 115 | 10 | 320 | [62.9\%] | [37.1\%] |
| Provincial Care Services Agency Ltd |  | 69 | 68 | 3 | 140 | [50.4\%] | [49.6\%] |
| Pumps \& Fuel Installations Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Punjana Ltd | * | - | - | - | 44 | - | - |
| Q Mac Construction Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| QCS Contract Cleaning Ltd |  | 114 | 169 | 13 | 296 | [40.3\%] | [59.7\%] |
| Q-Park (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 18 | 15 | 1 | 34 | [54.5\%] | [45.5\%] |
| Quails Fine Foods Ltd |  | 16 | 12 | 0 | 28 | [57.1\%] | [42.9\%] |
| Quaker Service | * | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Qualitrol Instruments |  | 59 | 68 | 16 | 143 | [46.5\%] | [53.5\%] |
| Quality Care Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 135 | - | - |
| Queen's University of Belfast, The |  | 1,600 | 1,584 | 792 | 3,976 | [50.3\%] | [49.7\%] |
| Quinn Building Products Ltd |  | 196 | 637 | 54 | 887 | [23.5\%] | [76.5\%] |
| Quinn Piling Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Quinns Automatic Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Quinns of Cookstown (1964) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| R \& F Mechanical Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| R \& J Foods Ltd |  | 73 | 25 | 1 | 99 | [74.5\%] | [25.5\%] |
| R \& M Greenkeeper Ltd |  | 39 | 10 | 5 | 54 | [79.6\%] | [20.4\%] |
| Radius Plastics Ltd |  | 61 | 45 | 7 | 113 | [57.5\%] | [42.5\%] |
| Rahon Enterprises Ltd T/A Mc Donalds Drive-thru |  | 360 | 256 | 23 | 639 | [58.4\%] | [41.6\%] |
| Rainey Endowed School The Governors | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Ramada Encore Belfast |  | 14 | 25 | 3 | 42 | [35.9\%] | [64.1\%] |
| Ramore Restaurant Ltd |  | 103 | 60 | 5 | 168 | [63.2\%] | [36.8\%] |

[^46]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ramsay JC \& Son Ltd | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Randox Laboratories Ltd |  | 215 | 119 | 299 | 633 | [64.4\%] | [35.6\%] |
| Randstad Care Ltd |  | 47 | 50 | 13 | 110 | [48.5\%] | [51.5\%] |
| Randstad Employment Bureau Ltd |  | 56 | 44 | 10 | 110 | [56.0\%] | [44.0\%] |
| Rankin P \& J T/A Cayenne | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Rapid International Ltd | * | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Rascals (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 152 | 56 | 12 | 220 | [73.1\%] | [26.9\%] |
| Ratheane Private Nursing Home |  | 68 | 41 | 6 | 115 | [62.4\%] | [37.6\%] |
| Rathmore Grammar School | \# | - | - | - | 47 | - | - |
| Rathowen Private Nursing Home | * | - | - | - | 45 | - | - |
| Ravenhill Private Nursing Home |  | 35 | 11 | 3 | 49 | [76.1\%] | [23.9\%] |
| Ready Egg Products Ltd |  | 21 | 28 | 25 | 74 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| Red Sky Group Ltd |  | 249 | 104 | 14 | 367 | [70.5\%] | [29.5\%] |
| Redrock Machinery |  | 26 | 22 | 4 | 52 | [54.2\%] | [45.8\%] |
| Reed Austin Group Ltd |  | 32 | 10 | 3 | 45 | [76.2\%] | [23.8\%] |
| Reed Specialist Recruitment |  | 70 | 89 | 33 | 192 | [44.0\%] | [56.0\%] |
| Regal Processors Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Regency Carpet Manufacturing Ltd |  | 110 | 30 | 18 | 158 | [78.6\%] | [21.4\%] |
| Regis UK Ltd |  | 15 | 14 | 10 | 39 | [51.7\%] | [48.3\%] |
| Regus Business Services |  | 81 | 97 | 0 | 178 | [45.5\%] | [54.5\%] |
| Reids Shoes Ltd | * | - | - | - | 46 | - | - |
| Relate Northern Ireland |  | 32 | 18 | 0 | 50 | [64.0\%] | [36.0\%] |
| Relay Software Ltd |  | 24 | 14 | 0 | 38 | [63.2\%] | [36.8\%] |
| Rentokil Initial Facility Services (UK) Ltd |  | 59 | 44 | 3 | 106 | [57.3\%] | [42.7\%] |
| Rentokil Pest Control |  | 16 | 12 | 0 | 28 | [57.1\%] | [42.9\%] |
| Republic (Retail) Ltd |  | 22 | 13 | 8 | 43 | [62.9\%] | [37.1\%] |
| Resource |  | 1,726 | 540 | 310 | 2,576 | [76.2\%] | [23.8\%] |
| Resource Centre Derry Ltd, The | \# | - | - | - | 69 | - | - |
| Restaurant Group (UK) The |  | 22 | 40 | 2 | 64 | [35.5\%] | [64.5\%] |
| Retail Systems Technology Ltd |  | 13 | 16 | 0 | 29 | [44.8\%] | [55.2\%] |
| Rexel (UK) Ltd T/A Dunlop \& Hamilton | * | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| RFD Beaufort Ltd |  | 181 | 56 | 12 | 249 | [76.4\%] | [23.6\%] |
| Rhyme Thyme Day Nursery | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Riada Recruitment |  | 305 | 163 | 16 | 484 | [65.2\%] | [34.8\%] |
| Rias |  | 81 | 171 | 21 | 273 | [32.1\%] | [67.9\%] |
| Rich Sauces |  | 38 | 12 | 0 | 50 | [76.0\%] | [24.0\%] |
| Richardson Clive Ltd |  | 62 | 27 | 0 | 89 | [69.7\%] | [30.3\%] |
| Richmond Marketing (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 15 | 15 | 0 | 30 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Richmond Private Nursing Homes Ltd |  | 22 | 16 | 9 | 47 | [57.9\%] | [42.1\%] |
| Riddel John \& Son Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Ridgeway Plant Co Ltd | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Rigney Dolphin Group | \# | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Risk Management Solution (RMS) | * | - | - | - | 64 | - | - |
| Ritchie H \& Sons (Electrical Wholesalers) Ltd |  | 26 | 25 | 0 | 51 | [51.0\%] | [49.0\%] |
| Rite Price | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| River Island Clothing Co Ltd |  | 192 | 243 | 140 | 575 | [44.1\%] | [55.9\%] |
| RK Trucks Centre Ltd |  | 14 | 16 | 1 | 31 | [46.7\%] | [53.3\%] |
| RLC UK Company Ltd |  | 144 | 91 | 18 | 253 | [61.3\%] | [38.7\%] |

[^47]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road Safety Contracts Ltd |  | 19 | 50 | 20 | 89 | [27.5\%] | [72.5\%] |
| Road Trucks Ltd |  | 33 | 11 | 0 | 44 | [75.0\%] | [25.0\%] |
| Roadside Motors Ltd |  | 33 | 19 | 0 | 52 | [63.5\%] | [36.5\%] |
| Roberts Robt (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 16 | 13 | 2 | 31 | [55.2\%] | [44.8\%] |
| Robinson, Fred C Ltd | * | - | - | - | 49 | - | - |
| Robinson Matthew \& Son | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Robinson Quarry Masters Limited | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Robinson Services Laundry Ltd | * | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Robinson Services Ltd |  | 300 | 223 | 205 | 728 | [57.4\%] | [42.6\%] |
| Robinson's of Ballymena Ltd | * | - | - | - | 50 | - | - |
| Rockall Seafoods Ltd |  | 32 | 26 | 0 | 58 | [55.2\%] | [44.8\%] |
| Rocklyn Engineering Ltd | * | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |
| Rockmount Vehicle Maintenance Ltd | * | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Roe Park Resort |  | 88 | 92 | 18 | 198 | [48.9\%] | [51.1\%] |
| Roll Formed Fabrications Ltd | * | - | - | - | 64 | - | - |
| Rolls-royce Goodrich Engine Control Systems Ltd |  | 22 | 12 | 3 | 37 | [64.7\%] | [35.3\%] |
| Romec Ltd |  | 33 | 44 | 4 | 81 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| Rooney Fish | \# | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| Rose Lodge Care Homes Ltd |  | 55 | 24 | 12 | 91 | [69.6\%] | [30.4\%] |
| Roskyle Ltd |  | 18 | 12 | 0 | 30 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Rotary Services Ltd |  | 66 | 24 | 2 | 92 | [73.3\%] | [26.7\%] |
| Royal Belfast Academical Institution, The |  | 39 | 10 | 0 | 49 | [79.6\%] | [20.4\%] |
| Royal College of Nursing (Northern Ireland) |  | 10 | 17 | 2 | 29 | [37.0\%] | [63.0\%] |
| Royal Court Hotel |  | 25 | 23 | 2 | 50 | [52.1\%] | [47.9\%] |
| Royal Hotel (Bangor) |  | 18 | 14 | 1 | 33 | [56.3\%] | [43.8\%] |
| Royal National Institute for Deaf People, The |  | 14 | 17 | 6 | 37 | [45.2\%] | [54.8\%] |
| Royal National Institute of the Blind |  | 24 | 34 | 3 | 61 | [41.4\%] | [58.6\%] |
| Royal Portrush Golf Club | * | - | - | - | 61 | - | - |
| Royal School Armagh, The |  | 47 | 10 | 4 | 61 | [82.5\%] | [17.5\%] |
| Royal School Dungannon |  | 38 | 10 | 0 | 48 | [79.2\%] | [20.8\%] |
| Royal Society for the Protection of Birds, The |  | 28 | 24 | 11 | 63 | [53.8\%] | [46.2\%] |
| RPS Ireland Ltd Northern Region |  | 76 | 71 | 7 | 154 | [51.7\%] | [48.3\%] |
| RPS Water Ltd |  | 18 | 25 | 2 | 45 | [41.9\%] | [58.1\%] |
| RSA Insurance PLC |  | 71 | 27 | 5 | 103 | [72.4\%] | [27.6\%] |
| RSM Mc Clure Watters |  | 43 | 27 | 2 | 72 | [61.4\%] | [38.6\%] |
| RT Autoparts | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Rubber \& Plastic Products (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| RUC Athletic Association Ltd | * | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| Rural Community Network | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Rutledge Joblink Recruitment \& Training Ltd |  | 178 | 228 | 43 | 449 | [43.8\%] | [56.2\%] |
| RW Pierce Group Ltd | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Rye Valley Foods Ltd |  | 65 | 111 | 24 | 200 | [36.9\%] | [63.1\%] |
| Rylands Private Nursing Home |  | 45 | 18 | 2 | 65 | [71.4\%] | [28.6\%] |
| Ryobi Aluminium Casting (UK) Ltd |  | 158 | 32 | 21 | 211 | [83.2\%] | [16.8\%] |
| S \& R Electric Ltd | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| S \& S Hygiene |  | 25 | 43 | 53 | 121 | [36.8\%] | [63.2\%] |
| Sacred Heart Grammar School | \# | - | - | - | 54 | - | - |
| Safecare Chrysalis Ltd T/A Castlehill Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |

[^48]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Saica Pack UK |  | 37 | 99 | 0 | 136 | [27.2\%] | [72.8\%] |
| Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd |  | 1,313 | 1,225 | 79 | 2,617 | [51.7\%] | [48.3\%] |
| Saint Columb's College | \# | - | - | - | 68 | - | - |
| Saint-gobain Building Distribution Ltd |  | 234 | 104 | 7 | 345 | [69.2\%] | [30.8\%] |
| Saint-gobain Weber Ltd | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Sales Placement Contract People Ltd |  | 30 | 27 | 8 | 65 | [52.6\%] | [47.4\%] |
| Saltmarine | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Salvation Army, The |  | 185 | 86 | 20 | 291 | [68.3\%] | [31.7\%] |
| Sandcastles Day Nursery | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Sandvik Mining \& Construction Mobile Crushers \& Screens Ltd |  | 23 | 73 | 5 | 101 | [24.0\%] | [76.0\%] |
| Sandwich Co, The | \# | - | - | - | 54 | - | - |
| Sangers (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 247 | 34 | 6 | 287 | [87.9\%] | [12.1\%] |
| Sanheath Ltd T/A Park Plaza Belfast | * | - | - | - | 56 | - | - |
| Santander UK PLC |  | 476 | 470 | 74 | 1,020 | [50.3\%] | [49.7\%] |
| SAP Research CEC |  | 12 | 10 | 15 | 37 | [54.5\%] | [45.5\%] |
| Savage \& Whitten Wholesale Ltd |  | 26 | 62 | 6 | 94 | [29.5\%] | [70.5\%] |
| Savile Row Co Ltd, The |  | 14 | 16 | 0 | 30 | [46.7\%] | [53.3\%] |
| Sawey's SP | \# | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| Sawyers Transport Ltd |  | 27 | 30 | 7 | 64 | [47.4\%] | [52.6\%] |
| Sbh Uk \& Ireland T/A Sally Salon Services |  | 33 | 29 | 46 | 108 | [53.2\%] | [46.8\%] |
| Scan Alarms \& Security Systems (UK) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| Schlumberger Oilfield UK PLC |  | 137 | 49 | 15 | 201 | [73.7\%] | [26.3\%] |
| Schneider Electric Buildings UK Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| School \& Office Supplies (SOS Group) |  | 15 | 10 | 2 | 27 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Schrader Electronics Ltd |  | 484 | 259 | 119 | 862 | [65.1\%] | [34.9\%] |
| Schuh Ltd |  | 18 | 36 | 18 | 72 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |
| Scott Ferguson Building Co | \# | - | - | - | 44 | - | - |
| Scotts Bakery Ltd |  | 36 | 13 | 33 | 82 | [73.5\%] | [26.5\%] |
| SDC Trailers Ltd |  | 42 | 218 | 14 | 274 | [16.2\%] | [83.8\%] |
| Seabank Private Residential Home | * | - | - | - | 41 | - | - |
| Seagate Technology (Ireland) |  | 246 | 990 | 119 | 1,355 | [19.9\%] | [80.1\%] |
| Seagoe Technologies Ltd |  | 142 | 64 | 1 | 207 | [68.9\%] | [31.1\%] |
| Search Consultancy Ltd |  | 36 | 44 | 3 | 83 | [45.0\%] | [55.0\%] |
| Season Harvest Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Seatruck Ferries Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 46 | - | - |
| Securitas Security Service UK | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Securitas Security Services (UK) Ltd |  | 122 | 43 | 2 | 167 | [73.9\%] | [26.1\%] |
| Security24 Guarding Ltd |  | 30 | 20 | 2 | 52 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Select Management \& Security Ltd |  | 67 | 70 | 1 | 138 | [48.9\%] | [51.1\%] |
| Selecta (UK) Ltd | */\# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Selkirk Investments Ltd | * | - | - | - | 63 | - | - |
| Semi-chem Ltd |  | 143 | 147 | 8 | 298 | [49.3\%] | [50.7\%] |
| Sense Northern Ireland |  | 54 | 14 | 11 | 79 | [79.4\%] | [20.6\%] |
| Serco Local Government \& Commercial |  | 79 | 37 | 11 | 127 | [68.1\%] | [31.9\%] |
| Sere Ltd |  | 82 | 27 | 0 | 109 | [75.2\%] | [24.8\%] |
| Servisair (UK) Ltd |  | 61 | 22 | 0 | 83 | [73.5\%] | [26.5\%] |
| Servisair UK Ltd |  | 109 | 27 | 12 | 148 | [80.1\%] | [19.9\%] |
| Shac Housing Association |  | 11 | 23 | 3 | 37 | [32.4\%] | [67.6\%] |

[^49]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shalom Care Ltd |  | 13 | 15 | 1 | 29 | [46.4\%] | [53.6\%] |
| Shandon Park Golf Club Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Shankill (Lurgan) Community Projects |  | 12 | 18 | 3 | 33 | [40.0\%] | [60.0\%] |
| Shankill Womens Centre | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Shannagh Private Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 46 | - | - |
| Sharcon Ltd T/A Supervalu |  | 59 | 36 | 1 | 96 | [62.1\%] | [37.9\%] |
| Share Discovery Village |  | 16 | 17 | 1 | 34 | [48.5\%] | [51.5\%] |
| Sharpe Mechanical Services | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Shelbourne Motors Ltd |  | 67 | 31 | 0 | 98 | [68.4\%] | [31.6\%] |
| Sheridan \& Hood Ltd | * | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| Shilliday A \& N \& Co Ltd | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Shimna Integrated College |  | 15 | 26 | 2 | 43 | [36.6\%] | [63.4\%] |
| Shine Productions Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Shoe Zone Ltd |  | 63 | 53 | 36 | 152 | [54.3\%] | [45.7\%] |
| Shopacheck Financial Services Ltd |  | 25 | 14 | 2 | 41 | [64.1\%] | [35.9\%] |
| Short Brothers PLC |  | 3,941 | 801 | 210 | 4,952 | [83.1\%] | [16.9\%] |
| SHS Group Ltd |  | 42 | 11 | 5 | 58 | [79.2\%] | [20.8\%] |
| SHS Sales \& Marketing Ltd |  | 38 | 12 | 3 | 53 | [76.0\%] | [24.0\%] |
| Sierra Support Services Ltd |  | 43 | 36 | 14 | 93 | [54.4\%] | [45.6\%] |
| SIG Ireland |  | 40 | 15 | 11 | 66 | [72.7\%] | [27.3\%] |
| Signet Trading Ltd |  | 52 | 59 | 41 | 152 | [46.8\%] | [53.2\%] |
| Silverwood Enterprise Ltd |  | 17 | 27 | 0 | 44 | [38.6\%] | [61.4\%] |
| Simon Community Northern Ireland |  | 90 | 90 | 19 | 199 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Simpson Mc Learnon \& Ferguson Ltd |  | 29 | 21 | 0 | 50 | [58.0\%] | [42.0\%] |
| Simpson Russell Construction Co Ltd |  | 27 | 17 | 0 | 44 | [61.4\%] | [38.6\%] |
| Sisk John \& Sons Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Sita (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 36 | 39 | 0 | 75 | [48.0\%] | [52.0\%] |
| SJC Hutchinson Engineering Ltd |  | 35 | 15 | 2 | 52 | [70.0\%] | [30.0\%] |
| Skandia Restaurants Ltd |  | 57 | 31 | 10 | 98 | [64.8\%] | [35.2\%] |
| Skea Egg Farms Ltd |  | 44 | 35 | 3 | 82 | [55.7\%] | [44.3\%] |
| Skyline Direct Ltd |  | 31 | 14 | 0 | 45 | [68.9\%] | [31.1\%] |
| Skyline Superstore Ltd |  | 32 | 20 | 0 | 52 | [61.5\%] | [38.5\%] |
| Slemish College | * | - | - | - | 46 | - | - |
| Slemish Private Nursing Home |  | 23 | 16 | 12 | 51 | [59.0\%] | [41.0\%] |
| Slieve DHU Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 58 | - | - |
| Smarts (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Smg (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 43 | 26 | 18 | 87 | [62.3\%] | [37.7\%] |
| Smiley Monroe Ltd |  | 62 | 19 | 2 | 83 | [76.5\%] | [23.5\%] |
| Smith \& Williamson Corporate Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 50 | - | - |
| Smith Herbert LLP | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Smith WH Retail Holdings Ltd |  | 27 | 29 | 0 | 56 | [48.2\%] | [51.8\%] |
| Smurfit UK Ltd T/A Smurfit Kappa Lurgan |  | 55 | 132 | 0 | 187 | [29.4\%] | [70.6\%] |
| Smyth \& Gibson Shirtmakers Ltd |  | 25 | 22 | 0 | 47 | [53.2\%] | [46.8\%] |
| Smyth Alistair T/A Maine Bakeries | * | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| Smyth David \& Margaret T/A Glebeside Spar | * | - | - | - | 62 | - | - |
| Smyth Patterson Ltd | * | - | - | - | 58 | - | - |
| Smyth RJ Engineering Ltd | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Smyth Steel Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |

[^50]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smyths Toys Ltd |  | 65 | 84 | 1 | 150 | [43.6\%] | [56.4\%] |
| Society of St Vincent De Paul (Belfast) | \# | - | - | - | 49 | - | - |
| Sodexo Ltd |  | 300 | 115 | 50 | 465 | [72.3\%] | [27.7\%] |
| Somerton Homes Ltd |  | 18 | 16 | 5 | 39 | [52.9\%] | [47.1\%] |
| Somerton Private Nursing Home |  | 12 | 15 | 5 | 32 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\%] |
| Somme Nursing Home, The |  | 57 | 10 | 0 | 67 | [85.1\%] | [14.9\%] |
| Soni Ltd |  | 52 | 43 | 4 | 99 | [54.7\%] | [45.3\%] |
| Sopra Group Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| South Tyrone Empowerment Programme | \# | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Southern Cross Healthcare T/A Bramblewood Care Centre | * | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| Southern Cross Healthcare T/A Culmore Manor Care Home |  | 13 | 59 | 4 | 76 | [18.1\%] | [81.9\%] |
| Southern Cross Healthcare T/A Dunanney Care Home |  | 32 | 12 | 4 | 48 | [72.7\%] | [27.3\%] |
| Southern Cross Healthcare T/A Glebe Care Home |  | 24 | 22 | 3 | 49 | [52.2\%] | [47.8\%] |
| Southern Cross Healthcare T/A Greenhaw Lodge Care Home | \# | - | - | - | 68 | - | - |
| Southern Cross Healthcare T/A Kingsland Care Centre | * | - | - | - | 46 | - | - |
| Southern Cross Healthcare T/A Marina Care Home |  | 13 | 31 | 0 | 44 | [29.5\%] | [70.5\%] |
| Southern Cross Healthcare T/A Melmount Manor Care Home |  | 19 | 103 | 2 | 124 | [15.6\%] | [84.4\%] |
| Southern Cross Healthcare T/A The Montague Care Centre |  | 39 | 19 | 6 | 64 | [67.2\%] | [32.8\%] |
| Southern Cross Healthcare T/A The Retreat Care Home |  | 24 | 14 | 10 | 48 | [63.2\%] | [36.8\%] |
| Spa Nursing Homes Ltd |  | 74 | 90 | 23 | 187 | [45.1\%] | [54.9\%] |
| Sparky Pac Ltd | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Spec-drum Engineering | \# | - | - | - | 72 | - | - |
| Specialist Joinery Fittings Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 70 | - | - |
| Specsavers Visionplus Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Spence \& Partners Ltd |  | 18 | 13 | 2 | 33 | [58.1\%] | [41.9\%] |
| Spendlove C Jebb |  | 36 | 31 | 7 | 74 | [53.7\%] | [46.3\%] |
| Sperrin Integrated College |  | 16 | 17 | 0 | 33 | [48.5\%] | [51.5\%] |
| Sperrin Metal Products Ltd |  | 18 | 68 | 3 | 89 | [20.9\%] | [79.1\%] |
| Sports Institute Northern Ireland |  | 14 | 15 | 4 | 33 | [48.3\%] | [51.7\%] |
| Sportsbowl Ltd |  | 33 | 17 | 3 | 53 | [66.0\%] | [34.0\%] |
| Spotless Group | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Spratt J \& Co Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Springfarm Architectural Mouldings Ltd |  | 54 | 13 | 7 | 74 | [80.6\%] | [19.4\%] |
| Springisland Supermarket Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 64 | - | - |
| Springlawn House Private Nursing Home Ltd |  | 13 | 33 | 0 | 46 | [28.3\%] | [71.7\%] |
| Springvale Eps Ltd | * | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| Springvale Training Ltd |  | 15 | 64 | 7 | 86 | [19.0\%] | [81.0\%] |
| SPS Ireland Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| SQS Group Ltd |  | 10 | 19 | 4 | 33 | [34.5\%] | [65.5\%] |
| SRB Care Ltd |  | 24 | 32 | 0 | 56 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| SSP UK |  | 46 | 56 | 18 | 120 | [45.1\%] | [54.9\%] |
| St Colman's College | \# | - | - | - | 49 | - | - |
| St Dominic's High School | \# | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| St Francis Private Nursing Home |  | 11 | 18 | 2 | 31 | [37.9\%] | [62.1\%] |
| St John of God Association | \# | - | - | - | 88 | - | - |
| St John's House |  | 23 | 91 | 3 | 117 | [20.2\%] | [79.8\%] |
| St Joseph's Grammar School Donaghmore | \# | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| St Louis Grammar School |  | 12 | 27 | 1 | 40 | [30.8\%] | [69.2\%] |

[^51]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| St Malachy's College |  | 21 | 65 | 1 | 87 | [24.4\%] | [75.6\%] |
| St Mary's CBGS | \# | - | - | - | 66 | - | - |
| St Mary's Grammar School |  | 16 | 50 | 0 | 66 | [24.2\%] | [75.8\%] |
| St Mary's University College |  | 12 | 151 | 6 | 169 | [7.4\%] | [92.6\%] |
| St Michael's College C/o Michael Duffy | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| St Michael's Grammar School | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| St Patrick's Academy | \# | - | - | - | 67 | - | - |
| St Patricks Grammar School | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| St Patrick's Grammar School | \# | - | - | - | 44 | - | - |
| Stanley Motor Works (1932) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |
| Starbucks Coffee Company (UK) Ltd |  | 99 | 74 | 51 | 224 | [57.2\%] | [42.8\%] |
| Starplan Furniture Ltd |  | 82 | 35 | 9 | 126 | [70.1\%] | [29.9\%] |
| Steel Solutions (Northern Ireland) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Stena Line Ltd |  | 70 | 25 | 7 | 102 | [73.7\%] | [26.3\%] |
| Stenaline Irish Sea Ferries |  | 89 | 19 | 0 | 108 | [82.4\%] | [17.6\%] |
| Stephens Catering Equipment Co Ltd |  | 60 | 17 | 2 | 79 | [77.9\%] | [22.1\%] |
| Stephens WH | * | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Steria Ltd |  | 36 | 25 | 0 | 61 | [59.0\%] | [41.0\%] |
| Stevenson \& Reid |  | 33 | 10 | 0 | 43 | [76.7\%] | [23.3\%] |
| Stevenson \& Wilson | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Stevenson Darrel T/A Causeway Hotel | * | - | - | - | 56 | - | - |
| Stevenson James (Quarries) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Stevenson Maurice Ltd |  | 26 | 11 | 1 | 38 | [70.3\%] | [29.7\%] |
| Stewart JC Ltd |  | 52 | 38 | 3 | 93 | [57.8\%] | [42.2\%] |
| Stewart Mervyn Ltd |  | 33 | 11 | 3 | 47 | [75.0\%] | [25.0\%] |
| Stewarts Solicitors | * | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| STL Logistics |  | 48 | 24 | 0 | 72 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Stothers (M \& E) Ltd |  | 39 | 26 | 0 | 65 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Strabane \& District Caring Services | \# | - | - | - | 34 | - | - |
| Strangford Arms Hotel | * | - | - | - | 60 | - | - |
| Strathearn School | * | - | - | - | 57 | - | - |
| Strathroy Dairy Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 142 | - | - |
| Stream Global Services | \# | - | - | - | 51 | - | - |
| Strong Inns Ltd T/A Chimney Corner Hotel |  | 14 | 13 | 4 | 31 | [51.9\%] | [48.1\%] |
| Sullivan Upper School | * | - | - | - | 63 | - | - |
| Sunnymead (Armagh) Ltd |  | 36 | 17 | 0 | 53 | [67.9\%] | [32.1\%] |
| Sunray Home Bakeries Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Superdrug Stores PLC |  | 142 | 225 | 151 | 518 | [38.7\%] | [61.3\%] |
| Supervalu Shantallow | \# | - | - | - | 63 | - | - |
| Surefreight Ltd |  | 81 | 104 | 0 | 185 | [43.8\%] | [56.2\%] |
| Surgery, The | * | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Surphlis LW \& Son |  | 38 | 17 | 0 | 55 | [69.1\%] | [30.9\%] |
| Swinton Group Ltd T/A Open \& Direct Insurance |  | 182 | 103 | 10 | 295 | [63.9\%] | [36.1\%] |
| Sword Security (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 178 | 52 | 15 | 245 | [77.4\%] | [22.6\%] |
| Sysco Software Solutions | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Taggart WDR \& RT |  | 50 | 15 | 3 | 68 | [76.9\%] | [23.1\%] |
| Tal Ltd |  | 18 | 29 | 0 | 47 | [38.3\%] | [61.7\%] |
| Tamlaght Private Nursing Home Ltd |  | 46 | 11 | 2 | 59 | [80.7\%] | [19.3\%] |

[^52]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tata Steel UK Ltd |  | 66 | 25 | 2 | 93 | [72.5\%] | [27.5\%] |
| Tayto Group |  | 306 | 97 | 46 | 449 | [75.9\%] | [24.1\%] |
| TC Contractors |  | 87 | 65 | 180 | 332 | [57.2\%] | [42.8\%] |
| Tech Trade Recruiting Ltd |  | 26 | 73 | 31 | 130 | [26.3\%] | [73.7\%] |
| Telco Trading Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Telefónica (UK) Ltd |  | 58 | 63 | 0 | 121 | [47.9\%] | [52.1\%] |
| Teleperformance |  | 756 | 821 | 122 | 1,699 | [47.9\%] | [52.1\%] |
| Telestack Ltd |  | 12 | 46 | 8 | 66 | [20.7\%] | [79.3\%] |
| Teletech Holdings Inc |  | 157 | 264 | 234 | 655 | [37.3\%] | [62.7\%] |
| Templeton Hotel |  | 63 | 23 | 13 | 99 | [73.3\%] | [26.7\%] |
| Tennant Charles \& Co (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Tennants Textile Colours Ltd | * | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Tennent's Northern Ireland Ltd |  | 16 | 33 | 1 | 50 | [32.7\%] | [67.3\%] |
| Terex Finlay |  | 92 | 178 | 9 | 279 | [34.1\%] | [65.9\%] |
| Terex GB Ltd |  | 73 | 300 | 44 | 417 | [19.6\%] | [80.4\%] |
| Terex Global Business Services New Terex Holdings (UK) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Tes Northern Ireland Ltd |  | 20 | 35 | 3 | 58 | [36.4\%] | [63.6\%] |
| Tesab Engineering Ltd |  | 26 | 13 | 0 | 39 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Tesco PLC |  | 6,110 | 3,456 | 108 | 9,674 | [63.9\%] | [36.1\%] |
| Texthelp Systems Ltd |  | 38 | 25 | 5 | 68 | [60.3\%] | [39.7\%] |
| Thales Air Defence Ltd |  | 427 | 82 | 54 | 563 | [83.9\%] | [16.1\%] |
| The Signature Works Ltd | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| Thom S Mr T/A Royal Hotel |  | 36 | 13 | 5 | 54 | [73.5\%] | [26.5\%] |
| Thomas Cook Airline Services Ltd |  | 31 | 15 | 18 | 64 | [67.4\%] | [32.6\%] |
| Thomas Cook UK Ltd |  | 109 | 93 | 19 | 221 | [54.0\%] | [46.0\%] |
| Thompson John \& Sons Ltd |  | 134 | 21 | 3 | 158 | [86.5\%] | [13.5\%] |
| Thompson R \& Son (Armagh) Ltd |  | 20 | 16 | 0 | 36 | [55.6\%] | [44.4\%] |
| Thompson TBF (Garvagh) Ltd |  | 112 | 35 | 5 | 152 | [76.2\%] | [23.8\%] |
| Thornhill College | \# | - | - | - | 65 | - | - |
| Thornton Roofing Ireland Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 50 | - | - |
| Three Spires Ltd T/A Milesian Manor | \# | - | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| Threshold |  | 23 | 24 | 9 | 56 | [48.9\%] | [51.1\%] |
| Thyssenkrupp Elevator UK Ltd | * | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| Tilery Ltd, The |  | 24 | 28 | 0 | 52 | [46.2\%] | [53.8\%] |
| Timoney Sean \& Sons Ltd |  | 13 | 42 | 0 | 55 | [23.6\%] | [76.4\%] |
| Tinnelly John \& Sons Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 47 | - | - |
| TJ Hughes |  | 25 | 63 | 15 | 103 | [28.4\%] | [71.6\%] |
| TJ Morris Ltd |  | 27 | 23 | 104 | 154 | [54.0\%] | [46.0\%] |
| TK Maxx |  | 198 | 214 | 18 | 430 | [48.1\%] | [51.9\%] |
| TLR Resourcing Ltd T/A Tim Lewis Recruitment |  | 88 | 53 | 55 | 196 | [62.4\%] | [37.6\%] |
| TMC Dairies (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 48 | - | - |
| T-met Ltd |  | 15 | 44 | 1 | 60 | [25.4\%] | [74.6\%] |
| TNT (UK) Ltd |  | 77 | 23 | 4 | 104 | [77.0\%] | [23.0\%] |
| Toals Bookmakers |  | 41 | 112 | 5 | 158 | [26.8\%] | [73.2\%] |
| Tobermore Concrete Products Ltd |  | 118 | 16 | 1 | 135 | [88.1\%] | [11.9\%] |
| Todd Architects Ltd |  | 21 | 10 | 5 | 36 | [67.7\%] | [32.3\%] |
| Toddsleap.com |  | 11 | 22 | 0 | 33 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |
| Tona Enterprises Castleview Nursing Home | \# | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |

[^53]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tona Enterprises Ltd T/A Scrabo Isles Nursing Home |  | 20 | 13 | 0 | 33 | [60.6\%] | [39.4\%] |
| Toner's Supermarkets Ltd |  | 20 | 110 | 4 | 134 | [15.4\%] | [84.6\%] |
| Topaz Energy Ltd |  | 20 | 10 | 0 | 30 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Topping Tp Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Total Produce Belfast Ltd |  | 10 | 42 | 0 | 52 | [19.2\%] | [80.8\%] |
| Totalis Solutions Ltd |  | 53 | 15 | 1 | 69 | [77.9\%] | [22.1\%] |
| Towell Building Trust Ltd |  | 47 | 15 | 4 | 66 | [75.8\%] | [24.2\%] |
| Tower Hotel Group T/A Tower Hotel (Derry) Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 51 | - | - |
| Toys "r" Us Ltd |  | 48 | 37 | 10 | 95 | [56.5\%] | [43.5\%] |
| Tracey Brothers Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 52 | - | - |
| Tracey Concrete Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 58 | - | - |
| Trackars |  | 51 | 38 | 30 | 119 | [57.3\%] | [42.7\%] |
| Trade Mouldings Ltd |  | 13 | 33 | 23 | 69 | [28.3\%] | [71.7\%] |
| Tradeteam Ltd (DHL) |  | 39 | 17 | 6 | 62 | [69.6\%] | [30.4\%] |
| Transport Supplies (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Traynors Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 61 | - | - |
| Triangle Housing Association Ltd |  | 132 | 86 | 23 | 241 | [60.6\%] | [39.4\%] |
| Trinity Housing Ltd |  | 43 | 21 | 0 | 64 | [67.2\%] | [32.8\%] |
| Trolan Charles T/A Trolan's Supervalu |  | 34 | 37 | 3 | 74 | [47.9\%] | [52.1\%] |
| Trouw Nutrition |  | 15 | 16 | 2 | 33 | [48.4\%] | [51.6\%] |
| TS Foods Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 51 | - | - |
| TSI (Ireland) Ltd T/A Rainbow Telecom |  | 28 | 15 | 1 | 44 | [65.1\%] | [34.9\%] |
| Tughans |  | 43 | 39 | 1 | 83 | [52.4\%] | [47.6\%] |
| TUI UK \& Ireland Ltd |  | 118 | 70 | 18 | 206 | [62.8\%] | [37.2\%] |
| Tullyglass House Hotel |  | 25 | 43 | 12 | 80 | [36.8\%] | [63.2\%] |
| Tullyraine Quarries Ltd |  | 18 | 16 | 0 | 34 | [52.9\%] | [47.1\%] |
| Turkington JH \& Sons Ltd |  | 87 | 10 | 4 | 101 | [89.7\%] | [10.3\%] |
| Turtles of Rathkenny |  | 23 | 10 | 0 | 33 | [69.7\%] | [30.3\%] |
| Tyrone Fabrication Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 66 | - | - |
| Ulster Anaesthetics Ltd | * | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Ulster Bank Ltd |  | 1,298 | 980 | 173 | 2,451 | [57.0\%] | [43.0\%] |
| Ulster Cancer Foundation |  | 32 | 28 | 7 | 67 | [53.3\%] | [46.7\%] |
| Ulster Carpets Ltd |  | 226 | 43 | 13 | 282 | [84.0\%] | [16.0\%] |
| Ulster Council GAA | \# | - | - | - | 69 | - | - |
| Ulster Farm By-products Ltd |  | 28 | 16 | 0 | 44 | [63.6\%] | [36.4\%] |
| Ulster Farmers Union | * | - | - | - | 74 | - | - |
| Ulster Independent Clinic Ltd, The |  | 191 | 67 | 8 | 266 | [74.0\%] | [26.0\%] |
| Ulster Journals Ltd |  | 14 | 16 | 0 | 30 | [46.7\%] | [53.3\%] |
| Ulster Orchestra Society Ltd |  | 23 | 16 | 36 | 75 | [59.0\%] | [41.0\%] |
| Ulster Stores Ltd |  | 123 | 37 | 11 | 171 | [76.9\%] | [23.1\%] |
| Ulster Weavers Ltd | * | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Ulster Wildlife Trust |  | 35 | 17 | 12 | 64 | [67.3\%] | [32.7\%] |
| Ultra Building Products Ltd |  | 15 | 44 | 0 | 59 | [25.4\%] | [74.6\%] |
| Unibase Cabinet Systems Ltd |  | 15 | 11 | 4 | 30 | [57.7\%] | [42.3\%] |
| Unicorn Containers Ltd | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Unicorn Mouldings Ltd |  | 21 | 18 | 18 | 57 | [53.8\%] | [46.2\%] |
| Unison | \# | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Unite The Union |  | 19 | 15 | 1 | 35 | [55.9\%] | [44.1\%] |

[^54]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United Biscuits (UK) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 33 | - | - |
| United Cleaning Services Ltd |  | 31 | 38 | 21 | 90 | [44.9\%] | [55.1\%] |
| United Dairy Farmers Ltd |  | 448 | 106 | 34 | 588 | [80.9\%] | [19.1\%] |
| United Feeds Ltd | * | - | - | - | 49 | - | - |
| United Optical Laboratories Ltd |  | 18 | 33 | 1 | 52 | [35.3\%] | [64.7\%] |
| United Wine Merchants Ltd |  | 15 | 35 | 0 | 50 | [30.0\%] | [70.0\%] |
| Uni-trunk Ltd |  | 36 | 10 | 5 | 51 | [78.3\%] | [21.7\%] |
| University of Ulster |  | 1,684 | 1,303 | 415 | 3,402 | [56.4\%] | [43.6\%] |
| University of Ulster Students Union |  | 35 | 35 | 5 | 75 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Upper Andersonstown Community Forum | \# | - | - | - | 38 | - | - |
| UPU Industries Ltd |  | 37 | 13 | 6 | 56 | [74.0\%] | [26.0\%] |
| URS Scott Wilson Ltd |  | 89 | 73 | 11 | 173 | [54.9\%] | [45.1\%] |
| UTV Media PLC |  | 142 | 86 | 26 | 254 | [62.3\%] | [37.7\%] |
| Valley Hotel, The |  | 21 | 11 | 3 | 35 | [65.6\%] | [34.4\%] |
| Valley Private Nursing Home, The |  | 38 | 52 | 15 | 105 | [42.2\%] | [57.8\%] |
| Valpar Industrial Ltd | * | - | - | - | 46 | - | - |
| Valuecabs Ltd |  | 37 | 29 | 11 | 77 | [56.1\%] | [43.9\%] |
| Vaughan Engineering Services Ltd |  | 59 | 22 | 5 | 86 | [72.8\%] | [27.2\%] |
| Veolia Water Outsourcing Ltd |  | 37 | 17 | 18 | 72 | [68.5\%] | [31.5\%] |
| Versatile Butchers LLP |  | 18 | 24 | 0 | 42 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| Veterinary Surgeons Supply Co Ltd | * | - | - | - | 41 | - | - |
| Victim Support Northern Ireland |  | 30 | 24 | 4 | 58 | [55.6\%] | [44.4\%] |
| Victoria College Belfast |  | 34 | 15 | 5 | 54 | [69.4\%] | [30.6\%] |
| Villa Italia |  | 41 | 90 | 12 | 143 | [31.3\%] | [68.7\%] |
| Vine Centre Ltd, The | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Vion Mc Gee (ROI) Ltd |  | 31 | 40 | 4 | 75 | [43.7\%] | [56.3\%] |
| Vion Pork Cookstown |  | 201 | 255 | 272 | 728 | [44.1\%] | [55.9\%] |
| Virgin Active Health Club |  | 30 | 17 | 9 | 56 | [63.8\%] | [36.2\%] |
| Virgin Media |  | 50 | 28 | 7 | 85 | [64.1\%] | [35.9\%] |
| Viridian Group Ltd |  | 163 | 103 | 16 | 282 | [61.3\%] | [38.7\%] |
| VIS Security Solutions Ltd |  | 35 | 10 | 0 | 45 | [77.8\%] | [22.2\%] |
| Vodafone (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 56 | 40 | 6 | 102 | [58.3\%] | [41.7\%] |
| Voice of Young People In Care |  | 13 | 22 | 4 | 39 | [37.1\%] | [62.9\%] |
| Volunteer Now |  | 28 | 26 | 2 | 56 | [51.9\%] | [48.1\%] |
| VSG |  | 71 | 22 | 7 | 100 | [76.3\%] | [23.7\%] |
| W5 |  | 60 | 15 | 2 | 77 | [80.0\%] | [20.0\%] |
| Waddell Media Ltd |  | 14 | 12 | 4 | 30 | [53.8\%] | [46.2\%] |
| Wade Training Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 46 | - | - |
| Walker RJ T/A The Country Garage | * | - | - | - | 47 | - | - |
| Walkers Snacks Ltd |  | 22 | 11 | 0 | 33 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Wallace Contracts (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 30 | - | - |
| Wallace High School, The |  | 48 | 11 | 2 | 61 | [81.4\%] | [18.6\%] |
| Wandsworth Pubs Ltd |  | 20 | 10 | 14 | 44 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Warden Bros (Newtownards) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 62 | - | - |
| Warehouse Fashion Ltd |  | 23 | 28 | 2 | 53 | [45.1\%] | [54.9\%] |
| Warmflow Engineering Co Ltd |  | 62 | 23 | 8 | 93 | [72.9\%] | [27.1\%] |
| Warner Chilcott (UK) Ltd |  | 97 | 42 | 20 | 159 | [69.8\%] | [30.2\%] |
| Warwick Cecil T/A Warwick Engineering | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |

[^55]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wastebeater Recycling | \# | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| Waterstone's |  | 11 | 13 | 2 | 26 | [45.8\%] | [54.2\%] |
| Watson Walter Ltd |  | 84 | 101 | 0 | 185 | [45.4\%] | [54.6\%] |
| Wave Trauma Centre |  | 10 | 12 | 4 | 26 | [45.5\%] | [54.5\%] |
| WD Meats Ltd |  | 104 | 214 | 2 | 320 | [32.7\%] | [67.3\%] |
| Webtech (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 30 | 39 | 9 | 78 | [43.5\%] | [56.5\%] |
| Wee Care Day Nursery |  | 66 | 36 | 3 | 105 | [64.7\%] | [35.3\%] |
| Welcome Hotels Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Welcome Organisation, The |  | 10 | 16 | 0 | 26 | [38.5\%] | [61.5\%] |
| Wellington Computer Systems Ltd |  | 10 | 20 | 3 | 33 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |
| Wellington Park Hotel |  | 15 | 86 | 18 | 119 | [14.9\%] | [85.1\%] |
| Wesley Housing Association Ltd | * | - | - | - | 44 | - |  |
| West Coast Capital (USC) Ltd |  | 31 | 19 | 3 | 53 | [62.0\%] | [38.0\%] |
| Western Building Systems Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 52 | - |  |
| Western Urgent Care Ltd |  | 61 | 132 | 19 | 212 | [31.6\%] | [68.4\%] |
| Westland Horticulture Ltd |  | 65 | 85 | 9 | 159 | [43.3\%] | [56.7\%] |
| Wetherspoon JD PLC |  | 75 | 97 | 61 | 233 | [43.6\%] | [56.4\%] |
| Whistledown Inn Ltd, The | \# | - | - | - | 46 | - |  |
| Whitbread PLC |  | 109 | 93 | 47 | 249 | [54.0\%] | [46.0\%] |
| White Philip Tyres Ltd |  | 16 | 30 | 6 | 52 | [34.8\%] | [65.2\%] |
| White Young Green |  | 54 | 32 | 11 | 97 | [62.8\%] | [37.2\%] |
| Whitehead Private Nursing Home Ltd | * | - | - | - | 37 | - | - |
| Whitehouse Retail Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 60 | - | - |
| Whitemountain Quarries Ltd |  | 65 | 97 | 8 | 170 | [40.1\%] | [59.9\%] |
| Whiterock Children's Centre | \# | - | - | - | 33 | - |  |
| Whites Speedicook Ltd | * | - | - | - | 28 | - |  |
| Wholesale Beds \& Furniture Ltd |  | 17 | 22 | 0 | 39 | [43.6\%] | [56.4\%] |
| William Coates Ltd | * | - | - | - | 54 | - |  |
| Williams Industrial Services Ltd |  | 116 | 38 | 0 | 154 | [75.3\%] | [24.7\%] |
| Willis \& Co (Insurance Brokers) Ltd |  | 25 | 10 | 0 | 35 | [71.4\%] | [28.6\%] |
| Willis Ltd |  | 47 | 18 | 3 | 68 | [72.3\%] | [27.7\%] |
| Willowbrook Foods | * | - | - | - | 107 | - |  |
| Willstan Ltd T/A William Hill |  | 136 | 67 | 12 | 215 | [67.0\%] | [33.0\%] |
| Wilson FG (Engineering) Ltd |  | 1,446 | 635 | 138 | 2,219 | [69.5\%] | [30.5\%] |
| Wilson Nesbitt Solicitors |  | 39 | 19 | 1 | 59 | [67.2\%] | [32.8\%] |
| Wilsons Auctions Ltd |  | 40 | 14 | 0 | 54 | [74.1\%] | [25.9\%] |
| Wilson's Country Ltd |  | 61 | 20 | 27 | 108 | [75.3\%] | [24.7\%] |
| Wilsons of Rathkenny Group Ltd |  | 52 | 31 | 0 | 83 | [62.7\%] | [37.3\%] |
| Wincanton |  | 249 | 161 | 69 | 479 | [60.7\%] | [39.3\%] |
| Windell Ltd | * | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| Windmill Restaurants Ltd |  | 114 | 139 | 39 | 292 | [45.1\%] | [54.9\%] |
| Windsor Home Bakery |  | 69 | 37 | 0 | 106 | [65.1\%] | [34.9\%] |
| Wine Company, The T/A Little Rock |  | 28 | 27 | 0 | 55 | [50.9\%] | [49.1\%] |
| Wineflair (Belfast) Ltd |  | 91 | 111 | 39 | 241 | [45.0\%] | [55.0\%] |
| WJM Building Services Ltd | * | - | - | - | 58 | - | - |
| Wolseley Centers Ltd T/A Plumb Center |  | 48 | 17 | 3 | 68 | [73.8\%] | [26.2\%] |
| Women's Aid (Antrim, Ballymena, Carrickfergus, Larne \& Newtownabbey) |  | 15 | 11 | 2 | 28 | [57.7\%] | [42.3\%] |
| Women's Aid (Belfast \& Lisburn) |  | 31 | 28 | 4 | 63 | [52.5\%] | [47.5\%] |

[^56]| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women's Aid (Cookstown \& Dungannon) |  | 15 | 16 | 1 | 32 | [48.4\%] | [51.6\%] |
| Woodburn Engineering Ltd |  | 10 | 16 | 0 | 26 | [38.5\%] | [61.5\%] |
| Woods John (Lisglyn) Ltd |  | 64 | 192 | 14 | 270 | [25.0\%] | [75.0\%] |
| Woods L \& J T/A Woodmount Nursing Home |  | 14 | 29 | 0 | 43 | [32.6\%] | [67.4\%] |
| Woodside Haulage Holdings Ltd |  | 230 | 10 | 2 | 242 | [95.8\%] | [4.2\%] |
| Woodside TF \& Co Ltd |  | 82 | 17 | 4 | 103 | [82.8\%] | [17.2\%] |
| Woodsides (Ballyclare) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| Woodwin Catering Ltd T/A Hungry House Fine Sandwiches |  | 25 | 14 | 3 | 42 | [64.1\%] | [35.9\%] |
| Workers Educational Association (Northern Ireland) |  | 21 | 23 | 8 | 52 | [47.7\%] | [52.3\%] |
| Workforce Training Services Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| Works Stores Ltd, The |  | 34 | 34 | 13 | 81 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Workspace (Draperstown) Ltd |  | 17 | 90 | 11 | 118 | [15.9\%] | [84.1\%] |
| Worthingtons |  | 17 | 16 | 0 | 33 | [51.5\%] | [48.5\%] |
| Wright Accident Repair Ltd |  | 146 | 23 | 11 | 180 | [86.4\%] | [13.6\%] |
| Wright Composites Ltd |  | 75 | 42 | 8 | 125 | [64.1\%] | [35.9\%] |
| Wright Leslie \& Son |  | 37 | 20 | 0 | 57 | [64.9\%] | [35.1\%] |
| Wrightbus Ltd |  | 753 | 76 | 59 | 888 | [90.8\%] | [9.2\%] |
| Wyse Byse |  | 81 | 11 | 1 | 93 | [88.0\%] | [12.0\%] |
| Xerox (UK) Ltd |  | 22 | 18 | 3 | 43 | [55.0\%] | [45.0\%] |
| Xtra-vision |  | 163 | 130 | 0 | 293 | [55.6\%] | [44.4\%] |
| Yell Ltd |  | 82 | 91 | 37 | 210 | [47.4\%] | [52.6\%] |
| Yelo Limited | * | - | - | - | 26 | - | - |
| Yorkgate Bingo Co Ltd (Galaxy) |  | 12 | 15 | 0 | 27 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\%] |
| Yorkshire House Ltd T/A Ten Square Hotel |  | 16 | 61 | 7 | 84 | [20.8\%] | [79.2\%] |
| Young Enterprise Northern Ireland |  | 24 | 20 | 3 | 47 | [54.5\%] | [45.5\%] |
| Youth Action (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 26 | 42 | 0 | 68 | [38.2\%] | [61.8\%] |
| Youth Hostel Association of Northern Ireland | * | - | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| Zara (UK) Ltd |  | 12 | 30 | 4 | 46 | [28.6\%] | [71.4\%] |
| Zenith Hygiene Systems |  | 16 | 15 | 17 | 48 | [51.6\%] | [48.4\%] |
| Zeus Packaging (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Zion's Den Childcare Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Zurich Insurance Company |  | 24 | 14 | 0 | 38 | [63.2\%] | [36.8\%] |
| Zwecker Noel International Transport Ltd | * | - | - | - | 43 | - | - |

[^57]
## Appendix 2

## Section 3

Composition of Appointees to Individual Specified Authorities (26+ employees)

| Specified Authority |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agri-food \& Biosciences Institute |  | 8 | 5 | 6 | 19 | [61.5\%] | [38.5\%] |
| Antrim Borough Council |  | 28 | 7 | 2 | 37 | [80.0\%] | [20.0\%] |
| Ards Borough Council |  | 27 | 5 | 1 | 33 | [84.4\%] | [15.6\%] |
| Armagh City \& District Council |  | 10 | 7 | 1 | 18 | [58.8\%] | [41.2\%] |
| Armagh Observatory \& Planetarium, The | \# | - | - | - | 4 | - | - |
| Arts Council of Northern Ireland |  | 4 | 5 | 0 | 9 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\%] |
| Ballymena Borough Council |  | 11 | 4 | 0 | 15 | [73.3\%] | [26.7\%] |
| Ballymoney Borough Council | */\# | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Banbridge District Council |  | 14 | 13 | 4 | 31 | [51.9\%] | [48.1\%] |
| Belfast City Council |  | 51 | 62 | 7 | 120 | [45.1\%] | [54.9\%] |
| Belfast Education \& Library Board |  | 76 | 64 | 22 | 162 | [54.3\%] | [45.7\%] |
| Belfast Harbour Commissioners |  | 6 | 6 | 1 | 13 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Belfast Health \& Social Care Trust |  | 694 | 760 | 177 | 1631 | [47.7\%] | [52.3\%] |
| Belfast Metropolitan College, The |  | 15 | 16 | 5 | 36 | [48.4\%] | [51.6\%] |
| Big Lottery Fund, The | * | - | - | - | 5 | - | - |
| British Broadcasting Corporation |  | 29 | 36 | 8 | 73 | [44.6\%] | [55.4\%] |
| Carrickfergus Borough Council |  | 23 | 3 | 3 | 29 | [88.5\%] | [11.5\%] |
| Castlereagh Borough Council |  | 24 | 13 | 0 | 37 | [64.9\%] | [35.1\%] |
| Chief Electoral Officer for Northern Ireland, The | \# | - | - | - | 3 | - | - |
| Citybus Ltd |  | 14 | 21 | 0 | 35 | [40.0\%] | [60.0\%] |
| Civil Service, The |  | 27 | 5 | 32 | 64 | [84.4\%] | [15.6\%] |
| Coleraine Borough Council |  | 6 | 6 | 1 | 13 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Commissioner for Children \& Young People for Northern Ireland | * | - | - | - | 5 | - | - |
| Comptroller \& Auditor General for Northern Ireland |  | 6 | 6 | 0 | 12 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Construction Industry Training Board | * | - | - | - | 4 |  | - |
| Cookstown District Council |  | 4 | 16 | 1 | 21 | [20.0\%] | [80.0\%] |
| Council for Catholic Maintained Schools | */\# | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Craigavon Borough Council |  | 67 | 62 | 5 | 134 | [51.9\%] | [48.1\%] |
| Department of Finance \& Personnel |  | 368 | 352 | 56 | 776 | [51.1\%] | [48.9\%] |
| Derry City Council |  | 6 | 22 | 4 | 32 | [21.4\%] | [78.6\%] |
| Down District Council |  | 9 | 23 | 0 | 32 | [28.1\%] | [71.9\%] |
| Dungannon \& South Tyrone Borough Council |  | 10 | 23 | 2 | 35 | [30.3\%] | [69.7\%] |
| Equality Commission for Northern Ireland | */\# | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Fermanagh District Council |  | 10 | 12 | 1 | 23 | [45.5\%] | [54.5\%] |
| Food Standards Agency Northern Ireland | */\# | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Foyle Carlingford \& Irish Lights Commission | */\# | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| General Consumer Council for Northern Ireland |  | 5 | 3 | 2 | 10 | [62.5\%] | [37.5\%] |
| Health \& Social Care Regulation \& Quality Improvement Authority |  | 9 | 10 | 3 | 22 | [47.4\%] | [52.6\%] |
| Invest Northern Ireland |  | 6 | 7 | 1 | 14 | [46.2\%] | [53.8\%] |
| Labour Relations Agency |  | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Larne Borough Council |  | 6 | 2 | 1 | 9 | [75.0\%] | [25.0\%] |
| Limavady Borough Council |  | 7 | 12 | 0 | 19 | [36.8\%] | [63.2\%] |
| Lisburn City Council |  | 21 | 12 | 0 | 33 | [63.6\%] | [36.4\%] |
| Livestock \& Meat Commission for Northern Ireland | */\# | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |


| Specified Authority |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Londonderry Port \& Harbour Commissioners | */\# | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Magherafelt District Council | */\# | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Moyle District Council | */\# | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| National Museums \& Galleries of Northern Ireland |  | 8 | 4 | 2 | 14 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Newry \& Mourne District Council |  | 8 | 23 | 1 | 32 | [25.8\%] | [74.2\%] |
| Newtownabbey Borough Council |  | 75 | 19 | 10 | 104 | [79.8\%] | [20.2\%] |
| North Down Borough Council |  | 46 | 13 | 7 | 66 | [78.0\%] | [22.0\%] |
| North Eastern Education \& Library Board |  | 149 | 84 | 21 | 254 | [63.9\%] | [36.1\%] |
| North West Regional College, The |  | 21 | 78 | 7 | 106 | [21.2\%] | [78.8\%] |
| Northern Health \& Social Care Trust |  | 351 | 299 | 125 | 775 | [54.0\%] | [46.0\%] |
| Northern Ireland Ambulance Service Health \& Social Care Trust |  | 27 | 15 | 0 | 42 | [64.3\%] | [35.7\%] |
| Northern Ireland Assembly Commission |  | 80 | 38 | 8 | 126 | [67.8\%] | [32.2\%] |
| Northern Ireland Blood Transfusion Service Agency |  | 7 | 12 | 2 | 21 | [36.8\%] | [63.2\%] |
| Northern Ireland Community Relations Council |  | 4 | 6 | 0 | 10 | [40.0\%] | [60.0\%] |
| Northern Ireland Council for The Curriculum Examinations \& Assessmen |  | 14 | 12 | 5 | 31 | [53.8\%] | [46.2\%] |
| Northern Ireland Fire \& Rescue Service Board |  | 11 | 9 | 4 | 24 | [55.0\%] | [45.0\%] |
| Northern Ireland Guardian Ad Litem Agency | */\# | - | - | - | 2 | - |  |
| Northern Ireland Housing Executive |  | 45 | 36 | 3 | 84 | [55.6\%] | [44.4\%] |
| Northern Ireland Legal Services Commission |  | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Northern Ireland Library Authority |  | 44 | 44 | 3 | 91 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Northern Ireland Local Government Officers' Superannuation Committee |  | 3 | 4 | 2 | 9 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| Northern Ireland Medical \& Dental Training Agency | */\# | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Northern Ireland Policing Board, The ${ }^{40}$ |  | 8 | 7 | 4 | 19 | [53.3\%] | [46.7\%] |
| Northern Ireland Railways Company Ltd |  | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Northern Ireland Screen Commission | \# | - | - | - | 14 | - | - |
| Northern Ireland Social Care Council |  | 4 | 5 | 0 | 9 | [44.4\%] | [55.6\%] |
| Northern Ireland Tourist Board |  | 4 | 6 | 3 | 13 | [40.0\%] | [60.0\%] |
| Northern Ireland Transport Holding Company | */\# | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Northern Ireland Water Ltd |  | 21 | 33 | 4 | 58 | [38.9\%] | [61.1\%] |
| Northern Regional College, The |  | 34 | 16 | 7 | 57 | [68.0\%] | [32.0\%] |
| Omagh District Council |  | 3 | 16 | 1 | 20 | [15.8\%] | [84.2\%] |
| Patient \& Client Council |  | 5 | 5 | 0 | 10 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Police Ombudsman for Northern Ireland, The |  | 4 | 2 | 1 | 7 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Police Service Of Northern Ireland ${ }^{40}$, The |  | 168 | 172 | 4 | 344 | [49.4\%] | [50.6\%] |
| Probation Board for Northern Ireland |  | 19 | 22 | 1 | 42 | [46.3\%] | [53.7\%] |
| Regional Agency for Public Health \& Social Well-being |  | 14 | 18 | 2 | 34 | [43.8\%] | [56.3\%] |
| Regional Business Services Organisation |  | 33 | 50 | 18 | 101 | [39.8\%] | [60.2\%] |
| Regional Health \& Social Care Board |  | 28 | 36 | 3 | 67 | [43.8\%] | [56.3\%] |
| Royal Mail Group PLC |  | 95 | 96 | 3 | 194 | [49.7\%] | [50.3\%] |
| Secretary of State for Defence | */\# | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| South Eastern Education \& Library Board |  | 193 | 58 | 32 | 283 | [76.9\%] | [23.1\%] |
| South Eastern Health \& Social Care Trust |  | 396 | 301 | 84 | 781 | [56.8\%] | [43.2\%] |
| South Eastern Regional College, The |  | 87 | 68 | 38 | 193 | [56.1\%] | [43.9\%] |
| South West College, The |  | 55 | 104 | 11 | 170 | [34.6\%] | [65.4\%] |

[^58]Note: The breakdown of appointees by community background is redacted where the publication of this information would make it possible to identify the community background of an individual.

| Specified Authority | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southern Education \& Library Board | 140 | 138 | 9 | 287 | $[50.4 \%]$ | $[49.6 \%]$ |
| Southern Health \& Social Care Trust | 342 | 480 | 89 | 911 | $[41.6 \%]$ | $[58.4 \%]$ |
| Southern Regional College, The | 26 | 35 | 6 | 67 | $[42.6 \%]$ | $[57.4 \%]$ |
| Special EU Programmes Body, The | - | - | - | 6 | - | - |
| Sports Council for Northern Ireland | 7 | 13 | 1 | 21 | $[35.0 \%]$ | $[65.0 \%]$ |
| Strabane District Council | 4 | 10 | 2 | 16 | $[28.6 \%]$ | $[71.4 \%]$ |
| Stranmillis University College, Belfast | 5 | 3 | 4 | 12 | $[62.5 \%]$ | $[37.5 \%]$ |
| Strategic Investment Board Ltd | 4 | 3 | 1 | 8 | $[57.1 \%]$ | $[42.9 \%]$ |
| Tourism Ireland Ltd | 2 | 7 | 0 | 9 | $[22.2 \%]$ | $[77.8 \%]$ |
| Trade \& Business Development Body, The | 2 | 5 | 0 | 7 | $[28.6 \%]$ | $[71.4 \%]$ |
| Ulster Supported Employment Ltd | 5 | 6 | 5 | 16 | $[45.5 \%]$ | $[54.5 \%]$ |
| Ulsterbus Ltd | 27 | 17 | 2 | 46 | $[61.4 \%]$ | $[38.6 \%]$ |
| Warrenpoint Harbour Authority | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Waterways Ireland | 6 | 8 | 0 | 14 | $[42.9 \%]$ | $[57.1 \%]$ |
| Western Education \& Library Board | 96 | 181 | 4 | 281 | $[34.7 \%]$ | $[65.3 \%]$ |
| Western Health \& Social Care Trust | 267 | 628 | 34 | 929 | $[29.8 \%]$ | $[70.2 \%]$ |

## Appendix 2

## Section 4

## Composition of Appointees to Private Sector Concerns (251+ employees)

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acheson \& Glover Ltd |  | 7 | 9 | 1 | 17 | [43.8\%] | [56.3\%] |
| AIB Group (UK) PLC T/A First Trust Bank | */\# | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Allied Bakeries Ireland |  | 17 | 4 | 0 | 21 | [81.0\%] | [19.0\%] |
| Allstate Northern Ireland |  | 93 | 218 | 123 | 434 | [29.9\%] | [70.1\%] |
| Almac Clinical Services Ltd |  | 105 | 63 | 13 | 181 | [62.5\%] | [37.5\%] |
| Almac Group Ltd |  | 29 | 12 | 11 | 52 | [70.7\%] | [29.3\%] |
| Almac Pharma Services Ltd |  | 2 | 2 | 4 | 8 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Andras House Ltd |  | 32 | 35 | 27 | 94 | [47.8\%] | [52.2\%] |
| Apex Housing Association |  | 17 | 45 | 15 | 77 | [27.4\%] | [72.6\%] |
| Aramark |  | 78 | 18 | 12 | 108 | [81.3\%] | [18.8\%] |
| Arcadia Group Ltd T/A Top Shop Retail |  | 27 | 35 | 0 | 62 | [43.5\%] | [56.5\%] |
| Argos Ltd |  | 72 | 80 | 11 | 163 | [47.4\%] | [52.6\%] |
| Asda Stores Ltd |  | 189 | 170 | 6 | 365 | [52.6\%] | [47.4\%] |
| Autism Initiatives |  | 30 | 35 | 6 | 71 | [46.2\%] | [53.8\%] |
| Avondale Foods (Craigavon) Ltd |  | 7 | 32 | 17 | 56 | [17.9\%] | [82.1\%] |
| AVX Ltd |  | 18 | 3 | 3 | 24 | [85.7\%] | [14.3\%] |
| AXA Insurance |  | 17 | 49 | 3 | 69 | [25.8\%] | [74.2\%] |
| B \& M Retail Ltd |  | 73 | 37 | 2 | 112 | [66.4\%] | [33.6\%] |
| B \& Q PLC |  | 57 | 35 | 10 | 102 | [62.0\%] | [38.0\%] |
| Bank of Ireland |  | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Barnardos |  | 60 | 62 | 15 | 137 | [49.2\%] | [50.8\%] |
| Barratts Trading Ltd |  | 19 | 14 | 2 | 35 | [57.6\%] | [42.4\%] |
| BE Aerospace (UK) Ltd |  | 9 | 9 | 2 | 20 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Boots UK Ltd |  | 81 | 83 | 18 | 182 | [49.4\%] | [50.6\%] |
| Botanic Inns Ltd |  | 2 | 23 | 7 | 32 | [8.0\%] | [92.0\%] |
| Brett Martin Ltd |  | 21 | 11 | 27 | 59 | [65.6\%] | [34.4\%] |
| British Telecom Northern Ireland |  | 10 | 12 | 3 | 25 | [45.5\%] | [54.5\%] |
| Brooklands Healthcare Ltd |  | 13 | 52 | 6 | 71 | [20.0\%] | [80.0\%] |
| Bryson Charitable Group |  | 33 | 40 | 15 | 88 | [45.2\%] | [54.8\%] |
| Camden Group Ltd |  | 19 | 8 | 2 | 29 | [70.4\%] | [29.6\%] |
| Capita Business Services Ltd | */\# | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Chain Reaction Cycles |  | 70 | 27 | 16 | 113 | [72.2\%] | [27.8\%] |
| Charles Hurst Ltd |  | 46 | 27 | 7 | 80 | [63.0\%] | [37.0\%] |
| Citigroup |  | 124 | 110 | 110 | 344 | [53.0\%] | [47.0\%] |
| City Facilities (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 56 | 53 | 9 | 118 | [51.4\%] | [48.6\%] |
| Clinton Cards PLC |  | 20 | 33 | 35 | 88 | [37.7\%] | [62.3\%] |
| Coca-cola HBC Northern Ireland |  | 41 | 28 | 23 | 92 | [59.4\%] | [40.6\%] |
| Compass Group (UK) \& Ireland Ltd |  | 62 | 49 | 18 | 129 | [55.9\%] | [44.1\%] |
| Concentrix |  | 156 | 153 | 267 | 576 | [50.5\%] | [49.5\%] |
| Connan Paul Ltd |  | 16 | 91 | 21 | 128 | [15.0\%] | [85.0\%] |
| Co-operative Group, The |  | 70 | 46 | 11 | 127 | [60.3\%] | [39.7\%] |
| Creagh Concrete Products Ltd |  | 13 | 54 | 30 | 97 | [19.4\%] | [80.6\%] |
| Crossroads Caring for Carers (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 34 | 21 | 14 | 69 | [61.8\%] | [38.2\%] |
| Debenhams Retail |  | 24 | 48 | 9 | 81 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |
| Decora Blind Systems Ltd |  | 36 | 57 | 18 | 111 | [38.7\%] | [61.3\%] |
| DHL Supply Chain M \& S Division | */\# | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Diamond Recruitment Group |  | 426 | 627 | 80 | 1133 | [40.5\%] | [59.5\%] |
| Donnelly Group, The |  | 61 | 40 | 8 | 109 | [60.4\%] | [39.6\%] |
| DSG Retail Ltd |  | 66 | 66 | 14 | 146 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |

Note: The breakdown of appointees by community background is redacted where the publication of this information would make it possible to identify the community background of an individual.

| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dunbia (Dungannon) |  | 56 | 222 | 36 | 314 | [20.1\%] | [79.9\%] |
| Dunelm (Soft Furnishings) Ltd |  | 32 | 71 | 3 | 106 | [31.1\%] | [68.9\%] |
| Dunnes Stores (Bangor) Ltd |  | 55 | 130 | 17 | 202 | [29.7\%] | [70.3\%] |
| DV8 |  | 123 | 84 | 0 | 207 | [59.4\%] | [40.6\%] |
| Eason \& Son (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 21 | 19 | 1 | 41 | [52.5\%] | [47.5\%] |
| Eventsec Ltd |  | 125 | 114 | 0 | 239 | [52.3\%] | [47.7\%] |
| Extern Organisation Ltd, The |  | 18 | 22 | 7 | 47 | [45.0\%] | [55.0\%] |
| Extra Care |  | 31 | 31 | 14 | 76 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| First Choice Selection Services Ltd |  | 326 | 337 | 27 | 690 | [49.2\%] | [50.8\%] |
| First Derivatives PLC |  | 19 | 107 | 67 | 193 | [15.1\%] | [84.9\%] |
| Firstsource Solutions Ltd |  | 15 | 265 | 48 | 328 | [5.4\%] | [94.6\%] |
| Fisher Engineering Ltd |  | 6 | 7 | 0 | 13 | [46.2\%] | [53.8\%] |
| Flybe |  | 17 | 8 | 4 | 29 | [68.0\%] | [32.0\%] |
| Fold Housing Association |  | 28 | 37 | 10 | 75 | [43.1\%] | [56.9\%] |
| Foyle Meats |  | 6 | 8 | 2 | 16 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| Fujitsu UK \& Ireland |  | 25 | 62 | 15 | 102 | [28.7\%] | [71.3\%] |
| G4S Cash Services (UK) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 4 | - | - |
| G4S Security Services (UK) |  | 28 | 21 | 9 | 58 | [57.1\%] | [42.9\%] |
| Galgorm Resort \& Spa |  | 37 | 39 | 0 | 76 | [48.7\%] | [51.3\%] |
| Gallaher Ltd |  | 48 | 21 | 3 | 72 | [69.6\%] | [30.4\%] |
| Golf Holdings Ltd |  | 286 | 173 | 48 | 507 | [62.3\%] | [37.7\%] |
| Gordons N \& R Ltd |  | 14 | 7 | 0 | 21 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Grafton Recruitment Ltd |  | 399 | 289 | 65 | 753 | [58.0\%] | [42.0\%] |
| Graham John (Dromore) Ltd |  | 59 | 35 | 6 | 100 | [62.8\%] | [37.2\%] |
| Haldane Shiells Group |  | 10 | 6 | 1 | 17 | [62.5\%] | [37.5\%] |
| Hastings Hotels Group Ltd |  | 110 | 120 | 50 | 280 | [47.8\%] | [52.2\%] |
| Hays Specialist Recruitment Ltd |  | 163 | 128 | 17 | 308 | [56.0\%] | [44.0\%] |
| HCL BPO Services (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 134 | 359 | 84 | 577 | [27.2\%] | [72.8\%] |
| Heatons (Northern Ireland) Ltd |  | 40 | 99 | 4 | 143 | [28.8\%] | [71.2\%] |
| Henderson John Holdings Ltd |  | 238 | 114 | 32 | 384 | [67.6\%] | [32.4\%] |
| Herbel Restaurants Ltd |  | 79 | 66 | 98 | 243 | [54.5\%] | [45.5\%] |
| Homebase Ltd |  | 65 | 39 | 8 | 112 | [62.5\%] | [37.5\%] |
| Homecare Independent Living |  | 62 | 85 | 46 | 193 | [42.2\%] | [57.8\%] |
| Homeloan Management Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 11 | - | - |
| Iceland Foods Ltd |  | 86 | 61 | 14 | 161 | [58.5\%] | [41.5\%] |
| Ikea Belfast |  | 16 | 2 | 9 | 27 | [88.9\%] | [11.1\%] |
| Independent News \& Media (Northern Ireland) |  | 7 | 2 | 2 | 11 | [77.8\%] | [22.2\%] |
| Industrial Temps Ltd |  | 600 | 757 | 0 | 1357 | [44.2\%] | [55.8\%] |
| Instore |  | 35 | 60 | 40 | 135 | [36.8\%] | [63.2\%] |
| Invista Textiles (UK) Ltd |  | 4 | 7 | 0 | 11 | [36.4\%] | [63.6\%] |
| Irwin WD \& Sons |  | 14 | 12 | 2 | 28 | [53.8\%] | [46.2\%] |
| JJB Sports PLC |  | 41 | 21 | 1 | 63 | [66.1\%] | [33.9\%] |
| Ladbroke (Northern Ireland) Ltd (Northwest Bookmakers) |  | 12 | 34 | 0 | 46 | [26.1\%] | [73.9\%] |
| LBM |  | 202 | 295 | 18 | 515 | [40.6\%] | [59.4\%] |
| Liberty Information Technology Ltd |  | 25 | 26 | 13 | 64 | [49.0\%] | [51.0\%] |
| Liberty Insurance Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 5 | - | - |
| Lidl (Northern Ireland) GMBH |  | 30 | 35 | 24 | 89 | [46.2\%] | [53.8\%] |
| Linden Foods Ltd |  | 34 | 93 | 14 | 141 | [26.8\%] | [73.2\%] |
| Lindsay Cars Ltd |  | 34 | 7 | 0 | 41 | [82.9\%] | [17.1\%] |


| Company Name | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lloyds Banking Group | 283 | 182 | 10 | 475 | [60.9\%] | [39.1\%] |
| Lynn Maureen Recruitment Ltd | 151 | 123 | 86 | 360 | [55.1\%] | [44.9\%] |
| M Care Ltd | 83 | 9 | 19 | 111 | [90.2\%] | [9.8\%] |
| Mac Naughton Blair Ltd | 21 | 15 | 4 | 40 | [58.3\%] | [41.7\%] |
| Magir Ltd T/A Medicare Pharmacy Group | 38 | 55 | 13 | 106 | [40.9\%] | [59.1\%] |
| Marie Curie Cancer Care | 11 | 10 | 10 | 31 | [52.4\%] | [47.6\%] |
| Marks \& Spencer PLC | 73 | 62 | 12 | 147 | [54.1\%] | [45.9\%] |
| Matalan Retail Ltd | 121 | 73 | 11 | 205 | [62.4\%] | [37.6\%] |
| Mc Laughlin \& Harvey Ltd | 14 | 18 | 1 | 33 | [43.8\%] | [56.3\%] |
| Menarys Retail Ltd | 44 | 31 | 31 | 106 | [58.7\%] | [41.3\%] |
| Merchant Hotels Ltd, The | 19 | 21 | 6 | 46 | [47.5\%] | [52.5\%] |
| Michelin Tyre PLC | 48 | 15 | 0 | 63 | [76.2\%] | [23.8\%] |
| Mivan Ltd | 17 | 7 | 4 | 28 | [70.8\%] | [29.2\%] |
| Montupet (UK) Ltd | 13 | 34 | 10 | 57 | [27.7\%] | [72.3\%] |
| Mount Charles Catering Ltd | 35 | 68 | 18 | 121 | [34.0\%] | [66.0\%] |
| Moy Park Ltd | 89 | 170 | 70 | 329 | [34.4\%] | [65.6\%] |
| Mpa Recruitment | 82 | 182 | 0 | 264 | [31.1\%] | [68.9\%] |
| Musgrave Retail Partners (Northern Ireland) Ltd | 32 | 20 | 18 | 70 | [61.5\%] | [38.5\%] |
| Musgrave Wholesale Partners | 9 | 4 | 5 | 18 | [69.2\%] | [30.8\%] |
| Nacco Materials Handling Ltd | 5 | 3 | 2 | 10 | [62.5\%] | [37.5\%] |
| Nath Bros | 45 | 71 | 1 | 117 | [38.8\%] | [61.2\%] |
| National Trust, The | 52 | 31 | 32 | 115 | [62.7\%] | [37.3\%] |
| New Look Retailers Ltd | 68 | 62 | 2 | 132 | [52.3\%] | [47.7\%] |
| Next PLC | 128 | 164 | 29 | 321 | [43.8\%] | [56.2\%] |
| NIE Powerteam Ltd | 19 | 11 | 1 | 31 | [63.3\%] | [36.7\%] |
| Noonan Services Group Ltd | 15 | 11 | 4 | 30 | [57.7\%] | [42.3\%] |
| Norbrook Laboratories Ltd | 36 | 127 | 48 | 211 | [22.1\%] | [77.9\%] |
| Northern Bank Ltd | 43 | 32 | 3 | 78 | [57.3\%] | [42.7\%] |
| Northern Ireland Electricity PLC | 8 | 6 | 1 | 15 | [57.1\%] | [42.9\%] |
| Northern Ireland Hospice | 12 | 9 | 0 | 21 | [57.1\%] | [42.9\%] |
| Northgate Managed Services | 48 | 32 | 0 | 80 | [60.0\%] | [40.0\%] |
| Northstone (Northern Ireland) Ltd | 21 | 29 | 8 | 58 | [42.0\%] | [58.0\%] |
| NSL Services Group Ltd | 16 | 9 | 2 | 27 | [64.0\%] | [36.0\%] |
| OCS Group UK Ltd (Northern Ireland Division) | 6 | 8 | 5 | 19 | [42.9\%] | [57.1\%] |
| O'Kane Poultry Group | 48 | 69 | 39 | 156 | [41.0\%] | [59.0\%] |
| O'Kane Supermarkets Ltd T/A Supervalu | 19 | 25 | 1 | 45 | [43.2\%] | [56.8\%] |
| O'Neills Irish International Sports Co Ltd | 2 | 19 | 0 | 21 | [9.5\%] | [90.5\%] |
| Patton David \& Sons (Northern Ireland) Ltd | 12 | 5 | 0 | 17 | [70.6\%] | [29.4\%] |
| Peacocks Stores Ltd | 44 | 51 | 16 | 111 | [46.3\%] | [53.7\%] |
| Perfecseal Ltd | 7 | 29 | 5 | 41 | [19.4\%] | [80.6\%] |
| Positive Futures for People with a Learning Disability | 51 | 23 | 15 | 89 | [68.9\%] | [31.1\%] |
| Poundland | 128 | 217 | 16 | 361 | [37.1\%] | [62.9\%] |
| Praxis Care Group | 38 | 33 | 8 | 79 | [53.5\%] | [46.5\%] |
| Premier Bakeries Ireland | 5 | 5 | 0 | 10 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Premier Employment Ltd T/A Premier People | 566 | 462 | 3 | 1031 | [55.1\%] | [44.9\%] |
| Presbyterian Church In Ireland (Board of Social Witness) | 48 | 23 | 18 | 89 | [67.6\%] | [32.4\%] |
| Pricewaterhousecoopers | 33 | 30 | 12 | 75 | [52.4\%] | [47.6\%] |
| Primark Stores Ltd | 40 | 148 | 11 | 199 | [21.3\%] | [78.7\%] |
| Provident Personal Credit Ltd | 37 | 28 | 6 | 71 | [56.9\%] | [43.1\%] |


| Company Name |  | P. | R.C. | N.D | Total | [\%P] | [\%RC] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QCS Contract Cleaning Ltd |  | 37 | 45 | 3 | 85 | [45.1\%] | [54.9\%] |
| Queen's University of Belfast, The |  | 230 | 336 | 221 | 787 | [40.6\%] | [59.4\%] |
| Quinn Building Products Ltd | \# | - | - | - | 6 | - | - |
| Rahon Enterprises Ltd T/A Mc Donalds Drive-thru |  | 100 | 43 | 17 | 160 | [69.9\%] | [30.1\%] |
| Randox Laboratories Ltd |  | 64 | 37 | 85 | 186 | [63.4\%] | [36.6\%] |
| Red Sky Group Ltd |  | 5 | 7 | 3 | 15 | [41.7\%] | [58.3\%] |
| Resource |  | 347 | 99 | 86 | 532 | [77.8\%] | [22.2\%] |
| Riada Recruitment |  | 147 | 67 | 7 | 221 | [68.7\%] | [31.3\%] |
| Rias |  | 21 | 52 | 11 | 84 | [28.8\%] | [71.2\%] |
| River Island Clothing Co Ltd |  | 148 | 142 | 0 | 290 | [51.0\%] | [49.0\%] |
| RLC UK Company Ltd |  | 5 | 4 | 1 | 10 | [55.6\%] | [44.4\%] |
| Robinson Services Ltd |  | 149 | 113 | 103 | 365 | [56.9\%] | [43.1\%] |
| Rutledge Joblink Recruitment \& Training Ltd |  | 116 | 143 | 33 | 292 | [44.8\%] | [55.2\%] |
| Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd |  | 261 | 156 | 42 | 459 | [62.6\%] | [37.4\%] |
| Saint-Gobain Building Distribution Ltd |  | 22 | 11 | 0 | 33 | [66.7\%] | [33.3\%] |
| Salvation Army, The |  | 19 | 13 | 5 | 37 | [59.4\%] | [40.6\%] |
| Sangers (Northern Ireland) Ltd | * | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Santander UK PLC |  | 61 | 81 | 62 | 204 | [43.0\%] | [57.0\%] |
| Schrader Electronics Ltd |  | 88 | 66 | 42 | 196 | [57.1\%] | [42.9\%] |
| SDC Trailers Ltd |  | 20 | 81 | 9 | 110 | [19.8\%] | [80.2\%] |
| Seagate Technology (Ireland) | \# | - | - | - | 28 | - | - |
| Semi-chem Ltd |  | 17 | 21 | 7 | 45 | [44.7\%] | [55.3\%] |
| Short Brothers PLC |  | 30 | 16 | 7 | 53 | [65.2\%] | [34.8\%] |
| Sodexo Ltd |  | 30 | 25 | 10 | 65 | [54.5\%] | [45.5\%] |
| Superdrug Stores PLC |  | 24 | 47 | 59 | 130 | [33.8\%] | [66.2\%] |
| Swinton Group Ltd T/A Open \& Direct Insurance |  | 25 | 26 | 3 | 54 | [49.0\%] | [51.0\%] |
| Tayto Group |  | 8 | 14 | 8 | 30 | [36.4\%] | [63.6\%] |
| TC Contractors |  | 7 | 7 | 112 | 126 | [50.0\%] | [50.0\%] |
| Teleperformance |  | 143 | 369 | 8 | 520 | [27.9\%] | [72.1\%] |
| Teletech Holdings Inc |  | 95 | 147 | 106 | 348 | [39.3\%] | [60.7\%] |
| Terex Finlay |  | 27 | 60 | 2 | 89 | [31.0\%] | [69.0\%] |
| Terex GB Ltd |  | 21 | 75 | 21 | 117 | [21.9\%] | [78.1\%] |
| Tesco PLC |  | 476 | 428 | 10 | 914 | [52.7\%] | [47.3\%] |
| Thales Air Defence Ltd |  | 8 | 5 | 5 | 18 | [61.5\%] | [38.5\%] |
| TK Maxx |  | 36 | 50 | 5 | 91 | [41.9\%] | [58.1\%] |
| Ulster Bank Ltd |  | 50 | 72 | 18 | 140 | [41.0\%] | [59.0\%] |
| Ulster Carpets Ltd | * | - | - | - | 8 | - | - |
| Ulster Independent Clinic Ltd, The | \# | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| United Dairy Farmers Ltd |  | 31 | 13 | 8 | 52 | [70.5\%] | [29.5\%] |
| University of Ulster |  | 219 | 265 | 106 | 590 | [45.2\%] | [54.8\%] |
| UTV Media PLC |  | 22 | 13 | 4 | 39 | [62.9\%] | [37.1\%] |
| Vion Pork Cookstown |  | 29 | 79 | 54 | 162 | [26.9\%] | [73.1\%] |
| WD Meats Ltd |  | 10 | 25 | 2 | 37 | [28.6\%] | [71.4\%] |
| Wilson FG (Engineering) Ltd |  | 81 | 53 | 9 | 143 | [60.4\%] | [39.6\%] |
| Wincanton |  | 9 | 7 | 5 | 21 | [56.3\%] | [43.8\%] |
| Windmill Restaurants Ltd |  | 37 | 57 | 16 | 110 | [39.4\%] | [60.6\%] |
| Woods John (Lisglyn) Ltd |  | 6 | 12 | 3 | 21 | [33.3\%] | [66.7\%] |
| Wrightbus Ltd |  | 57 | 11 | 7 | 75 | [83.8\%] | [16.2\%] |
| Xtra-vision |  | 68 | 52 | 0 | 120 | [56.7\%] | [43.3\%] |

Note: The breakdown of appointees by community background is redacted where the publication of this information would make it possible to identify the community background of an individual.
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[^0]:    i Estimate derived by comparison with the Northern Ireland Labour Force Survey (July - Sep 2011, DETINI). The following are not monitored: the self-employed, those on government training schemes, school teachers and those working in private sector concerns with 10 or less employees.
    ii Hereafter 'pp' will be used as an abbreviation for 'percentage point'. Please see appendix 1 for further details.
    iii Fair Employment monitoring began in 1990, however due to changes to the scope of monitoring introduced in 2001, the default year for all long term comparisons in this report is 2001.

[^1]:    iv In 2010, a slight increase $(0.3 \%, \mathrm{n}=649)$ was observed in public sector employment, attributed to an overall increase in part-time employment within the sector
    $v$ The latest estimate (2010) for the Roman Catholic share of the economically active (working age) is that it lies somewhere in the range [43.3\%] to [46.5\%]. In the 2010 LFS Religion Report, the variable 'religious composition of the economically active (working age) has a confidence interval of $+/-1.6$ around the estimated value of [44.9\%] for Roman Catholics and [55.1\%] for Protestants. Thus, for example, this means there is a 95\% certainty that the true figure for the Roman Catholic share of the economically active population (of working age) lies somewhere between $43.3 \%$ and $46.5 \%$.
    vi This statement excludes 2008 public sector applicant data, as the outworking of the RPA-related changes in 2008 preclude meaningful comparison with that year at the overall and public sector level. In 2008, the number of applicants to the public sector stood at 94,130.

[^2]:    1 Further details regarding the range of Fair Employment provisions and duties are available via the Commissions website, which also includes links to the following publications: Equality Commission (2009); A Unified Guide To Promoting Equal Opportunities In Employment; Equality Commission for Northern Ireland (1989) Fair Employment in Northern Ireland Code of Practice, as amended; Equality Commission for Northern Ireland (1989) A Step by Step Guide to Monitoring: Monitoring your workforce and applicants in line with Fair Employment regulations; Fair Employment (Monitoring) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1999, as amended.

[^3]:    2 While 'fair participation' is not defined in the legislation, it is important to note that in broad terms fair participation is NOT about equalising the numbers of Protestants and Roman Catholics in any given employment, but rather it is about seeking to ensure that the community composition (the proportion of Protestants and/or Roman Catholics) in any given employment is broadly in line with what might reasonably be expected based on available labour supply. See Appendix 2: 'Wider Concepts in Fair Employment' for further information on the meaning of fair participation and how it differs from a simple assessment of the composition of a workplace.

[^4]:    3 Part-time employment is defined as less than 16 hours per week.
    4 Please note that the reference period for the compilation of stock information (employee counts) is the anniversary date of registration. For flow data (applicants, appointee, promotees and leavers), it is the twelve month period preceding the anniversary date of registration. Public authorities are deemed to be registered on 1st January, while private sector concerns are registered on a monthly basis (6th of each month) throughout the year. To take 2011 as an example, stock information for public authorities is compiled on 1st January 2011, while flow data covers the period 2nd January 2010 - 1st January 2011. As private concerns, however, are registered throughout the year, different monitoring periods will apply to individual concerns. For example, for a concern which was originally registered in March, stock information relates to 6th March 2011, while flow data will cover the period 7th March 2010 - 6th March 2011. In brief, while stock data relates to a specific date in 2011, flow data may range between 2010 and 2011.
    5 Estimate derived by comparison with the Northern Ireland Labour Force Survey (July - Sep 2011, DETINI). The following are not monitored: the selfemployed, those on government training schemes, school teachers and those working in private sector concerns with 10 or less employees.

[^5]:    6 Proportions decided at 2 decimal places.
    7 Differences greater than 1.5 percentage points.

[^6]:    27 For anonymity purposes, SOC categories with numbers < 10 are excluded from any religious composition analysis.
    28 SOC 4 = Administrative and Secretarial Occupations, SOC 9 = Elementary Occupations.

[^7]:    31 The interested reader may wish to refer to the Commission's website which includes links to the following publications:
    Equality Commission (2003). Fair Employment in Northern Ireland Code of Practice, as amended.
    Equality Commission (2009). A Unified Guide to Promoting Equal Opportunities In Employment.
    Fair Employment Commission (1989). A Step by Step Guide to Monitoring: Monitoring your Workforce and Applicants in line with Fair Employment regulations. Fair Employment (Monitoring) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1999, as amended.
    32 On the date of first registration / specification, an employer is only required to provide information on employees. Data on applicants, appointees, promotees and leavers (as appropriate) is not provided until the first anniversary. The monitoring database may contain a small number of registered private sector concerns which have temporarily fallen below the 11 employee threshold. Their status is reviewed on a regular basis.
    33 The monitoring database may contain a small number of registered private concerns which have temporarily fallen below the 11 employee threshold. Their status is reviewed on a regular basis.
    34 Between 1991 and 2001, all specified public authorities, and those private sector concerns with 251 or more employees, were required to include the community composition of applicants and appointees on their Monitoring Return. Since 2001, all registered private sector employers, irrespective of size, must now provide such information to the Commission.

[^8]:    35 Since 2001, all specified public authorities, and those private sector employers with 251 or more employees, have been required to include the composition of promotees on their Monitoring Return.
    36 While all public sector leavers are monitored, only those leavers working in private sector concerns with 251 or more employees are monitored.
    37 Since the introduction of statutory monitoring in 1990, the proportion of employees for whom it has not been possible to determine a community background has fluctuated year-on-year. However, overall the proportion of Non-determined employees has increased from (5.6\%) in 1990 to (6.8\%) in 2011. The Nondetermined proportion is higher in some occupational groups, such as SOC2 'Professional Occupations', and in some sectors, such as the Private Sector.

[^9]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

[^10]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees

[^11]:    * = Less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
    \# = Less than 10 Protestant employees
    */\# = Less than 10 Protesant employees and less than 10 Roman Catholic employees
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[^58]:    $40 \quad 98 \%$ of NIPB employees are appointed and managed by the Chief Constable on behalf of the Board

